NODE=M070

$J/\psi(1S)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

$J/\psi(1S)$ MASS

NODE=M070M

NODE=M070M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3096.900±0.006 OUR AVERAGE					
3096.900±0.002±0.006		[1] ANASHIN	15	KEDR	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
3096.89 ±0.09	502	[2] ARTAMONOV	00	OLYA	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
3096.91 ±0.03 ±0.01		[3] ARMSTRONG	93B	E760	$\overline{p}p \to e^+e^-$
3096.95 ±0.1 ±0.3	193	BAGLIN	87	SPEC	$\overline{p}p \to e^+e^- X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3096.66 ±0.19 ±0.02	6.1k	[4] AAIJ	15BI	LHCB	$pp \to J/\psi X$
3096.917±0.010±0.007		AULCHENKO	03	KEDR	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
3097.5 ±0.3		GRIBUSHIN	96	FMPS	515 π^- Be $\to 2\mu X$
3098.4 ±2.0	38k	LEMOIGNE	82	GOLI	185 π^- Be $\to \gamma\mu^+\mu^-$ A
3096.93 ±0.09	502	[5] ZHOLENTZ	80	REDE	e^+e^-
3097.0 ±1		[6] BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-

[1] Supersedes AULCHENKO 03. NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=A
[2] Reanalysis of ZHOLENTZ 80 using new electron mass (COHEN 87) and radiative corrections (KURAEV 85). NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=AR
[3] Mass central value and systematic error recalculated by us according to Eq. (16) in ARMSTRONG 93B, using the value for the $\psi(2S)$ mass from AULCHENKO 03. NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=NW
[4] From a sample of $\eta_c(1S)$ and J/ψ produced in b-hadron decays. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=B
[5] Superseded by ARTAMONOV 00. NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=RZ
[6] From a simultaneous fit to e^+e^-, $\mu^+\mu^-$ and hadronic channels assuming $\Gamma(e^+e^-) = \Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$. NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=F

$J/\psi(1S)$ WIDTH

NODE=M070W

NODE=M070W

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
92.6 ± 1.7 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
92.45± 1.40±1.48		[1] ANASHIN	20	KEDR	e^+e^-
96.1 ± 3.2	13k	[2] ADAMS	06A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
84.4 ± 8.9		BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
91 ±11 ±6		[3] ARMSTRONG	93B	E760	$\overline{p}p \to e^+e^-$
85.5 $^{+6.1}_{-5.8}$		[4] HSUEH	92	RVUE	See Υ mini-review
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
92.94± 1.83		[5,6] ANASHIN	18A	KEDR	e^+e^-
94.1 ± 2.7		[7] ANASHIN	10	KEDR	3.097 $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-$
93.7 ± 3.5	7.8k	[2] AUBERT	04	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$

[1] Based on the same dataset as ANASHIN 18A and correlated to the values reported there. NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=C
[2] Calculated by us from the reported values of $\Gamma(e^+e^-)\times B(\mu^+\mu^-)$ using $B(e^+e^-) = (5.94 \pm 0.06)\%$ and $B(\mu^+\mu^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.06)\%$. NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=AA
[3] The initial-state radiation correction reevaluated by ANDREOTTI 07 in its Ref. [4]. NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=AN
[4] Using data from COFFMAN 92, BALDINI-CELIO 75, BOYARSKI 75, ESPOSITO 75B, BRANDELIK 79C. NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=A
[5] Using $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ from ANASHIN 18A and $B(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = (5.971 \pm 0.032)\%$ from PDG 16. NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=B
[6] Superseded by ANASHIN 20 that is based on the same dataset. NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=D
[7] Assuming $\Gamma(e^+e^-) = \Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ and using $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total} = (5.94 \pm 0.06)\%$. NODE=M070W;LINKAGE=AS

$J/\psi(1S)$ DECAY MODES

NODE=M070215;NODE=M070

	Mode		Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level	
Γ_1	hadrons		(87.7 ± 0.5) %		DESIG=3
Γ_2	virtual$\gamma \to$ hadrons		(13.46 ± 0.07) %		DESIG=4
Γ_3	ggg		(64.1 ± 1.0) %		DESIG=249
Γ_4	γgg		(8.8 ± 1.1) %		DESIG=250
Γ_5	e^+e^-		(5.971± 0.032) %		DESIG=1
Γ_6	$e^+e^-\gamma$	[a]	(8.8 ± 1.4) $\times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=5
Γ_7	$\mu^+\mu^-$		(5.961± 0.033) %		DESIG=2
Γ_8	$e^+e^-e^+e^-$		(5.5 ± 0.5) $\times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=472
Γ_9	$e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$		(3.53 ± 0.26) $\times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=473
Γ_{10}	$\mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$		(1.11 ± 0.11) $\times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=471

Decays involving hadronic resonances

NODE=M070;CLUMP=A

Γ_{11}	$\rho\pi$		$(1.88 \pm 0.12)\ \%$	S=2.6	DESIG=20
Γ_{12}	$\rho^0\pi^0$		$(6.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=21
Γ_{13}	$a_2(1320)^0\pi^+\pi^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$		$(2.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=442
Γ_{14}	$a_2(1320)^+\pi^-\pi^0 +$ c.c $\to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$		$(3.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=443
Γ_{15}	$a_2(1320)\rho$		$(1.09 \pm 0.22)\ \%$		DESIG=43
Γ_{16}	$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$		$(3.8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=239
Γ_{17}	$\eta\rho$		$(1.93 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=22
Γ_{18}	$\eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$		$(1.17 \pm 0.20)\ \%$		DESIG=420
Γ_{19}	$\eta\pi^+\pi^- 3\pi^0$		$(4.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=422
Γ_{20}	$\eta\phi(2170) \to \eta\phi f_0(980) \to \eta\phi\pi^+\pi^-$		$(1.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=287
Γ_{21}	$\eta\phi(2170) \to \eta K^*(892)^0 \overline{K}^*(892)^0$		$< 2.52 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=253
Γ_{22}	$\eta K^+ K^-$		$(8.6 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=455
Γ_{23}	$\eta K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp$	[b]	$(2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=230
Γ_{24}	$\eta K^*(892)^0 \overline{K}^*(892)^0$		$(1.15 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=252
Γ_{25}	$\rho\eta'(958)$		$(8.1 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.6	DESIG=23
Γ_{26}	$\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^- 2\pi^0$		$(2.8 \pm 0.8)\ \%$		DESIG=415
Γ_{27}	$\rho^+\rho^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$		$(6 \pm 4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=416
Γ_{28}	$\rho^+ K^+ K^- \pi^- +$ c.c $\to K^+ K^- \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$		$(3.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=444
Γ_{29}	$\rho^\mp K^\pm K^0_S$		$(1.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=342
Γ_{30}	$h_1(1415)\eta' \to \gamma\eta\eta'$				DESIG=435
Γ_{31}	$h_1(1595)\eta' \to \gamma\eta\eta'$				DESIG=437
Γ_{32}	$\rho(1450)\pi$		seen		DESIG=310;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{33}	$\rho(1450)\pi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$		$(2.2 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=328
Γ_{34}	$\rho(1450)^\pm\pi^\mp \to K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$		$(3.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=329
Γ_{35}	$\rho(1450)^0\pi^0 \to K^+ K^- \pi^0$		$(2.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=312
Γ_{36}	$\rho(1450)\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta'(958)$		$(3.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=345
Γ_{37}	$\rho(1700)\pi$		seen		DESIG=325;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{38}	$\rho(1700)\pi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$		$(1.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=313
Γ_{39}	$\rho(2150)\pi$		seen		DESIG=326;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{40}	$\rho(2150)\pi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$		$(10 \pm 40) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=314
Γ_{41}	$\rho_3(1690)\pi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$				DESIG=316
Γ_{42}	$\omega\pi^0$		$(4.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.4	DESIG=32
Γ_{43}	$\omega\pi^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$		$(1.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=327
Γ_{44}	$\omega\pi^+\pi^-$		$(8.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=24
Γ_{45}	$\omega\pi^0\pi^0$		$(3.4 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=140
Γ_{46}	$\omega 3\pi^0$		$(1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=421
Γ_{47}	$\omega f_2(1270)$		$(4.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=28
Γ_{48}	$\omega\eta$		$(1.74 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.6	DESIG=30
Γ_{49}	$\omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$		$(4.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=211
Γ_{50}	$\omega\pi^0\eta$		$(3.4 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=360
Γ_{51}	$\omega\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$		$(8.5 \pm 3.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=26
Γ_{52}	$\omega\pi^+\pi^- 2\pi^0$		$(3.3 \pm 0.5)\ \%$		DESIG=412
Γ_{53}	$\omega\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$		$(1.12 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=385
Γ_{54}	$\omega\eta'(958)$		$(1.89 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=31
Γ_{55}	$\omega f_0(980)$		$(1.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=150
Γ_{56}	$\omega f_0(1710) \to \omega K\overline{K}$		$(4.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=130
Γ_{57}	$\omega f_1(1420)$		$(6.8 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=105
Γ_{58}	$\omega f'_2(1525)$		$< 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=29
Γ_{59}	$\omega X(1835) \to \omega p\overline{p}$		$< 3.9 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=95%	DESIG=263
Γ_{60}	$\omega K^+ K^- \eta$		$(3.33 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=478
Γ_{61}	$\omega X(1835),\ X \to \eta'\pi^+\pi^-$		$< 6.2 \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=386
Γ_{62}	$\omega K^+ K^-$		$(1.52 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=441
Γ_{63}	$\omega K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp$	[b]	$(3.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=101
Γ_{64}	$\omega K\overline{K}$		$(1.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=27

Γ_{65}	$\omega K^*(892)\overline{K}$ + c.c.	$(6.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=102
Γ_{66}	$\eta' K^{*\pm} K^{\mp}$	$(1.48 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=355
Γ_{67}	$\eta' K^{*0} \overline{K}^0$ + c.c.	$(1.66 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=357
Γ_{68}	$\eta' h_1(1415) \to \eta' K^* \overline{K}$ + c.c.	$(2.16 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=353
Γ_{69}	$\eta' h_1(1415) \to \eta' K^{*\pm} K^{\mp}$	$(1.51 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=354
Γ_{70}	$\eta' h_1(1415) \to \gamma \eta' \eta'$	$(4.7 {}^{+1.1}_{-2.0}) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=430
Γ_{71}	$\overline{K} K^*(892)$+c.c.	seen		DESIG=331;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{72}	$\overline{K} K^*(892)$+c.c. → $K^0_S K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$	$(4.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=332
Γ_{73}	$K^+ K^*(892)^-$ + c.c.	$(6.0 {}^{+0.8}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-3}$	S=2.9	DESIG=121
Γ_{74}	$K^+ K^*(892)^-$ + c.c. → $K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$(2.69 {}^{+0.13}_{-0.20}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=231
Γ_{75}	$K^+ K^*(892)^-$ + c.c. → $K^0 K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$ + c.c.	$(3.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=232
Γ_{76}	$K^0 \overline{K}^*(892)^0$ + c.c.	$(4.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=122
Γ_{77}	$K^0 \overline{K}^*(892)^0$ + c.c. → $K^0 K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$ + c.c.	$(3.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=233
Γ_{78}	$\overline{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$ + c.c.	$(5.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=214
Γ_{79}	$K^*(892)^{\pm} K^{\mp} \pi^0$	$(4.1 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=343
Γ_{80}	$K^*(892)^+ K^0_S \pi^-$ + c.c.	$(2.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=299
Γ_{81}	$K^*(892)^+ K^0_S \pi^-$ + c.c. → $K^0_S K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(6.7 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=300
Γ_{82}	$K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+$ + c.c. → $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(3.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=445
Γ_{83}	$K^*(892)^0 K^0_S \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$	$(6.3 {}^{+0.6}_{-0.5}) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=376
Γ_{84}	$K^*(892)^0 K^0_S \pi^0$	$(7 \pm 4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=344
Γ_{85}	$K^*(892)^{\pm} K^*(700)^{\mp}$	$(1.1 {}^{+1.0}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=257
Γ_{86}	$K^*(892)^0 \overline{K}^*(892)^0$	$(2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=46
Γ_{87}	$K^*(892)^{\pm} K^*(892)^{\mp}$	$(1.00 {}^{+0.22}_{-0.40}) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=256
Γ_{88}	$K_1(1400)^{\pm} K^{\mp}$	$(3.8 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=132
Γ_{89}	$K^*(1410) \overline{K}$+c.c.	seen		DESIG=317;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{90}	$K^*(1410) \overline{K}$+c.c → $K^{\pm} K^{\mp} \pi^0$	$(7 \pm 4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=330
Γ_{91}	$K^*(1410) \overline{K}$ + c.c. → $K^0_S K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$	$(8 \pm 5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=318
Γ_{92}	$K^*_2(1430) \overline{K}$+c.c.	seen		DESIG=319;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{93}	$K^*_2(1430) \overline{K}$+c.c. → $K^{\pm} K^{\mp} \pi^0$	$(1.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=321
Γ_{94}	$K^*_2(1430) \overline{K}$ + c.c. → $K^0_S K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$	$(3.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=320
Γ_{95}	$\overline{K}^*_2(1430) K$ + c.c.	$< 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=45
Γ_{96}	$K^*_2(1430)^+ K^-$ + c.c. → $K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$(2.69 {}^{+0.25}_{-0.19}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=381
Γ_{97}	$K^*_2(1430)^0 K^- \pi^+$ + c.c. → $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(2.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=446
Γ_{98}	$K^*_2(1430)^+ K^0_S \pi^-$ + c.c.	$(3.6 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=301
Γ_{99}	$\overline{K}^*_2(1430)^0 K^*(892)^0$ + c.c.	$(4.67 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=48
Γ_{100}	$K^*_2(1430)^- K^*(892)^+$ + c.c.	$(3.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=303
Γ_{101}	$K^*_2(1430)^- K^*(892)^+$ + c.c. → $K^*(892)^+ K^0_S \pi^-$ + c.c.	$(4 \pm 4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=304
Γ_{102}	$K^*_2(1430)^0 \overline{K}^*_2(1430)^0$	$< 2.9 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=47
Γ_{103}	$\overline{K}_2(1770)^0 K^*(892)^0$ + c.c. → $K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+$ + c.c.	$(6.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=235
Γ_{104}	$K^*_2(1980)^+ K^-$ + c.c. → $K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$(1.10 {}^{+0.60}_{-0.14}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=382

