7/16/2025 11:38

NODE=G033

NODE=G033M

NODE=G033M

Page 1

graviton

J = 2

graviton MASS

It is likely that the graviton is massless. More than fifty years ago Van Dam and Veltman (VANDAM 70), Iwasaki (IWASAKI 70), and Zakharov (ZAKHAROV 70) almost simultaneously showed that in the linear approximation a theory with a finite graviton mass does not approach GR as the mass approaches zero. Attempts have been made to evade this "vDVZ discontinuity" by invoking modified gravity or nonlinear theory by De Rahm (DE-RHAM 17) and others. More recently, the analysis of gravitational wave dispersion has led to bounds that are largely independent of the underlying model, even if not the strongest. We quote the best of these as our best limit.

Experimental limits have been set based on a Yukawa potential (YUKA), dispersion relation (DISP), or other modified gravity theories (MGRV).

The following conversions are useful: 1 eV = 1.783×10^{-33} g = 1.957×10^{-6} m_e; $\lambda_C = (1.973 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}) \times (1 \text{ eV}/m_g)$.

VALUE (eV)		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		NODE=G033M		
<1.70	5 × 10 ⁻²³	¹ ABBOTT	21	DISP	LIGO Virgo catalog GWTC-2				
• • •	ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$								
<6.6	$\times 10^{-34}$	² DEFELICE	24	MGRV	Extended Minimal Theory of Mas- sive Gravity	l			
<3.8	imes 10 ⁻²³	³ WANG	24	ΡΤΑ	CPTA pulsar timing array				
<8.6	imes 10 ⁻²⁴	³ WANG	24	PTA	NANOgrav pulsar timing array		OCCUR=2		
<8	× 10 ⁻³⁴	⁴ DEFELICE	21	MGRV	Normal branch Minimal Theory of Massive Gravity				
<3.2	$\times 10^{-23}$	⁵ BERNUS	20	YUKA	Planetary ephemeris INPOP19a		OCCUR=2		
<2	imes 10 ⁻²⁸	⁶ SHAO	20	DISP	Binary pulsar Galileon radiation				
<4.7	imes 10 ⁻²³	⁷ ABBOTT	19	DISP	LIGO Virgo catalog GWTC-1		OCCUR=2		
<7	$\times 10^{-23}$	⁸ BERNUS	19	YUKA	Planetary ephemeris INPOP17b				
<3.1	imes 10 ⁻²⁰	⁹ MIAO	19	DISP	Binary pulsar orbital decay rate				
<1.4	imes 10 ⁻²⁹	¹⁰ DESAI	18	YUKA	Gal cluster Abell 1689				
<5	imes 10 ⁻³⁰	¹¹ GUPTA	18	YUKA	Using SPT-SZ		OCCUR=2		
<3	imes 10 ⁻³⁰	¹¹ GUPTA	18	YUKA	Using Planck all-sky SZ		OCCUR=4		
<1.3	imes 10 ⁻²⁹	¹¹ GUPTA	18	YUKA	Using redMaPPer SDSS-DR8		OCCUR=5		
<6	imes 10 ⁻³⁰	¹² RANA	18	YUKA	Weak lensing in massive clusters				
<8	imes 10 ⁻³⁰	¹³ RANA	18	YUKA	SZ effect in massive clusters		OCCUR=2		
<1.0	imes 10 ⁻²³	¹⁴ WILL	18	YUKA	Perihelion advances of planets				
<7	× 10 ⁻²³	⁷ ABBOTT	17	DISP	Combined dispersion limit from three BH mergers				
<1.2	× 10 ⁻²²	⁷ ABBOTT	16	DISP	Combined dispersion limit from two BH mergers				
<2.9	$\times 10^{-21}$	¹⁵ ZAKHAROV	16	YUKA	S2 star orbit				
<5	$\times 10^{-23}$	¹⁶ BRITO	13	MGRV	Spinning black holes bounds				
<6	imes 10 ⁻³²	¹⁷ GRUZINOV	05	MGRV	Solar System observations				
<6	imes 10 ⁻³²	¹⁸ CHOUDHURY	04	YUKA	Weak gravitational lensing				
<9.0	imes 10 ⁻³⁴	¹⁹ GERSHTEIN	04	MGRV	From Ω_{tot} value assuming RTG				
<8	× 10 ⁻²⁰	^{20,21} FINN	02	DISP	Binary pulsar orbital period de- crease				
<7	× 10 ⁻²³	TALMADGE	88	YUKA	Solar system planetary astrometric data				
<1.3	$\times 10^{-29}$	²² GOLDHABER	74	YUKA	Rich clusters				
<7	$\times 10^{-28}$	HARE	73	YUKA	Galaxy				
<8	$ imes 10^4$	HARE	73	YUKA	2γ decay		OCCUR=2		
1									

¹ABBOTT 21 assumed modified gravitational-wave dispersion to establish a limit on graviton mass, using LIGO-Virgo O1-O3a binary black hole (BBH) events.

