

$\phi(1680)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

NODE=M067

 $\phi(1680)$ MASS

NODE=M067205

 e^+e^- PRODUCTION

NODE=M067M1

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

NODE=M067M1

1680 ±20 OUR ESTIMATE

→ UNCHECKED ←

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1663 ± 5 $^{+16}_{-4}$		ABLIKIM	24CB	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^0$
1656.8 ± 4.9		¹ LICHARD	23	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$
1683 ± 7 ± 9		² ZHU	23	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$
1678 $^{+5}_{-3}$ ± 7		³ ZHU	23A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \phi$
1673 ± 5		⁴ ABLIKIM	22L	BES3	$2.0-3.08 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
1680 $^{+12}_{-13}$ ±21 1.8k		⁵ ABLIKIM	20F	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
1662 ±20		⁶ ACHASOV	20C	SND	$1.3-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
1641 $^{+24}_{-18}$		ACHASOV	19	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$
1667 ± 5 ±11 3k		⁷ IVANOV	19A	CMD3	$1.59-2.007 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
1700 ±23 2k		⁸ ACHASOV	18A	SND	$1.3-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$
1674 ±12 ± 6 6.2k		⁹ LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
1733 ±10 ±10		¹⁰ LEES	12F	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1689 ± 7 ±10 4.8k		¹¹ SHEN	09	BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1709 ±20 ±43		¹² AUBERT	08S	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1623 ±20 948		¹³ AKHMETSHIN	03	CMD2	$1.05-1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
~ 1500		¹⁴ ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \omega \pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-, K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
~ 1900		¹⁵ ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1700 ±20		¹⁶ CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S^0 K \pi$
1657 ±27 367		BISELLO	91C	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1655 ±17		¹⁷ BISELLO	88B	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
1680 ±10		¹⁸ BUON	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1677 ±12		¹⁹ MANE	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K \pi$

OCCUR=4

¹ From a VDM fit to ZHU 23 $\eta \phi \gamma$ data with two resonances, $\phi(1680)$, $\phi(2170)$, and a third resonance with mass 1850.7 ± 5.3 MeV and width 25 ± 35 MeV of 1.7 σ statistical evidence.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=I

² From a fit using a vector meson dominance model with contributions from $\phi(1680)$, $\phi(2170)$ and non resonant contribution.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=H

³ From the analysis of the combined measurements of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \phi)$ from BaBar, Belle, BESIII, CMD3.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=J

⁴ From a partial wave amplitude analysis at $\sqrt{s} = 2.125$ GeV which includes all the possible intermediate states that match J^{PC} conservation in the subsequent two-body decay. The intermediate states are parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner functions. Statistical error only.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=G

⁵ Seen in $\psi(2S)$ decay with branching ratio $\psi(2S) \rightarrow X \eta \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta = (12.0 \pm 1.3^{+6.5}_{-6.9}) \times 10^{-6}$.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=E

⁶ From a fit using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=F

⁷ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=D

⁸ Assuming the $K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ dynamics. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=C

⁹ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=B

¹⁰ Using events with $\pi\pi$ invariant mass less than 0.85 GeV.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=A

¹¹ From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=SH

¹² From the simultaneous fit to the $K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi \eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=AU

¹³ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.

NODE=M067M;LINKAGE=HK

¹⁴ Using data from IVANOV 81, BARKOV 87, BISELLO 88B, DOLINSKY 91, and AN-TONELLI 92.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=L1

¹⁵ Using the data from BISELLO 91C.

NODE=M067M1;LINKAGE=L4

¹⁶ Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.

NODE=M067M;LINKAGE=A

- ¹⁷ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$ assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitation.
- ¹⁸ From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0K_L^0$, $K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.
- ¹⁹ Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

NODE=M067M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M067M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M067M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M067M3
NODE=M067M3

 $p\bar{p}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1700 ± 8	¹ AMSLER	06	CBAR 0.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
----------	---------------------	----	---

¹ Could also be $\rho(1700)$.

