NODE=M070

$J/\psi(1S)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

$J/\psi(1S)$ MASS

NODE=M070M

NODE=M070M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3096.916±0.011 OUR AVERAGE					
3096.917±0.010±0.007		AULCHENKO	03	KEDR	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
3096.89 ±0.09	502	[1] ARTAMONOV	00	OLYA	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
3096.91 ±0.03 ±0.01		[2] ARMSTRONG	93B	E760	$\overline{p}p \to e^+e^-$
3096.95 ±0.1 ±0.3	193	BAGLIN	87	SPEC	$\overline{p}p \to e^+e^-X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3097.5 ±0.3		GRIBUSHIN	96	FMPS	515 π^- Be $\to 2\mu X$
3098.4 ±2.0	38k	LEMOIGNE	82	GOLI	185 π^- Be $\to \gamma\mu^+\mu^-$ A
3096.93 ±0.09	502	[3] ZHOLENTZ	80	REDE	e^+e^-
3097.0 ±1		[4] BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-

[1] Reanalysis of ZHOLENTZ 80 using new electron mass (COHEN 87) and radiative corrections (KURAEV 85). NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=AR

[2] Mass central value and systematic error recalculated by us according to Eq. (16) in ARMSTRONG 93B, using the value for the $\psi(2S)$ mass from AULCHENKO 03. NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=NW

[3] Superseded by ARTAMONOV 00. NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=RZ

[4] From a simultaneous fit to e^+e^-, $\mu^+\mu^-$ and hadronic channels assuming $\Gamma(e^+e^-) = \Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$. NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=F

$J/\psi(1S)$ WIDTH

NODE=M070W

NODE=M070W

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
92.9± 2.8 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
96.1± 3.2	13k	[1] ADAMS	06A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
84.4± 8.9		BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
91 ±11 ±6		[2] ARMSTRONG	93B	E760	$\overline{p}p \to e^+e^-$
$85.5^{+6.1}_{-5.8}$		[3] HSUEH	92	RVUE	See Υ mini-review
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
94.1± 2.7		[4] ANASHIN	10	KEDR	3.097 $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-$
93.7± 3.5	7.8k	[1] AUBERT	04	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$

[1] Calculated by us from the reported values of $\Gamma(e^+e^-)\times B(\mu^+\mu^-)$ using $B(e^+e^-) = (5.94 \pm 0.06)\%$ and $B(\mu^+\mu^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.06)\%$. NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=AA

[2] The initial-state radiation correction reevaluated by ANDREOTTI 07 in its Ref. [4]. NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=AN

[3] Using data from COFFMAN 92, BALDINI-CELIO 75, BOYARSKI 75, ESPOSITO 75B, BRANDELIK 79C. NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=A

[4] Assuming $\Gamma(e^+e^-) = \Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ and using $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total} = (5.94 \pm 0.06)\%$. NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=AS

$J/\psi(1S)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M070215;NODE=M070

	Mode		Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level	
Γ_1	hadrons		(87.7 ±0.5) %		DESIG=3
Γ_2	virtual $\gamma \to$ hadrons		(13.50 ±0.30) %		DESIG=4
Γ_3	ggg		(64.1 ±1.0) %		DESIG=249
Γ_4	γgg		(8.8 ±1.1) %		DESIG=250
Γ_5	e^+e^-		(5.94 ±0.06) %		DESIG=1
Γ_6	$e^+e^-\gamma$	[a]	(8.8 ±1.4) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=5
Γ_7	$\mu^+\mu^-$		(5.93 ±0.06) %		DESIG=2

Decays involving hadronic resonances

NODE=M070;CLUMP=A

Γ_{8}	$\rho\pi$		(1.69 ± 0.15) %	S=2.4	DESIG=20
Γ_{9}	$\rho^0\pi^0$		$(5.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=21
Γ_{10}	$a_2(1320)\rho$		(1.09 ± 0.22) %		DESIG=43
Γ_{11}	$\omega\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$		$(8.5 \pm 3.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=26
Γ_{12}	$\omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$		$(4.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=211
Γ_{13}	$\omega\pi^+\pi^-$		$(8.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1	DESIG=24
Γ_{14}	$\omega f_2(1270)$		$(4.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=28
Γ_{15}	$K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0$		$(2.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=46
Γ_{16}	$K^*(892)^\pm\overline{K}^*(892)^\mp$		$(1.00 {}^{+0.22}_{-0.40}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=256
Γ_{17}	$K^*(892)^\pm\overline{K}^*(800)^\mp$		$(1.1 {}^{+1.0}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=257
Γ_{18}	$\eta K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0$		$(1.15 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=252
Γ_{19}	$K^*(892)^0\overline{K}_2^*(1430)^0 +$ c.c.		$(6.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=48
Γ_{20}	$K^*(892)^0\overline{K}_2(1770)^0 +$ c.c. $\rightarrow$ $K^*(892)^0 K^-\pi^+ +$ c.c.		$(6.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=235
Γ_{21}	$\omega K^*(892)\overline{K} +$ c.c.		$(6.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=102
Γ_{22}	$K^+\overline{K}^*(892)^- +$ c.c.		$(5.12 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=121
Γ_{23}	$K^+\overline{K}^*(892)^- +$ c.c. $\rightarrow$ $K^+K^-\pi^0$		$(1.97 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=231
Γ_{24}	$K^+\overline{K}^*(892)^- +$ c.c. $\rightarrow$ $K^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$		$(3.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=232
Γ_{25}	$K^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0 +$ c.c.		$(4.39 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=122
Γ_{26}	$K^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0 +$ c.c. $\rightarrow$ $K^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$		$(3.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=233
Γ_{27}	$K_1(1400)^\pm K^\mp$		$(3.8 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=132
Γ_{28}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 K^+\pi^- +$ c.c.		seen		DESIG=214
Γ_{29}	$\omega\pi^0\pi^0$		$(3.4 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=140
Γ_{30}	$b_1(1235)^\pm\pi^\mp$	[b]	$(3.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=49
Γ_{31}	$\omega K^\pm K^0_S\pi^\mp$	[b]	$(3.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=101
Γ_{32}	$b_1(1235)^0\pi^0$		$(2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=160
Γ_{33}	$\eta K^\pm K^0_S\pi^\mp$	[b]	$(2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=230
Γ_{34}	$\phi K^*(892)\overline{K} +$ c.c.		$(2.18 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=104
Γ_{35}	$\omega K\overline{K}$		$(1.70 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=27
Γ_{36}	$\omega f_0(1710) \rightarrow \omega K\overline{K}$		$(4.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=130
Γ_{37}	$\phi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$		$(1.66 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=35
Γ_{38}	$\Delta(1232)^{++}\overline{p}\pi^-$		$(1.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=70
Γ_{39}	$\omega\eta$		$(1.74 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.6	DESIG=30
Γ_{40}	$\phi K\overline{K}$		$(1.83 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.5	DESIG=36
Γ_{41}	$\phi f_0(1710) \rightarrow \phi K\overline{K}$		$(3.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=129
Γ_{42}	$\phi f_2(1270)$		$(7.2 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=39
Γ_{43}	$\Delta(1232)^{++}\overline{\Delta}(1232)^{--}$		$(1.10 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=66
Γ_{44}	$\Sigma(1385)^-\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^+$ (or c.c.)	[b]	$(1.10 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=67
Γ_{45}	$\phi f'_2(1525)$		$(8 \pm 4) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.7	DESIG=40
Γ_{46}	$\phi\pi^+\pi^-$		$(9.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.2	DESIG=34
Γ_{47}	$\phi\pi^0\pi^0$		$(5.6 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=76
Γ_{48}	$\phi K^\pm K^0_S\pi^\mp$	[b]	$(7.2 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=103
Γ_{49}	$\omega f_1(1420)$		$(6.8 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=105
Γ_{50}	$\phi\eta$		$(7.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.5	DESIG=37
Γ_{51}	$\Xi^0\overline{\Xi}^0$		$(1.20 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=248
Γ_{52}	$\Xi(1530)^-\overline{\Xi}^+$		$(5.9 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=107
Γ_{53}	$pK^-\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^0$		$(5.1 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=74
Γ_{54}	$\omega\pi^0$		$(4.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.4	DESIG=32
Γ_{55}	$\phi\eta'(958)$		$(4.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.1	DESIG=38
Γ_{56}	$\phi f_0(980)$		$(3.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.9	DESIG=41
Γ_{57}	$\phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-$		$(1.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=236
Γ_{58}	$\phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \phi\pi^0\pi^0$		$(1.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=237
Γ_{59}	$\eta\phi f_0(980) \rightarrow \eta\phi\pi^+\pi^-$		$(3.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=229
Γ_{60}	$\phi a_0(980)^0 \rightarrow \phi\eta\pi^0$		$(5 \pm 4) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=258

Γ_{61}	$\Xi(1530)^0 \overline{\Xi}^0$		$(3.2 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=108
Γ_{62}	$\Sigma(1385)^- \overline{\Sigma}^+$ (or c.c.)	[b]	$(3.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=68
Γ_{63}	$\phi f_1(1285)$		$(2.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1	DESIG=106
Γ_{64}	$\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$		$(4.0 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=239
Γ_{65}	$\rho \eta$		$(1.93 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=22
Γ_{66}	$\omega \eta'(958)$		$(1.82 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=31
Γ_{67}	$\omega f_0(980)$		$(1.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=150
Γ_{68}	$\rho \eta'(958)$		$(1.05 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=23
Γ_{69}	$a_2(1320)^\pm \pi^\mp$	[b]	$< 4.3 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=42
Γ_{70}	$K \overline{K}_2^*(1430) +$ c.c.		$< 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=45
Γ_{71}	$K_1(1270)^\pm K^\mp$		$< 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=131
Γ_{72}	$K_2^*(1430)^0 \overline{K}_2^*(1430)^0$		$< 2.9 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=47
Γ_{73}	$\phi \pi^0$		$< 6.4 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=33
Γ_{74}	$\phi \eta(1405) \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi \pi$		$< 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=128
Γ_{75}	$\omega f_2'(1525)$		$< 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=29
Γ_{76}	$\eta \phi(2170) \rightarrow \eta K^*(892)^0 \overline{K}^*(892)^0$		$< 2.52 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=253
Γ_{77}	$\Sigma(1385)^0 \overline{\Lambda} +$ c.c.		$< 8.2 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=111
Γ_{78}	$\Delta(1232)^+ \overline{p}$		$< 1 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=112
Γ_{79}	$\Lambda(1520) \overline{\Lambda} +$ c.c. $\rightarrow \gamma \Lambda \overline{\Lambda}$		$< 4.1 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=260
Γ_{80}	$\Theta(1540) \overline{\Theta}(1540) \rightarrow K_S^0 p K^- \overline{n} +$ c.c.		$< 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=205
Γ_{81}	$\Theta(1540) K^- \overline{n} \rightarrow K_S^0 p K^- \overline{n}$		$< 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=206
Γ_{82}	$\Theta(1540) K_S^0 \overline{p} \rightarrow K_S^0 \overline{p} K^+ n$		$< 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=207
Γ_{83}	$\overline{\Theta}(1540) K^+ n \rightarrow K_S^0 \overline{p} K^+ n$		$< 5.6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=208
Γ_{84}	$\overline{\Theta}(1540) K_S^0 p \rightarrow K_S^0 p K^- \overline{n}$		$< 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=209
Γ_{85}	$\Sigma^0 \overline{\Lambda}$		$< 9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=110

Decays into stable hadrons NODE=M070;CLUMP=B

Γ_{86}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$		(4.1 ± 0.5) %	S=2.4	DESIG=9
Γ_{87}	$3(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$		(2.9 ± 0.6) %		DESIG=11
Γ_{88}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		(2.11 ± 0.07) %	S=1.5	DESIG=7
Γ_{89}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 K^+ K^-$		(1.79 ± 0.29) %	S=2.2	DESIG=18
Γ_{90}	$4(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$		$(9.0 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=12
Γ_{91}	$\pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$		$(6.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=16
Γ_{92}	$\pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- \eta$		$(1.84 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=238
Γ_{93}	$\pi^0 \pi^0 K^+ K^-$		$(2.45 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=234
Γ_{94}	$K \overline{K} \pi$		$(6.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=15
Γ_{95}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$		$(3.57 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=8
Γ_{96}	$3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$		$(4.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=10
Γ_{97}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$		(1.62 ± 0.21) %		DESIG=210
Γ_{98}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \eta$		$(2.29 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=201
Γ_{99}	$3(\pi^+ \pi^-) \eta$		$(7.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=202
Γ_{100}	$p \overline{p}$		$(2.120 \pm 0.029) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=50
Γ_{101}	$p \overline{p} \pi^0$		$(1.19 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1	DESIG=52
Γ_{102}	$p \overline{p} \pi^+ \pi^-$		$(6.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=54
Γ_{103}	$p \overline{p} \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	[c]	$(2.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.9	DESIG=55
Γ_{104}	$p \overline{p} \eta$		$(2.00 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=56
Γ_{105}	$p \overline{p} \rho$		$< 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=57
Γ_{106}	$p \overline{p} \omega$		$(1.10 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=58
Γ_{107}	$p \overline{p} \eta'(958)$		$(2.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=59
Γ_{108}	$p \overline{p} \phi$		$(4.5 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=127
Γ_{109}	$n \overline{n}$		$(2.09 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=64
Γ_{110}	$n \overline{n} \pi^+ \pi^-$		$(4 \pm 4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=65
Γ_{111}	$\Sigma^+ \overline{\Sigma}^-$		$(1.50 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=247
Γ_{112}	$\Sigma^0 \overline{\Sigma}^0$		$(1.29 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=63

Γ_{113}	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)K^+K^-$		$(4.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=17
Γ_{114}	$p\overline{n}\pi^-$		$(2.12 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=53
Γ_{115}	$nN(1440)$		seen		DESIG=215;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{116}	$nN(1520)$		seen		DESIG=216;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{117}	$nN(1535)$		seen		DESIG=217;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{118}	$\Xi^-\overline{\Xi}^+$		$(8.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.2	DESIG=62
Γ_{119}	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$		$(1.61 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.9	DESIG=60
Γ_{120}	$\Lambda\overline{\Sigma}^-\pi^+$ (or c.c.)	[b]	$(8.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.2	DESIG=71
Γ_{121}	$pK^-\overline{\Lambda}$		$(8.9 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=72
Γ_{122}	$2(K^+K^-)$		$(7.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=19
Γ_{123}	$pK^-\overline{\Sigma}^0$		$(2.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=73
Γ_{124}	K^+K^-		$(2.70 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=13
Γ_{125}	$K^0_S K^0_L$		$(2.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	S=3.2	DESIG=75
Γ_{126}	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$		$(4.3 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=261
Γ_{127}	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\eta$		$(1.62 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=228
Γ_{128}	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\pi^0$		$(3.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=109
Γ_{129}	$\overline{\Lambda}nK^0_S$ + c.c.		$(6.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=225
Γ_{130}	$\pi^+\pi^-$		$(1.47 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=6
Γ_{131}	$\Lambda\overline{\Sigma}$ + c.c.		$(2.83 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=61
Γ_{132}	$K^0_S K^0_S$		$< 1 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=95%	DESIG=14
	Radiative decays				NODE=M070;CLUMP=C
Γ_{133}	3γ		$(1.16 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=81
Γ_{134}	4γ		$< 9 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=244
Γ_{135}	5γ		$< 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=245
Γ_{136}	$\gamma\eta_c(1S)$		(1.7 ± 0.4) %	S=1.6	DESIG=85
Γ_{137}	$\gamma\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3\gamma$		$(3.8 {}^{+1.3}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-6}$	S=1.1	DESIG=246
Γ_{138}	$\gamma\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$		$(8.3 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=99
Γ_{139}	$\gamma\eta\pi\pi$		$(6.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=96
Γ_{140}	$\gamma\eta_2(1870) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$		$(6.2 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=142
Γ_{141}	$\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \rightarrow \gamma K\overline{K}\pi$	[d]	$(2.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.6	DESIG=89
Γ_{142}	$\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\rho^0$		$(7.8 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.8	DESIG=171
Γ_{143}	$\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$		$(3.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=170
Γ_{144}	$\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$		$< 8.2 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=95%	DESIG=212
Γ_{145}	$\gamma\rho\rho$		$(4.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=94
Γ_{146}	$\gamma\rho\omega$		$< 5.4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=226
Γ_{147}	$\gamma\rho\phi$		$< 8.8 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=227
Γ_{148}	$\gamma\eta'(958)$		$(5.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.2	DESIG=84
Γ_{149}	$\gamma 2\pi^+2\pi^-$		$(2.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.9	DESIG=95
Γ_{150}	$\gamma f_2(1270) f_2(1270)$		$(9.5 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=203
Γ_{151}	$\gamma f_2(1270) f_2(1270)$ (non resonant)		$(8.2 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=204
Γ_{152}	$\gamma K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$		$(2.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=143
Γ_{153}	$\gamma f_4(2050)$		$(2.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=100
Γ_{154}	$\gamma\omega\omega$		$(1.61 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=97
Γ_{155}	$\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \rightarrow \gamma\rho^0\rho^0$		$(1.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=124
Γ_{156}	$\gamma f_2(1270)$		$(1.43 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=86
Γ_{157}	$\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma K\overline{K}$		$(8.5 {}^{+1.2}_{-0.9}) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.2	DESIG=91
Γ_{158}	$\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi$		$(4.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=135
Γ_{159}	$\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$		$(3.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=221
Γ_{160}	$\gamma\eta$		$(1.104 \pm 0.034) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=83
Γ_{161}	$\gamma f_1(1420) \rightarrow \gamma K\overline{K}\pi$		$(7.9 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=175
Γ_{162}	$\gamma f_1(1285)$		$(6.1 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=88
Γ_{163}	$\gamma f_1(1510) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$		$(4.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=141
Γ_{164}	$\gamma f'_2(1525)$		$(4.5 {}^{+0.7}_{-0.4}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=87
Γ_{165}	$\gamma f_2(1640) \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$		$(2.8 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=222