²DEFELICE 24 limits graviton mass in context of the "extended Minimal Theory of Massive Gravity" recently proposed by two of the authors, using constraints from Planck-CMB, BAO and SNe1a.

 $^3\ensuremath{\mathsf{WANG}}$ 24 investigates sensitivity to the graviton mass of overlap reduction functions due to stochastic gravitational wave background in the nano-Hertz band as observed in pulsar timing arrays.

⁴DEFELICE 21 studies the normal branch of the Minimal Theory of Massive Gravity (MTMG) to find that after five parameters are adjusted to obtain agreement with all presently available data, today's squared mass $m_g^2 = (2.5 + 4.5) \times 10^{-67}$ eV² or $m_g < 10^{-67}$ eV² or 8.4×10^{-33} eV, both at the 95% CL.

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=GA

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=HA

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=BA

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=V

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=R

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=W

- ⁵ BERNUS 20 use the latest solution of the ephemeris INPOP (19a) in order to improve the constraint in BERNUS 19 on the existence of a Yukawa suppression to the Newtonian potential, generically associated to a gravitons mass.
- ⁶ SHAO 20 sets limit, 95% CL, based on non-observation of excess gravitational radiation in 14 well-timed binary pulsars in the context of the cubic Galileon model.
- ⁷ABBOTT 19, ABBOTT 17, and ABBOTT 16 assumed modified gravitational waves dispersion to establish limits on graviton mass.
- ⁸ BERNUS 19 use the planetary ephemeris INPOP 17b to constraint the existence of a Yukawa suppression to the Newtonian potential, generically associated to a gravitons mass.
- ⁹ MIAO 19 90% CL limit is based on orbital period decay rates of 9 binary pulsars using a Bayesian prior uniform in graviton mass. Limit becomes $< 5.2 \times 10^{-21}$ eV for a prior uniform in $\ln(m_g)$.
- 10 DESAI 18 limit based on dynamical mass models of galaxy cluster Abell 1689.
- ¹¹ GUPTA 18 obtains graviton mass limits using stacked clusters from 3 disparate surveys. ¹² RANA 18 limit, 68% CL, obtained using weak lensing mass profiles out to the radius at which the cluster density falls to 200 times the critical density of the Universe. Limit is based on the fractional change between Newtonian and Yukawa accelerations for the 50 most massive galaxy clusters in the Local Cluster Substructure Survey. Limits for other CL's and other density cuts are also given.
- ¹³ RANA 18 limit, 68% CL, obtained using mass measurements via the SZ effect out to the radius at which the cluster density falls to 500 times the critical density of the Universe for 182 optically confirmed galaxy clusters in an Altacama Cosmology Telescope survey. Limits for other CL's and other density cuts are also given.
- 14 WILL 18 limit from perihelion advances of the planets, notably Earth, Mars, and Saturn. Alternate analysis yields $<~6\times10^{-24}.$
- ¹⁵ZAKHAROV 16 constrains range of Yukawa gravity interaction from S2 star orbit about black hole at Galactic center. The limit is $< 2.9 \times 10^{-21}$ eV for $\delta = 100$.
- ¹⁶BRITO 13 explore massive graviton (spin-2) fluctuations around rotating black holes.
- $17\,{\rm GRUZINOV}$ 05 uses the DGP model (DVALI 00) showing that non-perturbative effects restore continuity with Einstein's equations as the gravition mass approaches zero, then bases his limit on Solar System observations.
- 18 CHOUDHURY 04 concludes from a study of weak-lensing data that masses heavier than about the inverse of 100 Mpc seem to be ruled out if the gravitation field has the Yukawa form.
- 19 GERSHTEIN 04 use non-Einstein field relativistic theory of gravity (RTG), with a massive graviton, to obtain the 95% CL mass limit implied by the value of $\Omega_{tot} = 1.02 \pm 0.02$ current at the time of publication.
- 20 FINN 02 analyze the orbital decay rates of PSR B1913+16 and PSR B1534+12 with a possible graviton mass as a parameter. The combined frequentist mass limit is at 90%CL.
- ²¹ As of 2020, limits on dP/dt are now about 0.1% (see T. Damour, "Experimental tests of gravitational theory," in this *Review*).
- ²² GOLDHABER 74 establish this limit considering the binding of galactic clusters, corrected to Planck $h_0 = 0.67$.