NODE=M067M3;LINKAGE=AM

 $\phi(1680)$ WIDTH

NODE=M067210

 e^+e^- PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

150 ± 50 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.

NODE=M067W1
NODE=M067W1

→ UNCHECKED ←

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

159 ± 15 ± 11	ABLIKIM	24CB	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta\pi^0$
150.8 ± 7.0	¹ LICHARD	23	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \phi\eta\gamma$
149 ± 12 ± 13	² ZHU	23	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \phi\eta\gamma$
156 ± 5 ± 9	³ ZHU	23A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\phi$
172 ± 8	⁴ ABLIKIM	22L	BES3	$2.0-3.08 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
185 ⁺³⁰ ₋₂₆ ⁺²⁵ ₋₄₇ 1.8k	⁵ ABLIKIM	20F	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
159 ± 32	⁶ ACHASOV	20C	SND	$1.3-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
103 ⁺²⁶ ₋₂₄	ACHASOV	19	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$
176 ± 23 ± 38 3k	⁷ IVANOV	19A	CMD3	$1.59-2.007 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
300 ± 50 2k	⁸ ACHASOV	18A	SND	$1.3-2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K_L^0\pi^0$
165 ± 38 ± 70 6.2k	⁹ LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K_L^0\gamma$
300 ± 15 ± 37	¹⁰ LEES	12F	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
211 ± 14 ± 19 4.8k	¹¹ SHEN	09	BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
322 ± 77 ± 160	¹² AUBERT	08S	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
139 ± 60 948	¹³ AKHMETSHIN	03	CMD2	$1.05-1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0K_S^0$
300 ± 60	¹⁴ CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S^0K\pi$
146 ± 55 367	BISELLO	91C	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$
207 ± 45	¹⁵ BISELLO	88B	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
185 ± 22	¹⁶ BUON	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
102 ± 36	¹⁷ MANE	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K\pi$

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=H

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=J

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=SH

¹ From a VDM fit to ZHU 23 $\eta\phi\gamma$ data with two resonances, $\phi(1680)$, $\phi(2170)$, and a third resonance with mass 1850.7 ± 5.3 MeV and width 25 ± 35 MeV of 1.7 σ statistical evidence.

² From a fit using a vector meson dominance model with contributions from $\phi(1680)$, $\phi(2170)$ and non resonant contribution.

³ From the analysis of the combined measurements of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\phi)$ from BaBar, Belle, BESIII, CMD3.

⁴ From a partial wave amplitude analysis at $\sqrt{s} = 2.125$ GeV which includes all the possible intermediate states that match J^{PC} conservation in the subsequent two-body decay. The intermediate states are parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner functions. Statistical error only.

⁵ Seen in $\psi(2S)$ decay with branching ratio $\psi(2S) \rightarrow X\eta \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta = (12.0 \pm 1.3^{+6.5}_{-6.9}) \times 10^{-6}$.

⁶ From a fit using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$.

⁷ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.

⁸ Assuming the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ dynamics. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

⁹ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.

¹⁰ Using events with $\pi\pi$ invariant mass less than 0.85 GeV.

¹¹ From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

- ¹² From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.
- ¹³ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.
- ¹⁴ Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.
- ¹⁵ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$.
- ¹⁶ From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0 K_L^0$, $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.
- ¹⁷ Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

 $p\bar{p}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
143 ± 24	¹ AMSLER	06	CBAR $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
¹ Could also be $\rho(1700)$.			

 $\phi(1680)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	seen
Γ_2 $K_S^0 K \pi$	seen
Γ_3 $K\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_4 $K_L^0 K_S^0$	
Γ_5 $e^+ e^-$	seen
Γ_6 $\omega \pi \pi$	not seen
Γ_7 $\phi \pi \pi$	
Γ_8 $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
Γ_9 $\eta \phi$	seen
Γ_{10} $\eta \gamma$	seen
Γ_{11} $K^+ K^- \pi^0$	
Γ_{12} $f_2'(1525) \gamma$	not seen

 $\phi(1680) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into $e^+ e^-$ and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel (I) in $e^+ e^-$ annihilation. We list only data that have not been used to determine the partial width $\Gamma(I)$ or the branching ratio $\Gamma(I)/\text{total}$.