Γ_{166}	$\gamma f_2(1910) \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$		$(2.0 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=223
Γ_{167}	$\gamma f_0(1800) \rightarrow \gamma\omega\phi$		$(2.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=262
Γ_{168}	$\gamma f_2(1950) \rightarrow \gamma K^*(892)\overline{K}^*(892)$		$(7.0 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=144
Γ_{169}	$\gamma K^*(892)\overline{K}^*(892)$		$(4.0 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=145
Γ_{170}	$\gamma\phi\phi$		$(4.0 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.1	DESIG=98
Γ_{171}	$\gamma p\overline{p}$		$(3.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=90
Γ_{172}	$\gamma\eta(2225)$		$(3.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=126
Γ_{173}	$\gamma\eta(1760) \rightarrow \gamma\rho^0\rho^0$		$(1.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=125
Γ_{174}	$\gamma\eta(1760) \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$		$(1.98 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=224
Γ_{175}	$\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$		$(2.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=213
Γ_{176}	$\gamma X(1835) \rightarrow \gamma p\overline{p}$		$(7.7 {}^{+1.5}_{-0.9}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=254
Γ_{177}	$\gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)$ $[J^{PC} = 0^{-+}]$		$(7 \pm 4) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.1	DESIG=176
Γ_{178}	$\gamma\pi^0$		$(3.49 {}^{+0.33}_{-0.30}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=82
Γ_{179}	$\gamma p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-$		$< 7.9 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=93
Γ_{180}	$\gamma\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$		$< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=200
Γ_{181}	$\gamma f_0(2200)$				DESIG=123
Γ_{182}	$\gamma f_J(2220)$		$> 2.50 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=99.9%	DESIG=92
Γ_{183}	$\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi$		$(8 \pm 4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=136
Γ_{184}	$\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma K\overline{K}$		$< 3.6 \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=137
Γ_{185}	$\gamma f_J(2220) \rightarrow \gamma p\overline{p}$		$(1.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=138
Γ_{186}	$\gamma f_0(1500)$		$(1.01 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=172
Γ_{187}	$\gamma A \rightarrow \gamma$ invisible	[e]	$< 6.3 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=251
Γ_{188}	$\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\mu^+\mu^-$	[f]	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=259
	Weak decays				NODE=M070;CLUMP=E
Γ_{189}	$D^- e^+\nu_e +$ c.c.		$< 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=218
Γ_{190}	$\overline{D}^0 e^+ e^- +$ c.c.		$< 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=219
Γ_{191}	$D_s^- e^+\nu_e +$ c.c.		$< 3.6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=220
Γ_{192}	$D^-\pi^+ +$ c.c.		$< 7.5 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=241
Γ_{193}	$\overline{D}^0\overline{K}^0 +$ c.c.		$< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=242
Γ_{194}	$D_s^-\pi^+ +$ c.c.		$< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=243
	Charge conjugation (C), Parity (P), Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes				NODE=M070;CLUMP=D
Γ_{195}	$\gamma\gamma$	C	$< 5 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=80
Γ_{196}	$e^\pm\mu^\mp$	LF	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=177
Γ_{197}	$e^\pm\tau^\mp$	LF	$< 8.3 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=178
Γ_{198}	$\mu^\pm\tau^\mp$	LF	$< 2.0 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=179
	Other decays				NODE=M070;CLUMP=F
Γ_{199}	invisible		$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=240

[a] For $E_\gamma > 100$ MeV. LINKAGE=EGM

[b] The value is for the sum of the charge states or particle/antiparticle states indicated. LINKAGE=SG

[c] Includes $p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and excludes $p\overline{p}\eta$, $p\overline{p}\omega$, $p\overline{p}\eta'$. LINKAGE=MF

[d] See the "Note on the $\eta(1405)$" in the $\eta(1405)$ Particle Listings. LINKAGE=MG

[e] For a narrow state A with mass less than 960 MeV. LINKAGE=NSA

[f] For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar A^0 with mass 0.21–3.0 GeV. LINKAGE=NA0

$J/\psi(1S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M070220

Γ(hadrons) Γ_1

NODE=M070W3

NODE=M070W3

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
74.1 ± 8.1	BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
59 ± 24	BALDINI-...	75	FRAG	e^+e^-
59 ± 14	BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-
50 ± 25	ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ — Γ_5

NODE=M070W1
NODE=M070W1

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.55±0.14±0.02 OUR EVALUATION					→ UNCHECKED ←
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
5.71±0.16	13k	[1] ADAMS	06A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
5.57±0.19	7.8k	[1] AUBERT	04	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
5.14±0.39		BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
$5.36^{+0.29}_{-0.28}$		[2] HSUEH	92	RVUE	See Υ mini-review
4.72±0.35		ALEXANDER	89	RVUE	See Υ mini-review
4.4 ±0.6		[2] BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-
4.6 ±0.8		[3] BALDINI-...	75	FRAG	e^+e^-
4.8 ±0.6		BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-
4.6 ±1.0		ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM	e^+e^-

[1] Calculated by us from the reported values of $\Gamma(e^+e^-)\times B(\mu^+\mu^-)$ using $B(\mu^+\mu^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.06)\%$. NODE=M070W1;LINKAGE=AA

[2] From a simultaneous fit to e^+e^-, $\mu^+\mu^-$, and hadronic channels assuming $\Gamma(e^+e^-) = \Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$. NODE=M070W1;LINKAGE=F

[3] Assuming equal partial widths for e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$. NODE=M070W1;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ — Γ_7

NODE=M070W2
NODE=M070W2

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5.13±0.52	BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
4.8 ±0.6	BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-
5 ±1	ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ — Γ_{195}

NODE=M070W70
NODE=M070W70

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<5.4	90	BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-

$J/\psi(1S)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M070225

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into e^+e^- and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel$_i$ in the e^+e^- annihilation. NODE=M070225

$\Gamma(\text{hadrons}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — $\Gamma_1\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G3
NODE=M070G3

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4 ±0.8	[1] BALDINI-...	75	FRAG	e^+e^-
3.9±0.8	[1] ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM	e^+e^-

[1] Data redundant with branching ratios or partial widths above. NODE=M070G3;LINKAGE=S

$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — $\Gamma_5\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G1
NODE=M070G1

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
332.3± 6.4±4.8	ANASHIN	10	KEDR	3.097 $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
350 ± 20	BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-
320 ± 70	[1] BALDINI-...	75	FRAG	e^+e^-
340 ± 90	[1] ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM	e^+e^-
360 ±100	[1] FORD	75	SPEC	e^+e^-

[1] Data redundant with branching ratios or partial widths above. NODE=M070G1;LINKAGE=S

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — $\Gamma_7\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G2
NODE=M070G2

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
334 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE					
331.8± 5.2±6.3		ANASHIN	10	KEDR	3.097 $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$
338.4± 5.8±7.1	13k	ADAMS	06A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
330.1± 7.7±7.3	7.8k	AUBERT	04	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
510 ±90		DASP	75	DASP	e^+e^-
380 ±50		[1] ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM	e^+e^-

[1] Data redundant with branching ratios or partial widths above. NODE=M070G2;LINKAGE=S

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{12}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G8
NODE=M070G8

VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.2±0.3±0.2	170	AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{13}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G24
NODE=M070G24

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
53.6±5.0±0.4	788	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 47.8 \pm 3.1 \pm 3.2$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G24;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\overline{K}_2^*(1430)^0 + c.c.) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{19}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G02
NODE=M070G02

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
33±4±1	317 ± 23	[1,2] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] Dividing by 2/3 to take into account that $B(K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-) = 2/3$. NODE=M070G02;LINKAGE=AE

[2] AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to K^*(892)^0\overline{K}_2^*(1430)^0 + c.c.) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(K_2^*(1430) \to K\pi)] = 16.4 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.4$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(K_2^*(1430) \to K\pi) = (49.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G02;LINKAGE=UB

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\overline{K}_2(1770)^0 + c.c. \to K^*(892)^0K^-\pi^+ + c.c.) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{20}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G03
NODE=M070G03

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.8±0.4±0.3	110 ± 14	[1] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] Dividing by 2/3 to take into account that $B(K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-) = 2/3$. NODE=M070G03;LINKAGE=AE

$\Gamma(K^+\overline{K}^*(892)^- + c.c.) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{22}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G18
NODE=M070G18

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
29.0±1.7±1.3	AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^*(892)^-\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^+\overline{K}^*(892)^- + c.c. \to K^+K^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{23}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G20
NODE=M070G20

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.96±0.85±0.70	155	AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^+\overline{K}^*(892)^- + c.c. \to K^0K^\pm\pi^\mp) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{24}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G21
NODE=M070G21

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
16.76±1.70±1.00	89	AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^0_SK^\pm\pi^\mp\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0 + c.c.) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{25}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G19
NODE=M070G19

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
26.6±2.5±1.5	AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0 + c.c. \to K^0K^\pm\pi^\mp) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{26}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G22
NODE=M070G22

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
17.70±1.70±1.00	94	AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^0_SK^\pm\pi^\mp\gamma$

$\Gamma(\omega K\overline{K}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{35}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G29
NODE=M070G29

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.70±1.98±0.03	24	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \omega K\overline{K}) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 3.3 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.2$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G29;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\phi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{37}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G10
NODE=M070G10

VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.96±0.19±0.01	35	[1] AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \phi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 06D reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = (0.47 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-2}$ keV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G10;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{46}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G14
NODE=M070G14

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.8 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE					
4.52±0.48±0.04	254±23	[1] SHEN	09	BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
5.33±0.71±0.05	103	[2] AUBERT,BE	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

[1] SHEN 09 reports 4.50 ± 0.41 ± 0.26 eV from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)]$ assuming $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G14;LINKAGE=SH

[2] AUBERT,BE 06D reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = 2.61 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.18$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G14;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{47}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G15
NODE=M070G15

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.15±0.88±0.03	23	[1] AUBERT,BE	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

[1] AUBERT,BE 06D reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = 1.54 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.16$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G15;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\phi\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{50}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G28
NODE=M070G28

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.1±2.7±0.4	6	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \phi\eta\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AU quotes $\Gamma_{ee}^{J/\psi} \cdot B(J/\psi \to \phi\eta) \cdot B(\phi \to K^+K^-) \cdot B(\eta \to 3\pi) = 0.84 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.05$ eV. NODE=M070G28;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{57}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G05
NODE=M070G05

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.21±0.23 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
1.48±0.27±0.09	60±11	[1] SHEN	09	BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1.02±0.24±0.01	20 ± 5	[2] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] Multiplied by 2/3 to take into account the $\phi\pi^+\pi^-$ mode only. Using $B(\phi \to K^+K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6)\%$. NODE=M070G05;LINKAGE=SH

[2] AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = 0.50 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.04$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G05;LINKAGE=UB

$\Gamma(\phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{58}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G06
NODE=M070G06

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.96±0.40±0.01	7.0 ± 2.8	[1] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = 0.47 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.05$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G06;LINKAGE=UB

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{64}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G25
NODE=M070G25

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.23±0.97±0.03	9	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 0.51 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.03$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (22.92 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G25;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{15}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G01
NODE=M070G01

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.28±0.40±0.11	25 ± 8	[1] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] Dividing by $(2/3)^2$ to take twice into account that $B(K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-) = 2/3$. NODE=M070G01;LINKAGE=AE

$\Gamma(\phi f_2(1270)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{42}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G07
NODE=M070G07

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.0±0.7±0.1	44 ± 7	[1,2] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] Using B($\phi \to (K+K)^-$) = (49.3 ± 0.6)%. NODE=M070G07;LINKAGE=AE

[2] AUBERT 07AK reports [$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi f_2(1270)) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$] × [B($f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi$)] = 3.41 ± 0.55 ± 0.28 eV which we divide by our best value B($f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi$) = $(84.8^{+2.4}_{-1.2}) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G07;LINKAGE=UB

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{86}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G23
NODE=M070G23

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
303±5±18	4990	AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{88}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G5
NODE=M070G5

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.122±0.005±0.008	AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{89}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G27
NODE=M070G27

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
107.0±4.3±6.4	768	AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{91}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G12
NODE=M070G12

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
36.3±1.3±2.1	1586 ± 58	AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- K^+K^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
33.6±2.7±2.7	233	[1] AUBERT	05D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

[1] Superseded by AUBERT 07AK. NODE=M070G12;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- K^+K^-\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{92}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G30
NODE=M070G30

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
25.9±3.9±0.1	73	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AU reports [$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \pi^+\pi^- K^+K^-\eta) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$] × [B($\eta \to 2\gamma$)] = 10.2 ± 1.3 ± 0.8 eV which we divide by our best value B($\eta \to 2\gamma$) = (39.41 ± 0.20) × 10^{-2}. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G30;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0 K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{93}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G04
NODE=M070G04

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
13.6±1.1±1.3	203 ± 16	AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0 K^+K^-\gamma$

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{95}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G11
NODE=M070G11

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
20.4±1.0 OUR AVERAGE	[19.5 ± 1.9 eV OUR 2012 AVERAGE]				NEW
20.4±0.9±0.4		LEES	12E	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2\pi^+2\pi^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
19.5±1.4±1.3	270	[1] AUBERT	05D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\gamma$

[1] Superseded by LEES 12E. NODE=M070G11;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{96}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G6
NODE=M070G6

VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.37±0.16±0.14	496	AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 3(\pi^+\pi^-)\gamma$

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{97}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G7
NODE=M070G7

VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.9±0.5±1.0	761	AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\gamma$

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{98}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G26
NODE=M070G26

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
13.1±2.4±0.1	85	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AU reports [$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$] × [B($\eta \to 2\gamma$)] = 5.16 ± 0.85 ± 0.39 eV which we divide by our best value B($\eta \to 2\gamma$) = (39.41 ± 0.20) × 10^{-2}. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G26;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{100}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G4
NODE=M070G4

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
11.6±0.9 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.					
12.0±0.6±0.5	438	AUBERT	06B		$e^+e^- \to p\overline{p}\gamma$
9.7±1.7		[1] ARMSTRONG	93B	E760	$\overline{p}p \to e^+e^-$

[1] Using $\Gamma_{total} = 85.5^{+6.1}_{-5.8}$ MeV.