graviton REFERENCES

DEFELICE WANG ABBOTT DEFELICE BERNUS SHAO	24 24 21 21 20 20	JCAP 2404 013 PR D109 L061502 PR D103 122002 JCAP 2112 011 PR D102 021501 PR D102 024069	 A. De Felice <i>et al.</i> S. Wang, Z-C. Zhao R. Abbott <i>et al.</i> A. De Felice, S. Mukohyama, L. Bernus <i>et al.</i> L. Shao, N. Wex, SY. Zhou 	(LIGO and Virgo Collabs.) M.C. Pookkillath
ABBOTT BERNUS	19 19 10	PR D100 104036 PRL 123 161103 PR D00 123015	B.P. Abbott <i>et al.</i> L. Bernus <i>et al.</i> X. Miao, L. Shao, B.O. Ma	(LIGO and Virgo Collabs.)
DESAI GUPTA	19 18 18	PL B778 325 ANP 399 85	S. Desai S. Gupta, S. Desai	(HYDER)
RANA	18 18	PL B781 220 CQG 35 17LT01	A. Rana <i>et al.</i> C.M. Will	(DELHI)
ABBOTT DE-RHAM	17 17	PRL 118 221101 RMP 89 025004	B.P. Abbott <i>et al.</i> C. de Rham <i>et al.</i>	(LIGO and Virgo Collabs.)
ABBOTT ZAKHAROV	16 16	PRL 116 061102 JCAP 1605 045	B.P. Abbott <i>et al.</i> A.F. Zakharov <i>et al.</i>	(LIGO and Virgo Collabs.)
BRITO GRUZINOV	13 05	PR D88 023514 NAST 10 311	R. Brito, V. Cardoso, P. Pani A. Gruzinov	(LISB, MISS, HSCA+) (NYU)
CHOUDHURY GERSHTEIN	04 04	ASP 21 559 PAN 67 1596 Translated from YAE 67	S.R. Choudhury <i>et al.</i> S.S. Gershtein <i>et al.</i> 1618	(DELPH, MELB) (SERP)
FINN DVALI TALMADGE GOLDHABER HARE IWASAKI VANDAM ZAKHAROV	02 00 88 74 73 70 70 70	PR D65 044022 PL B485 208 PRL 61 1159 PR D9 1119 CJP 51 431 PR D2 2255 NP B22 397 IETPL 12 312	L.S. Finn, P.J. Sutton G.R. Dvali, G. Gabadadze, M. C. Talmadge <i>et al.</i> A.S. Goldhaber, M.M. Nieto M.G. Hare Y. Iwasaki H. van Dam, M. Veltman V.I. Zakharov, <i>et al.</i>	Porrati (NYU) (JPL) (LANL, STON) (SASK) (UTRE)

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE	=S

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=J NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=O NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=K

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=L

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=U

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=M

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=B NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=CH

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=G

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=FN

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=RP

NODE=G033M;LINKAGE=Y

NODE=G033

REFI	D =	62	78	2
REFI	D=	61	22	6
REFI	D =	61	87	6
REFI	D =	60	34	1
REFI	D =	60	34	3
REFI	D =	60	65	4
REFI	D =	60	06	2
REFI	D =	60	65	9
REFI	D =	58	99	6
REFI	D =	59	30	0
REFI	D=	59	01	5
RFFI	$\bar{D}=$	60	66	0
RFFI	$\bar{D}=$	57	78	6
RFFI	$\bar{D}=$	58	16	5
RFFI	$\bar{D}=$	57	14	9
RFFI	$\bar{D}=$	59	29	2
RFFI	Ď=	55	31	1
REFI	$\tilde{D}=$	55	68	2
RFFI	$\bar{D}=$	50	20	8
REFI	D =	57	14	5
REFI	D =	48	87	4
REFI	D =	55	68	0
REFI	D =	58	18	4
REFI	D =	43	49	7
REFI	D =	43	49	8
REFI	D =	58	18	1
REFI	D =	43	49	9
REFI	D =	58	18	0