Γ_{105}	$K_4^*(2045)^+ K^- +$ c.c. $\to K^+ K^- \pi^0$		$(6.2 \ ^{+2.9}_{-1.6}) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=383
Γ_{106}	$K_1(1270)^{\pm} K^{\mp}$		$< 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=131
Γ_{107}	$K_1(1270) K_S^0 \to \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$		$(8.5 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=377
Γ_{108}	$a_2(1320)^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$	[b]	$< 4.3 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=42
Γ_{109}	$\phi\pi^0$		3×10^{-6} or 1×10^{-7}		DESIG=33;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{110}	$\phi\pi^+\pi^-$		$(9.4 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.7	DESIG=34
Γ_{111}	$\phi\pi^0\pi^0$		$(4.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=76
Γ_{112}	$\phi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$		$(1.60 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=35
Γ_{113}	$\phi\eta$		$(7.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.2	DESIG=37
Γ_{114}	$\phi\eta'(958)$		$(4.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.2	DESIG=38
Γ_{115}	$\phi\eta\eta'$		$(2.32 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=387
Γ_{116}	$\phi f_0(980)$		$(3.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.9	DESIG=41
Γ_{117}	$\phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-$		$(2.58 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=236
Γ_{118}	$\phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^0\pi^0$		$(1.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=237
Γ_{119}	$\phi\pi^0 f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$		$(4.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=278
Γ_{120}	$\phi\pi^0 f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^0 p^0\pi^0$		$(1.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=279
Γ_{121}	$\phi f_0(980)\eta \to \eta\phi\pi^+\pi^-$		$(3.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=229
Γ_{122}	$\phi a_0(980)^0 \to \phi\eta\pi^0$				DESIG=258
Γ_{123}	$\phi(1680)^0\pi^0 \to \phi\eta\pi^0$		$(6.7 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=480
Γ_{124}	$X(2000)^0\pi^0 \to \phi\eta\pi^0$		$(1.70 \ ^{+0.50}_{-0.23}) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=481
Γ_{125}	$h_1(1900)^0\pi^0 \to \phi\eta\pi^0$		$(8.4 \ ^{+1.4}_{-1.3}) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=482
Γ_{126}	$\phi f_2(1270)$		$(3.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=39
Γ_{127}	$\phi f_1(1285)$		$(2.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=106
Γ_{128}	$\phi f_1(1285) \to \phi\pi^0 f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$		$(9.4 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=280
Γ_{129}	$\phi f_1(1285) \to \phi\pi^0 f_0(980) \to \phi 3\pi^0$		$(2.1 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=281
Γ_{130}	$\phi\eta(1405) \to \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-$		$(2.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=128
Γ_{131}	$\phi f_2'(1525)$		$(8 \pm 4) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.7	DESIG=40
Γ_{132}	$\phi X(1835) \to \phi p\overline{p}$		$< 2.1 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=291
Γ_{133}	$\phi X(1835) \to \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-$		$< 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=288
Γ_{134}	$\phi X(1870) \to \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-$		$< 6.13 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=289
Γ_{135}	$\phi K\overline{K}$		$(1.77 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=36
Γ_{136}	$\phi f_0(1710) \to \phi K\overline{K}$		$(3.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=129
Γ_{137}	$\phi K^+ K^-$		$(8.2 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=295
Γ_{138}	$\phi K_S^0 K_S^0$		$(5.8 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=305
Γ_{139}	$\phi K^{\pm} K_S^0 \pi^{\mp}$	[b]	$(7.2 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=103
Γ_{140}	$\phi K^*(892)\overline{K} +$ c.c.		$(2.18 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=104
Γ_{141}	$b_1(1235)^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$	[b]	$(3.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=49
Γ_{142}	$b_1(1235)^0\pi^0$		$(2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=160
Γ_{143}	$f_2'(1525) K^+ K^-$		$(1.04 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=308
Γ_{144}	$\Delta(1232)^+\overline{p}$		$< 1 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=112
Γ_{145}	$\Delta(1232)^{++}\overline{p}\pi^-$		$(1.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=70
Γ_{146}	$\Delta(1232)^{++}\overline{\Delta}(1232)^{--}$		$(1.10 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=66
Γ_{147}	$\Sigma(1385)^0 p K^-$		$(5.1 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=74
Γ_{148}	$\Sigma(1385)^0\overline{\Lambda} +$ c.c.		$< 8.2 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=111
Γ_{149}	$\Sigma(1385)^-\overline{\Sigma}^+ +$ c.c.	[b]	$(3.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=68
Γ_{150}	$\Sigma(1385)^+\overline{\Sigma}^- +$ c.c.		$(3.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=450
Γ_{151}	$\Sigma(1385)^-\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^+ +$ c.c.	[b]	$(1.08 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=67
Γ_{152}	$\Sigma(1385)^+\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^- +$ c.c.		$(1.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=451
Γ_{153}	$\Sigma(1385)^0\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^0$		$(1.07 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=309
Γ_{154}	$\Lambda(1520)\overline{\Lambda} +$ c.c. $\to \gamma\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$		$< 4.1 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=260
Γ_{155}	$\overline{\Lambda}(1520)\Lambda +$ c.c.		$< 1.80 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%	DESIG=364
Γ_{156}	$\Xi^0\overline{\Xi}^0$		$(1.17 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=248
Γ_{157}	$\Xi(1530)^-\overline{\Xi}^+ +$ c.c.		$(3.18 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=107
Γ_{158}	$\Xi(1530)^0\overline{\Xi}^0$		$(3.2 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=108
Γ_{159}	$\Theta(1540)\overline{\Theta}(1540) \to K_S^0 p K^-\overline{n} +$ c.c.	[c]	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=205
Γ_{160}	$\Theta(1540) K^-\overline{n} \to K_S^0 p K^-\overline{n}$	[c]	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=206
Γ_{161}	$\Theta(1540) K_S^0\overline{p} \to K_S^0\overline{p} K^+ n$	[c]	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=207
Γ_{162}	$\overline{\Theta}(1540) K^+ n \to K_S^0\overline{p} K^+ n$	[c]	$< 5.6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=208
Γ_{163}	$\overline{\Theta}(1540) K_S^0 p \to K_S^0 p K^-\overline{n}$	[c]	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=209

Decays into stable hadrons

NODE=M070;CLUMP=B

Γ_{164}	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$		(4.2 ± 0.4) %	S=2.1	DESIG=9
Γ_{165}	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$		(2.9 ± 0.6) %		DESIG=11
Γ_{166}	$\pi^+\pi^- 3\pi^0$		(1.9 ± 0.9) %		DESIG=358
Γ_{167}	$\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\pi^0$		(1.41 ± 0.22) %		DESIG=362
Γ_{168}	$\rho^+\rho^-\pi^0$		$(6.0 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=363
Γ_{169}	$\pi^+\pi^- 4\pi^0$		$(6.5 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=419
Γ_{170}	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$		(2.00 ± 0.07) %	S=2.0	DESIG=7
Γ_{171}	$2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$		(1.61 ± 0.20) %		DESIG=210
Γ_{172}	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 K^+ K^-$		(1.52 ± 0.27) %	S=1.4	DESIG=18
Γ_{173}	$\pi^+\pi^-$		$(1.47 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=6
Γ_{174}	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)$		$(3.20 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.2	DESIG=8
Γ_{175}	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)$		$(4.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=10
Γ_{176}	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0$		(6.2 ± 0.9) %		DESIG=411
Γ_{177}	$4(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$		$(9.0 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=12
Γ_{178}	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta$		$(2.29 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=201
Γ_{179}	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta$		$(7.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=202
Γ_{180}	$2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\eta$		$(1.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=418
Γ_{181}	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta$		$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=359
Γ_{182}	$\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\eta$		$(1.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=361
Γ_{183}	K^+K^-		$(3.06 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=13
Γ_{184}	$K^0_S K^0_L$		$(1.95 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.4	DESIG=75
Γ_{185}	$K^0_S K^0_S$		$< 1.4 \times 10^{-8}$	CL=95%	DESIG=14
Γ_{186}	$K\overline{K}\pi$		$(6.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=15
Γ_{187}	$K^+K^-\pi^0$		$(2.88 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=334
Γ_{188}	$K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$		$(5.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=335
Γ_{189}	$K^0_S K^0_L\pi^0$		$(2.06 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=336
Γ_{190}	$K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^0 +$ c.c. $\to K^0_S K^0_L\pi^0$		$(1.21 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=339
Γ_{191}	$K^*_2(1430)^0\overline{K}^0 +$ c.c. $\to K^0_S K^0_L\pi^0$		$(4.3 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=338
Γ_{192}	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$		$(7.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=16
Γ_{193}	$K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0$		$(2.13 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=234
Γ_{194}	$K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$		$(1.61 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=452
Γ_{195}	$K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\pi^0$		$(5.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=453
Γ_{196}	$K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^-$		$(6.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=454
Γ_{197}	$K^0_S K^\pm\rho(770)^\pm\pi^0$		$(2.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=463
Γ_{198}	$K^0_S K^0_L\pi^+\pi^-$		$(3.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=296
Γ_{199}	$K^0_S K^0_L\pi^0\pi^0$		$(1.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=337
Γ_{200}	$K^0_S K^0_L\eta$		$(1.45 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=340
Γ_{201}	$K^0_S K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$		$(1.68 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=297
Γ_{202}	$K^\mp K^0_S\pi^\pm\pi^0$		$(5.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=341
Γ_{203}	$K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\rho(770)^0$		$(3.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=456
Γ_{204}	$K^+K^- 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$		$(3.1 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=17
Γ_{205}	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta$		$(4.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=238
Γ_{206}	$2(K^+K^-)$		$(7.2 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=19
Γ_{207}	$K^+K^-K^0_S K^0_S$		$(4.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=298
Γ_{208}	$K^0_S K^*(892)^0\pi^+\pi^-$		$(1.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=457
Γ_{209}	$K^0_S K^*(892)^0\pi^0\pi^0$		$(1.01 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=462
Γ_{210}	$K^\mp K^*(892)^\pm\pi^+\pi^-$		$(3.4 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=458
Γ_{211}	$K^*(892)^\pm K^*(892)^0\pi^\mp$		$(4.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=459
Γ_{212}	$K^\mp K^*(892)^\pm\pi^0\pi^0$		$(1.57 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=461
Γ_{213}	$K^*(892)^+K^*(892)^-\pi^0$		(1.12 ± 0.23) %		DESIG=460
Γ_{214}	$p\overline{p}$		$(2.120 \pm 0.029) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=50
Γ_{215}	$p\overline{p}\pi^0$		$(1.19 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1	DESIG=52
Γ_{216}	$p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-$		$(6.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=54
Γ_{217}	$p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	[d]	$(2.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.9	DESIG=55

Γ_{218}	$p\overline{p}\eta$		$(2.00 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=56
Γ_{219}	$p\overline{p}\rho$		$< 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=57
Γ_{220}	$p\overline{p}\omega$		$(9.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.3	DESIG=58
Γ_{221}	$p\overline{p}\eta'(958)$		$(1.29 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.0	DESIG=59
Γ_{222}	$p\overline{p}a_0(980) \rightarrow p\overline{p}\pi^0\eta$		$(6.8 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=276
Γ_{223}	$p\overline{p}\phi$		$(5.19 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=127
Γ_{224}	$p\overline{n}\pi^-$		$(2.12 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=53
Γ_{225}	$n\overline{n}$		$(2.09 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=64
Γ_{226}	$n\overline{n}\pi^+\pi^-$		$(4 \pm 4) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=65
Γ_{227}	$nN(1440)$		seen		DESIG=215;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{228}	$nN(1520)$		seen		DESIG=216;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{229}	$nN(1535)$		seen		DESIG=217;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{230}	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$		$(1.88 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$	S=2.6	DESIG=60
Γ_{231}	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\pi^0$		$(3.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=109
Γ_{232}	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$		$(4.3 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=261
Γ_{233}	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\eta$		$(1.62 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=228
Γ_{234}	$\Lambda\overline{\Sigma}^-\pi^+ +$ c.c.	[b]	$(1.26 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.2	DESIG=71
Γ_{235}	$\Lambda\overline{\Sigma}^+\pi^- +$ c.c.		$(1.21 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.8	DESIG=449
Γ_{236}	$pK^-\overline{\Lambda}$+c.c.		$(8.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=72
Γ_{237}	$pK^-\overline{\Sigma}^0$		$(2.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=73
Γ_{238}	$pK^0_S\overline{\Sigma}^-$ +c.c.		$(2.73 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=474
Γ_{239}	$\overline{\Lambda}nK^0_S +$ c.c.		$(6.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=225
Γ_{240}	$\Lambda\overline{\Sigma} +$ c.c.		$(2.83 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=61
Γ_{241}	$\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-$		$(1.07 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=247
Γ_{242}	$\Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0$		$(1.172 \pm 0.032) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.4	DESIG=63
Γ_{243}	$\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-\eta$		$(6.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=448
Γ_{244}	$\Xi^-\overline{\Xi}^+$		$(9.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.4	DESIG=62
	Radiative decays				NODE=M070;CLUMP=C
Γ_{245}	$\gamma\eta_c(1S)$		(1.41 ± 0.14) %	S=1.3	DESIG=85
Γ_{246}	$\gamma\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3\gamma$		seen		DESIG=246;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{247}	$\gamma\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta\eta'$		seen		DESIG=391;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{248}	3γ		$(1.16 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=81
Γ_{249}	4γ		$< 9 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=244
Γ_{250}	5γ		$< 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=245
Γ_{251}	$\gamma\pi^0$		$(3.39 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=82
Γ_{252}	$\gamma\pi^0\pi^0$		$(1.15 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=283
Γ_{253}	$\gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$		$(2.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.9	DESIG=95
Γ_{254}	$\gamma f_2(1270) f_2(1270)$		$(9.5 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=203
Γ_{255}	$\gamma f_2(1270) f_2(1270)$ (non resonant)		$(8.2 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=204
Γ_{256}	$\gamma\pi^+\pi^- 2\pi^0$		$(8.3 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=99
Γ_{257}	$\gamma K^0_S K^0_S$		$(8.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=378
Γ_{258}	$\gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)$ $[J^{PC} = 0^{-+}]$		$(7 \pm 4) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.1	DESIG=176
Γ_{259}	$\gamma K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$		$(2.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=143
Γ_{260}	$\gamma K^*(892)\overline{K}^*(892)$		$(4.0 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=145
Γ_{261}	$\gamma\eta$		$(1.090 \pm 0.013) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=83
Γ_{262}	$\gamma\eta\pi^0$		$(2.14 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=292
Γ_{263}	$\gamma f_0(500) \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi$				DESIG=398
Γ_{264}	$\gamma f_0(500) \rightarrow \gamma K\overline{K}$				DESIG=399
Γ_{265}	$\gamma f_0(500) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$				DESIG=400
Γ_{266}	$\gamma a_0(980)^0 \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^0$		$< 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=95%	DESIG=293
Γ_{267}	$\gamma a_2(1320)^0 \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^0$		$< 6.6 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=95%	DESIG=294
Γ_{268}	$\gamma\eta\pi\pi$		$(6.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=96
Γ_{269}	$\gamma\eta_2(1870) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$		$(6.2 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=142
Γ_{270}	$\gamma\eta'(958)$		$(5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=84
Γ_{271}	$\gamma f_0(980) \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi$				DESIG=393
Γ_{272}	$\gamma f_0(980) \rightarrow \gamma K\overline{K}$				DESIG=394
Γ_{273}	$\gamma\rho\rho$		$(4.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=94
Γ_{274}	$\gamma\rho\omega$		$< 5.4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=226

Γ_{275}	$\gamma\rho\phi$	$< 8.8 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=227
Γ_{276}	$\gamma\omega\omega$	$(1.61 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=97
Γ_{277}	$\gamma\phi\phi$	$(4.0 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$	S=2.1	DESIG=98
Γ_{278}	$\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi$	$(2.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.6	DESIG=89
Γ_{279}	$\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma\gamma\rho^0$	$(7.8 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.8	DESIG=171
Γ_{280}	$\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=170
Γ_{281}	$\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma\rho^0\rho^0$	$(1.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=124
Γ_{282}	$\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma\gamma\phi$	$< 8.2 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=95%	DESIG=212
Γ_{283}	$\gamma\eta(1405) \to \gamma f_0(980)\pi^0 \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(1.50 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=476
Γ_{284}	$\gamma\eta(1405) \to \gamma f_0(980)\pi^0 \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$	$(7.1 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=477
Γ_{285}	$\gamma\eta(1405) \to \gamma\gamma\gamma$	$< 2.63 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=348
Γ_{286}	$\gamma\eta(1475) \to \gamma\gamma\gamma$	$< 1.86 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=349
Γ_{287}	$\gamma\eta(1760) \to \gamma\rho^0\rho^0$	$(1.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=125
Γ_{288}	$\gamma\eta(1760) \to \gamma\omega\omega$	$(1.98 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=224
Γ_{289}	$\gamma\eta(1760) \to \gamma\gamma\gamma$	$< 4.80 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=347
Γ_{290}	$\gamma\eta(2225)$	$(3.14\ ^{+0.50}_{-0.19}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=126
Γ_{291}	$\gamma f_2(1270)$	$(1.63 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3	DESIG=86
Γ_{292}	$\gamma f_2(1270) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$	$(2.58\ ^{+0.60}_{-0.22}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=373
Γ_{293}	$\gamma f_1(1285)$	$(6.1 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=88
Γ_{294}	$\gamma f_0(1370) \to \gamma\pi\pi$			DESIG=395
Γ_{295}	$\gamma f_0(1370) \to \gamma K\overline{K}$	$(4.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=284
Γ_{296}	$\gamma f_0(1370) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$	$(1.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=368
Γ_{297}	$\gamma f_0(1370) \to \gamma\eta\eta$			DESIG=396
Γ_{298}	$\gamma f_0(1370) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$			DESIG=397
Γ_{299}	$\gamma f_1(1420) \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi$	$(7.9 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=175
Γ_{300}	$\gamma f_0(1500) \to \gamma\pi\pi$	$(1.09 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=172
Γ_{301}	$\gamma f_0(1500) \to \gamma\eta\eta$	$(1.7\ ^{+0.6}_{-1.4}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=265
Γ_{302}	$\gamma f_0(1500) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$	$(1.59\ ^{+0.24}_{-0.60}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=369
Γ_{303}	$\gamma f_0(1500) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$			DESIG=401
Γ_{304}	$\gamma f_1(1510) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$(4.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=141
Γ_{305}	$\gamma f'_2(1525)$	$(5.7\ ^{+0.8}_{-0.5}) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.5	DESIG=87
Γ_{306}	$\gamma f'_2(1525) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$	$(8.0\ ^{+0.7}_{-0.5}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=374
Γ_{307}	$\gamma f'_2(1525) \to \gamma\eta\eta$	$(3.4 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=268
Γ_{308}	$\gamma f_2(1565) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$			DESIG=432
Γ_{309}	$\gamma f_2(1640) \to \gamma\omega\omega$	$(2.8 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=222
Γ_{310}	$\gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma\pi\pi$	$(3.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=135
Γ_{311}	$\gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma K\overline{K}$	$(9.5\ ^{+1.0}_{-0.5}) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.5	DESIG=91
Γ_{312}	$\gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma\omega\omega$	$(3.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=221
Γ_{313}	$\gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma\eta\eta$	$(2.4\ ^{+1.2}_{-0.7}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=266
Γ_{314}	$\gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$			DESIG=402
Γ_{315}	$\gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma\omega\phi$	$(2.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=262
Γ_{316}	$\gamma f_0(1770) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$	$(1.11\ ^{+0.20}_{-0.33}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=370
Γ_{317}	$\gamma f_2(1810) \to \gamma\eta\eta$	$(5.4\ ^{+3.5}_{-2.4}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=269
Γ_{318}	$\gamma\eta_1(1855) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$	$(2.7\ ^{+0.4}_{-0.5}) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=447
Γ_{319}	$\gamma f_0(1770) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$			DESIG=431
Γ_{320}	$\gamma f_2(1910) \to \gamma\omega\omega$	$(2.0 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=223
Γ_{321}	$\gamma f_2(1950) \to \gamma K^*(892)\overline{K}^*(892)$	$(7.0 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=144
Γ_{322}	$\gamma f_2(2010) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$			DESIG=440