NODE=M070G;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{112}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G17
NODE=M070G17

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.4±1.2±0.6	AUBERT	07BD	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{113}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G9
NODE=M070G9

VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.75±0.23±0.17	205	AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-2(\pi^+\pi^-)\gamma$

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{119}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G16
NODE=M070G16

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.7±0.9±0.7	AUBERT	07BD	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\gamma$

$\Gamma(2(K^+K^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{122}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G13
NODE=M070G13

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.11±0.39±0.30	156 ± 15	AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(K^+K^-)\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.0 ±0.7 ±0.6	38	[1] AUBERT	05D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(K^+K^-)\gamma$

[1] Superseded by AUBERT 07AK.

NODE=M070G13;LINKAGE=AU

$J/\psi(1S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M070230

For the first four branching ratios, see also the partial widths, and (partial widths) $\times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ above.

NODE=M070300

$\Gamma(\text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

NODE=M070R3
NODE=M070R3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.877±0.005 OUR AVERAGE				
0.878±0.005	BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
0.86 ±0.02	BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\text{virtual}\,\gamma \to \text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_2/Γ

NODE=M070R4
NODE=M070R4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.135±0.003	[1,2] SETH	04	RVUE	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.17 ±0.02	[1] BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] Included in $\Gamma(\text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{total}$.

NODE=M070R4;LINKAGE=C

[2] Using $B(J/\psi \to \ell^+\ell^-) = (5.90 \pm 0.09)\%$ from RPP-2002 and $R = 2.28 \pm 0.04$ determined by a fit to data from BAI 00 and BAI 02C.

NODE=M070R4;LINKAGE=SE

$\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_3/Γ

NODE=M070S65
NODE=M070S65

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
64.1±1.0	6 M	[1] BESSON	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- +$ hadrons

[1] Calculated using the value $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg) = 0.137 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.004$ from BESSON 08 and the PDG 08 values of $B(\ell^+\ell^-)$, B(virtual $\gamma \to$ hadrons), and $B(\gamma\eta_c)$. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with that of $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{total}$ measurement of BESSON 08.

NODE=M070S65;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_4/Γ

NODE=M070S66
NODE=M070S66

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.79±1.05	200 k	[1] BESSON	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma +$ hadrons

[1] Calculated using the value $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg) = 0.137 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.004$ from BESSON 08 and the value of $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{total}$. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with that of $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{total}$ measurement of BESSON 08.

NODE=M070S66;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg)$ — Γ_4/Γ_3

NODE=M070S67
NODE=M070S67

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
13.7±0.1±0.7	6 M	BESSON	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_5/Γ

NODE=M070R1
NODE=M070R1

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.94 ±0.06 OUR AVERAGE					
5.945±0.067±0.042	15k	LI	05C	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
5.90 ±0.05 ±0.10		BAI	98D	BES	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
6.09 ±0.33		BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
5.92 ±0.15 ±0.20		COFFMAN	92	MRK3	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
6.9 ±0.9		BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(e^+e^-\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_6/Γ

NODE=M070S33
NODE=M070S33

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.8±1.3±0.4	[1] ARMSTRONG	96	E760	$\bar{p}p \to e^+e^-\gamma$

[1] For $E_\gamma > 100$ MeV.

NODE=M070S33;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_7/Γ

NODE=M070R2
NODE=M070R2

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.93 ±0.06 OUR AVERAGE					
5.960±0.065±0.050	17k	LI	05C	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
5.84 ±0.06 ±0.10		BAI	98D	BES	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
6.08 ±0.33		BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
5.90 ±0.15 ±0.19		COFFMAN	92	MRK3	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
6.9 ±0.9		BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ — Γ_5/Γ_7

NODE=M070R5
NODE=M070R5

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.998±0.012 OUR AVERAGE				
1.002±0.021±0.013	[1] ANASHIN	10	KEDR	3.097 $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-,\ \mu^+\mu^-$
0.997±0.012±0.006	LI	05C	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.00 ±0.07	BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
1.00 ±0.05	BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-
0.91 ±0.15	ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM	e^+e^-
0.93 ±0.10	FORD	75	SPEC	e^+e^-

[1] Not independent of the corresponding measurements of $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ and $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$.

NODE=M070R5;LINKAGE=AN

HADRONIC DECAYS

NODE=M070305

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_8/Γ

NODE=M070R20
NODE=M070R20

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.69 ±0.15 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.4. See the ideogram below.			
2.18 ±0.19		[1,2] AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
2.184±0.005±0.201	220k	[2,3] BAI	04H	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
2.091±0.021±0.116		[2,4] BAI	04H	BES	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
1.21 ±0.20		BAI	96D	BES	$e^+e^- \to \rho\pi$
1.42 ±0.01 ±0.19		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	e^+e^-
1.3 ±0.3	150	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2	e^+e^-
1.6 ±0.4	183	ALEXANDER	78	PLUT	e^+e^-
1.33 ±0.21		BRANDELIK	78B	DASP	e^+e^-
1.0 ±0.2	543	BARTEL	76	CNTR	e^+e^-
1.3 ±0.3	153	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	e^+e^-

OCCUR=2

[1] From the ratio of $\Gamma(e^+e^-)\ B(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ and $\Gamma(e^+e^-)\ B(\mu^+\mu^-)$ (AUBERT 04).
[2] Not independent of their $B(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$.
[3] From $J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ events directly.
[4] Obtained comparing the rates for $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$, using J/ψ events produced via $\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ and with $B(J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-) = 5.88 \pm 0.10\%$.

NODE=M070R20;LINKAGE=AU
NODE=M070R20;LINKAGE=BU
NODE=M070R20;LINKAGE=BA
NODE=M070R20;LINKAGE=BI



$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\rho\pi)$ — Γ_9/Γ_8

NODE=M070R21
NODE=M070R21

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.328±0.005±0.027	COFFMAN	88	MRK3	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.35 ±0.08	ALEXANDER	78	PLUT	e^+e^-
0.32 ±0.08	BRANDELIK	78B	DASP	e^+e^-
0.39 ±0.11	BARTEL	76	CNTR	e^+e^-
0.37 ±0.09	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\rho)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{10}/Γ

NODE=M070R43
NODE=M070R43

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.9±2.2 OUR AVERAGE					
11.7±0.7±2.5	7584	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$J/\psi \to \rho^0\rho^\pm\pi^\mp$
8.4±4.5	36	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{11}/Γ

NODE=M070R26
NODE=M070R26

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
85±34	140	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to 3(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{12}/Γ

NODE=M070R76
NODE=M070R76

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.40±0.06±0.04	170	[1] AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

[1] Using $\Gamma(J/\psi \to e^+e^-) = 5.52 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.04$ keV.

NODE=M070R76;LINKAGE=EE

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{13}/Γ

NODE=M070R24
NODE=M070R24

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.6±0.7 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
9.7±0.6±0.6	788	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
7.0±1.6	18058	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$J/\psi \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
7.8±1.6	215	BURMESTER	77D	PLUT	e^+e^-
6.8±1.9	348	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

[1] AUBERT 07AU quotes $\Gamma_{ee}^{J/\psi} \cdot B(J/\psi \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-) \cdot B(\omega \to 3\pi) = 47.8 \pm 3.1 \pm 3.2$ eV.

NODE=M070R24;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\omega f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{14}/Γ

NODE=M070R28
NODE=M070R28

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.3±0.6 OUR AVERAGE					
4.3±0.2±0.6	5860	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	e^+e^-
4.0±1.6	70	BURMESTER	77D	PLUT	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.9±0.8	81	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{15}/Γ

NODE=M070R46
NODE=M070R46

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.3±0.7±0.1		25 ± 8	[1] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<5		90	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$

[1] AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)] = (1.28 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R46;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^\pm\overline{K}^*(892)^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{16}/Γ

NODE=M070S73
NODE=M070S73

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.00\pm0.19^{+0.11}_{-0.32}$	323	ABLIKIM	10E	BES2	$J/\psi \to K^\pm K^0_S\pi^\mp\pi^0$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^\pm\overline{K}^*(800)^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{17}/Γ

NODE=M070S74
NODE=M070S74

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.09\pm0.18^{+0.94}_{-0.54}$	655	ABLIKIM	10E	BES2	$J/\psi \to K^\pm K^0_S\pi^\mp\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\eta K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{18}/Γ

NODE=M070S69
NODE=M070S69

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.15±0.13±0.22	209	ABLIKIM	10C	BES2	$J/\psi \to \eta K^+\pi^-K^-\pi^+$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*_2(1430)^0 + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{19}/Γ

NODE=M070R48
NODE=M070R48

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.0±0.6 OUR AVERAGE					
5.9±0.6±0.2	317 ± 23	[1,2] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$
6.7±2.6	40	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$

[1] Using $B(K^*_2(1430)^0 \to K\pi) = (49.9 \pm 1.2)\%$. NODE=M070R48;LINKAGE=AU

[2] AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*_2(1430)^0 + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)] = (32.9 \pm 2.3 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R48;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\omega K^*(892)\overline{K} + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{21}/Γ

NODE=M070S2
NODE=M070S2

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
61 ± 9 OUR AVERAGE						
62.0± 6.8±10.6	899 ± 98	ABLIKIM	08E	BES2	$J/\psi \to \omega K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$	
65.3±10.2±13.5	176 ± 28	ABLIKIM	08E	BES2	$J/\psi \to \omega K^+K^-\pi^0$	OCCUR=2
53 ±14 ±14	530 ± 140	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons	

$\Gamma(K^+\overline{K}^*(892)^- + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{22}/Γ

NODE=M070S15
NODE=M070S15

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.12±0.30 OUR AVERAGE					
5.2 ±0.4 ±0.1		[1] AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^*(892)^-\gamma$
4.57±0.17±0.70	2285	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
5.26±0.13±0.53		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$J/\psi \to K^\pm K^0_S\pi^\mp$, $K^+K^-\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.6 ±0.6	24	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-\pi^0$
3.2 ±0.6	48	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$J/\psi \to K^\pm K^0_S\pi^\mp$
4.1 ±1.2	39	BRAUNSCH...	76	DASP	$J/\psi \to K^\pm X$

[1] AUBERT 08S reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to K^+\overline{K}^*(892)^- + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)] = (29.0\pm1.7\pm1.3)\times10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070S15;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^+\overline{K}^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{23}/Γ

NODE=M070R09
NODE=M070R09

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.97±0.20±0.05	155	[1] AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 08S reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow K^+\overline{K}^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)] = (10.96 \pm 0.85 \pm 0.70) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070R09;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^+\overline{K}^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^0K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{24}/Γ

NODE=M070S58
NODE=M070S58

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.0±0.4±0.1	89	[1] AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 08S reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow K^+\overline{K}^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^0K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)] = (16.76 \pm 1.70 \pm 1.00) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070S58;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{25}/Γ

NODE=M070S16
NODE=M070S16

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.39±0.31 OUR AVERAGE					
4.8 ±0.5 ±0.1		[1] AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0\gamma$
3.96±0.15±0.60	1192	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow$ hadrons
4.33±0.12±0.45		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K^0_S\pi^\mp$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.7 ±0.6	45	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K^0_S\pi^\mp$

[1] AUBERT 08S reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow K^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)] = (26.6 \pm 2.5 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070S16;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(K^+\overline{K}^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.})$ — Γ_{25}/Γ_{22}

NODE=M070S17
NODE=M070S17

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.82±0.05±0.09	COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K\overline{K}^*(892)$+c.c.

$\Gamma(K^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^0K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{26}/Γ

NODE=M070S59
NODE=M070S59

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.2±0.4±0.1	94	[1] AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 08S reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow K^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K^0K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)] = (17.70 \pm 1.70 \pm 1.00) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070S59;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K_1(1400)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{27}/Γ

NODE=M070S35
NODE=M070S35

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.8±0.8±1.2	[1] BAI	99C	BES	e^+e^-

[1] Assuming $B(K_1(1400) \rightarrow K^*\pi)$=0.94 ± 0.06

NODE=M070S35;LINKAGE=M3

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{28}/Γ

NODE=M070S52
NODE=M070S52

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
seen	[1] ABLIKIM	06C	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \overline{K}^*(892)^0K^+\pi^-$

[1] A $K^*_0(800)$ is observed by ABLIKIM 06C in the $K^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum of the $\overline{K}^*(892)^0K^+\pi^-$ final state against the $\overline{K}^*(892)$. A corresponding branching fraction of the $J/\psi(1S)$ is not presented.

NODE=M070S52;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{29}/Γ

NODE=M070S26
NODE=M070S26

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.4±0.3±0.7	509	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0$

$\Gamma(b_1(1235)^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{30}/Γ

NODE=M070R49
NODE=M070R49

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
30±5 OUR AVERAGE					
31±6	4600	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
29±7	87	BURMESTER	77D	PLUT	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\omega K^{\pm} K^0_S \pi^{\mp})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{31}/Γ

NODE=M070S1
NODE=M070S1

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
34 ±5 OUR AVERAGE					
37.7±0.8±5.8	1972 ± 41	ABLIKIM	08E	BES2	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$
29.5±1.4±7.0	879 ± 41	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+ e^- \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(b_1(1235)^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{32}/Γ

NODE=M070S28
NODE=M070S28

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
23±3±5	229	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\eta K^{\pm} K^0_S \pi^{\mp})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{33}/Γ

NODE=M070S57
NODE=M070S57

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
21.8±2.2±3.4	232 ± 23	ABLIKIM	08E	BES2	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\phi K^*(892)\overline{K} + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{34}/Γ

NODE=M070S4
NODE=M070S4

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
21.8±2.3 OUR AVERAGE					
20.8±2.7±3.9	195 ± 25	ABLIKIM	08E	BES2	$J/\psi \to \phi K^0_S K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$
29.6±3.7±4.7	238 ± 30	ABLIKIM	08E	BES2	$J/\psi \to \phi K^+ K^- \pi^0$
20.7±2.4±3.0		FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
20 ±3 ±3	155 ± 20	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+ e^- \to$ hadrons

OCCUR=2

$\Gamma(\omega K \overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{35}/Γ

NODE=M070R27
NODE=M070R27

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
17.0± 3.2 OUR AVERAGE					
13.6± 5.0±1.0	24	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \to \omega K^+ K^- \gamma$
19.8± 2.1±3.9		[2] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
16 ±10	22	FELDMAN	77	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

[1] AUBERT 07AU quotes $\Gamma^{J/\psi}_{ee} \cdot B(J/\psi \to \omega K^+ K^-) \cdot B(\eta \to 3\pi) = 3.3 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.2$ eV.
[2] Addition of $\omega K^+ K^-$ and $\omega K^0 \overline{K}^0$ branching ratios.

NODE=M070R27;LINKAGE=AU
NODE=M070R27;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\omega f_0(1710) \to \omega K \overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{36}/Γ

NODE=M070S25
NODE=M070S25

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.8±1.1±0.3	[1,2] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons

[1] Includes unknown branching fraction $f_0(1710) \to K \overline{K}$.
[2] Addition of $f_0(1710) \to K^+ K^-$ and $f_0(1710) \to K^0 \overline{K}^0$ branching ratios.

NODE=M070S25;LINKAGE=F
NODE=M070S25;LINKAGE=G

$\Gamma(\phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{37}/Γ

NODE=M070R35
NODE=M070R35

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
16.6±2.3 OUR AVERAGE					
17.3±3.3±1.2	35	[1] AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \to \phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)\gamma$
16.0±1.0±3.0		FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons

[1] Using $\Gamma(J/\psi \to e^+ e^-) = 5.52 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.04$ keV.