 $\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$14.3 \pm 2.4 \pm 6.2$	6.2k	¹ LEES	14H	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
¹ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.				

 $\Gamma(\phi \pi \pi) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$4.2 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.3$	LEES	12F	BABR $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

 $\Gamma(\eta \phi) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$122 \pm 6 \pm 13$		¹ ZHU	23	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$
$65 \pm 5 \pm 13$ to		² ZHU	23A	RVUE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \phi$
$215 \pm 8 \pm 11$				
$94 \pm 13 \pm 15$	3k	³ IVANOV	19A	CMD3 $1.59\text{--}2.007 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$

NODE=M067W1;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M067W;LINKAGE=HK

NODE=M067W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M067W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M067W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M067W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M067W3

NODE=M067W3

NODE=M067W3;LINKAGE=AM

NODE=M067215;NODE=M067

DESIG=4;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=5;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=3;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=9

DESIG=6;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=1;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=11

DESIG=12;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←

DESIG=10

DESIG=13

DESIG=2

DESIG=15

NODE=M067220

NODE=M067220

NODE=M067A00

NODE=M067A00

NODE=M067A00;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M067G02

NODE=M067G02

NODE=M067R00

NODE=M067R00

- ¹ From a solution of the fit using a vector meson dominance model with contributions from $\phi(1680)$, $\phi(2170)$ and non resonant contribution. Other solutions with equal fit quality give $(219 \pm 15 \pm 18)$ eV, $(163 \pm 11 \pm 13)$ eV and $(203 \pm 12 \pm 18)$ eV.
- ² From the analysis of the combined measurements of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\phi)$ from BaBar, Belle, BESIII, CMD3. Four solutions are found, with equal fit quality: $(79 \pm 4 \pm 16)$ eV, $(127 \pm 5 \pm 12)$ eV, $(65^{+5}_{-4} \pm 13)$ eV, $(215^{+8}_{-5} \pm 11)$ eV.
- ³ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.

NODE=M067R00;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M067R00;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M067R00;LINKAGE=A

$\phi(1680) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(e^+e^-) / \Gamma^2(\text{total})$

NODE=M067223

NODE=M067223

This combination of a branching ratio into channel (i) and branching ratio into e^+e^- is directly measured and obtained from the cross section at the peak. We list only data that have not been used to determine the branching ratio into (i) or e^+e^- .

$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4 / \Gamma \times \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$

NODE=M067G5
NODE=M067G5

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.131 ± 0.059	948	¹ AKHMETSHIN 03	CMD2	1.05–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
---------------	-----	----------------------------	------	--

¹ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known. Recalculated by us.

NODE=M067G;LINKAGE=GK

$\Gamma(K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1 / \Gamma \times \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$

NODE=M067G6
NODE=M067G6

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.15 ± 0.16 ± 0.01		¹ AUBERT 08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K \bar{K}^*(892) \gamma + \text{c.c.}$
--------------------	--	-------------------------	------	---

3.29 ± 1.57	367	² BISELLO 91C	DM2	1.35–2.40 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
-------------	-----	--------------------------	-----	--

¹ From the simultaneous fit to the $K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

NODE=M067G6;LINKAGE=AU

² Recalculated by us with the published value of $B(K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)$.

NODE=M067G;LINKAGE=GL

$\Gamma(\phi\pi\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_7 / \Gamma \times \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$

NODE=M067G01
NODE=M067G01

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.86 ± 0.14 ± 0.21	4.8k	¹ SHEN 09	BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
--------------------	------	----------------------	------	--

¹ Multiplied by 3/2 to take into account the $\phi\pi^0\pi^0$ mode. Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6)\%$.