Γ_{323}	$\gamma f_0(2020) \to \gamma\pi\pi$				DESIG=403
Γ_{324}	$\gamma f_0(2020) \to \gamma K\overline{K}$				DESIG=404
Γ_{325}	$\gamma f_0(2020) \to \gamma\eta\eta$				DESIG=405
Γ_{326}	$\gamma f_0(2020) \to \gamma\eta'\eta'$		$(2.63 {+0.32 \atop -0.50}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=426
Γ_{327}	$\gamma f_0(2020) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$				DESIG=438
Γ_{328}	$\gamma f_4(2050)$		$(2.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=100
Γ_{329}	$\gamma f_4(2050) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$				DESIG=433
Γ_{330}	$\gamma f_0(2100) \to \gamma\eta\eta$		$(1.13 {+0.60 \atop -0.30}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=267
Γ_{331}	$\gamma f_0(2100) \to \gamma K\overline{K}$				DESIG=406
Γ_{332}	$\gamma f_0(2100) \to \gamma\pi\pi$		$(6.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=286
Γ_{333}	$\gamma f_0(2200)$		seen		DESIG=123;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{334}	$\gamma f_0(2200) \to \gamma K\overline{K}$		$(5.9 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=285
Γ_{335}	$\gamma f_0(2200) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$		$(2.72 {+0.19 \atop -0.50}) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=371
Γ_{336}	$\gamma f_0(2200) \to \gamma\pi\pi$				DESIG=407
Γ_{337}	$\gamma f_0(2200) \to \gamma\eta\eta$				DESIG=408
Γ_{338}	$\gamma f_J(2220)$		seen		DESIG=92;OUR EST;→ UNCHECKED ←
Γ_{339}	$\gamma f_J(2220) \to \gamma\pi\pi$		$< 3.9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=136
Γ_{340}	$\gamma f_J(2220) \to \gamma K\overline{K}$		$< 4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=137
Γ_{341}	$\gamma f_J(2220) \to \gamma p\overline{p}$		$(1.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=138
Γ_{342}	$\gamma f_0(2330) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$		$(4.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=372
Γ_{343}	$\gamma f_0(2330) \to \gamma\pi\pi$				DESIG=409
Γ_{344}	$\gamma f_0(2330) \to \gamma\eta\eta$				DESIG=410
Γ_{345}	$\gamma f_0(2330) \to \gamma\eta'\eta'$		$(6.1 {+4.0 \atop -1.8}) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=427
Γ_{346}	$\gamma f_0(2330) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$				DESIG=439
Γ_{347}	$\gamma f_2(2340) \to \gamma\eta\eta$		$(5.6 {+2.4 \atop -2.2}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=270
Γ_{348}	$\gamma f_2(2340) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$		$(5.5 {+4.0 \atop -1.5}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=375
Γ_{349}	$\gamma f_2(2340) \to \gamma\eta'\eta'$		$(8.7 {+0.9 \atop -1.8}) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=428
Γ_{350}	$\gamma f_0(2470) \to \gamma\eta'\eta'$		$(8.2 {+4.0 \atop -2.8}) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=429
Γ_{351}	$\gamma X(1835) \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$		$(2.7 {+0.6 \atop -0.8}) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.6	DESIG=213
Γ_{352}	$\gamma X(1835) \to \gamma p\overline{p}$		$(7.7 {+1.5 \atop -0.9}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=254
Γ_{353}	$\gamma X(1835) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S \eta$		$(3.3 {+2.0 \atop -1.3}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=282
Γ_{354}	$\gamma X(1835) \to \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$				DESIG=346
Γ_{355}	$\gamma X(1835) \to \gamma\gamma\gamma$		$< 3.56 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=350
Γ_{356}	$\gamma X(1835) \to \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$		$(2.4 {+0.7 \atop -0.8}) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=264
Γ_{357}	$\gamma\eta(2370) \to \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'$		$(1.8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=388
Γ_{358}	$\gamma\eta(2370) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S \eta'$		$(1.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=389
Γ_{359}	$\gamma\eta(2370) \to \gamma\eta\eta\eta'$		$< 9.2 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=390
Γ_{360}	γD^0+c.c.		$< 9.1 \times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	DESIG=479
Γ_{361}	$\gamma p\overline{p}$		$(3.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=90
Γ_{362}	$\gamma p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-$		$< 7.9 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=93
Γ_{363}	$\gamma\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$		$< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=200
Γ_{364}	$\gamma A^0 \to \gamma$ invisible	[e]	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=251
Γ_{365}	$\gamma A^0 \to \gamma\gamma\gamma$		$< 4.9 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=95%	DESIG=469
Γ_{366}	$\gamma A^0 \to \gamma\mu^+\mu^-$	[f]	$< 7.8 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=259

Dalitz decays

NODE=M070;CLUMP=G

Γ_{367}	$\pi^0 e^+ e^-$		$(7.6 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=271
Γ_{368}	$\eta e^+ e^-$		$(1.42 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=272
Γ_{369}	$\eta'(958) e^+ e^-$		$(6.59 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=273
Γ_{370}	$\eta(1405) e^+ e^- \to f_0(980) \pi^0 e^+ e^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 e^+ e^-$		$(2.04 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=475
Γ_{371}	$X(1835) e^+ e^-,\ X \to \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$		$(3.58 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=423
Γ_{372}	$X(2120) e^+ e^-,\ X \to \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$		$(8.2 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=425
Γ_{373}	$\eta(2370) e^+ e^-,\ \eta \to \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$		$(1.08 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=424
Γ_{374}	$\eta U \to \eta e^+ e^-$	[g]	$< 9.11 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=352
Γ_{375}	$\eta'(958) U \to \eta'(958) e^+ e^-$	[g]	$< 2.0 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=366
Γ_{376}	$\phi e^+ e^-$		$< 1.2 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=384

Weak decays

NODE=M070;CLUMP=E

Γ_{377}	$D^- e^+ \nu_e +$ c.c.	$< 7.1 \times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	DESIG=218
Γ_{378}	$D^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu +$ c.c.	$< 5.6 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=468
Γ_{379}	$\overline{D}^0 e^+ e^- +$ c.c.	$< 8.5 \times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	DESIG=219
Γ_{380}	$D_s^- e^+ \nu_e +$ c.c.	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=220
Γ_{381}	$D_s^{*-} e^+ \nu_e +$ c.c.	$< 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=290
Γ_{382}	$D^- \pi^+ +$ c.c.	$< 7.0 \times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	DESIG=241
Γ_{383}	$D^- \rho^+ +$ c.c	$< 6.0 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=464
Γ_{384}	$\overline{D}^0 \pi^0 +$ c.c	$< 4.7 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=465
Γ_{385}	$\overline{D}^0 \overline{K}^0 +$ c.c.	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=242
Γ_{386}	$\overline{D}^0 \overline{K}^{*0} +$ c.c.	$< 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=275
Γ_{387}	$\overline{D}^0 \eta +$ c.c	$< 6.8 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=466
Γ_{388}	$\overline{D}^0 \rho^0 +$ c.c	$< 5.2 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=467
Γ_{389}	$D_s^- \pi^+ +$ c.c.	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=243
Γ_{390}	$D_s^- \rho^+ +$ c.c.	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%	DESIG=274

Charge conjugation (C), Parity (P), Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes

NODE=M070;CLUMP=D

Γ_{391}	$\gamma\gamma$	C	$< 2.7 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=80
Γ_{392}	$\gamma\phi$	C	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=277
Γ_{393}	$e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%	DESIG=177
Γ_{394}	$e^\pm \tau^\mp$	LF	$< 7.5 \times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	DESIG=178
Γ_{395}	$\mu^\pm \tau^\mp$	LF	$< 2.0 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%	DESIG=179
Γ_{396}	$\Lambda_c^+ e^-$ +c.c.		$< 6.9 \times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%	DESIG=379

Other decays

NODE=M070;CLUMP=F

Γ_{397}	invisible	$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%	DESIG=240
Γ_{398}	$\mu^+ \mu^- X^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^- +$ invisible			DESIG=470

[a] For $E_\gamma > 100$ MeV. LINKAGE=EGM

[b] The value is for the sum of the charge states or particle/antiparticle states indicated. LINKAGE=SG

[c] $\Theta(1540)$ is a hypothetical pentaquark state of 1.54 GeV/c^2 mass and a width of less than 25 MeV/c^2. LINKAGE=THT

[d] Includes $p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and excludes $p\overline{p}\eta$, $p\overline{p}\omega$, $p\overline{p}\eta'$. LINKAGE=MF

[e] For a narrow state A with mass less than 960 MeV. LINKAGE=NSA

[f] For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar A^0 with mass 0.21–3.0 GeV. LINKAGE=NA0

[g] For a dark photon U with mass between 100 and 2100 MeV. LINKAGE=DPH

FIT INFORMATION

A multiparticle fit to $\eta_c(1S)$, $J/\psi(1S)$, $\psi(2S)$, $h_c(1P)$, and $B^\pm$ with the total width, 10 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 38 branching ratios uses 113 measurements to determine 19 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 184.6$ for 94 degrees of freedom.

$J/\psi(1S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

NODE=M070220

Γ(hadrons) Γ_1

NODE=M070W3
NODE=M070W3

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
81.37± 1.36±1.30	[1] ANASHIN	20	KEDR	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
74.1 ± 8.1	BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
59 ±24	BALDINI-...	75	FRAG	e^+e^-
59 ±14	BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-
50 ±25	ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM	e^+e^-

[1] Based on the same dataset as ANASHIN 18A and correlated to the values reported there.

NODE=M070W3;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_5

NODE=M070W1
NODE=M070W1

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.53 ±0.10 OUR AVERAGE					
5.550±0.056±0.089		[1,2] ANASHIN	18A	KEDR	e^+e^-
5.36 $^{+0.29}_{-0.28}$		[3] HSUEH	92	RVUE	See Υ mini-review
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
5.58 ±0.05 ±0.08		[4] ABLIKIM	16Q	BES3	3.773 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
5.71 ±0.16	13k	[5] ADAMS	06A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
5.57 ±0.19	7.8k	[5] AUBERT	04	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
5.14 ±0.39		BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
4.72 ±0.35		ALEXANDER	89	RVUE	See Υ mini-review
4.4 ±0.6		[3] BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-
4.6 ±0.8		[6] BALDINI-...	75	FRAG	e^+e^-
4.8 ±0.6		BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-
4.6 ±1.0		ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM	e^+e^-

OCCUR=4

[1] From the cross sections of $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons near the $J/\psi(1S)$ peak.

NODE=M070W1;LINKAGE=D

[2] Based on the same dataset as ANASHIN 20 and correlated to the values reported there.

NODE=M070W1;LINKAGE=E

[3] From a simultaneous fit to e^+e^-, $\mu^+\mu^-$, and hadronic channels assuming $\Gamma(e^+e^-) = \Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$.

NODE=M070W1;LINKAGE=F

[4] Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.973 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.037)$% from ABLIKIM 13R.

NODE=M070W1;LINKAGE=A

[5] Calculated by us from the reported values of $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times B(\mu^+\mu^-)$ using $B(\mu^+\mu^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.06)$%.

NODE=M070W1;LINKAGE=AA

[6] Assuming equal partial widths for e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$.

NODE=M070W1;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ Γ_7

NODE=M070W2
NODE=M070W2

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5.13±0.52	BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
4.8 ±0.6	BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-
5 ±1	ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_{391}

NODE=M070W70
NODE=M070W70

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<5.4	90	BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-

$J/\psi(1S)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

NODE=M070225

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into e^+e^- and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel(I) in the e^+e^- annihilation.

NODE=M070225

Γ(hadrons) × $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G3
NODE=M070G3

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4.884±0.048±0.078	[1,2] ANASHIN	18A	KEDR	e^+e^-
4 ±0.8	[3] BALDINI-...	75	FRAG	e^+e^-
3.9 ±0.8	[3] ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM	e^+e^-

[1] From the cross sections of $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons near the $J/\psi(1S)$ peak.

NODE=M070G3;LINKAGE=A

[2] Based on the same dataset as ANASHIN 20 and correlated to the values reported there.

NODE=M070G3;LINKAGE=B

[3] Data redundant with branching ratios or partial widths above.

NODE=M070G3;LINKAGE=S

$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_5\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G1

VALUE (eV)		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
333.1± 6.6±4.0	[1,2]	ANASHIN	18A	KEDR	e^+e^-
332.3± 6.4±4.8		ANASHIN	10	KEDR	3.097 $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-$
350 ± 20		BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-
320 ± 70	[3]	BALDINI-...	75	FRAG	e^+e^-
340 ± 90	[3]	ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM	e^+e^-
360 ±100	[3]	FORD	75	SPEC	e^+e^-

OCCUR=2

[1] From the cross sections of $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-$ and $e^+e^- \to$ hadrons near the $J/\psi(1S)$ peak. NODE=M070G1;LINKAGE=A

[2] Based on the same dataset as ANASHIN 20 and correlated to the values reported there. NODE=M070G1;LINKAGE=B

[3] Data redundant with branching ratios or partial widths above. NODE=M070G1;LINKAGE=S

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_7\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G2

VALUE (eV)	EVTS		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
333 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE						
333.4± 2.5±4.4			ABLIKIM	16Q	BES3	3.773 $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
331.8± 5.2±6.3			ANASHIN	10	KEDR	3.097 $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$
338.4± 5.8±7.1	13k		ADAMS	06A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
330.1± 7.7±7.3	7.8k		AUBERT	04	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
510 ±90			DASP	75	DASP	e^+e^-
380 ±50		[1]	ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM	e^+e^-

[1] Data redundant with branching ratios or partial widths above. NODE=M070G2;LINKAGE=S

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{16}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G25

VALUE (eV)	EVTS		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.3 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE						
2.34±0.43±0.16	49		LEES	18	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
2.22±0.96±0.02	9	[1]	AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 0.51 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.03$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (23.02 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G25;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{18}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q20

VALUE (eV)	EVTS		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
64.8±11.1±0.4	200	[1]	LEES	21C	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}(\pi^+\pi^-4\pi^0)$

[1] LEES 21C reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\eta \to 3\pi^0)] = 21.1 \pm 1.7 \pm 3.2$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \to 3\pi^0) = (32.56 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070Q20;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{19}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q19

VALUE (eV)	EVTS		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
26.9±5.7±0.1	101	[1]	LEES	21C	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}(\pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0\gamma\gamma)$

[1] LEES 21C reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\eta \to 2\gamma)] = 10.6 \pm 1.6 \pm 1.6$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070Q19;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{22}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q54

VALUE (eV)		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.76±1.64±0.03	[1]	LEES	23	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}$ hadrons

[1] LEES 23 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \eta K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\eta \to 3\pi^0)] = 1.55 \pm 0.51 \pm 0.16$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \to 3\pi^0) = (32.56 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070Q54;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta K^\pm K^0_S\pi^\mp) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{23}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G38

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.3±1.4±0.4	44	LEES	17D	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\rho^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}\pi^+\pi^- 2\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{26}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q13
NODE=M070Q13

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
155±26±36	14k	LEES	21	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\rho^+\rho^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{27}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q14
NODE=M070Q14

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
32±13±15	14k	LEES	21	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\rho^{\mp}K^{\pm}K^0_S) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{29}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G31
NODE=M070G31

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.4±1.0±1.9	130	LEES	17D	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{44}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G24
NODE=M070G24

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
53.6±5.0±0.4	788	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 47.8 \pm 3.1 \pm 3.2$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G24;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{45}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070P54
NODE=M070P54

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
27.8±3.5±0.2	398	[1] LEES	18E	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- 3\pi^0\gamma$

[1] LEES 18E reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \omega\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 24.8 \pm 1.8 \pm 2.5$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070P54;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega 3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{46}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q18
NODE=M070Q18

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.5±3.1±0.1	89	[1] LEES	21C	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}(\pi^+\pi^- 4\pi^0)$

[1] LEES 21C reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \omega 3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 9.4 \pm 2.3 \pm 1.5$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070Q18;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{48}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q21
NODE=M070Q21

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
16.9±7.6±0.2	[1] LEES	21C	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}(\pi^+\pi^- 4\pi^0)$

[1] Different final state as in AUBERT 06. LEES 21C reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \omega\eta) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\eta \to 3\pi^0)] \times [B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 4.9 \pm 2.1 \pm 0.7$ eV which we divide by our best values $B(\eta \to 3\pi^0) = (32.56 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M070Q21;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{49}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G8
NODE=M070G8

VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.2±0.3±0.2	170	AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{50}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070P58
NODE=M070P58

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.90±0.96±0.01	27	[1] LEES	18E	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta\gamma$

[1] LEES 18E reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \omega\pi^0\eta) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 1.7 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.3$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070P58;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^- 2\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{52}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q11
NODE=M070Q11

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
185±30±1	14k	[1] LEES	21	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$

[1] LEES 21 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \omega\pi^+\pi^- 2\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 165 \pm 9 \pm 25$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070Q11;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega K\overline{K}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{64}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G29
NODE=M070G29

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.70±1.98±0.03	24	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \omega K\overline{K}) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 3.3 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.2$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G29;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^+K^*(892)^- + c.c.) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{73}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G18
NODE=M070G18

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
29.0±1.7±1.3	AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^*(892)^-\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^+K^*(892)^- + c.c. \to K^+K^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{74}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G20
NODE=M070G20

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.96±0.85±0.70	155	AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^+K^*(892)^- + c.c. \to K^0K^\pm\pi^\mp + c.c.) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{75}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G21
NODE=M070G21

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
16.76±1.70±1.00	89	AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0 + c.c.) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{76}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G19
NODE=M070G19

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
26.6±2.5±1.5	AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0 + c.c. \to K^0K^\pm\pi^\mp + c.c.) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{77}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G22
NODE=M070G22

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
17.70±1.70±1.00	94	AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\gamma$

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0 K^+\pi^- + c.c.) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{78}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G39
NODE=M070G39

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
42.6±4.8±7.2	99	[1] LEES	17D	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\gamma$

[1] Dividing by 1/6 to account for $B(K^*(892)^0 \to K^0_S\pi^0)=1/6$.