NODE=M070R35;LINKAGE=EE

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)^{++} \overline{p} \pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{38}/Γ

NODE=M070R70
NODE=M070R70

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.58±0.23±0.40	332	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\omega \eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{39}/Γ

NODE=M070R30
NODE=M070R30

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.74 ±0.20 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.			
2.352±0.273	5k	[1] ABLIKIM	06F	BES2	$J/\psi \to \omega \eta$
1.44 ±0.40 ±0.14	13	[2] AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \to \omega \eta \gamma$
1.43 ±0.10 ±0.21	378	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
1.71 ±0.08 ±0.20		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$e^+ e^- \to 3\pi\eta$

[1] Using $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = (39.43 \pm 0.26)\%$, $B(\eta \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = 22.6 \pm 0.4\%$, $B(\eta \to \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma) = 4.68 \pm 0.11\%$, and $B(\omega \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.1 \pm 0.7)\%$.
[2] Using $\Gamma(J/\psi \to e^+ e^-) = 5.52 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.04$ keV.

NODE=M070R30;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=M070R30;LINKAGE=EE



$\Gamma(\phi K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{40}/Γ

NODE=M070R36
NODE=M070R36

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
18.3± 2.4 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.				
21.4± 0.4±2.2		ABLIKIM	05	BES2	$J/\psi \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-$
$48\ ^{+20}_{-16}\ \pm 6$	$9.0^{+3.7}_{-3.0}$	[1,2] HUANG	03	BELL	$B^+ \to (\phi K^+K^-)\ K^+$
14.6± 0.8±2.1		[3] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
18 ± 8	14	FELDMAN	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] We have multiplied K^+K^- measurement by 2 to obtain $K\overline{K}$. NODE=M070R36;LINKAGE=AA
[2] Using $B(B^+ \to J/\psi K^+) = (1.01 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$. NODE=M070R36;LINKAGE=CC
[3] Addition of ϕK^+K^- and $\phi K^0\overline{K}^0$ branching ratios. NODE=M070R36;LINKAGE=A



$\Gamma(\phi f_0(1710) \to \phi K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{41}/Γ

NODE=M070S24
NODE=M070S24

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.6±0.2±0.6	[1,2] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons

[1] Including interference with $f_2'(1525)$. NODE=M070S24;LINKAGE=D
[2] Includes unknown branching fraction $f_0(1710) \to K\overline{K}$. NODE=M070S24;LINKAGE=E

$\Gamma(\phi f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{42}/Γ

NODE=M070R39
NODE=M070R39

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.72±0.13±0.02		44 ± 7	[1,2] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
< 0.45	90		FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
< 0.37	90		VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$

[1] Using $B(f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi) = (84.8^{+2.4}_{-1.2})\%$

NODE=M070R39;LINKAGE=AU

[2] AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)] = (4.02 \pm 0.65 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070R39;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)^{++}\overline{\Delta}(1232)^{--})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{43}/Γ

NODE=M070R66
NODE=M070R66

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.10±0.09±0.28	233	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^-\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^+ \text{(or c.c.)})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{44}/Γ

NODE=M070R67
NODE=M070R67

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.10±0.12 OUR AVERAGE					
[(1.03 ± 0.13) × 10^{-3} OUR 2012 AVERAGE]					
1.23±0.07±0.30	0.8k	ABLIKIM	12P	BES2	$J/\psi \to \Sigma(1385)^-\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^+$
1.50±0.08±0.38	1k	ABLIKIM	12P	BES2	$J/\psi \to \Sigma(1385)^+\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^-$
1.00±0.04±0.21	0.6k	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^{*-}$
1.19±0.04±0.25	0.7k	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^{*+}$
0.86±0.18±0.22	56	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^{*-}$
1.03±0.24±0.25	68	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^{*+}$

NEW
OCCUR=2
OCCUR=2
OCCUR=2

$\Gamma(\phi f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{45}/Γ

NODE=M070R40
NODE=M070R40

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8 ±4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.7.					
12.3±0.6±2.0		[1,2] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
4.8±1.8	46	[1] GIDAL	81	MRK2	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-K^+K^-$

[1] Re-evaluated using $B(f'_2(1525) \to K\overline{K}) = 0.713$.

NODE=M070R40;LINKAGE=B

[2] Including interference with $f_0(1710)$.

NODE=M070R40;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{46}/Γ

NODE=M070R34
NODE=M070R34

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.94±0.09 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.					
0.96±0.13	103	[1] AUBERT,BE	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1.09±0.02±0.13		ABLIKIM	05	BES2	$J/\psi \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-$
0.78±0.03±0.12		FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
2.1 ±0.9	23	FELDMAN	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] Derived by us. AUBERT,BE 06D measures $\Gamma(J/\psi \to e^+e^-) \times B(J/\psi \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times B(\phi \to K^+K^-) = (2.61 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.18)$ eV

NODE=M070R34;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{47}/Γ

NODE=M070S44
NODE=M070S44

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.56±0.16	23	[1] AUBERT,BE	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

[1] Derived by us. AUBERT,BE 06D measures $\Gamma(J/\psi \to e^+e^-) \times B(J/\psi \to \phi\pi^0\pi^0) \times B(\phi \to K^+K^-) = (1.54 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.16)$ eV

NODE=M070S44;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\phi K^\pm K^0_S\pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{48}/Γ

NODE=M070S3
NODE=M070S3

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.2±0.8 OUR AVERAGE					
7.4±0.6±1.4	227 ± 19	ABLIKIM	08E	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$
7.4±0.9±1.1		FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
7 ±0.6±1.0	163 ± 15	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\omega f_1(1420))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{49}/Γ

NODE=M070S5
NODE=M070S5

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{6.8^{+1.9}_{-1.6}\pm1.7}$	111^{+31}_{-26}	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{50}/Γ

NODE=M070R37
NODE=M070R37

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.75 ±0.08 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.			
1.4 ±0.6 ±0.1	6	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \phi\eta\gamma$
0.898±0.024±0.089		ABLIKIM	05B	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to$ hadr
0.64 ±0.04 ±0.11	346	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
0.661±0.045±0.078		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\eta$

[1] AUBERT 07AU quotes $\Gamma_{ee}^{J/\psi} \cdot B(J/\psi \to \phi\eta) \cdot B(\phi \to K^+K^-) \cdot B(\eta \to \gamma\gamma)$= 0.84 ± 0.37 ± 0.05 eV.

NODE=M070R37;LINKAGE=AU



$\Gamma(\Xi^0\overline{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{51}/Γ

NODE=M070S64
NODE=M070S64

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.20±0.12±0.21	206	ABLIKIM	08O	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^-\overline{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{52}/Γ

NODE=M070S9
NODE=M070S9

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.59±0.09±0.12	75 ± 11	HENRARD	87	DM2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(pK^-\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{53}/Γ

NODE=M070R74
NODE=M070R74

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.51±0.26±0.18	89	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{54}/Γ

NODE=M070R32
NODE=M070R32

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.45 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.			
0.538±0.012±0.065	2090	[1] ABLIKIM	06F	BES2	$J/\psi \to \omega\pi^0$
0.360±0.028±0.054	222	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
0.482±0.019±0.064		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

[1] Using $B(\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ = (89.1 ± 0.7)%.

NODE=M070R32;LINKAGE=BL



$\Gamma(\phi\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{55}/Γ

NODE=M070R38
NODE=M070R38

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.40 ±0.07 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.						
0.546±0.031±0.056			ABLIKIM	05B	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to$ hadr
0.41 ±0.03 ±0.08		167	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
0.308±0.034±0.036			COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
< 1.3	90		VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	e^+e^-



$\Gamma(\phi f_0(980))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{56}/Γ

NODE=M070R41
NODE=M070R41

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.2±0.9 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.9.					
4.6±0.4±0.8		[1] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
2.6±0.6	50	[1] GIDAL	81	MRK2	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-K^+K^-$

[1] Assuming $B(f_0(980) \to \pi\pi) = 0.78$.

NODE=M070R41;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{57}/Γ

NODE=M070S02
NODE=M070S02

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.182±0.042±0.005	19.5 ± 4.5	[1,2] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] Using B($\phi \to K^+K^-$) = (49.3 ± 0.6)%. NODE=M070S02;LINKAGE=AU

[2] AUBERT 07AK reports [$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$] × [$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)$] = (1.01 ± 0.22 ± 0.08) × 10^{-3} keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)$ = 5.55 ± 0.14 ± 0.02 keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070S02;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{58}/Γ

NODE=M070S03
NODE=M070S03

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.171±0.073±0.004	7.0 ± 2.8	[1,2] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] Using B($\phi \to K^+K^-$) = (49.3 ± 0.6)%. NODE=M070S03;LINKAGE=AU

[2] AUBERT 07AK reports [$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$] × [$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)$] = (0.95 ± 0.39 ± 0.10) × 10^{-3} keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)$ = 5.55 ± 0.14 ± 0.02 keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070S03;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\eta\phi f_0(980) \to \eta\phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{59}/Γ

NODE=M070R08
NODE=M070R08

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.23±0.75±0.73	52	ABLIKIM	08F	BES	$J/\psi \to \eta\phi f_0(980)$

$\Gamma(\phi a_0(980)^0 \to \phi\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{60}/Γ

NODE=M070S75
NODE=M070S75

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.0±2.7±2.5	[1] ABLIKIM	11D	BES3	$J/\psi \to \phi\eta\pi^0$

[1] Assuming $a_0(980) - f_0(980)$ mixing and isospin breaking via γ^* and K^*K loops. NODE=M070S75;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^0\overline{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{61}/Γ

NODE=M070S10
NODE=M070S10

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.32±0.12±0.07	24 ± 9	HENRARD	87	DM2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^-\overline{\Sigma}^+ \text{(or c.c.)})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{62}/Γ

NODE=M070R68
NODE=M070R68

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.31±0.05 OUR AVERAGE					
0.30±0.03±0.07	74 ± 8	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^{*-}$
0.34±0.04±0.07	77 ± 9	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^{*+}$ OCCUR=2
0.29±0.11±0.10	26	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^{*-}$
0.31±0.11±0.11	28	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^{*+}$ OCCUR=2

$\Gamma(\phi f_1(1285))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{63}/Γ

NODE=M070S6
NODE=M070S6

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.6±0.5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
3.2±0.6±0.4		JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \phi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
2.1±0.5±0.4	25	[1] JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ OCCUR=2
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.6±0.2±0.1	16 ± 6	BECKER	87	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \phi K\overline{K}\pi$

[1] We attribute to the $f_1(1285)$ the signal observed in the $\pi^+\pi^-\eta$ invariant mass distribution at 1297 MeV. NODE=M070S6;LINKAGE=Q

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{64}/Γ

NODE=M070S05
NODE=M070S05

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.40±0.17±0.03	9	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AU quotes $\Gamma_{ee}^{J/\psi} \cdot B(J/\psi \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-) \cdot B(\eta \to 3\pi) = 0.51 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.03$ eV. NODE=M070S05;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\rho\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{65}/Γ

NODE=M070R22
NODE=M070R22

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.193±0.023 OUR AVERAGE					
0.194±0.017±0.029	299	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
0.193±0.013±0.029		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta$

$\Gamma(\omega\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{66}/Γ

NODE=M070R31
NODE=M070R31

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.182±0.021 OUR AVERAGE					
0.226±0.043	218	[1] ABLIKIM	06F	BES2	$J/\psi \to \omega\eta'$
$0.18\ ^{+0.10}_{-0.08}\ \pm0.03$	6	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
0.166±0.017±0.019		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to 3\pi\eta'$

[1] Using $B(\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta) = (44.3 \pm 1.5)\%$, $B(\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma) = 29.5 \pm 1.0\%$, $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = 39.43 \pm 0.26\%$, and $B(\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.1 \pm 0.7)\%$.

NODE=M070R31;LINKAGE=BL

$\Gamma(\omega f_0(980))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{67}/Γ

NODE=M070S27
NODE=M070S27

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.41±0.27±0.47	[1] AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$J/\psi \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

[1] Assuming $B(f_0(980) \to \pi\pi) = 0.78$.

NODE=M070S27;LINKAGE=K

$\Gamma(\rho\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{68}/Γ

NODE=M070R23
NODE=M070R23

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.105±0.018 OUR AVERAGE					
0.083±0.030±0.012	19	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
0.114±0.014±0.016		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{69}/Γ

NODE=M070R42
NODE=M070R42

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<43	90	BRAUNSCH...	76	DASP	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}_2^*(1430)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{70}/Γ

NODE=M070R45
NODE=M070R45

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<40	90	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to K^0\overline{K}_2^{*0}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<66	90	BRAUNSCH...	76	DASP	$e^+e^- \to K^\pm\overline{K}_2^{*\mp}$

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{71}/Γ

NODE=M070S34
NODE=M070S34

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<3.0	90	[1] BAI	99C	BES	e^+e^-

[1] Assuming $B(K_1(1270) \to K\rho)$=0.42 ± 0.06

NODE=M070S34;LINKAGE=M2

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^0\overline{K}_2^*(1430)^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{72}/Γ

NODE=M070R47
NODE=M070R47

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<29	90	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{73}/Γ

NODE=M070R33
NODE=M070R33

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<6.4	90	ABLIKIM	05B	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \phi\gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<6.8	90	COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\phi\eta(1405) \to \phi\eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{74}/Γ

NODE=M070S23
NODE=M070S23

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.5	90	[1] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons

[1] Includes unknown branching fraction $\eta(1405) \to \eta\pi\pi$.

NODE=M070S23;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega f_2'(1525))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{75}/Γ

NODE=M070R29
NODE=M070R29

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.2	90	[1] VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0K^+K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<2.8	90	[1] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons

[1] Re-evaluated assuming $B(f_2'(1525) \to K\overline{K}) = 0.713$.

NODE=M070R29;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(\eta\phi(2170) \to \eta K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{76}/Γ

NODE=M070S70
NODE=M070S70

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.52	90	ABLIKIM	10C	BES2	$J/\psi \to \eta K^+\pi^-K^-\pi^+$

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^0\overline{\Lambda}+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{77}/Γ

NODE=M070S13
NODE=M070S13

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 0.82 (CL = 90%)	[$<0.2\times10^{-3}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 2012 BEST LIMIT]				
< 0.82	90	ABLIKIM	13F	BES3	$J/\psi \to p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<20	90	HENRARD	87	DM2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)^+\overline{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{78}/Γ

NODE=M070S14
NODE=M070S14

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.1	90	HENRARD	87	DM2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\Lambda(1520)\overline{\Lambda}+\text{c.c.}\to\gamma\Lambda\overline{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{79}/Γ

NODE=M070S77
NODE=M070S77

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<4.1	90	ABLIKIM	12B	BES3	$J/\psi \to \Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\gamma$

$\Gamma(\Theta(1540)\overline{\Theta}(1540)\to K^0_S pK^-\overline{n}+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{80}/Γ

NODE=M070S47
NODE=M070S47

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.1	90	BAI	04G	BES2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\Theta(1540)K^-\overline{n}\to K^0_S pK^-\overline{n})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{81}/Γ

NODE=M070S48
NODE=M070S48

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.1	90	BAI	04G	BES2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\Theta(1540)K^0_S\overline{p}\to K^0_S\overline{p}K^+n)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{82}/Γ

NODE=M070S49
NODE=M070S49

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.6	90	BAI	04G	BES2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\overline{\Theta}(1540)K^+n\to K^0_S\overline{p}K^+n)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{83}/Γ

NODE=M070S50
NODE=M070S50

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<5.6	90	BAI	04G	BES2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\overline{\Theta}(1540)K^0_S p\to K^0_S pK^-\overline{n})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{84}/Γ

NODE=M070S51
NODE=M070S51

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.1	90	BAI	04G	BES2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\overline{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{85}/Γ

NODE=M070S12
NODE=M070S12

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.9	90	HENRARD	87	DM2	e^+e^-

STABLE HADRONS

NODE=M070307

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{86}/Γ

NODE=M070R9
NODE=M070R9

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.1 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.4. See the ideogram below.			
5.46±0.34±0.14	4990	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^-\to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0\gamma$
3.25±0.49	46055	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$J/\psi\to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
3.17±0.42	147	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2	$e^+e^-\to$ hadrons
3.64±0.52	1500	BURMESTER	77D	PLUT	e^+e^-
4 ±1	675	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}]\times[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\to e^+e^-)] = 0.303\pm0.005\pm0.018$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\to e^+e^-) = 5.55\pm0.14\pm0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070R9;LINKAGE=AU



$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)$ — Γ_{13}/Γ_{86}

NODE=M070R25
NODE=M070R25

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.3	[1] JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] Final state $(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$ under the assumption that $\pi\pi$ is isospin 0.