NODE=M067G01;LINKAGE=SH

$\Gamma(\eta\phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_9 / \Gamma \times \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$

NODE=M067G7
NODE=M067G7

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$5.64^{+1.74}_{-1.80}$		ACHASOV 19	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \eta$
------------------------	--	------------	-----	---

5.3 ± 0.6 ± 0.9	3k	¹ IVANOV 19A	CMD3	1.59–2.007 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
-----------------	----	-------------------------	------	--

4.3 ± 1.0 ± 0.9		² AUBERT 08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta\gamma$
-----------------	--	-------------------------	------	--

¹ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.

NODE=M067G7;LINKAGE=A

² From the simultaneous fit to the $K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

NODE=M067G7;LINKAGE=AU

$\phi(1680)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M067225

$\Gamma(K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma(K_S^0 K \pi) \quad \Gamma_1 / \Gamma_2$

NODE=M067R3
NODE=M067R3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

dominant	MANE 82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
----------	---------	-----	--

$\Gamma(K \bar{K}) / \Gamma(K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \quad \Gamma_3 / \Gamma_1$

NODE=M067R2
NODE=M067R2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.07 ± 0.01	BUON 82	DM1	e^+e^-
-------------	---------	-----	----------

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi) / \Gamma(K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \quad \Gamma_6 / \Gamma_1$

NODE=M067R1
NODE=M067R1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

<0.10	BUON 82	DM1	e^+e^-
-------	---------	-----	----------

$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	35	¹ ACHASOV	14 SND	1.15–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

NODE=M067R01
NODE=M067R01

NODE=M067R01;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})$ Γ_9/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

≈ 0.37 ¹ AUBERT 08S BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ From the fit including data from AUBERT 07AK.

NODE=M067R5
NODE=M067R5

NODE=M067R5;LINKAGE=AU

 $\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	35	¹ ACHASOV	14 SND	1.15–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

NODE=M067R02
NODE=M067R02

NODE=M067R02;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(f'_2(1525)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ ACHASOV	22 SND	1.17–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\eta\gamma$

¹ The 90% CL upper limit on the Born cross sections $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi(1680) \rightarrow f'_2(1525)\gamma \rightarrow \eta\eta\gamma)$ and $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho(1700) \rightarrow f_0(1500)\gamma \rightarrow \eta\eta\gamma)$ is 10.6 pb.

NODE=M067R03
NODE=M067R03

NODE=M067R03;LINKAGE=A

 $\phi(1680)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M067

ABLIKIM	24CB	PR D110 112014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LICHARD	23	PR D108 092005	P. Lichard	(OPAV, CTUP)
ZHU	23	PR D107 012006	W. Zhu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ZHU	23A	CP C47 113003	W. Zhu, X. Wang	(RVUE)
ABLIKIM	22L	JHEP 2207 045	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	22	EPJ C82 168	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20F	PR D101 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	20C	EPJ C80 1139	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	19	PR D99 112004	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
IVANOV	19A	PL B798 134946	V.L. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ACHASOV	18A	PR D97 032011	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	14	PR D90 032002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
LEES	14H	PR D89 092002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	12F	PR D86 012008	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
SHEN	09	PR D80 031101	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03	PL B551 27	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
Also		PAN 65 1222	E.V. Anashkin, V.M. Aulchenko, R.R. Akhmetshin	
		Translated from YAF 65 1255.		
ACHASOV	98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BISELLO	91C	ZPHY C52 227	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BISELLO	88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 46 132.		
BUON	82	PL 118B 221	J. Buon <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
MANE	82	PL 112B 178	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
IVANOV	81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
MANE	81	PL 99B 261	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)

REFID=63033
REFID=62426
REFID=61911
REFID=62439
REFID=61649
REFID=61655
REFID=60256
REFID=60935
REFID=59887
REFID=60133
REFID=58883
REFID=55912
REFID=55940
REFID=54298
REFID=54066
REFID=53000
REFID=52242
REFID=51908
REFID=51136
REFID=49172
REFID=48827

REFID=46323
REFID=44081
REFID=43168
REFID=41867
REFID=41369
REFID=40581
REFID=40280

REFID=21494
REFID=21590
REFID=20553
REFID=21588