NODE=M070G39;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^\pm K^\mp\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{79}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G32
NODE=M070G32

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
22.8±2.8±6.8	80	[1] LEES	17D	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\gamma$

[1] Dividing by 1/4 to account for $B(K^*(892)^\pm \to K^0_S\pi^\pm) = 1/4$.

NODE=M070G32;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K^0_S\pi^- + c.c.) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{80}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070GY4
NODE=M070GY4

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
11.0±2.8 OUR AVERAGE					
9.2±1.2±3.2	64	[1] LEES	17D	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\gamma$
14.8±4.8±1.2	53	[2] LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- K^0_S K^0_S\gamma$

[1] Dividing by 1/2 to take into account $B(K^*(892)^\pm \to K^\pm\pi^\mp) = 1/2$.

NODE=M070GY4;LINKAGE=B

[2] Dividing by 1/4 to take into account $B(K^*(892) \to K^0_S\pi) = 1/4$.

NODE=M070GY4;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K^0_S\pi^- + c.c. \to K^0_S K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{81}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070GY5
NODE=M070GY5

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.7±1.2±0.3	53	LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- K^0_S K^0_S\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^0_S\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{84}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G33
NODE=M070G33

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.60±0.75±2.25	34	[1] LEES	17D	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\gamma$

[1] Dividing by 2/3 to account for $B(K^*(892)^0 \to K^+\pi^-) = 2/3$.

NODE=M070G33;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^*(892)^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{86}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G01
NODE=M070G01

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.28±0.34±0.07	47±12	[1] LEES	12F	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.28±0.40±0.11	25 ± 8	[1,2] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] Dividing by $(2/3)^2$ to take twice into account that $B(K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-) = 2/3\ B(K^{*0} \to K\pi)$.

NODE=M070G01;LINKAGE=AE

[2] Superseded by LEES 12F.

NODE=M070G01;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^{\pm} K^*(892)^{\mp}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{87}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070GY8
NODE=M070GY8

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.80±0.48±0.32	1 ± 5	[1] LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- K^0_S K^0_S \gamma$

[1] Dividing by $(1/4)^2$ to take twice into account B($K^*(892) \to K^0_S \pi$) = 1/4.

NODE=M070GY8;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*_2(1430)^+ K^0_S \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{98}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070GY6
NODE=M070GY6

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
20.1±9.8±0.5	35	[1,2] LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- K^0_S K^0_S \gamma$

[1] Dividing by 1/4 to take into account B($K^*(1430) \to K^0_S \pi$) = 1/4 B($K^*(1430) \to K\pi$).

NODE=M070GY6;LINKAGE=A

[2] LEES 14H reports [$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to K^*_2(1430)^+ K^0_S \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$] × [B($K^*_2(1430) \to K\pi$)] = 10.0 ± 4.8 ± 0.8 eV which we divide by our best value B($K^*_2(1430) \to K\pi$) = $(49.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070GY6;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*_2(1430)^0 K^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{99}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G02
NODE=M070G02

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
25.8±1.4±0.6	710	[1,2,3] LEES	12F	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
33 ±4 ±1	317	[2,4] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$

[1] LEES 12F reports [$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \overline{K}^*_2(1430)^0 K^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$] × [B($K^*_2(1430) \to K\pi$)] = 12.89 ± 0.54 ± 0.41 eV which we divide by our best value B($K^*_2(1430) \to K\pi$) = $(49.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G02;LINKAGE=A

[2] Dividing by 2/3 to take into account that B($K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-$) = 2/3 B($K^{*0} \to K\pi$).

NODE=M070G02;LINKAGE=AE

[3] The $K^*_2(1430)$ cannot be distinguished from the $K^*_0(1430)$.

NODE=M070G02;LINKAGE=B

[4] Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT 07AK reports [$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \overline{K}^*_2(1430)^0 K^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$] × [B($K^*_2(1430) \to K\pi$)] = 16.4 ± 1.1 ± 1.4 eV which we divide by our best value B($K^*_2(1430) \to K\pi$) = $(49.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G02;LINKAGE=UB

$\Gamma(K^*_2(1430)^- K^*(892)^+ + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{100}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070GY9
NODE=M070GY9

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
18.6±16.1±0.4	8 ± 8	[1,2] LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- K^0_S K^0_S \gamma$

[1] Dividing by $(1/4)^2$ to take into account B($K^*(892) \to K^0_S \pi$) = 1/4 and B($K^*(1430) \to K^0_S \pi$) = 1/4 B($K^*(1430) \to K\pi$).

NODE=M070GY9;LINKAGE=A

[2] LEES 14H reports [$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to K^*_2(1430)^- K^*(892)^+ + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$] × [B($K^*_2(1430) \to K\pi$)] = 9.28 ± 8.0 ± 0.32 eV which we divide by our best value B($K^*_2(1430) \to K\pi$) = $(49.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070GY9;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(K^*_2(1430)^- K^*(892)^+ + \text{c.c.} \to K^*(892)^+ K^0_S \pi^- + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{101}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070GZ0
NODE=M070GZ0

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.32±2.00±0.08	8 ± 8	[1] LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- K^0_S K^0_S \gamma$

[1] Dividing by 1/4 to take into account B($K^*(892) \to K^0_S \pi$) = 1/4.

NODE=M070GZ0;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\overline{K}_2(1770)^0 K^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.} \to K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{103}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G03
NODE=M070G03

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.8±0.4±0.3	110 ± 14	[1] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma$

[1] Dividing by 2/3 to take into account that B($K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-$) = 2/3.

NODE=M070G03;LINKAGE=AE

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{110}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G14

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.41±0.34 OUR AVERAGE					
4.39±0.48±0.05	181	[1] LEES	12F	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
4.44±0.48±0.05	254±23	[2] SHEN	09	BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
5.2 ±0.7 ±0.1	103	[3] AUBERT,BE	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

[1] LEES 12F reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = 2.19 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.07$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (49.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G14;LINKAGE=B

[2] SHEN 09 reports $4.50 \pm 0.41 \pm 0.26$ eV from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)]$ assuming $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (49.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G14;LINKAGE=SH

[3] Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT,BE 06D reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = 2.61 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.18$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (49.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G14;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{111}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G15

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.73±0.56±0.03	45	[1] LEES	12F	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3.09±0.86±0.03	23	[2] AUBERT,BE	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

[1] LEES 12F reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = 1.36 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.07$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (49.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G15;LINKAGE=A

[2] Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT,BE 06D reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = 1.54 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.16$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (49.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G15;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\phi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{112}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G10

VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.94±0.19±0.01	35	[1] AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \phi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 06D reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = (0.47 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-2}$ keV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (49.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G10;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\phi\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{113}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G28

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.6±1.4 OUR AVERAGE					
4.1±1.6±0.4		[1] LEES	23	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}$ hadrons
6.1±2.7±0.4	6	[2] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \phi\eta\gamma$

OCCUR=2

[1] LEES 23 quotes $\Gamma^{J/\psi}_{ee} \cdot B(J/\psi \to \phi\eta) \cdot B(\phi \to K^+K^-) \cdot B(\eta \to 3\pi^0) = 0.64 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.06$ eV. NODE=M070G28;LINKAGE=A

[2] AUBERT 07AU quotes $\Gamma^{J/\psi}_{ee} \cdot B(J/\psi \to \phi\eta) \cdot B(\phi \to K^+K^-) \cdot B(\eta \to 3\pi) = 0.84 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.05$ eV. NODE=M070G28;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(\phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{117}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G05

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.42±0.18 OUR AVERAGE					
1.38±0.24±0.02	57 ± 9	[1] LEES	12F	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$
1.48±0.27±0.09	60±11	[2] SHEN	09	BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.00±0.23±0.01	20 ± 5	[3] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] LEES 12F reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = 0.69 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.05$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (49.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G05;LINKAGE=A

[2] Multiplied by 2/3 to take into account the $\phi\pi^+\pi^-$ mode only. Using $B(\phi \to K^+K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6)\%$. NODE=M070G05;LINKAGE=SH

[3] Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = 0.50 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.04$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (49.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G05;LINKAGE=UB

$\Gamma(\phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{118}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G06
NODE=M070G06

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.96±0.26±0.01	16 ± 4	[1] LEES	12F	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0K^+K^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.94±0.39±0.01	7.0 ± 2.8	[2] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] LEES 12F reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = 0.48 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (49.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G06;LINKAGE=A

[2] Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = 0.47 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.05$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (49.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G06;LINKAGE=UB

$\Gamma(\phi f_2(1270)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{126}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G07
NODE=M070G07

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.79\pm0.32^{+0.02}_{-0.06}$	61	[1,2,3] LEES	12F	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$4.08\pm0.73^{+0.05}_{-0.14}$	44	[2,4] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] LEES 12F reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi f_2(1270)) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi)] = 1.51 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.10$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi) = (84.3^{+2.8}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G07;LINKAGE=A

[2] Using $B(\phi \to K^+K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$. NODE=M070G07;LINKAGE=AE

[3] Using $\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass between 1.1 and 1.5 GeV. May include other sources such as $f_0(1370)$. NODE=M070G07;LINKAGE=B

[4] Superseded by LEES 12F. AUBERT 07AK reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi f_2(1270)) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi)] = 3.44 \pm 0.55 \pm 0.28$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi) = (84.3^{+2.8}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G07;LINKAGE=UB

$\Gamma(\phi f_2'(1525)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{131}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070GZ2
NODE=M070GZ2

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.1±3.2±0.2	11	[1,2] LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_SK^0_SK^+K^-\gamma$

[1] Dividing by 1/4 to take into account $B(f_2'(1525) \to K^0_SK^0_S) = 1/4\ B(f_2'(1525) \to K\overline{K})$ and using $B(\phi \to K^+K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$. NODE=M070GZ2;LINKAGE=A

[2] LEES 14H reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi f_2'(1525)) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(f_2'(1525) \to K\overline{K})] = 7.2 \pm 2.8 \pm 0.3$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(f_2'(1525) \to K\overline{K}) = (88.8 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070GZ2;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\phi K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{137}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G09
NODE=M070G09

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.53±0.61±0.05	163	[1] LEES	12F	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] LEES 12F reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = 2.26 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.16$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (49.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G09;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi K^0_S K^0_S) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{138}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070GZ1

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.21±0.83±0.03	29	[1] LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^0_S K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] LEES 14H reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi K^0_S K^0_S) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-)] = 1.6 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\phi(1020) \to K^+K^-) = (49.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070GZ1;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(f'_2(1525)K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{143}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070GZ4

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.8±1.9±0.1	16	[1,2] LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^0_S K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] Dividing by 1/4 to take into account $B(f'_2(1525) \to K^0_S K^0_S) = 1/4\ B(f'_2(1525) \to K\overline{K})$.

NODE=M070GZ4;LINKAGE=A

[2] LEES 14H reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to f'_2(1525)K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(f'_2(1525) \to K\overline{K})] = 5.12 \pm 1.68 \pm 0.20$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(f'_2(1525) \to K\overline{K}) = (88.8 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070GZ4;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{164}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G23

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
303±5±18	4990	AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{166}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070P53

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
100 ±50 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 4.3.			
55 ±16 ± 1	14k	[1] LEES	21	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$
150.0± 4.0±15.0	2.3k	LEES	18E	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0\gamma$

[1] LEES 21 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] = 19.2 \pm 4.5 \pm 3.2$ eV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total} = 0.3469 \pm 0.0034$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070P53;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-4\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{169}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q17

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
35.8±4.4±5.4	340	LEES	21C	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}(\pi^+\pi^-4\pi^0)$

$\Gamma(\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{167}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070P55

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
78.0±9.0±8.0	1.2k	LEES	18E	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\rho^+\rho^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{168}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070P56

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
33.0±5.0±3.3	529	LEES	18E	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{170}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G5

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.1248±0.0019±0.0026	LEES	21B	BABR	10.5 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.122 ±0.005 ±0.008	AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{171}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G7

VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.9±0.5±1.0	761	AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{172}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G27

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
107.0±4.3±6.4	768	AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_{174}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G11

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
20.4±0.9±0.4		LEES	12E	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2\pi^+2\pi^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
19.5±1.4±1.3	270	[1] AUBERT	05D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\gamma$

[1] Superseded by LEES 12E.

NODE=M070G11;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{175}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G6

VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.37±0.16±0.14	496	AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 3(\pi^+\pi^-)\gamma$

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{176}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q12

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
345±10±50	14k	LEES	21	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{178}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G26

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
13.1±2.4±0.1	85	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\eta \to 2\gamma)] = 5.16 \pm 0.85 \pm 0.39$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070G26;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{180}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q15

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.1±2.6±1.4	14k	LEES	21	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{181}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070P57

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
13.1± 2.7 OUR AVERAGE					
26.1±17.9±0.3	14k	[1] LEES	21	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$
12.8± 1.8±2.0	203	LEES	18E	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta\gamma$

[1] LEES 21 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = 6 \pm 4 \pm 1$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (23.02 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070P57;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{182}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070P59

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.5±4.1±1.6	168	LEES	18E	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^+K^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{183}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G08

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.78±0.11±0.05	462	[1] LEES	15J	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\gamma$
1.94±0.11±0.05	462	[2] LEES	15J	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\gamma$
1.42±0.23±0.08	51	[3] LEES	13Q	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\gamma$

OCCUR=2

[1] $\sin\phi > 0$. NODE=M070G08;LINKAGE=A

[2] $\sin\phi < 0$. NODE=M070G08;LINKAGE=B

[3] Interference with non-resonant K^+K^- production not taken into account. NODE=M070G08;LINKAGE=BA

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^0_L \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{189}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G41

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
11.4±1.3±0.6	182	LEES	17A	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^0_L \pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^0 + \text{c.c.} \to K^0_S K^0_L \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{190}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G42

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.7±0.9±0.4	106	LEES	17A	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^0_L \pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^*_2(1430)^0\overline{K}^0 + \text{c.c.} \to K^0_S K^0_L \pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{191}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G43

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.4±0.7±0.1	37	LEES	17A	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^0_L \pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{192}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G12

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
37.94±0.81±1.10	3.1k	LEES	12F	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
36.3 ±1.3 ±2.1	1.5k	[1] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-\gamma$
33.6 ±2.7 ±2.7	233	[2] AUBERT	05D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

OCCUR=2

[1] Superseded by LEES 12F. NODE=M070G12;LINKAGE=B

[2] Superseded by AUBERT 07AK. NODE=M070G12;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{193}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G04

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
11.75±0.81±0.90	388	LEES	12F	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0K^+K^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
13.6 ±1.1 ±1.3	203	[1] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0K^+K^-\gamma$

[1] Superseded by LEES 12F.

NODE=M070G04;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{194}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q51

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.9±1.3±0.9	LEES	23	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}$ hadrons

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{195}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q52

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
29.3±2.6±2.9	LEES	23	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}$ hadrons

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{196}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q53

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
34.6±1.4±1.8	LEES	23	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}$ hadrons

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^\pm\rho(770)^\pm\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{197}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q62

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
16.0±4.1±1.6	LEES	23	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}$ hadrons

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^0_L\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{198}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070GY1

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
20.8±2.3±2.1	248	LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^0_S K^0_L\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^0_L\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{199}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G40

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.3±2.3±0.5	47	LEES	17A	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^0_L\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^0_L\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{200}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G35

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.0±1.8±0.4	45	LEES	17A	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^0_L\eta\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{201}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070GY2

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.3±0.9±0.5	133	LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^0_S K^0_S\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^\mp K^0_S\pi^\pm\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{202}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G34

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
31.7±1.9±1.8	393	LEES	17D	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp\rho(770)^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{203}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q55

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
17.3±2.1±1.7	LEES	23	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}$ hadrons

$\Gamma(K^+K^-2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{204}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G9

VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.75±0.23±0.17	205	AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-2(\pi^+\pi^-)\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{205}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G30

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
25.9±3.9±0.1	73	[1] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta\gamma$

[1] AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta) \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\eta \to 2\gamma)] = 10.2 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.8$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070G30;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(2(K^+K^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{206}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G13
NODE=M070G13

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.00±0.33±0.29	287 ± 24	LEES	12F	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(K^+K^-)\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.11±0.39±0.30	156 ± 15	[1] AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(K^+K^-)\gamma$
4.0 ±0.7 ±0.6	38	[2] AUBERT	05D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(K^+K^-)\gamma$

[1] Superseded by LEES 12F.
[2] Superseded by AUBERT 07AK.