NODE=M070R25;LINKAGE=J

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{87}/Γ

NODE=M070R11
NODE=M070R11

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.029±0.006 OUR AVERAGE					
0.028±0.009	11	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.029±0.007	181	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{88}/Γ

NODE=M070R7
NODE=M070R7

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
21.1 ±0.7 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.					
[(20.7 ± 1.2) × 10^{-3} OUR 2012 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.6]					
$21.37\pm0.04^{+0.64}_{-0.62}$	1.8M	[1,2] ABLIKIM	12H	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$
23.0 ±2.0 ±0.4	256	[3] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
21.8 ±1.9		[4,5] AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
21.84±0.05±2.01	220k	[1,5] BAI	04H	BES	e^+e^-
20.91±0.21±1.16		[5,6] BAI	04H	BES	e^+e^-
15 ±2	168	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2	e^+e^-

NEW

OCCUR=2

[1] From $J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ events directly.

NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=BA

[2] The quoted systematic error includes a contribution of 1.23% (added in quadrature) from the uncertainty on the number of J/ψ events.

NODE=M070R7;LINKAGE=AB

[3] AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] = (18.6\pm1.2\pm1.1)\times10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total} = 0.807 \pm 0.014$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070R7;LINKAGE=AU

[4] From the ratio of $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ $B(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ and $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ $B(\mu^+\mu^-)$ (AUBERT 04).

NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=AU

[5] Mostly $\rho\pi$, see also $\rho\pi$ subsection.

NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=BU

[6] Obtained comparing the rates for $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$, using J/ψ events produced via $\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ and with $B(J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-) = 5.88 \pm 0.10\%$.

NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=BI



$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{89}/Γ

NODE=M070R18
NODE=M070R18

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.79±0.29 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.2.				
1.93±0.14±0.05	768	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
1.2 ±0.3	309	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)] = 0.1070\pm0.0043\pm0.0064$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R18;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(4(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{90}/Γ

NODE=M070R12
NODE=M070R12

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
90±30	13	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{91}/Γ

NODE=M070R16
NODE=M070R16

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.6±0.5 OUR AVERAGE					
6.5±0.4±0.2	1.6k	[1] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$
7.2±2.3	205	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
6.1±0.7±0.2	233	[2] AUBERT	05D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)] = (36.3\pm1.3\pm2.1)\times10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R16;LINKAGE=BE

[2] Superseded by AUBERT 07AK. AUBERT 05D reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)] = (33.6 \pm 2.7 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R16;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{92}/Γ

NODE=M070S04
NODE=M070S04

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.84±0.28±0.05	73	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\eta)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)] = (10.2\pm1.3\pm0.8)\times10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070S04;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{93}/Γ

NODE=M070S01
NODE=M070S01

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.45±0.31±0.06	203 ± 16	[1] AUBERT 07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \pi^0\pi^0K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)] = (13.6\pm1.1\pm1.3)\times10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070S01;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{94}/Γ

NODE=M070R15
NODE=M070R15

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
61 ±10 OUR AVERAGE				
55.2±12.0	25	FRANKLIN 83	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^0$
78.0±21.0	126	VANNUCCI 77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{95}/Γ

NODE=M070R8
NODE=M070R8

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.57±0.30 OUR AVERAGE				NEW
[(3.55 ± 0.23) × 10^{-3} OUR 2012 AVERAGE]				
3.53±0.12±0.29	1107	[1] ABLIKIM 05H	BES2	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$, $J/\psi \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
4.0 ±1.0	76	JEAN-MARIE 76	MRK1	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3.51±0.34±0.09	270	[2] AUBERT 05D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\gamma$

[1] Computed using $B(J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-) = 0.0588 \pm 0.0010$. NODE=M070R8;LINKAGE=AB

[2] AUBERT 05D reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)] = (19.5 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Superseded by LEES 12E. NODE=M070R8;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{96}/Γ

NODE=M070R10
NODE=M070R10

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
43 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE				
43.0± 2.9±2.8	496	[1] AUBERT 06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 3(\pi^+\pi^-)\gamma$
40 ±20	32	JEAN-MARIE 76	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] Using $\Gamma(J/\psi \to e^+e^-) = 5.52 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.04$ keV. NODE=M070R10;LINKAGE=EE

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{97}/Γ

NODE=M070R69
NODE=M070R69

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.62±0.09±0.19	761	[1] AUBERT 06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\gamma$

[1] Using $\Gamma(J/\psi \to e^+e^-) = 5.52 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.04$ keV. NODE=M070R69;LINKAGE=EE

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{98}/Γ

NODE=M070S42
NODE=M070S42

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.29±0.24 OUR AVERAGE				
2.35±0.39±0.20	85	[1] AUBERT 07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta\gamma$
2.26±0.08±0.27	4839	ABLIKIM 05C	BES2	$e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta$

[1] AUBERT 07AU quotes $\Gamma^{J/\psi}_{ee} \cdot B(J/\psi \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta) \cdot B(\eta \to \gamma\gamma) = 5.16 \pm 0.85 \pm 0.39$ eV. NODE=M070S42;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{99}/Γ

NODE=M070S43
NODE=M070S43

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.24±0.96±1.11	616	ABLIKIM 05C	BES2	$e^+e^- \to 3(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta$

$\Gamma(p\overline{p})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{100}/Γ

NODE=M070R50
NODE=M070R50

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.120±0.029 OUR AVERAGE				NEW
[(2.17 ± 0.07) × 10^{-3} OUR 2012 AVERAGE]				
2.112±0.004±0.031	314k	ABLIKIM 12C	BES3	e^+e^-
2.15 ±0.16 ±0.06	317	[1] WU 06	BELL	$B^+ \to p\overline{p}K^+$
2.26 ±0.01 ±0.14	63316	BAI 04E	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$
1.97 ±0.22	99	BALDINI 98	FENI	e^+e^-
1.91 ±0.04 ±0.30		PALLIN 87	DM2	e^+e^-

2.16 ±0.07 ±0.15	1420	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-
2.5 ±0.4	133	BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-
2.0 ±0.5		BESCH	78	BONA	e^+e^-
2.2 ±0.2	331	[2] PERUZZI	78	MRK1	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.0 ±0.3	48	ANTONELLI	93	SPEC	e^+e^-

[1] WU 06 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow p\overline{p})/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+)] = (2.21 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+) = (1.028 \pm 0.031) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R50;LINKAGE=WU

[2] Assuming angular distribution $(1+\cos^2\theta)$. NODE=M070R50;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{101}/Γ

NODE=M070R52
NODE=M070R52

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.19±0.08 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
1.33±0.02±0.11	11k	ABLIKIM	09B	BES2 e^+e^-
1.13±0.09±0.09	685	EATON	84	MRK2 e^+e^-
1.4 ±0.4		BRANDELIK	79C	DASP e^+e^-
1.00±0.15	109	PERUZZI	78	MRK1 e^+e^-

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{102}/Γ

NODE=M070R54
NODE=M070R54

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.0 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.				
6.46±0.17±0.43	1435	EATON	84	MRK2 e^+e^-
3.8 ±1.6	48	BESCH	81	BONA e^+e^-
5.5 ±0.6	533	PERUZZI	78	MRK1 e^+e^-



$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{103}/Γ

NODE=M070R55

Including $p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and excluding ω, η, η'

NODE=M070R55
NODE=M070R55

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.3 ±0.9 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.9.				
3.36±0.65±0.28	364	EATON	84	MRK2 e^+e^-
1.6 ±0.6	39	PERUZZI	78	MRK1 e^+e^-

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{104}/Γ

NODE=M070R56
NODE=M070R56

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.00±0.12 OUR AVERAGE				
1.91±0.02±0.17	13k	[1] ABLIKIM	09	BES2 e^+e^-
2.03±0.13±0.15	826	EATON	84	MRK2 e^+e^-
2.5 ±1.2		BRANDELIK	79C	DASP e^+e^-
2.3 ±0.4	197	PERUZZI	78	MRK1 e^+e^-

[1] From the combination of $p\overline{p}\eta \rightarrow p\overline{p}\gamma\gamma$ and $p\overline{p}\eta \rightarrow p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ channels. NODE=M070R56;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\rho)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{105}/Γ

NODE=M070R57
NODE=M070R57

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.31	90	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadronsγ

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{106}/Γ

NODE=M070R58
NODE=M070R58

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.10±0.15 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.					
0.98±0.03±0.14	2449	ABLIKIM	08	BES2	e^+e^-
1.10±0.17±0.18	486	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-
1.6 ±0.3	77	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	e^+e^-



$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{107}/Γ

NODE=M070R59
NODE=M070R59

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.21 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
0.200±0.023±0.028	265 ± 31	[1] ABLIKIM	09	BES2	e^+e^-
0.68 ±0.23 ±0.17	19	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-
1.8 ±0.6	19	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] From the combination of $p\overline{p}\eta' \rightarrow p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\eta$ and $p\overline{p}\eta' \rightarrow p\overline{p}\gamma\rho^0$ channels. NODE=M070R59;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{108}/Γ

NODE=M070S22
NODE=M070S22

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.45±0.13±0.07	FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(n\overline{n})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{109}/Γ

NODE=M070R64
NODE=M070R64

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.09±0.16 OUR AVERAGE					NEW
[(0.22 ± 0.04) × 10^{-2} OUR 2012 AVERAGE]					
2.07±0.01±0.17	36k	ABLIKIM	12C	BES3	e^+e^-
2.31±0.49	79	BALDINI	98	FENI	e^+e^-
1.8 ±0.9		BESCH	78	BONA	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.90±0.55	40	ANTONELLI	93	SPEC	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(n\overline{n}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{110}/Γ

NODE=M070R65
NODE=M070R65

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.8±3.6	5	BESCH	81	BONA	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{111}/Γ

NODE=M070S09
NODE=M070S09

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.50±0.10±0.22	399	ABLIKIM	08O	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{112}/Γ

NODE=M070R63
NODE=M070R63

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.29±0.09 OUR AVERAGE					
1.15±0.24±0.03		[1] AUBERT	07BD	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0\gamma$
1.33±0.04±0.11	1779	ABLIKIM	06	BES2	$J/\psi \to \Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0$
1.06±0.04±0.23	884 ± 30	PALLIN	87	DM2	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0$
1.58±0.16±0.25	90	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0$
1.3 ±0.4	52	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.4 ±2.6	3	BESCH	81	BONA	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-$

[1] AUBERT 07BD reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)] = (6.4 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R63;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{113}/Γ

NODE=M070R17
NODE=M070R17

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
47 ± 7 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3.					
49.8± 4.2±3.4	205	[1] AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega K^+K^-2(\pi^+\pi^-)\gamma$
31 ±13	30	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] Using $\Gamma(J/\psi \to e^+e^-) = 5.52 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.04$ keV. NODE=M070R17;LINKAGE=EE

$\Gamma(p\overline{n}\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{114}/Γ

NODE=M070R53
NODE=M070R53

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
2.12±0.09 OUR AVERAGE						
2.36±0.02±0.21	59k	ABLIKIM	06K	BES2	$J/\psi \to p\pi^-\overline{n}$	
2.47±0.02±0.24	55k	ABLIKIM	06K	BES2	$J/\psi \to \overline{p}\pi^+n$	OCCUR=2
2.02±0.07±0.16	1288	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to p\pi^-$	
1.93±0.07±0.16	1191	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to \overline{p}\pi^+$	OCCUR=2
1.7 ±0.7	32	BESCH	81	BONA	$e^+e^- \to p\pi^-$	
1.6 ±1.2	5	BESCH	81	BONA	$e^+e^- \to \overline{p}\pi^+$	OCCUR=2
2.16±0.29	194	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to p\pi^-$	
2.04±0.27	204	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to \overline{p}\pi^+$	OCCUR=2

$\Gamma(\Xi^-\overline{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{118}/Γ

NODE=M070R62
NODE=M070R62

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.86±0.11 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2. [$(0.85 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ OUR 2012 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.5]					
0.90±0.03±0.18	961 ± 35	ABLIKIM	12P	BES2	$J/\psi \to \Xi^-\overline{\Xi}^+$
0.70±0.06±0.12	132 ± 11	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+e^- \to \Xi^-\overline{\Xi}^+$
1.14±0.08±0.20	194	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to \Xi^-\overline{\Xi}^+$
1.4 ±0.5	51	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to \Xi^-\overline{\Xi}^+$

NEW

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{119}/Γ

NODE=M070R60
NODE=M070R60

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.61±0.15 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.					
1.93±0.21±0.05		[1] AUBERT	07BD	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\gamma$
2.03±0.03±0.15	8887	ABLIKIM	06	BES2	$J/\psi \to \Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$
$1.9\ ^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$ ±0.1	46	[2] WU	06	BELL	$B^+ \to \Lambda\overline{\Lambda}K^+$
1.08±0.06±0.24	631	BAI	98G	BES	e^+e^-
1.38±0.05±0.20	1847	PALLIN	87	DM2	e^+e^-
1.58±0.08±0.19	365	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-
2.6 ±1.6	5	BESCH	81	BONA	e^+e^-
1.1 ±0.2	196	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] AUBERT 07BD reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \Lambda\overline{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)] = (10.7 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R60;LINKAGE=AU

[2] WU 06 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \Lambda\overline{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(B^+ \to J/\psi(1S)K^+)] = (2.00^{+0.34}_{-0.29} \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \to J/\psi(1S)K^+) = (1.028 \pm 0.031) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R60;LINKAGE=WU



$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Lambda})/\Gamma(p\overline{p})$ — $\Gamma_{119}/\Gamma_{100}$

NODE=M070R79
NODE=M070R79

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{0.90^{+0.15}_{-0.14}\pm0.10}$	[1] WU	06	BELL	$B^+ \to p\overline{p}K^+$, $\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}K^+$

[1] Not independent of other $J/\psi \to \Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$, $p\overline{p}$ branching ratios reported by WU 06. NODE=M070R79;LINKAGE=WU

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Sigma}^-\pi^+(\text{or c.c.}))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{120}/Γ

NODE=M070R71
NODE=M070R71

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.83 ±0.07 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.					
0.770±0.051±0.083	335	[1] ABLIKIM	07H	BES2	$e^+e^- \to \overline{\Lambda}\Sigma^+\pi^-$
0.747±0.056±0.076	254	[1] ABLIKIM	07H	BES2	$e^+e^- \to \Lambda\overline{\Sigma}^-\pi^+$
0.90 ±0.06 ±0.16	225 ± 15	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+e^- \to \overline{\Lambda}\Sigma^+\pi^-$
1.11 ±0.06 ±0.20	342 ± 18	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+e^- \to \Lambda\overline{\Sigma}^-\pi^+$
1.53 ±0.17 ±0.38	135	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to \overline{\Lambda}\Sigma^+\pi^-$
1.38 ±0.21 ±0.35	118	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to \Lambda\overline{\Sigma}^-\pi^+$

OCCUR=2
OCCUR=2
OCCUR=2

[1] Using $B(\Lambda \to \pi^- p) = 63.9\%$ and $B(\Sigma^+ \to \pi^0 p) = 51.6\%$. NODE=M070R71;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(pK^-\overline{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{121}/Γ

NODE=M070R72
NODE=M070R72

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.89±0.07±0.14	307	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(2(K^+K^-))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{122}/Γ

NODE=M070R19
NODE=M070R19

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.76±0.09 OUR AVERAGE					
0.74±0.09±0.02	156 ± 15	[1] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(K^+K^-)\gamma$
$1.4\ ^{+0.5}_{-0.4}\ \pm0.2$	$11.0^{+4.3}_{-3.5}$	[2] HUANG	03	BELL	$B^+ \to 2(K^+K^-)\ K^+$
0.7 ±0.3		VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.72±0.17±0.02	38	[3] AUBERT	05D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(K^+K^-)\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to 2(K^+K^-))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)] = (4.11 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R19;LINKAGE=BE

[2] Using $B(B^+ \to J/\psi K^+) = (1.01 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$. NODE=M070R19;LINKAGE=CC

[3] Superseded by AUBERT 07AK. AUBERT 05D reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to 2(K^+K^-))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)] = (4.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R19;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(pK^-\overline{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{123}/Γ

NODE=M070R73
NODE=M070R73

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.29±0.06±0.05	90	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{124}/Γ

NODE=M070R13
NODE=M070R13

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.70±0.17 OUR AVERAGE					
[(2.37 ± 0.31) × 10^{-4} OUR 2012 AVERAGE]					
2.86±0.09±0.19	1k	[1] METREVELI	12		$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$
2.39±0.24±0.22	107	BALTRUSAIT...	85D	MRK3	e^+e^-
2.2 ±0.9	6	BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-

NEW

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M070R13;LINKAGE=ME

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^0_L)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{125}/Γ

NODE=M070R75
NODE=M070R75

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.1 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 3.2. [(1.46 ± 0.26) × 10^{-4} OUR 2012 AVERAGE Scale factor = 2.7]					
2.62±0.15±0.14	0.3k	[1] METREVELI	12		$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-K^0_S K^0_L$
1.82±0.04±0.13	2.1k	[2] BAI	04A	BES2	$J/\psi \to K^0_S K^0_L \to \pi^+\pi^-X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.18±0.12±0.18		JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
1.01±0.16±0.09	74	BALTRUSAIT...	85D	MRK3	e^+e^-

NEW

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.
[2] Using B($K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$) = 0.6868 ± 0.0027.