NODE=M070G13;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070G13;LINKAGE=AU

$\Gamma(K^+K^-K^0_SK^0_S) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{207}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070GY3
NODE=M070GY3

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.3±0.4±0.1	29	LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+e^- \to K^0_SK^0_SK^+K^-\gamma$

$\Gamma(K^0_SK^*(892)^0\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{208}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q56
NODE=M070Q56

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.45±3.15±0.90	LEES	23	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}$ hadrons

$\Gamma(K^0_SK^*(892)^0\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{209}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q61
NODE=M070Q61

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.59±0.79±0.55	LEES	23	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}$ hadrons

$\Gamma(K^\mp K^*(892)^\pm\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{210}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q57
NODE=M070Q57

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
18.6±6.3±1.8	LEES	23	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}$ hadrons

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^\pm K^*(892)^0\pi^\mp) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{211}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q58
NODE=M070Q58

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
26.6±4.5±2.7	LEES	23	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}$ hadrons

$\Gamma(K^\mp K^*(892)^\pm\pi^0\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{212}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q60
NODE=M070Q60

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.67±1.56±0.84	LEES	23	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}$ hadrons

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+K^*(892)^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{213}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q59
NODE=M070Q59

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
62.1±10.8±6.30	LEES	23	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma_{ISR}$ hadrons

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{214}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G4
NODE=M070G4

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
11.9±0.6 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.8. See the ideogram below.				
11.3±0.4±0.3	821	[1] LEES	13O	BABR	$e^+e^- \to p\overline{p}\gamma$
12.9±0.4±0.4	918	[2] LEES	13Y	BABR	$e^+e^- \to p\overline{p}\gamma$
9.7±1.7		[3] ARMSTRONG	93B	E760	$\overline{p}p \to e^+e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
12.0±0.6±0.5	438	[4] AUBERT	06B	BABR	$e^+e^- \to p\overline{p}\gamma$

[1] ISR photon reconstructed in the detector
[2] ISR photon undetected
[3] Using $\Gamma_{total} = 85.5^{+6.1}_{-5.8}$ MeV.
[4] Superseded by LEES 13O

NODE=M070G4;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M070G4;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M070G;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070G4;LINKAGE=A



$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{230}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G16
NODE=M070G16

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.7±0.9±0.7	AUBERT	07BD	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\gamma$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{241}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070Q50
NODE=M070Q50

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
6.8±1.5±0.8	GONG	23	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{242}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

NODE=M070G17
NODE=M070G17

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5.2±1.5±0.6	GONG	23	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0$
6.4±1.2±0.6	AUBERT	07BD	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0\gamma$

OCCUR=2

$J/\psi(1S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M070230

For the first four branching ratios, see also the partial widths, and (partial widths) $\times\ \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ above.

NODE=M070300

$\Gamma(\text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

NODE=M070R3
NODE=M070R3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.877±0.005 OUR AVERAGE				
0.878±0.005	BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
0.86 ±0.02	BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\text{virtual}\gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_2/Γ

NODE=M070R4
NODE=M070R4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.1346±0.0007	[1] LIAO	23	RVUE	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.135 ±0.003	[2,3] SETH	04	RVUE	e^+e^-
0.17 ±0.02	[2] BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] Using B($J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$) = (5.967 ± 0.023)% and R = 2.26 ± 0.01 determined by a fit to data from Mark-I, DM2, BESII, KEDR, and BESIII.

NODE=M070R4;LINKAGE=A

[2] Included in $\Gamma(\text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{total}$.

NODE=M070R4;LINKAGE=C

[3] Using B($J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$) = (5.90 ± 0.09)% from RPP-2002 and R = 2.28 ± 0.04 determined by a fit to data from BAI 00 and BAI 02C. Superseded by LIAO 23.

NODE=M070R4;LINKAGE=SE

$\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_3/Γ

NODE=M070S65
NODE=M070S65

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
64.1±1.0	6 M	[1] BESSON	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- +$ hadrons

[1] Calculated using the value $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg) = 0.137 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.004$ from BESSON 08 and the PDG 08 values of $B(\ell^+\ell^-)$, B(virtual $\gamma \to$ hadrons), and $B(\gamma\eta_c)$. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with that of $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{total}$ measurement of BESSON 08.

NODE=M070S65;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_4/Γ

NODE=M070S66
NODE=M070S66

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.79±1.05	200 k	[1] BESSON	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma +$ hadrons

[1] Calculated using the value $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg) = 0.137 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.004$ from BESSON 08 and the value of $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{total}$. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with that of $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{total}$ measurement of BESSON 08.

NODE=M070S66;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg)$ — Γ_4/Γ_3

NODE=M070S67
NODE=M070S67

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
13.7±0.1±0.7	6 M	BESSON	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_5/Γ

NODE=M070R1
NODE=M070R1

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.971±0.032 OUR AVERAGE					
5.983±0.007±0.037	720k	ABLIKIM	13R	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
5.945±0.067±0.042	15k	LI	05C	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
5.90 ±0.05 ±0.10		BAI	98D	BES	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
6.09 ±0.33		BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
5.92 ±0.15 ±0.20		COFFMAN	92	MRK3	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
6.9 ±0.9		BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(e^+e^-\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_6/Γ

NODE=M070S33
NODE=M070S33

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.8±1.3±0.4	[1] ARMSTRONG	96	E760	$\bar{p}p \to e^+e^-\gamma$

[1] For $E_\gamma > 100$ MeV.

NODE=M070S33;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_7/Γ

NODE=M070R2
NODE=M070R2

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.961±0.033 OUR AVERAGE					
5.973±0.007±0.038	770k	ABLIKIM	13R	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
5.960±0.065±0.050	17k	LI	05C	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
5.84 ±0.06 ±0.10		BAI	98D	BES	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
6.08 ±0.33		BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
5.90 ±0.15 ±0.19		COFFMAN	92	MRK3	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
6.9 ±0.9		BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ — Γ_5/Γ_7

NODE=M070R5
NODE=M070R5

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.0016±0.0031 OUR AVERAGE				
1.0022±0.0044±0.0048	[1] AULCHENKO	14	KEDR	3.097 $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-,\mu^+\mu^-$
1.0017±0.0017±0.0033	[2] ABLIKIM	13R	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
1.002 ±0.021 ±0.013	[3] ANASHIN	10	KEDR	3.097 $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-,\mu^+\mu^-$
0.997 ±0.012 ±0.006	LI	05C	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.011 ±0.013 ±0.016	BAI	98D	BES	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
1.00 ±0.07	BAI	95B	BES	e^+e^-
1.00 ±0.05	BOYARSKI	75	MRK1	e^+e^-
0.91 ±0.15	ESPOSITO	75B	FRAM	e^+e^-
0.93 ±0.10	FORD	75	SPEC	e^+e^-

[1] From 235.3k $J/\psi \to e^+e^-$ and 156.6k $J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$ observed events.

NODE=M070R5;LINKAGE=A

[2] Not independent of the corresponding measurements of Γ(e+ e-)/Γ_{total} and Γ(mu+ mu-)/Γ_{total}.

NODE=M070R5;LINKAGE=AB

[3] Not independent of the corresponding measurements of $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ and $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$.

NODE=M070R5;LINKAGE=AN

$\Gamma(e^+e^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_8/Γ

NODE=M070Q71
NODE=M070Q71

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.48±0.31±0.45	700	[1] ABLIKIM	24L	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

[1] $B(\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) = (34.68 \pm 0.30)\%$ from PDG 20 was used.

NODE=M070Q71;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_9/Γ

NODE=M070Q72
NODE=M070Q72

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.53±0.22±0.13	354	[1] ABLIKIM	24L	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

[1] $B(\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) = (34.68 \pm 0.30)\%$ from PDG 20 was used.

NODE=M070Q72;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{10}/Γ

NODE=M070Q74
NODE=M070Q74

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
$11.3\pm1.1\pm0.1$		452	[1] AAIJ	24AE	LHCB	$J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$
<16	90	3.4	[2] ABLIKIM	24L	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
$10.1^{+3.3}_{-2.8}\pm0.1$		12	[3] HAYRAPETY...	24A	CMS	$J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$

OCCUR=2

[1] AAIJ 24AE reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}] / [B(J/\psi(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-)] = (1.89 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-5}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.961 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070Q74;LINKAGE=D

[2] Measured with $\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$, $J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$. $B(\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) = (34.68 \pm 0.30)\%$ from PDG 20 was used.

NODE=M070Q74;LINKAGE=A

[3] HAYRAPETYAN 24A reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}] / [B(J/\psi(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-)] = (16.9^{+5.5}_{-4.6} \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.961 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070Q74;LINKAGE=E

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ — Γ_{10}/Γ_7

NODE=M070Q70
NODE=M070Q70

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
18.7±1.8 OUR AVERAGE					
$18.9\pm1.7\pm0.9$	452	[1] AAIJ	24AE	LHCB	$J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$
$16.9^{+5.5}_{-4.6}\pm0.6$	12	HAYRAPETY...	24A	CMS	$J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$

[1] Includes prompt production and inclusive decays of b-hadrons.

NODE=M070Q70;LINKAGE=C

HADRONIC DECAYS

NODE=M070305

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{11}/Γ

NODE=M070R20
NODE=M070R20

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.88 ±0.12 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.6. See the ideogram below.			
$2.072\pm0.017\pm0.062$	19.8k	[1] ANASHIN	23	KEDR	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
2.18 ± 0.19		[2,3] AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	$10.6\ e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
$2.184\pm0.005\pm0.201$	220k	[3,4] BAI	04H	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$2.091\pm0.021\pm0.116$		[3,5] BAI	04H	BES	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
1.21 ± 0.20		BAI	96D	BES	$e^+e^- \to \rho\pi$
$1.42\pm0.01\pm0.19$		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	e^+e^-
1.3 ± 0.3	150	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2	e^+e^-
1.6 ± 0.4	183	ALEXANDER	78	PLUT	e^+e^-
1.33 ± 0.21		BRANDELIK	78B	DASP	e^+e^-
1.0 ± 0.2	543	BARTEL	76	CNTR	e^+e^-
1.3 ± 0.3	153	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	e^+e^-

OCCUR=2

[1] By a simultaneous fit of the $\pi\pi$ invariant mass distribution over the decay modes $J/\psi \to \rho^0\pi^0$, $J/\psi \to \rho^+\pi^-$, $J/\psi \to \rho^-\pi^+$. In the fit only the intermediate states $\rho(770)\pi$ and $\rho(1450)\pi$ are considered.

NODE=M070R20;LINKAGE=A

[2] From the ratio of $\Gamma(e^+e^-)\ B(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ and $\Gamma(e^+e^-)\ B(\mu^+\mu^-)$ (AUBERT 04).

NODE=M070R20;LINKAGE=AU

[3] Not independent of their $B(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$.

NODE=M070R20;LINKAGE=BU

[4] From $J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ events directly.

NODE=M070R20;LINKAGE=BA

[5] Obtained comparing the rates for $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$, using J/ψ events produced via $\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ and with $B(J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-) = 5.88 \pm 0.10\%$.

NODE=M070R20;LINKAGE=BI



$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — Γ_{11}/Γ_{170}

NODE=M070P18
NODE=M070P18

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.142±0.011±0.026	20k	[1] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.331±0.033	20k	[2] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

OCCUR=2

[1] From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.
[2] From a Dalitz plot analysis in a Veneziano model.

NODE=M070P18;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070P18;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\rho\pi)$ — Γ_{12}/Γ_{11}

NODE=M070R21
NODE=M070R21

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.328±0.005±0.027	COFFMAN	88	MRK3	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.35 ±0.08	ALEXANDER	78	PLUT	e^+e^-
0.32 ±0.08	BRANDELIK	78B	DASP	e^+e^-
0.39 ±0.11	BARTEL	76	CNTR	e^+e^-
0.37 ±0.09	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)^0\pi^+\pi^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{13}/Γ

NODE=M070Q39
NODE=M070Q39

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.84±0.08±0.60	1317	ANASHIN	22	KEDR	$J/\psi \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)^+\pi^-\pi^0 + c.c \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{14}/Γ

NODE=M070Q40
NODE=M070Q40

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.67±0.09±0.73	1628	ANASHIN	22	KEDR	$J/\psi \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\rho)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{15}/Γ

NODE=M070R43
NODE=M070R43

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.9±2.2 OUR AVERAGE					
11.7±0.7±2.5	7584	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$J/\psi \to \rho^0\rho^\pm\pi^\mp$
8.4±4.5	36	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{16}/Γ

NODE=M070P81
NODE=M070P81

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.78±0.68	471	[1] ABLIKIM	19Q	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] From an energy scan of $e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ assuming PDG 16 values for $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$, $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$, and Γ(total).

NODE=M070P81;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{17}/Γ

NODE=M070R22
NODE=M070R22

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.193±0.023 OUR AVERAGE					
0.194±0.017±0.029	299	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
0.193±0.013±0.029		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta$

$\Gamma(\eta\phi(2170) \to \eta\phi f_0(980) \to \eta\phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{20}/Γ

NODE=M070B12

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.20±0.14±0.37	471	ABLIKIM	15H	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\eta\phi(2170) \to \eta K^*(892)^0 \overline{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{21}/Γ

NODE=M070S70

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.52 × 10^{-4}	90	ABLIKIM	10C	BES2	$J/\psi \to \eta K^+\pi^-K^-\pi^+$

$\Gamma(\eta K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{23}/Γ

NODE=M070S57

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
21.8±2.2±3.4	232 ± 23	ABLIKIM	08E	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\eta K^*(892)^0 \overline{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{24}/Γ

NODE=M070S69

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.15±0.13±0.22	209	ABLIKIM	10C	BES2	$J/\psi \to \eta K^+\pi^-K^-\pi^+$

$\Gamma(\rho\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{25}/Γ

NODE=M070R23

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.1 ±0.8 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.6.				
7.90±0.19±0.49	3476	[1] ABLIKIM	17AK	BES3	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
8.3 ±3.0 ±1.2	19	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
11.4 ±1.4 ±1.6		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$

[1] From a partial wave analysis of the decay $J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$.

NODE=M070R23;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\rho^+ K^+ K^- \pi^- + c.c \to K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{28}/Γ

NODE=M070Q41

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.53±0.16±0.81	485	ANASHIN	22	KEDR	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

$\Gamma(h_1(1415)\eta' \to \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{30}/Γ

NODE=M070Q33

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.08\pm0.01^{+0.01}_{-0.02}$	[1] ABLIKIM	22AS	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$

[1] From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \to \eta\eta'$ P-wave.

NODE=M070Q33;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(h_1(1595)\eta' \to \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{31}/Γ

NODE=M070Q34

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.16\pm0.02^{+0.03}_{-0.01}$	[1] ABLIKIM	22AS	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$

[1] From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \to \eta\eta'$ P-wave.

NODE=M070Q34;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\rho(1450)\pi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{33}/Γ

NODE=M070Q49

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.2±0.2±1.1	19.8k	[1] ANASHIN	23	KEDR	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

[1] By a simultaneous fit of the $\pi\pi$ invariant mass distribution over the decay modes $J/\psi \to \rho^0\pi^0$, $J/\psi \to \rho^+\pi^-$, $J/\psi \to \rho^-\pi^+$. In the fit only the intermediate states $\rho(770)\pi$ and $\rho(1450)\pi$ are considered.

NODE=M070Q49;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\rho(1450)\pi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — Γ_{33}/Γ_{170}

NODE=M070P25

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
10.9 ±1.7 ±2.7	20k	[1] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.80±0.27	20k	[2] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

OCCUR=2

[1] From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P25;LINKAGE=A

[2] From a Dalitz plot analysis in a Veneziano model.

NODE=M070P25;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\rho(1450)^\pm\pi^\mp \to K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp)$ — Γ_{34}/Γ_{188}

NODE=M070P31

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.3±0.8±0.6	4k	[1] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$

[1] From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P31;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma\big(\rho(1450)^0\pi^0 \to K^+K^-\pi^0\big)/\Gamma\big(K^+K^-\pi^0\big)$ — Γ_{35}/Γ_{187}

NODE=M070P27
NODE=M070P27

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.3±2.0±0.6	2k	[1] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-\pi^0$

[1] From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P27;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma\big(\rho(1450)\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta'(958)\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{36}/Γ

NODE=M070P36
NODE=M070P36

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.28±0.55±0.44	119	[1] ABLIKIM	17AK	BES3	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$

[1] From a partial wave analysis of the decay $J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$.

NODE=M070P36;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma\big(\rho(1700)\pi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\big)/\Gamma\big(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\big)$ — Γ_{38}/Γ_{170}

NODE=M070P21
NODE=M070P21

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8±2±5	20k	[1] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
22±6	20k	[2] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

OCCUR=2

[1] From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.
[2] From a Dalitz plot analysis in a Veneziano model.

NODE=M070P21;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070P21;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma\big(\rho(2150)\pi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\big)/\Gamma\big(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\big)$ — Γ_{40}/Γ_{170}

NODE=M070P22
NODE=M070P22

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4± 1±20	20k	[1] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
600±250	20k	[2] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

OCCUR=2

[1] From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.
[2] From a Dalitz plot analysis in a Veneziano model.

NODE=M070P22;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070P22;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma\big(\rho_3(1690)\pi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\big)/\Gamma\big(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\big)$ — Γ_{41}/Γ_{170}

NODE=M070P24
NODE=M070P24

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.0±0.8	20k	[1] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

[1] From a Dalitz plot analysis in a Veneziano model.

NODE=M070P24;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma\big(\omega\pi^0\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{42}/Γ

NODE=M070R32
NODE=M070R32

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.45 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.				
0.538±0.012±0.065	2090	[1] ABLIKIM	06F	BES2	$J/\psi \to \omega\pi^0$
0.360±0.028±0.054	222	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
0.482±0.019±0.064		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

[1] Using $B(\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.1 \pm 0.7)\%$.

NODE=M070R32;LINKAGE=BL



$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{43}/Γ_{170}

NODE=M070P23
NODE=M070P23

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
8±3±2	20k	[1] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

[1] From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model and significance 4.9 σ.

NODE=M070P23;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{44}/Γ

NODE=M070R24
NODE=M070R24

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
8.5±1.0 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.				
10.6±1.2±0.1	3531	[1] ANASHIN	22	KEDR	$J/\psi \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
7.0±1.6	18058	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$J/\psi \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
7.8±1.6	215	BURMESTER	77D	PLUT	e^+e^-
6.8±1.9	348	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

[1] ANASHIN 22 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = (0.946 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.108) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070R24;LINKAGE=A



$\Gamma(\omega\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{45}/Γ

NODE=M070S26
NODE=M070S26

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
3.4±0.3±0.7	509	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\omega f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{47}/Γ

NODE=M070R28
NODE=M070R28

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
4.3±0.6 OUR AVERAGE					
4.3±0.2±0.6	5860	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	e^+e^-
4.0±1.6	70	BURMESTER	77D	PLUT	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.9±0.8	81	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\omega\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{48}/Γ

NODE=M070R30
NODE=M070R30

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1.74 ±0.20 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.				
2.352±0.273	5k	[1] ABLIKIM	06F	BES2	$J/\psi \to \omega\eta$
1.44 ±0.40 ±0.14	13	[2] AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega\eta\gamma$
1.43 ±0.10 ±0.21	378	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
1.71 ±0.08 ±0.20		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to 3\pi\eta$

[1] Using B($\eta \to 2\gamma$) = (39.43 ± 0.26)%, B($\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$) = 22.6 ± 0.4%, B($\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$) = 4.68 ± 0.11%, and B($\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$) = (89.1 ± 0.7)%. NODE=M070R30;LINKAGE=BL

[2] Using $\Gamma(J/\psi \to e^+e^-)$ = 5.52 ± 0.14 ± 0.04 keV. NODE=M070R30;LINKAGE=EE



$\Gamma(\omega\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ (units 10^{-3})

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{51}/Γ

NODE=M070R26
NODE=M070R26

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
85±34	140	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to 3(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\omega\eta'\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{53}/Γ

NODE=M070P83
NODE=M070P83

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.12±0.02±0.13	14k	[1] ABLIKIM	19AC	BES3	$J/\psi \to \omega\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Using the decays $\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\eta' \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$. NODE=M070P83;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{54}/Γ

NODE=M070R31
NODE=M070R31

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.89±0.18 OUR AVERAGE					
2.08±0.30±0.14	137	[1] ABLIKIM	17AK	BES3	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
2.26±0.43	218	[2] ABLIKIM	06F	BES2	$J/\psi \to \omega\eta'$
$1.8\ ^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$ ±0.3	6	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
1.66±0.17±0.19		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to 3\pi\eta'$

[1] From a partial wave analysis of the decay $J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta'$. NODE=M070R31;LINKAGE=A

[2] Using B($\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta$) = (44.3 ± 1.5)%, B($\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$) = 29.5 ± 1.0%, B($\eta \to 2\gamma$) = 39.43 ± 0.26%, and B($\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$) = (89.1 ± 0.7)%. NODE=M070R31;LINKAGE=BL

$\Gamma(\omega f_0(980))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{55}/Γ

NODE=M070S27
NODE=M070S27

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.41±0.27±0.47	[1] AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$J/\psi \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

[1] Assuming B($f_0(980) \to \pi\pi$) = 0.78. NODE=M070S27;LINKAGE=K

$\Gamma(\omega f_0(1710) \to \omega K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{56}/Γ

NODE=M070S25
NODE=M070S25

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.8±1.1±0.3	[1,2] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons

[1] Includes unknown branching fraction $f_0(1710) \to K\overline{K}$. NODE=M070S25;LINKAGE=F

[2] Addition of $f_0(1710) \to K^+K^-$ and $f_0(1710) \to K^0\overline{K}^0$ branching ratios. NODE=M070S25;LINKAGE=G

$\Gamma(\omega f_1(1420))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{57}/Γ

NODE=M070S5
NODE=M070S5

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$6.8^{+1.9}_{-1.6}$±1.7	111^{+31}_{-26}	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\omega f_2'(1525))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{58}/Γ

NODE=M070R29
NODE=M070R29

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.2 × 10^{-4}	90	[1] VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 K^+K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow$ hadrons

[1] Re-evaluated assuming B($f_2'(1525) \rightarrow K\overline{K}$) = 0.713.

NODE=M070R29;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(\omega X(1835) \rightarrow \omega p\overline{p})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{59}/Γ

NODE=M070S81
NODE=M070S81

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<3.9 × 10^{-6}	95	ABLIKIM	13P	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0 p\overline{p}$

$\Gamma(\omega X(1835),\ X \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{61}/Γ

NODE=M070P84
NODE=M070P84

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<6.2 × 10^{-5}	[1] ABLIKIM	19AC	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Using the decays $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$.

NODE=M070P84;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{62}/Γ

NODE=M070Q38
NODE=M070Q38

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.52±0.30±0.01	276	[1] ANASHIN	22	KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

[1] ANASHIN 22 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \omega K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)] = (0.136 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.026) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070Q38;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\omega K^+K^-\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{60}/Γ

NODE=M070Q78
NODE=M070Q78

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.33±0.02±0.12	ABLIKIM	24BQ	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)$

$\Gamma(\omega K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{63}/Γ

NODE=M070S1
NODE=M070S1

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
34 ±5 OUR AVERAGE					
37.7±0.8±5.8	1972 ± 41	ABLIKIM	08E	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$
29.5±1.4±7.0	879 ± 41	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\omega K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{64}/Γ

NODE=M070R27
NODE=M070R27

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
19 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE					
19.8± 2.1±3.9		[1] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow$ hadrons
16 ±10	22	FELDMAN	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] Addition of ωK^+K^- and $\omega K^0\overline{K}^0$ branching ratios.

NODE=M070R27;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\omega K^*(892)\overline{K} + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{65}/Γ

NODE=M070S2
NODE=M070S2

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
61 ± 9 OUR AVERAGE					
62.0± 6.8±10.6	899 ± 98	ABLIKIM	08E	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$
65.3±10.2±13.5	176 ± 28	ABLIKIM	08E	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^+K^-\pi^0$
53 ±14 ±14	530 ± 140	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

OCCUR=2

$\Gamma(\eta' K^{*\pm} K^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{66}/Γ

NODE=M070P48
NODE=M070P48

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.48±0.13 OUR AVERAGE				
1.50±0.02±0.19	[1] ABLIKIM	18AB	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' K^*\overline{K}$
1.47±0.03±0.17	[2] ABLIKIM	18AB	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' K^*\overline{K}$

OCCUR=2

[1] From $\eta' K^+K^-\pi^0$.
[2] From $\eta' K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$.

NODE=M070P48;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070P48;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\eta' K^{*0}\overline{K}^0 + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{67}/Γ

NODE=M070P49
NODE=M070P49

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.66±0.03±0.21	[1] ABLIKIM	18AB	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' K^*\overline{K}$

[1] From $\eta' K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$.

NODE=M070P49;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta' h_1(1415) \to \eta' K^* \overline{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{68}/Γ

NODE=M070P52
NODE=M070P52

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.16±0.12±0.29	1.1k	[1] ABLIKIM	18AB	BES3	$J/\psi \to \eta' h_1 \to \eta' K^* \overline{K}$

[1] From $\eta' K^0_S K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$.

NODE=M070P52;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta' h_1(1415) \to \eta' K^{*\pm} K^{\mp})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{69}/Γ

NODE=M070P44
NODE=M070P44

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.51±0.09±0.21	1.0k	[1] ABLIKIM	18AB	BES3	$J/\psi \to \eta' h_1 \to \eta' K^* \overline{K}$

[1] From $\eta' K^+ K^- \pi^0$.

NODE=M070P44;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta' h_1(1415) \to \gamma \eta' \eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{70}/Γ

NODE=M070Q28
NODE=M070Q28

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$4.69 \pm 0.80^{+0.74}_{-1.82}$	[1] ABLIKIM	22C	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma \eta' \eta' \to 4/5 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

[1] From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX), with $X \to \eta' \eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \to \gamma \eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \to \gamma \eta' \eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

NODE=M070Q28;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\overline{K} K^*(892) + \text{c.c.} \to K^0_S K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp})/\Gamma(K^0_S K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp})$ Γ_{72}/Γ_{188}

NODE=M070P30
NODE=M070P30

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
90.5±0.9±3.8	4k	[1] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to K^0_S K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$

[1] From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P30;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^+ K^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{73}/Γ

NODE=M070S15
NODE=M070S15

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$6.0^{+0.8}_{-1.0}$ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.9. See the ideogram below.					
$8.07 \pm 0.04^{+0.38}_{-0.61}$	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ	BES	$J/\psi \to K^+ K^- \pi^0$
4.57±0.17±0.70	2285	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
5.26±0.13±0.53		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$J/\psi \to K^{\pm} K^0_S \pi^{\mp}$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.6 ±0.6	24	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2	$J/\psi \to K^+ K^- \pi^0$
3.2 ±0.6	48	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$J/\psi \to K^{\pm} K^0_S \pi^{\mp}$
4.1 ±1.2	39	BRAUNSCH...	76	DASP	$J/\psi \to K^{\pm} X$



$\Gamma(K^+ K^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.} \to K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{74}/Γ

NODE=M070P79
NODE=M070P79

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$2.69 \pm 0.01^{+0.13}_{-0.20}$	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ	BES	$J/\psi \to K^+ K^- \pi^0$

$\Gamma(K^+ K^*(892)^- + \text{c.c.} \to K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)$ — Γ_{74}/Γ_{187}

NODE=M070P26

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
92.4±1.5±3.4	2k	[1] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to K^+ K^- \pi^0$

[1] From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P26;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^0 \overline{K}^*(892)^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{76}/Γ

NODE=M070S16

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.2 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE					
3.96±0.15±0.60	1192	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
4.33±0.12±0.45		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$J/\psi \to K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.7 ±0.6	45	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$J/\psi \to K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp$

$\Gamma(\overline{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{78}/Γ

NODE=M070S52

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.73±0.14±0.82	[1] ANASHIN	22	KEDR	$J/\psi \to K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	[2] ABLIKIM	06C	BES2	$J/\psi \to \overline{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$

[1] Obtained from $J/\psi \to K^*(892) K^- \pi^+ +$ c.c. $\to K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ taking the value 2/3 for the probability of the $K^*(892)^0 \to K^+ \pi^-$ decay.

NODE=M070S52;LINKAGE=A

[2] A $K^*_0(700)$ is observed by ABLIKIM 06C in the $K^+ \pi^-$ mass spectrum of the $\overline{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$ final state against the $\overline{K}^*(892)$. A corresponding branching fraction of the $J/\psi(1S)$ is not presented.

NODE=M070S52;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.} \to K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{82}/Γ

NODE=M070Q42

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.81±0.10±0.54	1559	ANASHIN	22	KEDR	$J/\psi \to K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^0_S \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{83}/Γ

NODE=M070P71

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$6.28^{+0.16}_{-0.17}{}^{+0.59}_{-0.52}$	ABLIKIM	18AA	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^\pm K^*(700)^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{85}/Γ

NODE=M070S74

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.09 \pm 0.18^{+0.94}_{-0.54}$	655	ABLIKIM	10E	BES2	$J/\psi \to K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp \pi^0$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \overline{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{86}/Γ

NODE=M070R46

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<5	90	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+ e^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^\pm K^*(892)^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{87}/Γ

NODE=M070S73

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.00 \pm 0.19^{+0.11}_{-0.32}$	323	ABLIKIM	10E	BES2	$J/\psi \to K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp \pi^0$

$\Gamma(K_1(1400)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{88}/Γ

NODE=M070S35

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.8±0.8±1.2	[1] BAI	99C	BES	$e^+ e^-$

[1] Assuming B($K_1(1400) \to K^* \pi$)=0.94 ± 0.06

NODE=M070S35;LINKAGE=M3

$\Gamma(K^*(1410)\overline{K} + \text{c.c} \to K^\pm K^\mp \pi^0)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)$ — Γ_{90}/Γ_{187}

NODE=M070P28

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.3±1.1±0.7	2k	[1] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to K^+ K^- \pi^0$

[1] From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P28;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K^*(1410)\overline{K} + \text{c.c.} \to K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma(K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp)$ — Γ_{91}/Γ_{188}

NODE=M070P32

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.5±0.5±0.9	4k	[1] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$

[1] From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P32;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\overline{K}+\text{c.c.}\to K^\pm K^\mp\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^0)$ — Γ_{93}/Γ_{187}

NODE=M070P29

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.5±1.3±0.9	2k	[1] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-\pi^0$

[1] From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P29;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\overline{K}+\text{c.c.}\to K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma(K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp)$ — Γ_{94}/Γ_{188}

NODE=M070P33

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.1±1.3±1.2	4k	[1] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$

[1] From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model.

NODE=M070P33;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\overline{K}_2^*(1430)K+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{95}/Γ

NODE=M070R45

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<40 × 10^{-4}	90	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to K^0\overline{K}_2^{*0}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<66 × 10^{-4}	90	BRAUNSCH...	76	DASP	$e^+e^- \to K^\pm\overline{K}_2^{*\mp}$

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^+K^-+\text{c.c.}\to K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{96}/Γ

NODE=M070P76

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.69±0.04$^{+0.25}_{-0.19}$	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ	BES	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-\pi^0$

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^0K^-\pi^++\text{c.c.}\to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{97}/Γ

NODE=M070Q43

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.65±0.80±0.44	1094	ANASHIN	22	KEDR	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\overline{K}_2^*(1430)^0K^*(892)^0+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{99}/Γ

NODE=M070R48

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
6.7±2.6	40	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^0\overline{K}_2^*(1430)^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{102}/Γ

NODE=M070R47

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<29 × 10^{-4}	90	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1980)^+K^-+\text{c.c.}\to K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{104}/Γ

NODE=M070P77

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.1±0.1$^{+0.6}_{-0.1}$	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ	BES	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-\pi^0$

$\Gamma(K_4^*(2045)^+K^-+\text{c.c.}\to K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{105}/Γ

NODE=M070P78

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.2±0.7$^{+2.8}_{-1.4}$	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ	BES	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-\pi^0$

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{106}/Γ

NODE=M070S34

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<3.0 × 10^{-3}	90	[1] BAI	99C	BES	e^+e^-

[1] Assuming B($K_1(1270) \to K\rho$)=0.42 ± 0.06

NODE=M070S34;LINKAGE=M2

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)K_S^0\to\gamma K_S^0K_S^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{107}/Γ

NODE=M070P72

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.54$^{+1.07}_{-1.20}$$^{+2.35}_{-2.13}$	ABLIKIM	18AA	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K_S^0K_S^0$

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{108}/Γ

NODE=M070R42

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<43 × 10^{-4}	90	BRAUNSCH...	76	DASP	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{109}/Γ

NODE=M070R33

The two different fit values of ABLIKIM 15K below have the same statistical significance of 6.4 σ and cannot be distinguished at this moment.

NODE=M070R33

NODE=M070R33

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$2.94 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.16$		0.8k	[1] ABLIKIM	15K	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to K^+K^-\gamma\gamma$
$0.124 \pm 0.033 \pm 0.030$		35 ± 9	[2] ABLIKIM	15K	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to K^+K^-\gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<6.4	90		[3] ABLIKIM	05B	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \phi\gamma\gamma$
<6.8	90		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^0$

OCCUR=2

[1] Corresponding to one of the two fit solutions with $\delta = (-95.9 \pm 1.5)^\circ$ for the phase angle between the resonant $J/\psi \to \phi\pi^0$ and non-phi $J/\psi \to K^+K^-\pi^0$ contributions.

NODE=M070R33;LINKAGE=A

[2] Corresponding to one of the two fit solutions with $\delta = (-152.1 \pm 7.7)^\circ$ for the phase angle between the resonant $J/\psi \to \phi\pi^0$ and non-phi $J/\psi \to K^+K^-\pi^0$ contributions.