NODE=M070R75;LINKAGE=ME
NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=HZ

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{126}/Γ

NODE=M070S78
NODE=M070S78

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.30±0.13±0.99	2.4k	ABLIKIM	12P	BES2	J/ψ

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{127}/Γ

NODE=M070R07
NODE=M070R07

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
16.2±1.7 OUR AVERAGE					
[(2.6 ± 0.7) × 10^{-4} OUR 2012 AVERAGE]					
15.7±0.80±1.54	454	[1] ABLIKIM	13F	BES3	$J/\psi \to p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$
26.2±6.0 ±4.4	44	[2] ABLIKIM	07H	BES2	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S)$

NEW

[1] Using B($\Lambda \to \pi^- p$) = 63.9% and B($\eta \to \gamma\gamma$) = 39.31%.
[2] Using B($\Lambda \to \pi^- p$) = 63.9% and B($\eta \to \gamma\gamma$) = 39.4%.

NODE=M070R07;LINKAGE=AL
NODE=M070R07;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{128}/Γ

NODE=M070S11
NODE=M070S11

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.8 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE [(0.22 ± 0.06) × 10^{-3} OUR 2007 AVERAGE]						
3.78±0.27±0.30		323	[1] ABLIKIM	13F	BES3	$J/\psi \to p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
< 6.4		90	[2] ABLIKIM	07H	BES2	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S)$
23 ±7 ±8		11	BAI	98G	BES	e^+e^-
22 ±5 ±5		19	HENRARD	87	DM2	e^+e^-

NEW

[1] Using B($\Lambda \to \pi^- p$) = 63.9% and B($\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$) = 98.8%.
[2] Using B($\Lambda \to \pi^- p$) = 63.9%.

NODE=M070S11;LINKAGE=AL
NODE=M070S11;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\overline{\Lambda}nK^0_S + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{129}/Γ

NODE=M070S56
NODE=M070S56

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.46±0.20±1.07	1058	[1] ABLIKIM	08C	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

[1] Using B($\overline{\Lambda} \to \overline{p}\pi^+$) = 63.9% and B($K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$) = 69.2%.

NODE=M070S56;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{130}/Γ

NODE=M070R6
NODE=M070R6

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.47±0.14 OUR AVERAGE					
[(1.47 ± 0.23) × 10^{-4} OUR 2012 AVERAGE]					
1.47±0.13±0.13	140	[1] METREVELI	12		$\psi(2S) \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1.58±0.20±0.15	84	BALTRUSAIT...	85D	MRK3	e^+e^-
1.0 ±0.5	5	BRANDELIK	78B	DASP	e^+e^-
1.6 ±1.6	1	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

NEW

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M070R6;LINKAGE=ME

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Sigma}+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{131}/Γ

NODE=M070R61
NODE=M070R61

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.83±0.23 OUR AVERAGE						
2.74±0.24±0.22		234 ± 21	[1] ABLIKIM	12B	BES3	$J/\psi \to \Lambda\overline{\Sigma}^0$
2.92±0.22±0.24		308 ± 24	[2] ABLIKIM	12B	BES3	$J/\psi \to \overline{\Lambda}\Sigma^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.15	90		PERUZZI	78	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to \Lambda X$

[1] ABLIKIM 12B quotes $B(J/\psi \to \Lambda\overline{\Sigma}^0)$ which we multiply by 2.
[2] ABLIKIM 12B quotes $B(J/\psi \to \overline{\Lambda}\Sigma^0)$ which we multiply by 2.

OCCUR=2
NODE=M070R61;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M070R61;LINKAGE=AC

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^0_S)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{132}/Γ

NODE=M070R14
NODE=M070R14

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	95	[1] BAI	04D	BES	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.052	90	[1] BALTRUSAIT..	85C	MRK3	e^+e^-

[1] Forbidden by *CP*.

NODE=M070R14;LINKAGE=C

RADIATIVE DECAYS

NODE=M070310

$\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{133}/Γ

NODE=M070R81
NODE=M070R81

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
11.6±2.2 OUR AVERAGE						
[(12 ± 4) × 10^{-6} OUR 2012 AVERAGE]						
11.3±1.8±2.0		113 ± 18	ABLIKIM	13I	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
12 ±3 ±2		$24.2^{+7.2}_{-6.0}$	ADAMS	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<55	90		PARTRIDGE	80	CBAL	e^+e^-

NEW

$\Gamma(4\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{134}/Γ

NODE=M070S06
NODE=M070S06

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<9	90	ADAMS	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

$\Gamma(5\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{135}/Γ

NODE=M070S07
NODE=M070S07

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<15	90	ADAMS	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{136}/Γ

NODE=M070R85
NODE=M070R85

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.7 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.6. [(1.7 ± 0.4) × 10^{-2} OUR 2012 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.6]					
2.04±0.32±0.02		[1] MITCHELL	09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
1.27±0.36		GAISER	86	CBAL	$J/\psi \to \gamma X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.79±0.20	273 ± 43	[2] AUBERT	06E	BABR	$B^\pm \to K^\pm X_{c\overline{c}}$
seen	16	BALTRUSAIT..	84	MRK3	$J/\psi \to 2\phi\gamma$

NEW

[1] MITCHELL 09 reports (1.98 ± 0.09 ± 0.30) × 10^{-2} from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (35.04 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.77) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[2] Calculated by the authors using an average of $B(J/\psi \to \gamma\eta_c) \times B(\eta_c \to K\overline{K}\pi)$ from BALTRUSAITIS 86, BISELLO 91, BAI 04 and $B(\eta_c \to K\overline{K}\pi) = (8.5 \pm 1.8)\%$ from AUBERT 06E.

NODE=M070R85;LINKAGE=MI
NODE=M070R85;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta_c(1S) \to 3\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{137}/Γ

NODE=M070S08
NODE=M070S08

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$3.8^{+1.3}_{-1.0}$ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1. [($1.2^{+2.7}_{-1.1}$) × 10^{-6} OUR 2012 AVERAGE]					
4.5±1.2±0.6	33 ± 9	ABLIKIM	13I	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
$1.2^{+2.7}_{-1.1}$±0.3	$1.2^{+2.8}_{-1.1}$	ADAMS	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

NEW

$\Gamma(\gamma\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{138}/Γ

NODE=M070R99
NODE=M070R99

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.3±0.2±3.1	[1] BALTRUSAIT...86B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$

[1] 4π mass less than 2.0 GeV. NODE=M070R99;LINKAGE=M

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{139}/Γ

NODE=M070R96
NODE=M070R96

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.1 ±1.0 OUR AVERAGE			
5.85±0.3±1.05	[1] EDWARDS 83B	CBAL	$J/\psi \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
7.8 ±1.2±2.4	[1] EDWARDS 83B	CBAL	$J/\psi \to \eta 2\pi^0$ OCCUR=2

[1] Broad enhancement at 1700 MeV. NODE=M070R96;LINKAGE=M

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta_2(1870) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{140}/Γ

NODE=M070S37
NODE=M070S37

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.2±2.2±0.9	BAI 99	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{141}/Γ

NODE=M070R89
NODE=M070R89

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.8 ±0.6 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.			
1.66±0.1 ±0.58	[1,2] BAI 00D	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp$
3.8 ±0.3 ±0.6	[3] AUGUSTIN 90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi$
4.0 ±0.7 ±1.0	[3] EDWARDS 82E	CBAL	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-\pi^0\gamma$
4.3 ±1.7	[3,4] SCHARRE 80	MRK2	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1.78±0.21±0.33	[3,5,6] AUGUSTIN 92	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi$
0.83±0.13±0.18	[3,7,8] AUGUSTIN 92	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi$ OCCUR=2
$0.66^{+0.17}_{-0.16}{}^{+0.24}_{-0.15}$	[3,6,9] BAI 90C	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$
$1.03^{+0.21}_{-0.18}{}^{+0.26}_{-0.19}$	[3,8,10] BAI 90C	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$ OCCUR=2

[1] Interference with the $J/\psi(1S)$ radiative transition to the broad $K\overline{K}\pi$ pseudoscalar state around 1800 is $(0.15 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=BD
[2] Interference with $J/\psi \to \gamma f_1(1420)$ is $(-0.03 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}$. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=BE
[3] Includes unknown branching fraction $\eta(1405) \to K\overline{K}\pi$. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=B
[4] Corrected for spin-zero hypothesis for $\eta(1405)$. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=C
[5] From fit to the $a_0(980)\pi$ 0^{-+} partial wave. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=H
[6] $a_0(980)\pi$ mode. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=K9
[7] From fit to the $K^*(892)K$ 0^{-+} partial wave. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=J
[8] K^*K mode. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=K8
[9] From $a_0(980)\pi$ final state. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=D
[10] From $K^*(890)K$ final state. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=E



$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{142}/Γ

NODE=M070S30
NODE=M070S30

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.78±0.20 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.8.				
1.07±0.17±0.11	[1] BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
0.64±0.12±0.07	[1] COFFMAN	90	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Includes unknown branching fraction $\eta(1405) \to \gamma\rho^0$.

NODE=M070S30;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{143}/Γ

NODE=M070S29
NODE=M070S29

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.0 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE					
2.6 ±0.7 ±0.4		BAI	99	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
3.38±0.33±0.64		[1] BOLTON	92B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
7.0 ±0.6 ±1.1	261	[2] AUGUSTIN	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Via $a_0(980)\pi$.

NODE=M070S29;LINKAGE=RR

[2] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$.

NODE=M070S29;LINKAGE=R

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{144}/Γ

NODE=M070R77
NODE=M070R77

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.82	95	BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma K^+K^-$

$\Gamma(\gamma\rho\rho)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{145}/Γ

NODE=M070R94
NODE=M070R94

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.5 ±0.8 OUR AVERAGE					
4.7 ±0.3 ±0.9		[1] BALTRUSAIT..	86B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$
3.75±1.05±1.20		[2] BURKE	82	MRK2	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.09	90	[3] BISELLO	89B		$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$

[1] 4π mass less than 2.0 GeV.

NODE=M070R94;LINKAGE=N

[2] 4π mass less than 2.0 GeV. We have multiplied $2\rho^0$ measurement by 3 to obtain 2ρ.

NODE=M070R94;LINKAGE=M

[3] 4π mass in the range 2.0–25 GeV.

NODE=M070R94;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma\rho\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{146}/Γ

NODE=M070R05
NODE=M070R05

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<5.4	90	ABLIKIM	08A	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\gamma\rho\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{147}/Γ

NODE=M070R06
NODE=M070R06

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<8.8	90	ABLIKIM	08A	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{148}/Γ

NODE=M070R84
NODE=M070R84

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.15±0.16 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2. [(5.16 ± 0.15) × 10^{-3} OUR 2012 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.1]					
4.82±0.23±0.08		[1] ABLIKIM	11	BES3	$J/\psi \to \eta'\gamma$
5.24±0.12±0.11		PEDLAR	09	CLE3	$J/\psi \to \eta'\gamma$
5.55±0.44	35k	ABLIKIM	06E	BES2	$J/\psi \to \eta'\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.50±0.14±0.53		BOLTON	92B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta$, $\eta \to \gamma\gamma$
4.30±0.31±0.71		BOLTON	92B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta$, $\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
4.04±0.16±0.85	622	AUGUSTIN	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
4.39±0.09±0.66	2420	AUGUSTIN	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
4.1 ±0.3 ±0.6		BLOOM	83	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to 3\gamma$ + hadrons
2.9 ±1.1	6	BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	$e^+e^- \to 3\gamma$
2.4 ±0.7	57	BARTEL	76	CNTR	$e^+e^- \to 2\gamma\rho$

NEW

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

[1] ABLIKIM 11 reports $(4.84 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}]$ / $[B(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta)]$ / $[B(\eta \to 2\gamma)]$ assuming $B(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta) = (43.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = (39.31 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best values $B(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta) = (42.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M070R84;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{149}/Γ

NODE=M070R95
NODE=M070R95

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.8 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.			
4.32±0.14±0.73	[1] BISELLO	89B	DM2	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$
2.08±0.13±0.35	[2] BISELLO	89B	DM2	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$
3.05±0.08±0.45	[2] BALTRUSAIT...	86B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$
4.85±0.45±1.20	[3] BURKE	82	MRK2	e^+e^-

OCCUR=2

[1] 4π mass less than 3.0 GeV. NODE=M070R95;LINKAGE=A
[2] 4π mass less than 2.0 GeV. NODE=M070R95;LINKAGE=B
[3] 4π mass less than 2.5 GeV. NODE=M070R95;LINKAGE=M



$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270) f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{150}/Γ

NODE=M070S45
NODE=M070S45

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.5±0.7±1.6	646 ± 45	ABLIKIM	04M	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270) f_2(1270)(\text{non resonant}))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{151}/Γ

NODE=M070S46
NODE=M070S46

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.2±0.8±1.7	[1] ABLIKIM	04M	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$

[1] Subtracting contribution from intermediate $\eta_c(1S)$ decays. NODE=M070S46;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{152}/Γ

NODE=M070B05
NODE=M070B05

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.1±0.1±0.6	1516	BAI	00B	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_4(2050))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{153}/Γ

NODE=M070S7
NODE=M070S7

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.7±0.5±0.5	[1] BALTRUSAIT...	87	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

[1] Assuming branching fraction $f_4(2050) \to \pi\pi/$ total = 0.167. NODE=M070S7;LINKAGE=V

$\Gamma(\gamma\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{154}/Γ

NODE=M070R97
NODE=M070R97

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.61±0.33 OUR AVERAGE					
6.0 ±4.8 ±1.8		ABLIKIM	08A	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\pi^+\pi^-$
1.41±0.2 ±0.42	120 ± 17	BISELLO	87	SPEC	e^+e^-, hadronsγ
1.76±0.09±0.45		BALTRUSAIT...	85C	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to$ hadronsγ

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma\rho^0\rho^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{155}/Γ

NODE=M070S19
NODE=M070S19

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.7 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.			
2.1 ±0.4	BUGG	95	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
1.36±0.38	[1,2] BISELLO	89B	DM2	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$

[1] Estimated by us from various fits. NODE=M070S19;LINKAGE=A
[2] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\rho^0\rho^0$. NODE=M070S19;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{156}/Γ