NODE=M070R33;LINKAGE=C

[3] Superseded by ABLIKIM 15K.

NODE=M070R33;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{110}/Γ

NODE=M070R34
NODE=M070R34

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.94±0.15 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.7.				
$1.09 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.13$		ABLIKIM	05	BES2	$J/\psi \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-$
$0.78 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.12$		FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
2.1 ± 0.9	23	FELDMAN	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\phi 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{112}/Γ

NODE=M070R35
NODE=M070R35

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
16.0±1.0±3.0	FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{113}/Γ

NODE=M070R37
NODE=M070R37

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.74 ±0.06 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
$0.71 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.05$	99 ± 14	[1] ZHU	23	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(nS) \to \phi\eta\gamma$
$0.898 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.089$		ABLIKIM	05B	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to$ hadr
$0.64 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.11$	346	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
$0.661 \pm 0.045 \pm 0.078$		COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\eta$

[1] From a fit to the combined $\phi\eta$ invariant mass spectrum with a Gaussian function for the J/ψ signals and a second-order polynomial function for the backgrounds.

NODE=M070R37;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{114}/Γ

NODE=M070R38
NODE=M070R38

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.6 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.					
$5.10 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.32$		31k	ABLIKIM	16M	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to$ hadrons
$5.46 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.56$			ABLIKIM	05B	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to$ hadrons
$4.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.8$		167	JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
$3.08 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.36$			COFFMAN	88	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<13	90		VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	e^+e^-



$\Gamma(\phi\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{115}/Γ

NODE=M070P85
NODE=M070P85

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
2.32±0.06±0.16	2.2k	[1] ABLIKIM	19AN	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to$ hadrons

[1] Including contributions from intermediate resonances. Evidence for an intermediate resonance at M ≈ 2 GeV and Γ ≈ 150 MeV decaying to $\phi\eta'$ with $J^P = 1^+$ or $J^P = 1^-$, and B($J/\psi \to \eta X$) × B($X \to \phi\eta'$) ≈ 10^{-4}.

NODE=M070P85;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi f_0(980))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{116}/Γ

NODE=M070R41
NODE=M070R41

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
3.2±0.9 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.9.				
4.6±0.4±0.8		[1] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
2.6±0.6	50	[1] GIDAL	81	MRK2	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-K^+K^-$

[1] Assuming B($f_0(980) \to \pi\pi$) = 0.78.

NODE=M070R41;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^0 f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{119}/Γ

NODE=M070S97
NODE=M070S97

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
4.50±0.80±0.61	355	ABLIKIM	15P	BES3	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-3\pi$

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^0 f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^0 p^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{120}/Γ

NODE=M070S98
NODE=M070S98

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1.67±0.50±0.24	70	ABLIKIM	15P	BES3	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-3\pi$

$\Gamma(\phi f_0(980)\eta \to \eta\phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{121}/Γ

NODE=M070R08
NODE=M070R08

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
3.23±0.75±0.73	52	ABLIKIM	08F	BES	$J/\psi \to \eta\phi f_0(980)$

$\Gamma(\phi a_0(980)^0 \to \phi\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{122}/Γ

NODE=M070S75
NODE=M070S75

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$3.24\pm0.20^{+0.52}_{-0.22}$	[1] ABLIKIM	24CB	BES3	$J/\psi \to \phi\eta\pi^0$
$2.74\pm0.13^{+0.15}_{-0.16}$	[2] ABLIKIM	24CB	BES3	$J/\psi \to \phi\eta\pi^0$
4.37±1.35	[3,4] ABLIKIM	18D	BES3	$J/\psi \to \phi\eta\pi^0$
5.0 ±2.7 ±2.5	[5] ABLIKIM	11D	BES3	$J/\psi \to \phi\eta\pi^0$

OCCUR=2

[1] $J/\psi \to \phi a_0(980)$ electromagnetic decay. NODE=M070S75;LINKAGE=B

[2] $J/\psi \to \phi f_0(980)$, $\phi a_0(980)$ mixing. NODE=M070S75;LINKAGE=C

[3] Assuming constructive interference between $a_0(980) - f_0(980)$ mixing and electromagnetic decay. Destructive interference gives a value of $(4.93 \pm 1.77) \times 10^{-6}$ for this branching fraction. NODE=M070S75;LINKAGE=A

[4] Superseded by ABLIKIM 24CB. NODE=M070S75;LINKAGE=D

[5] Assuming $a_0(980) - f_0(980)$ mixing and isospin breaking via γ^* and K^*K loops. NODE=M070S75;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\phi(1680)^0\pi^0 \to \phi\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{123}/Γ

NODE=M070Q80

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$6.66\pm0.26^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$	ABLIKIM	24CB	BES3	$J/\psi \to \phi\eta\pi^0$

$\Gamma(X(2000)^0\pi^0 \to \phi\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{124}/Γ

NODE=M070Q81

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.70\pm0.19^{+0.48}_{-0.13}$	ABLIKIM	24CB	BES3	$J/\psi \to \phi\eta\pi^0$

$\Gamma(h_1(1900)^0\pi^0 \to \phi\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{125}/Γ

NODE=M070Q82

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$8.44\pm0.35^{+1.4}_{-1.2}$	ABLIKIM	24CB	BES3	$J/\psi \to \phi\eta\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\phi f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{126}/Γ

NODE=M070R39

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 0.45	90	FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
< 0.37	90	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$

$\Gamma(\phi f_1(1285))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{127}/Γ

NODE=M070S6

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.6 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE					
$3.4\pm1.8\pm1.5$	1.1k	[1] ABLIKIM	15H	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$3.2\pm0.6\pm0.4$		JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \phi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
$2.1\pm0.5\pm0.4$	25	[2] JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$0.6\pm0.2\pm0.1$	16	BECKER	87	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \phi K\overline{K}\pi$

OCCUR=2

[1] ABLIKIM 15H reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \phi f_1(1285))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(f_1(1285) \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-)] = (1.20\pm0.6\pm0.14)\times10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f_1(1285) \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-) = (35 \pm 15) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070S6;LINKAGE=A

[2] We attribute to the $f_1(1285)$ the signal observed in the $\pi^+\pi^-\eta$ invariant mass distribution at 1297 MeV. NODE=M070S6;LINKAGE=Q

$\Gamma(\phi f_1(1285) \to \phi\pi^0 f_0(980) \to \phi\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{128}/Γ

NODE=M070S99

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$9.36\pm2.31\pm1.54$	78	ABLIKIM	15P	BES3	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-3\pi$

$\Gamma(\phi f_1(1285) \to \phi\pi^0 f_0(980) \to \phi 3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{129}/Γ

NODE=M070S00

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$2.08\pm1.63\pm1.47$	9	ABLIKIM	15P	BES3	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-3\pi$

$\Gamma(\phi\eta(1405) \to \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{130}/Γ

NODE=M070S23

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$2.01\pm0.58\pm0.82$		172	[1] ABLIKIM	15H	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
< 17	90		[2] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons

[1] With 3.6 σ significance. NODE=M070S23;LINKAGE=B

[2] Includes unknown branching fraction $\eta(1405) \to \eta\pi\pi$. NODE=M070S23;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{131}/Γ

NODE=M070R40

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.7.					
$12.3\pm0.6\pm2.0$		[1,2] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
4.8 ± 1.8	46	[1] GIDAL	81	MRK2	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-K^+K^-$

[1] Re-evaluated using $B(f'_2(1525) \to K\overline{K}) = 0.713$. NODE=M070R40;LINKAGE=B

[2] Including interference with $f_0(1710)$. NODE=M070R40;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(\phi X(1835) \to \phi p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{132}/Γ

NODE=M070P00
NODE=M070P00

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	[1] ABLIKIM	16K	BES3	$J/\psi \to p\bar{p}K^0_S K^0_L$, $p\bar{p}K^+K^-$

OCCUR=2

[1] Upper limit applies to any $p\bar{p}$ mass enhancement near threshold.

NODE=M070P00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi X(1835) \to \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{133}/Γ

NODE=M070B10
NODE=M070B10

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	15H	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\phi X(1870) \to \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{134}/Γ

NODE=M070B11
NODE=M070B11

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<6.13 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	15H	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \phi\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\phi K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{135}/Γ

NODE=M070R36
NODE=M070R36

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
17.7± 1.6 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.				
$16.6 \pm 1.9 \pm 1.2$	163 ± 19	LEES	12F	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(K^+K^-)\gamma$
$21.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 2.2$		ABLIKIM	05	BES2	$J/\psi \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-$
$48 \,^{+20}_{-16} \pm 6$	$9.0^{+3.7}_{-3.0}$	[1,2] HUANG	03	BELL	$B^+ \to (\phi K^+K^-)\, K^+$
$14.6 \pm 0.8 \pm 2.1$		[3] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
18 ± 8	14	FELDMAN	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] We have multiplied K^+K^- measurement by 2 to obtain $K\bar{K}$.

NODE=M070R36;LINKAGE=AA

[2] Using $B(B^+ \to J/\psi K^+) = (1.01 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$.

NODE=M070R36;LINKAGE=CC

[3] Addition of ϕK^+K^- and $\phi K^0\bar{K}^0$ branching ratios.

NODE=M070R36;LINKAGE=A



$\Gamma(\phi K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ (units 10^{-4})

$\Gamma(\phi f_0(1710) \to \phi K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{136}/Γ

NODE=M070S24
NODE=M070S24

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$3.6 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.6$	[1,2] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons

[1] Including interference with $f'_2(1525)$.

NODE=M070S24;LINKAGE=D

[2] Includes unknown branching fraction $f_0(1710) \to K\bar{K}$.

NODE=M070S24;LINKAGE=E

$\Gamma(\phi K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{139}/Γ

NODE=M070S3
NODE=M070S3

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.2±0.8 OUR AVERAGE					
$7.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.4$	227 ± 19	ABLIKIM	08E	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$
$7.4 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.1$		FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
$7 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.0$	163 ± 15	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\phi K^*(892)\overline{K}+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{140}/Γ

NODE=M070S4
NODE=M070S4

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
21.8±2.3 OUR AVERAGE					
20.8±2.7±3.9	195 ± 25	ABLIKIM	08E	BES2	$J/\psi \to \phi K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$
29.6±3.7±4.7	238 ± 30	ABLIKIM	08E	BES2	$J/\psi \to \phi K^+ K^- \pi^0$
20.7±2.4±3.0		FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
20 ±3 ±3	155 ± 20	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+ e^- \to$ hadrons

OCCUR=2

$\Gamma(b_1(1235)^\pm \pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{141}/Γ

NODE=M070R49
NODE=M070R49

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
30±5 OUR AVERAGE					
31±6	4600	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$J/\psi \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
29±7	87	BURMESTER	77D	PLUT	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(b_1(1235)^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{142}/Γ

NODE=M070S28
NODE=M070S28

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
23±3±5	229	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)^+ \overline{p})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{144}/Γ

NODE=M070S14
NODE=M070S14

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.1 × 10^{-3}	90	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)^{++} \overline{p}\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{145}/Γ

NODE=M070R70
NODE=M070R70

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.58±0.23±0.40	332	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)^{++} \overline{\Delta}(1232)^{--})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{146}/Γ

NODE=M070R66
NODE=M070R66

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.10±0.09±0.28	233	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\overline{\Sigma}(1385)^0 p K^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{147}/Γ

NODE=M070R74
NODE=M070R74

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.51±0.26±0.18	89	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^0 \overline{\Lambda}+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{148}/Γ

NODE=M070S13
NODE=M070S13

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.82 × 10^{-5}	90	ABLIKIM	13F	BES3	$J/\psi \to p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.2 × 10^{-3}	90	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^- \overline{\Sigma}^+ +\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{149}/Γ

NODE=M070R68
NODE=M070R68

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.30±0.07 OUR AVERAGE					
0.30±0.03±0.08	74 ± 8	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+ e^-$
0.29±0.11±0.10	26	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+ \overline{\Sigma}^- +\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{150}/Γ

NODE=M070Q47
NODE=M070Q47

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.33±0.08 OUR AVERAGE					
0.34±0.04±0.08	77	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+ e^-$
0.31±0.11±0.11	28	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^- \overline{\Sigma}(1385)^+ +\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{151}/Γ

NODE=M070R67
NODE=M070R67

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.08 ±0.06 OUR AVERAGE					
1.096±0.012±0.071	43k	ABLIKIM	16L	BES3	$e^+ e^-$
1.23 ±0.07 ±0.30	0.8k	ABLIKIM	12P	BES2	$e^+ e^-$
1.00 ±0.04 ±0.21	0.6k	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+ e^-$
0.86 ±0.18 ±0.22	56	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+ \overline{\Sigma}(1385)^- + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{152}/Γ

NODE=M070Q48

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.25 ±0.07 OUR AVERAGE					
1.258±0.014±0.078	53k	ABLIKIM	16L	BES3	$e^+ e^-$
1.50 ±0.08 ±0.38	1k	ABLIKIM	12P	BES2	$e^+ e^-$
1.19 ±0.04 ±0.25	0.7k	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+ e^-$
1.03 ±0.24 ±0.25	68	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^0 \overline{\Sigma}(1385)^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{153}/Γ

NODE=M070P17

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.071±0.009±0.082	103k	ABLIKIM	17E	BES3	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi \to$ hadrons

OCCUR=2

$\Gamma(\Lambda(1520)\overline{\Lambda} + c.c. \to \gamma \Lambda \overline{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{154}/Γ

NODE=M070S77

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<4.1 × 10^{-6}	90	ABLIKIM	12B	BES3	$J/\psi \to \Lambda \overline{\Lambda} \gamma$

$\Gamma(\overline{\Lambda}(1520)\Lambda + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{155}/Γ

NODE=M070P60

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.80 × 10^{-3}	90	LU	19	BELL	$B^+ \to \overline{p} \Lambda K^+ K^+$

$\Gamma(\Xi^0 \overline{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{156}/Γ

NODE=M070S64

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.17 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
1.165±0.004±0.043	135k	ABLIKIM	17E	BES3	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi \to$ hadrons
1.20 ±0.12 ±0.21	206	ABLIKIM	08O	BES2	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^- \overline{\Xi}^+ + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{157}/Γ

NODE=M070S9

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.318±0.008 OUR AVERAGE					
0.317±0.002±0.008	70k	ABLIKIM	20	BES3	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$
0.59 ±0.09 ±0.12	75	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^0 \overline{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{158}/Γ

NODE=M070S10

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.32±0.12±0.07	24 ± 9	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\Theta(1540)\overline{\Theta}(1540) \to K^0_S p K^- \overline{n} + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{159}/Γ

NODE=M070S47

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.1 × 10^{-5}	90	BAI	04G	BES2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\Theta(1540) K^- \overline{n} \to K^0_S p K^- \overline{n})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{160}/Γ

NODE=M070S48

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.1 × 10^{-5}	90	BAI	04G	BES2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\Theta(1540) K^0_S \overline{p} \to K^0_S \overline{p} K^+ n)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{161}/Γ

NODE=M070S49

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.6 × 10^{-5}	90	BAI	04G	BES2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\overline{\Theta}(1540) K^+ n \to K^0_S \overline{p} K^+ n)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{162}/Γ

NODE=M070S50

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<5.6 × 10^{-5}	90	BAI	04G	BES2	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\overline{\Theta}(1540) K^0_S p \to K^0_S p K^- \overline{n})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{163}/Γ

NODE=M070S51

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.1 × 10^{-5}	90	BAI	04G	BES2	$e^+ e^-$

——— STABLE HADRONS ———

NODE=M070307

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{164}/Γ

NODE=M070R9
NODE=M070R9

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
4.2 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.			
5.44±0.07±0.33	23K	ANASHIN	22	KEDR	$J/\psi \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
3.5 ±0.8 ±0.1	14k	[1] LEES	21	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$
4.73±0.44	228k	[2] ABLIKIM	19Q	BES3	$J/\psi \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
3.25±0.49	46055	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$J/\psi \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$
3.17±0.42	147	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
3.64±0.52	1500	BURMESTER	77D	PLUT	e^+e^-
4 ±1	675	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] LEES 21 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \to e^+e^-)] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)] = (14.8 \pm 2.6 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best values $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \to e^+e^-) = 2.33 \pm 0.04$ keV, $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^0\pi^0) = (18.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M070R9;LINKAGE=B

[2] From an energy scan of $e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$, assuming PDG 16 values for $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$, $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$, and Γ(total), and for a phase difference between strong and electromagnetic amplitudes of $(84.9 \pm 3.6)^\circ$. An alternative solution is (4.85 ± 0.45)% with a phase of $(-84.7 \pm 3.1)^\circ$.