NODE=M070R86
NODE=M070R86

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.43±0.11 OUR AVERAGE					
$1.62\pm0.26^{+0.02}_{-0.05}$		[1] ABLIKIM	06V	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
$1.42\pm0.21^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$		[2] ABLIKIM	06V	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$
1.33±0.05±0.20		[3] AUGUSTIN	87	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1.36±0.09±0.23		[3] BALTRUSAIT...	87	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1.48±0.25±0.30	178	EDWARDS	82B	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to 2\pi^0\gamma$
2.0 ±0.7	35	ALEXANDER	78	PLUT	e^+e^-
1.2 ±0.6	30	[4] BRANDELIK	78B	DASP	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

[1] ABLIKIM 06V reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi)] = (1.371 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.222) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi) = (84.8^{+2.4}_{-1.2}) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=AI
[2] ABLIKIM 06V reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi)] = (1.200 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.174) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi) = (84.8^{+2.4}_{-1.2}) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=AL
[3] Estimated using $B(f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi)$=0.843 ± 0.012. The errors do not contain the uncertainty in the $f_2(1270)$ decay. NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=X
[4] Restated by us to take account of spread of E1, M2, E3 transitions. NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=T

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{157}/Γ

NODE=M070R91
NODE=M070R91

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$8.5^{+1.2}_{-0.9}$ OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
$9.62\pm029\ ^{+3.51}_{-1.86}$		[1] BAI	03G	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}$
$5.0 \pm 0.8^{+1.8}_{-0.4}$		[2,3] BAI	96C	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
9.2 ± 1.4±1.4		[3] AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
10.4 ± 1.2±1.6		[3] AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$
9.6 ± 1.2±1.8		[3] BALTRUSAIT...	87	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$1.6 \pm 0.2^{+0.6}_{-0.2}$		[3,4] BAI	96C	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
< 0.8	90	[5] BISELLO	89B		$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$
1.6 ± 0.4±0.3		[6] BALTRUSAIT...	87	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
3.8 ± 1.6		[7] EDWARDS	82D	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \eta\eta\gamma$

[1] Includes unknown branching ratio to K^+K^- or $K^0_S K^0_S$. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=K9
[2] Assuming $J^P = 2^+$ for $f_0(1710)$. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=A1
[3] Includes unknown branching fraction to K^+K^- or $K^0_S K^0_S$. We have multiplied K^+K^- measurement by 2, and $K^0_S K^0_S$ by 4 to obtain $K\overline{K}$ result. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=B
[4] Assuming $J^P = 0^+$ for $f_0(1710)$. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=A2
[5] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\rho^0\rho^0$. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=C
[6] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\pi^+\pi^-$. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=Z
[7] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\eta\eta$. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{158}/Γ

NODE=M070B01
NODE=M070B01

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.0 ±1.0 OUR AVERAGE				
3.96±0.06±1.12	[1] ABLIKIM	06V	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
3.99±0.15±2.64	[1] ABLIKIM	06V	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.5 ±1.6 ±0.8	BAI	98H	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$

[1] Including unknown branching fraction to $\pi\pi$. NODE=M070B01;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{159}/Γ

NODE=M070R01
NODE=M070R01

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.31±0.06±0.08	180	ABLIKIM	06H	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\omega$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{160}/Γ

NODE=M070R83
NODE=M070R83

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.104±0.034 OUR AVERAGE					
1.101±0.029±0.022		PEDLAR	09	CLE3	$J/\psi \to \eta\gamma$
1.123±0.089	11k	ABLIKIM	06E	BES2	$J/\psi \to \eta\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.88 ±0.08 ±0.11		BLOOM	83	CBAL	e^+e^-
0.82 ±0.10		BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-
1.3 ±0.4	21	BARTEL	77	CNTR	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\gamma f_1(1420) \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{161}/Γ

NODE=M070S31
NODE=M070S31

VALUE (units 10^{-3})		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.79±0.13 OUR AVERAGE					
0.68±0.04±0.24		BAI	00D	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp$
0.76±0.15±0.21	[1,2]	AUGUSTIN	92	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi$
$0.87\pm0.14^{+0.14}_{-0.11}$	[1]	BAI	90C	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$

[1] Included unknown branching fraction $f_1(1420) \to K\overline{K}\pi$.
[2] From fit to the $K^*(892)K$ 1^{++} partial wave.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M070S31;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070S31;LINKAGE=D

$\Gamma(\gamma f_1(1285))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{162}/Γ

NODE=M070R88
NODE=M070R88

VALUE (units 10^{-3})		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.61 ±0.08 OUR AVERAGE					
0.69 ±0.16 ±0.20	[1]	BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\rho^0$
0.61 ±0.04 ±0.21	[2]	BAI	00D	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp$
0.45 ±0.09 ±0.17	[3]	BAI	99	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.625±0.063±0.103	[4]	BOLTON	92	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma f_1(1285)$
0.70 ±0.08 ±0.16	[5]	BOLTON	92B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Assuming $B(f_1(1285) \to \rho^0\gamma) = 0.055 \pm 0.013$.
[2] Assuming $\Gamma(f_1(1285) \to K\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{total} = 0.090 \pm 0.004$.
[3] Assuming $\Gamma(f_1(1285) \to \eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total} = 0.5 \pm 0.18$.
[4] Obtained summing the sequential decay channels
$B(J/\psi \to \gamma f_1(1285), f_1(1285) \to \pi\pi\pi\pi) = (1.44 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-4}$;
$B(J/\psi \to \gamma f_1(1285), f_1(1285) \to a_0(980)\pi, a_0(980) \to \eta\pi) = (3.90 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.87) \times 10^{-4}$;
$B(J/\psi \to \gamma f_1(1285), f_1(1285) \to a_0(980)\pi, a_0(980) \to K\overline{K}) = (0.66 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-4}$;
$B(J/\psi \to \gamma f_1(1285), f_1(1285) \to \gamma\rho^0) = (0.25 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4}$.
[5] Using $B(f_1(1285) \to a_0(980)\pi) = 0.37$, and including unknown branching ratio for $a_0(980) \to \eta\pi$.

NODE=M070R88;LINKAGE=BI
NODE=M070R88;LINKAGE=BD
NODE=M070R88;LINKAGE=BA
NODE=M070R88;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M070R88;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_1(1510) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{163}/Γ

NODE=M070S36
NODE=M070S36

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.5±1.0±0.7	BAI	99	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\gamma f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{164}/Γ

NODE=M070R87
NODE=M070R87

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$4.5\ ^{+0.7}_{-0.4}$ OUR AVERAGE						
$3.85\pm0.17^{+1.91}_{-0.73}$			[1] BAI	03G	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}$
$3.6\ \pm0.4\ ^{+1.4}_{-0.4}$			[1] BAI	96C	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
5.6 ±1.4 ±0.9			[1] AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
4.5 ±0.4 ±0.9			[1] AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$
6.8 ±1.6 ±1.4			[1] BALTRUSAIT	87	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<3.4	90	4	[2] BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
<2.3	90	3	ALEXANDER	78	PLUT	$e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] Using $B(f'_2(1525) \to K\overline{K}) = 0.888$.
[2] Assuming isotropic production and decay of the $f'_2(1525)$ and isospin.

OCCUR=3
OCCUR=4
OCCUR=2

NODE=M070R87;LINKAGE=A1
NODE=M070R87;LINKAGE=I

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1640) \to \gamma\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{165}/Γ

NODE=M070R02
NODE=M070R02

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.28±0.05±0.17	141	ABLIKIM	06H	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\omega$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1910) \to \gamma\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{166}/Γ

NODE=M070R03
NODE=M070R03

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.20±0.04±0.13	151	ABLIKIM	06H	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\omega$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1800) \to \gamma\omega\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{167}/Γ

NODE=M070S79
NODE=M070S79

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.5 ±0.6 OUR AVERAGE					
$2.00 \pm 0.08^{+1.38}_{-1.64}$	1.3k	ABLIKIM	13J	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\phi$
$2.61 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.65$	95	ABLIKIM	06J	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\phi$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1950) \to \gamma K^*(892)\overline{K}^*(892))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{168}/Γ

NODE=M070B06
NODE=M070B06

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.7±0.1±0.2	BAI	00B	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

$\Gamma(\gamma K^*(892)\overline{K}^*(892))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{169}/Γ

NODE=M070B07
NODE=M070B07

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.0±0.3±1.3	320	[1] BAI	00B	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

[1] Summed over all charges.

NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=B7

$\Gamma(\gamma\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{170}/Γ

NODE=M070R98
NODE=M070R98

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.0±1.2 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.					
$7.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.2$	168	BAI	90B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma 4K$
$3.4 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.6$	33 ± 7	[1] BISELLO	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^- K^0_S K^0_L$
$3.1 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.4$		[1] BISELLO	86B	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$

[1] $\phi\phi$ mass less than 2.9 GeV, η_c excluded.

NODE=M070R98;LINKAGE=C



$\Gamma(\gamma p\overline{p})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{171}/Γ

NODE=M070R90
NODE=M070R90

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.38±0.07±0.07		49	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.11	90		PERUZZI	78	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(2225))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{172}/Γ

NODE=M070S21
NODE=M070S21

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.33±0.05 OUR AVERAGE					
0.44±0.04±0.08	196 ± 19	[1] ABLIKIM	08I	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^- K^0_S K^0_L$
0.33±0.08±0.05		[1] BAI	90B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
0.27±0.06±0.06		[1] BAI	90B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^- K^0_S K^0_L$
$0.24^{+0.15}_{-0.10}$		[2,3] BISELLO	89B	DM2	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$

OCCUR=2

[1] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\phi\phi$.
[2] Estimated by us from various fits.
[3] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\rho^0\rho^0$.

NODE=M070S21;LINKAGE=U
NODE=M070S21;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070S21;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1760) \to \gamma\rho^0\rho^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{173}/Γ

NODE=M070S20
NODE=M070S20

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.13±0.09	[1,2] BISELLO	89B	DM2	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$

[1] Estimated by us from various fits.
[2] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\rho^0\rho^0$.

NODE=M070S20;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070S20;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1760) \to \gamma\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{174}/Γ

NODE=M070R04
NODE=M070R04

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.98±0.08±0.32	1045	ABLIKIM	06H	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\omega$

$\Gamma(\gamma X(1835) \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{175}/Γ

NODE=M070R78
NODE=M070R78

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.6 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE					
$2.87\pm0.09^{+0.49}_{-0.52}$	4265	[1] ABLIKIM	11C	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
2.2 ±0.4 ±0.4	264	ABLIKIM	05R	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$

[1] From a fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma f_1(1510)$, $\gamma X(1835)$, and two unconfirmed states $\gamma X(2120)$, and $\gamma X(2370)$, for $M(p\overline{p}) < 2.8$ GeV, and accounting for backgrounds from non-η' events and $J/\psi \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$.

NODE=M070R78;LINKAGE=AI

$\Gamma(\gamma X(1835) \to \gamma p\overline{p})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{176}/Γ

NODE=M070S71
NODE=M070S71

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.77^{+0.15}_{-0.09}$ OUR AVERAGE					
$[(0.75^{+0.19}_{-0.09}) \times 10^{-4}$ OUR 2012 AVERAGE]					
$0.90^{+0.04+0.27}_{-0.11-0.55}$		[1] ABLIKIM	12D	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma p\overline{p}$
$1.14^{+0.43+0.42}_{-0.30-0.26}$	231	[2] ALEXANDER	10	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma p\overline{p}$
$0.70\pm0.04^{+0.19}_{-0.08}$		BAI	03F	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma p\overline{p}$

NEW

[1] From the fit including final state interaction effects in isospin 0 S-wave according to SIBIRTSEV 05A.
[2] From a fit of the $p\overline{p}$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma X(1835)$, γR with $M(R) = 2100$ MeV and $\Gamma(R) = 160$ MeV, and $\gamma p\overline{p}$ phase space, for $M(p\overline{p}) < 2.85$ GeV.

NODE=M070S71;LINKAGE=AK
NODE=M070S71;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(\gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)[J^{PC} = 0^{-+}])/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{177}/Γ

NODE=M070S38
NODE=M070S38

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.7 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.1.				
0.58±0.03±0.20	[1] BAI	00D	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp$
2.1 ±0.1 ±0.7	[2] BAI	00D	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp$

OCCUR=2

[1] For a broad structure around 1800 MeV.
[2] For a broad structure around 2040 MeV.

NODE=M070S38;LINKAGE=BD
NODE=M070S38;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\gamma\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{178}/Γ

NODE=M070R82
NODE=M070R82

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$3.49^{+0.33}_{-0.30}$ OUR AVERAGE					
3.63±0.36±0.13		PEDLAR	09	CLE3	$J/\psi \to \pi^0\gamma$
$3.13^{+0.65}_{-0.47}$	586	ABLIKIM	06E	BES2	$J/\psi \to \pi^0\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3.6 ±1.1 ±0.7		BLOOM	83	CBAL	e^+e^-
7.3 ±4.7	10	BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\gamma p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{179}/Γ

NODE=M070R93
NODE=M070R93

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.79	90	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\gamma\Lambda\overline{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{180}/Γ

NODE=M070S8
NODE=M070S8

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.13	90	HENRARD	87	DM2	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.16	90	BAI	98G	BES	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2200))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{181}/Γ

NODE=M070S18
NODE=M070S18

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.5	[1] AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$

[1] Includes unknown branching fraction to $K^0_S K^0_S$.

NODE=M070S18;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{182}/Γ

NODE=M070R92
NODE=M070R92

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>250	99.9		[1] HASAN	96	SPEC	$\overline{p}p \to \pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>300			[2] BAI	96B	BES	$e^+e^- \to \gamma\overline{p}p,\ K\overline{K}$
< 2.3	95		[3] AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
< 1.6	95		[3] AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$
$12.4^{+6.4}_{-5.2}\pm2.8$		23	[3] BALTRUSAIT..	86D	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$
$8.4^{+3.4}_{-2.8}\pm1.6$		93	[3] BALTRUSAIT..	86D	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

[1] Using BAI 96B.
[2] Using BARNES 93.
[3] Includes unknown branching fraction to K^+K^- or $K^0_S K^0_S$.

NODE=M070R92;LINKAGE=M
NODE=M070R92;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070R92;LINKAGE=W

$\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220) \to \gamma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{183}/Γ

NODE=M070B02
NODE=M070B02

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.84±0.26±0.30	BAI	96B	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.4 ±0.8 ±0.4	BAI	98H	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220) \to \gamma K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{184}/Γ

NODE=M070B03
NODE=M070B03

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 3.6	[1] DEL-AMO-SA..	10O	BABR	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 2.9	[1] DEL-AMO-SA..	10O	BABR	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$
6.6±2.9±2.4	BAI	96B	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
10.8±4.0±3.2	BAI	96B	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

[1] For spin 2 and helicity 0; other combinations lead to more stringent upper limits.

NODE=M070B03;LINKAGE=DE

$\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220) \to \gamma p\overline{p})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{185}/Γ

NODE=M070B04
NODE=M070B04

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.5±0.6±0.5	BAI	96B	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma p\overline{p}$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1500))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{186}/Γ

NODE=M070S32
NODE=M070S32

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.01±0.32 OUR AVERAGE				
1.00±0.03±0.45	[1] ABLIKIM	06V	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1.02±0.09±0.45	[1] ABLIKIM	06V	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>5.7 ±0.8	[2,3] BUGG	95	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$

OCCUR=2

[1] Including unknown branching fraction to $\pi\pi$.
[2] Including unknown branching ratio for $f_0(1500) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$.
[3] Assuming that $f_0(1500)$ decays only to two S-wave dipions.

NODE=M070S32;LINKAGE=AB
NODE=M070S32;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070S32;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\gamma A \to \gamma \text{invisible})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ (narrow state A with m_A < 960 MeV) — Γ_{187}/Γ

NODE=M070S68
NODE=M070S68

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<6.3	90	[1] INSLER	10	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

[1] The limit varies with mass m_A of a narrow state A and is 4.3×10^{-6} for $m_A = 0$ MeV, reaches its largest value of 6.3×10^{-6} at $m_A = 500$ MeV, and is 3.6×10^{-6} at $m_A = 960$ MeV.

NODE=M070S68;LINKAGE=IN

$\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \to \gamma \mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ (narrow state A^0 with 0.2 GeV < m_{A^0} < 3 GeV) — Γ_{188}/Γ

NODE=M070S76
NODE=M070S76

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.1	90	[1] ABLIKIM	12	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\mu^+\mu^-$

[1] For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0, with a mass in the range 0.21–3.00 GeV. The measured 90% CL limit as a function of m_{A^0} ranges from 4×10^{-7} to 2.1×10^{-5}.

NODE=M070S76;LINKAGE=AB

WEAK DECAYS

NODE=M070320

$\Gamma(D^- e^+ \nu_e + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{189}/Γ

NODE=M070S53
NODE=M070S53

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.2	90	ABLIKIM	06M	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0 e^+ e^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{190}/Γ

NODE=M070S54
NODE=M070S54

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.1	90	ABLIKIM	06M	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(D_s^- e^+ \nu_e + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{191}/Γ

NODE=M070S55
NODE=M070S55

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<3.6	90	[1] ABLIKIM	06M	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

[1] Using $B(D_s^- \to \phi\pi^-) = 4.4 \pm 0.5$ %.

NODE=M070S55;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(D^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{192}/Γ

NODE=M070S61
NODE=M070S61

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<7.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	08J	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0 \overline{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{193}/Γ

NODE=M070S62
NODE=M070S62

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	08J	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(D_s^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{194}/Γ

NODE=M070S63
NODE=M070S63

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	08J	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{195}/Γ

NODE=M070R80
NODE=M070R80

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 0.5	90	ADAMS	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<16	90	[1] WICHT	08	BELL	$B^\pm \to K^\pm\gamma\gamma$
< 2.2	90	ABLIKIM	07J	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
<50	90	BARTEL	77	CNTR	e^+e^-

[1] WICHT 08 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \to J/\psi(1S)K^+)] < 0.16 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \to J/\psi(1S)K^+) = 1.028 \times 10^{-3}$.

NODE=M070R80;LINKAGE=WI

LEPTON FAMILY NUMBER (*LF*) VIOLATING MODES

NODE=M070315

$\Gamma(e^\pm \mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{196}/Γ

NODE=M070S39
NODE=M070S39

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.1	90	BAI	03D	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(e^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{197}/Γ

NODE=M070S40
NODE=M070S40

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<8.3	90	ABLIKIM	04	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\mu^\pm\tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{198}/Γ

NODE=M070S41
NODE=M070S41

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.0	90	ABLIKIM	04	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

OTHER DECAYS

NODE=M070325

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ — Γ_{199}/Γ_7

NODE=M070S60
NODE=M070S60

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.2 \times 10^{-2}$	90	ABLIKIM	08G	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

$J/\psi(1S)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M070

ABLIKIM	13F	arXiv:1211.4682 (PR D)	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES III Collab.)	REFID=54920
ABLIKIM	13I	PR D87 032003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES III Collab.)	REFID=54954
ABLIKIM	13J	PR D87 032008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES III Collab.)	REFID=54955
ABLIKIM	12	PR D85 092012	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES III Collab.)	REFID=54265
ABLIKIM	12B	PR D86 032008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES III Collab.)	REFID=54267
ABLIKIM	12C	PR D86 032014	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES III Collab.)	REFID=54268
ABLIKIM	12D	PRL 108 112003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES III Collab.)	REFID=54269
ABLIKIM	12H	PL B710 594	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES III Collab.)	REFID=54273
ABLIKIM	12P	CP C36 1031	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=54863
LEES	12E	PR D85 112009	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54297
METREVELI	12	PR D85 092007	Z. Metreveli *et al.*	(NWES, FLOR, WAYN+)	REFID=54304
ABLIKIM	11	PR D83 012003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES III Collab.)	REFID=53646
ABLIKIM	11C	PRL 106 072002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES III Collab.)	REFID=53684
ABLIKIM	11D	PR D83 032003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES III Collab.)	REFID=16715
ABLIKIM	10C	PL B685 27	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=53349
ABLIKIM	10E	PL B693 88	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=53361
ALEXANDER	10	PR D82 092002	J.P. Alexander *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53525
ANASHIN	10	PL B685 134	V.V. Anashin *et al.*	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=53220
DEL-AMO-SA...	10O	PRL 105 172001	P. del Amo Sanchez *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53533
INSLER	10	PR D81 091101	J. Insler *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53359
ABLIKIM	09	PL B676 25	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52718
ABLIKIM	09B	PR D80 052004	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=53099
MITCHELL	09	PRL 102 011801	R.E. Mitchell *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52676
PEDLAR	09	PR D79 111101	T.K. Pedlar *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52998
SHEN	09	PR D80 031101	C.P. Shen *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53000
ABLIKIM	08	EPJ C53 15	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52047
ABLIKIM	08A	PR D77 012001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52128
ABLIKIM	08C	PL B659 789	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52130
ABLIKIM	08E	PR D77 032005	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52143
ABLIKIM	08F	PRL 100 102003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52154
ABLIKIM	08G	PRL 100 192001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52253
ABLIKIM	08I	PL B662 330	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52255
ABLIKIM	08J	PL B663 297	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52256
ABLIKIM	08O	PR D78 092005	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52571
ADAMS	08	PRL 101 101801	G.S. Adams *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52261
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52242
BESSON	08	PR D78 032012	D. Besson *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52685
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=52166
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52204
ABLIKIM	07H	PR D76 092003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52046
ABLIKIM	07J	PR D76 117101	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52072
ANDREOTTI	07	PL B654 74	M. Andreotti *et al.*	(Femilab E835 Collab.)	REFID=51944
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51908
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52049
Also		PR D77 119902E (errat.)	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52266
AUBERT	07BD	PR D76 092006	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52050
ABLIKIM	06	PL B632 181	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50986
ABLIKIM	06C	PL B633 681	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51037
ABLIKIM	06E	PR D73 052008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51057
ABLIKIM	06F	PR D73 052007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51058
ABLIKIM	06H	PR D73 112007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51125
ABLIKIM	06J	PRL 96 162002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51127
ABLIKIM	06K	PRL 97 062001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=51128
ABLIKIM	06M	PL B639 418	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51130
ABLIKIM	06V	PL B642 441	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51507
ADAMS	06A	PR D73 051103	G.S. Adams *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51036
AUBERT	06B	PR D73 012005	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51026
AUBERT	06D	PR D73 052003	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51047
AUBERT	06E	PRL 96 052002	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51059
AUBERT,BE	06D	PR D74 091103	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51511
WU	06	PRL 97 162003	C.-H. Wu *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51472
ABLIKIM	05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50450
ABLIKIM	05B	PR D71 032003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50496
ABLIKIM	05C	PL B610 192	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50507
ABLIKIM	05H	PR D72 012002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50759
ABLIKIM	05R	PRL 95 262001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50985
AUBERT	05D	PR D71 052001	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50509
LI	05C	PR D71 111103	Z. Li *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50802
SIBIRTSEV	05A	PR D71 054010	A. Sibirtsev, J. Haidenbauer		REFID=51038
ABLIKIM	04	PL B598 172	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49739
ABLIKIM	04M	PR D70 112008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50329
AUBERT	04	PR D69 011103	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BaBar Collab.)	REFID=49611
AUBERT,B	04N	PR D70 072004	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50184
BAI	04	PL B578 16	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49620
BAI	04A	PR D69 012003	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49607
BAI	04D	PL B589 7	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49750
BAI	04E	PL B591 42	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49751
BAI	04G	PR D70 012004	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49753
BAI	04H	PR D70 012005	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49754
BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50167
SETH	04	PR D69 097503	K.K. Seth		REFID=49779
AULCHENKO	03	PL B573 63	V.M. Aulchenko *et al.*	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=49579
BAI	03D	PL B561 49	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49403
BAI	03F	PRL 91 022001	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=49473

BAI	03G	PR D68 052003	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49580
HUANG	03	PRL 91 241802	H.-C. Huang *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=49621
BAI	02C	PRL 88 101802	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50506
ARTAMONOV	00	PL B474 427	A.S. Artamonov *et al.*		REFID=47424
BAI	00	PRL 84 594	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50503
BAI	00B	PL B472 200	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47427
BAI	00D	PL B476 25	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47954
BAI	99	PL B446 356	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46606
BAI	99C	PRL 83 1918	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47420
BAI	98D	PR D58 092006	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46338
BAI	98G	PL B424 213	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46341
BAI	98H	PRL 81 1179	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46342
BALDINI	98	PL B444 111	R. Baldini *et al.*	(FENICE Collab.)	REFID=46608
ARMSTRONG	96	PR D54 7067	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(E760 Collab.)	REFID=45146
BAI	96B	PRL 76 3502	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=44736
BAI	96C	PRL 77 3959	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=45169
BAI	96D	PR D54 1221	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=45198
GRIBUSHIN	96	PR D53 4723	A. Gribushin *et al.*	(E672 Collab., E706 Collab.)	REFID=44739
HASAN	96	PL B388 376	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(BRUN, LOQM)	REFID=45197
BAI	95B	PL B355 374	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=44434
BUGG	95	PL B353 378	D.V. Bugg *et al.*	(LOQM, PNPI, WASH)	REFID=44438
ANTONELLI	93	PL B301 317	A. Antonelli *et al.*	(FENICE Collab.)	REFID=43314
ARMSTRONG	93B	PR D47 772	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(FNAL E760 Collab.)	REFID=43307
BARNES	93	PL B309 469	P.D. Barnes *et al.*	(PS185 Collab.)	REFID=43601
AUGUSTIN	92	PR D46 1951	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41584
BOLTON	92	PL B278 495	T. Bolton *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=42175
BOLTON	92B	PRL 69 1328	T. Bolton *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=42176
COFFMAN	92	PRL 68 282	D.M. Coffman *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41866
HSUEH	92	PR D45 R2181	S. Hsueh, S. Palestini	(FNAL, TORI)	REFID=41899
BISELLO	91	NP B350 1	D. Bisello *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41668
AUGUSTIN	90	PR D42 10	J.E. Augustin *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41352
BAI	90B	PRL 65 1309	Z. Bai *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41354
BAI	90C	PRL 65 2507	Z. Bai *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41578
BISELLO	90	PL B241 617	D. Bisello *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41359
COFFMAN	90	PR D41 1410	D.M. Coffman *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41350
JOUSSET	90	PR D41 1389	J. Jousset *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41349
ALEXANDER	89	NP B320 45	J.P. Alexander *et al.*	(LBL, MICH, SLAC)	REFID=40345
AUGUSTIN	89	NP B320 1	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41004
BISELLO	89B	PR D39 701	G. Busetto *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=40575
AUGUSTIN	88	PRL 60 2238	J.E. Augustin *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=40574
COFFMAN	88	PR D38 2695	D.M. Coffman *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40346
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard *et al.*	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)	REFID=40576
AUGUSTIN	87	ZPHY C36 369	J.E. Augustin *et al.*	(LALO, CLER, FRAS+)	REFID=40268
BAGLIN	87	NP B286 592	C. Baglin *et al.*	(LAPP, CERN, GENO, LYON+)	REFID=40002
BALTRUSAIT...	87	PR D35 2077	R.M. Baltrusaitis *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40010
BECKER	87	PRL 59 186	J.J. Becker *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40015
BISELLO	87	PL B192 239	D. Bisello *et al.*	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)	REFID=40012
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)	REFID=11616
HENRARD	87	NP B292 670	P. Henrard *et al.*	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)	REFID=40261
PALLIN	87	NP B292 653	D. Pallin *et al.*	(CLER, FRAS, LALO, PADO)	REFID=40243
BALTRUSAIT...	86	PR D33 629	R.M. Baltrusaitis *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=22009
BALTRUSAIT...	86B	PR D33 1222	R.M. Baltrusaitis *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=22100
BALTRUSAIT...	86D	PRL 56 107	R.M. Baltrusaitis	(CIT, UCSC, ILL, SLAC+)	REFID=21865
BISELLO	86B	PL B179 294	D. Bisello *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=22101
GAISER	86	PR D34 711	J. Gaiser *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=22012
BALTRUSAIT...	85C	PRL 55 1723	R.M. Baltrusaitis *et al.*	(CIT, UCSC+)	REFID=22095
BALTRUSAIT...	85D	PR D32 566	R.M. Baltrusaitis *et al.*	(CIT, UCSC+)	REFID=22097
KURAEV	85	SJNP 41 466	E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin	(NOVO)	REFID=40033
		Translated from YAF 41 733.			
BALTRUSAIT...	84	PRL 52 2126	R.M. Baltrusaitis *et al.*	(CIT, UCSC+)	REFID=22006
EATON	84	PR D29 804	M.W. Eaton *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22092
BLOOM	83	ARNS 33 143	E.D. Bloom, C. Peck	(SLAC, CIT)	REFID=21682
EDWARDS	83B	PRL 51 859	C. Edwards *et al.*	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21318
FRANKLIN	83	PRL 51 963	M.E.B. Franklin *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22216
BURKE	82	PRL 49 632	D.L. Burke *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=21676
EDWARDS	82B	PR D25 3065	C. Edwards *et al.*	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=22080
EDWARDS	82D	PRL 48 458	C. Edwards *et al.*	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21677
Also		ARNS 33 143	E.D. Bloom, C. Peck	(SLAC, CIT)	REFID=21682
EDWARDS	82E	PRL 49 259	C. Edwards *et al.*	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21314
LEMOIGNE	82	PL 113B 509	Y. Lemoigne *et al.*	(SACL, LOIC, SHMP+)	REFID=22084
BESCH	81	ZPHY C8 1	H.J. Besch *et al.*	(BONN, DESY, MANZ)	REFID=22077
GIDAL	81	PL 107B 153	G. Gidal *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=20386
PARTRIDGE	80	PRL 44 712	R. Partridge *et al.*	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=22073
SCHARRE	80	PL 97B 329	D.L. Scharre *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=21329
ZHOLENTZ	80	PL 96B 214	A.A. Zholents *et al.*	(NOVO)	REFID=10320
Also		SJNP 34 814	A.A. Zholents *et al.*	(NOVO)	REFID=10321
		Translated from YAF 34 1471.			
BRANDELIK	79C	ZPHY C1 233	R. Brandelik *et al.*	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22114
ALEXANDER	78	PL 72B 493	G. Alexander *et al.*	(DESY, HAMB, SIEG+)	REFID=22065
BESCH	78	PL 78B 347	H.J. Besch *et al.*	(BONN, DESY, MANZ)	REFID=22066
BRANDELIK	78B	PL 74B 292	R. Brandelik *et al.*	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22067
PERUZZI	78	PR D17 2901	I. Peruzzi *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22068
BARTEL	77	PL 66B 489	W. Bartel *et al.*	(DESY, HEIDP)	REFID=22058
BURMESTER	77D	PL 72B 135	J. Burmester *et al.*	(DESY, HAMB, SIEG+)	REFID=22060
FELDMAN	77	PRPL 33C 285	G.J. Feldman, M.L. Perl	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22062
VANNUCCI	77	PR D15 1814	F. Vannucci *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22063
BARTEL	76	PL 64B 483	W. Bartel *et al.*	(DESY, HEIDP)	REFID=22192
BRAUNSCH...	76	PL 63B 487	W. Braunschweig *et al.*	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22054
JEAN-MARIE	76	PRL 36 291	B. Jean-Marie *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL) IG	REFID=22056
BALDINI-...	75	PL 58B 471	R. Baldini-Celio *et al.*	(FRAS, ROMA)	REFID=22026
BOYARSKI	75	PRL 34 1357	A.M. Boyarski *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL) JPC	REFID=22030
DASP	75	PL 56B 491	W. Braunschweig *et al.*	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22036
ESPOSITO	75B	LNC 14 73	B. Esposito *et al.*	(FRAS, NAPL, PADO+)	REFID=22038
FORD	75	PRL 34 604	R.L. Ford *et al.*	(SLAC, PENN)	REFID=22039