NODE=M070R9;LINKAGE=A



$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{165}/Γ

NODE=M070R11
NODE=M070R11

VALUE	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.029±0.006 OUR AVERAGE					
0.028±0.009	11	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.029±0.007	181	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{170}/Γ

NODE=M070R7
NODE=M070R7

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
20.0 ±0.7 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.0. See the ideogram below.			
18.78±0.13±0.51	19.8k	[1] ANASHIN	23	KEDR	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$21.37\pm0.04^{+0.64}_{-0.62}$	1.8M	[2] ABLIKIM	12H	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
23.0 ±2.0 ±0.4	256	[3] AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
21.84±0.05±2.01	220k	[4,5] BAI	04H	BES	e^+e^-
20.91±0.21±1.16		[5,6] BAI	04H	BES	e^+e^-
15 ±2	168	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2	e^+e^-

OCCUR=2

[1] By a simultaneous fit of the $\pi\pi$ invariant mass distribution over the decay modes $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^0\pi^0$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^+\pi^-$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^-\pi^+$. In the fit only the intermediate states $\rho(770)\pi$ and $\rho(1450)\pi$ are considered. NODE=M070R7;LINKAGE=B

[2] The quoted systematic error includes a contribution of 1.23% (added in quadrature) from the uncertainty on the number of J/ψ events. NODE=M070R7;LINKAGE=AB

[3] AUBERT 07AU reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}] = (18.6 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total} = 0.808 \pm 0.014$ keV. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R7;LINKAGE=AU

[4] From $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ events directly. NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=BA

[5] Mostly $\rho\pi$, see also $\rho\pi$ subsection. NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=BU

[6] Obtained comparing the rates for $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$, using J/ψ events produced via $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ and with $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 5.88 \pm 0.10\%$. NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=BI



$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{172}/Γ

NODE=M070R18
NODE=M070R18

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1.52±0.27 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.				
$1.74 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.24$	2616	ANASHIN	22	KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1.2 ± 0.3	309	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{173}/Γ

NODE=M070R6
NODE=M070R6

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1.47±0.14 OUR AVERAGE					
$1.47 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.13$	140	[1] METREVELI	12		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
$1.58 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.15$	84	BALTRUSAIT...	85D	MRK3	e^+e^-
1.0 ± 0.5	5	BRANDELIK	78B	DASP	e^+e^-
1.6 ± 1.6	1	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=M070R6;LINKAGE=ME

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{174}/Γ

NODE=M070R8
NODE=M070R8

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
3.20±0.25 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
$2.88 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.24$	2654	ANASHIN	22	KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
$3.53 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.29$	1107	[1] ABLIKIM	05H	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$, $J/\psi \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
4.0 ± 1.0	76	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] Computed using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 0.0588 \pm 0.0010$. NODE=M070R8;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{175}/Γ

NODE=M070R10
NODE=M070R10

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
40±20	32	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(4(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{177}/Γ

NODE=M070R12
NODE=M070R12

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
90±30	13	JEAN-MARIE	76	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{178}/Γ

NODE=M070S42
NODE=M070S42

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.29±0.28 OUR AVERAGE					
3.1 ±1.5 ±0.1	14k	[1] LEES	21	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)3\pi^0\gamma$
2.26±0.08±0.27	4.8k	ABLIKIM	05C	BES2	$e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta$

[1] LEES 21 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-)] \times [B(\eta \to 3\pi^0)] = (5.6 \pm 2.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$ keV which we divide by our best values $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 5.53 \pm 0.10$ keV, $B(\eta \to 3\pi^0) = (32.56 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M070S42;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{179}/Γ

NODE=M070S43
NODE=M070S43

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.24±0.96±1.11	616	ABLIKIM	05C	BES2	$e^+e^- \to 3(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta$

$\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{183}/Γ

NODE=M070R13
NODE=M070R13

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.06 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE					
3.072±0.023±0.050	1.8 k	[1] ABLIKIM	24AB	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$
2.86 ±0.09 ±0.19	1k	[2] METREVELI	12		$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.39 ±0.24 ±0.22	107	[3] BALTRUSAIT...	85D	MRK3	e^+e^-
2.2 ±0.9	6	[3] BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-

[1] Using $B(J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.916 \pm 0.033)\%$.

NODE=M070R13;LINKAGE=D

[2] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M070R13;LINKAGE=ME

[3] Interference with non-resonant K^+K^- production not taken into account.

NODE=M070R13;LINKAGE=BA

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^0_L)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{184}/Γ

NODE=M070R75
NODE=M070R75

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.95±0.11 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.4. See the ideogram below.			
1.93±0.01±0.05	110k	ABLIKIM	17AH	BES3	$J/\psi \to K^0_S K^0_L \to \pi^+\pi^- X$
2.62±0.15±0.14	0.3k	[1] METREVELI	12		$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- K^0_S K^0_L$
1.82±0.04±0.13	2.1k	[2] BAI	04A	BES2	$J/\psi \to K^0_S K^0_L \to \pi^+\pi^- X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.18±0.12±0.18		JOUSSET	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons
1.01±0.16±0.09	74	BALTRUSAIT...	85D	MRK3	e^+e^-

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M070R75;LINKAGE=ME

[2] Using $B(K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-) = 0.6868 \pm 0.0027$.

NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=HZ



$\Gamma(K^0_S K^0_L)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{184}/Γ

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{185}/Γ

NODE=M070R14
NODE=M070R14

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.4 × 10^{-8}	95	[1] ABLIKIM	17AH	BES3	$J/\psi \to K^0_S K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1 \times 10^{-6}$	95	[1] BAI	04D	BES	e^+e^-
$<5.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	[1] BALTRUSAIT...	85C	MRK3	e^+e^-

[1] Forbidden by *CP*.

NODE=M070R14;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{186}/Γ

NODE=M070R15
NODE=M070R15

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
61 ±10 OUR AVERAGE					
55.2±12.0	25	FRANKLIN	83	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\pi^0$
78.0±21.0	126	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{187}/Γ

NODE=M070P80
NODE=M070P80

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.88±0.01±0.12	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ	BES	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-\pi^0$

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ $\Gamma_{187}/\Gamma_{170}$

NODE=M070P34
NODE=M070P34

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
12.0±0.3±0.9	23k	LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to h^+h^-\pi^0$

$\Gamma(K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ $\Gamma_{188}/\Gamma_{170}$

NODE=M070P35
NODE=M070P35

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
26.5±0.5±2.1	24k	LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to h^0h^+h^-$

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{192}/Γ

NODE=M070R16
NODE=M070R16

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.04±0.26±0.92	2671	ANASHIN	22	KEDR	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
7.2 ±2.3	205	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(K^+K^-2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{204}/Γ

NODE=M070R17
NODE=M070R17

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
31±13	30	VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(2(K^+K^-))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{206}/Γ

NODE=M070R19
NODE=M070R19

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$1.4^{+0.5}_{-0.4}\pm0.2$	$11.0^{+4.3}_{-3.5}$	[1] HUANG	03	BELL	$B^+ \to 2(K^+K^-)K^+$
0.7 ± 0.3		VANNUCCI	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] Using $B(B^+ \to J/\psi K^+) = (1.01 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$.

NODE=M070R19;LINKAGE=CC

$\Gamma(p\overline{p})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{214}/Γ

NODE=M070R50
NODE=M070R50

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.120±0.029 OUR AVERAGE					
$2.112\pm0.004\pm0.031$	314k	ABLIKIM	12C	BES3	e^+e^-
$2.17\pm0.16\pm0.04$	317	[1] WU	06	BELL	$B^+ \to p\overline{p}K^+$
$2.26\pm0.01\pm0.14$	63316	BAI	04E	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$
1.97 ± 0.22	99	BALDINI	98	FENI	e^+e^-
$1.91\pm0.04\pm0.30$		PALLIN	87	DM2	e^+e^-
$2.16\pm0.07\pm0.15$	1420	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-
2.5 ± 0.4	133	BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-
2.0 ± 0.5		BESCH	78	BONA	e^+e^-
2.2 ± 0.2	331	[2] PERUZZI	78	MRK1	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.0 ± 0.3	48	ANTONELLI	93	SPEC	e^+e^-

[1] WU 06 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to p\overline{p})/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(B^+ \to J/\psi(1S)K^+)] = (2.21 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \to J/\psi(1S)K^+) = (1.020 \pm 0.019) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070R50;LINKAGE=WU

[2] Assuming angular distribution $(1+\cos^2\theta)$.

NODE=M070R50;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{215}/Γ

NODE=M070R52
NODE=M070R52

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.19±0.08 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
$1.33\pm0.02\pm0.11$	11k	ABLIKIM	09B	BES2	e^+e^-
$1.13\pm0.09\pm0.09$	685	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-
1.4 ± 0.4		BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-
1.00 ± 0.15	109	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{216}/Γ

NODE=M070R54
NODE=M070R54

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.0 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.					
$6.46\pm0.17\pm0.43$	1435	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-
3.8 ± 1.6	48	BESCH	81	BONA	e^+e^-
5.5 ± 0.6	533	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	e^+e^-



$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{217}/Γ

NODE=M070R55

Including $p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and excluding ω, η, η'

NODE=M070R55
NODE=M070R55

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.3 ±0.9 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.9.			
3.36±0.65±0.28	364	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-
1.6 ±0.6	39	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{218}/Γ

NODE=M070R56
NODE=M070R56

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.00±0.12 OUR AVERAGE					
1.91±0.02±0.17	13k	[1] ABLIKIM	09	BES2	e^+e^-
2.03±0.13±0.15	826	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-
2.5 ±1.2		BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-
2.3 ±0.4	197	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] From the combination of $p\overline{p}\eta \rightarrow p\overline{p}\gamma\gamma$ and $p\overline{p}\eta \rightarrow p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ channels.

NODE=M070R56;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\rho)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{219}/Γ

NODE=M070R57
NODE=M070R57

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<0.31 \times 10^{-3}$	90	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadronsγ

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{220}/Γ

NODE=M070R58
NODE=M070R58

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.98±0.10 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.			
0.90±0.02±0.09	2670	ABLIKIM	13P	BES3	e^+e^-
0.98±0.03±0.14	2449	ABLIKIM	08	BES2	e^+e^-
1.10±0.17±0.18	486	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-
1.6 ±0.3	77	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	e^+e^-



$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{221}/Γ

NODE=M070R59
NODE=M070R59

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.129±0.014 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.0.			
0.126±0.002±0.007	16k	[1] ABLIKIM	19N	BES3	e^+e^-
0.200±0.023±0.028	265 ± 31	[2] ABLIKIM	09	BES2	e^+e^-
0.68 ±0.23 ±0.17	19	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-
1.8 ±0.6	19	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] From the combination of $p\overline{p}\eta' \rightarrow p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\eta$ and $p\overline{p}\eta' \rightarrow p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ channels.

NODE=M070R59;LINKAGE=A

[2] From the combination of $p\overline{p}\eta' \rightarrow p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\eta$ and $p\overline{p}\eta' \rightarrow p\overline{p}\gamma\rho^0$ channels.

NODE=M070R59;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}a_0(980) \rightarrow p\overline{p}\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{222}/Γ

NODE=M070S94
NODE=M070S94

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.8±1.2±1.3	ABLIKIM	14N	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{223}/Γ

NODE=M070S22
NODE=M070S22

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.519±0.033 OUR AVERAGE					
0.523±0.006±0.033	14k	ABLIKIM	16K	BES3	$J/\psi \to p\overline{p}K^0_S K^0_L$, $p\overline{p}K^+K^-$
0.45 ±0.13 ±0.07		FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(p\overline{n}\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{224}/Γ

NODE=M070R53
NODE=M070R53

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
2.12±0.09 OUR AVERAGE						
2.36±0.02±0.21	59k	ABLIKIM	06K	BES2	$J/\psi \to p\pi^-\overline{n}$	
2.47±0.02±0.24	55k	ABLIKIM	06K	BES2	$J/\psi \to \overline{p}\pi^+ n$	OCCUR=2
2.02±0.07±0.16	1288	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to p\pi^-$	
1.93±0.07±0.16	1191	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to \overline{p}\pi^+$	OCCUR=2
1.7 ±0.7	32	BESCH	81	BONA	$e^+e^- \to p\pi^-$	
1.6 ±1.2	5	BESCH	81	BONA	$e^+e^- \to \overline{p}\pi^+$	OCCUR=2
2.16±0.29	194	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to p\pi^-$	
2.04±0.27	204	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to \overline{p}\pi^+$	OCCUR=2

$\Gamma(n\overline{n})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{225}/Γ

NODE=M070R64
NODE=M070R64

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.09±0.16 OUR AVERAGE					
2.07±0.01±0.17	36k	ABLIKIM	12C	BES3	e^+e^-
2.31±0.49	79	BALDINI	98	FENI	e^+e^-
1.8 ±0.9		BESCH	78	BONA	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.90±0.55	40	ANTONELLI	93	SPEC	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(n\overline{n}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{226}/Γ

NODE=M070R65
NODE=M070R65

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.8±3.6	5	BESCH	81	BONA	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{230}/Γ

NODE=M070R60
NODE=M070R60

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.88 ±0.08 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.6. See the ideogram below.				
1.64 ±0.12 ±0.09		ABLIKIM	23S	BES3	$e^+e^- \to \gamma\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$
1.943±0.003±0.033	441k	ABLIKIM	17L	BES3	e^+e^-
2.03 ±0.03 ±0.15	8887	ABLIKIM	06	BES2	$J/\psi \to \Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$
1.96 $^{+0.47}_{-0.44}$ ±0.04	46	[1] WU	06	BELL	$B^+ \to \Lambda\overline{\Lambda}K^+$
1.08 ±0.06 ±0.24	631	BAI	98G	BES	e^+e^-
1.38 ±0.05 ±0.20	1847	PALLIN	87	DM2	e^+e^-
1.58 ±0.08 ±0.19	365	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-
2.6 ±1.6	5	BESCH	81	BONA	e^+e^-
1.1 ±0.2	196	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] WU 06 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \Lambda\overline{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(B^+ \to J/\psi(1S)K^+)] = (2.00^{+0.34}_{-0.29} \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \to J/\psi(1S)K^+) = (1.020 \pm 0.019) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R60;LINKAGE=WU



$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{231}/Γ

NODE=M070S11
NODE=M070S11

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.78±0.27±0.30		323	[1] ABLIKIM	13F	BES3	$J/\psi \to p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
< 6.4	90		[2] ABLIKIM	07H	BES2	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S)$
23 ±7 ±8		11	BAI	98G	BES	e^+e^-
22 ±5 ±5		19	HENRARD	87	DM2	e^+e^-

[1] Using B($\Lambda \to \pi^- p$) = 63.9% and B($\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$) = 98.8%. NODE=M070S11;LINKAGE=AL
[2] Using B($\Lambda \to \pi^- p$) = 63.9%. NODE=M070S11;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{232}/Γ

NODE=M070S78
NODE=M070S78

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.30±0.13±0.99	2.4k	ABLIKIM	12P	BES2	J/ψ

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{233}/Γ

NODE=M070R07
NODE=M070R07

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
16.2±1.7 OUR AVERAGE					
15.7±0.80±1.54	454	[1] ABLIKIM	13F	BES3	$J/\psi \to p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$
26.2±6.0 ±4.4	44	[2] ABLIKIM	07H	BES2	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S)$

[1] Using B($\Lambda \to \pi^- p$) = 63.9% and B($\eta \to \gamma\gamma$) = 39.31%. NODE=M070R07;LINKAGE=AL
[2] Using B($\Lambda \to \pi^- p$) = 63.9% and B($\eta \to \gamma\gamma$) = 39.4%. NODE=M070R07;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Sigma}^-\pi^+ + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{234}/Γ

NODE=M070R71
NODE=M070R71

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
1.26 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.						
1.244±0.002±0.045	2.6M	ABLIKIM	23BU	BES3	e^+e^-	
1.52 ±0.08 ±0.16	589	[1] ABLIKIM	07H	BES2	e^+e^-	OCCUR=3
1.11 ±0.06 ±0.20	342 ± 18	HENRARD	87	DM2	e^+e^-	OCCUR=2
1.38 ±0.21 ±0.35	118	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-	OCCUR=2

[1] Using B($\Lambda \to \pi^- p$) = 63.9% and B($\Sigma^+ \to \pi^0 p$) = 51.6%. NODE=M070R71;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Sigma}^+\pi^- + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{235}/Γ

NODE=M070Q46
NODE=M070Q46

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.21 ±0.07 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.8.					
1.221±0.002±0.038	2.7M	ABLIKIM	23BU	BES3	e^+e^-
0.90 ±0.06 ±0.16	225	HENRARD	87	DM2	e^+e^-
1.53 ±0.17 ±0.38	135	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(pK^-\overline{\Lambda}+c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{236}/Γ

NODE=M070R72

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.86±0.11 OUR AVERAGE					
$0.84^{+0.17}_{-0.15}\pm0.02$	45	[1] LU	19	BELL	$B^+ \to \overline{p}\Lambda K^+ K^+$
0.89±0.07±0.14	307	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-

[1] LU 19 reports $(8.32^{+1.63}_{-1.45} \pm 0.49) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to pK^-\overline{\Lambda}+c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(B^+ \to J/\psi(1S)K^+)]$ assuming $B(B^+ \to J/\psi(1S)K^+) = (1.026 \pm 0.031) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(B^+ \to J/\psi(1S)K^+) = (1.020 \pm 0.019) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070R72;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(pK^-\overline{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{237}/Γ

NODE=M070R73

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.29±0.06±0.05	90	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(pK^0_S\overline{\Sigma}^-+c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{238}/Γ

NODE=M070Q73

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.725±0.009±0.050	120k	[1] ABLIKIM	24H	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

[1] The branching fractions for the charge-conjugate channels are measured separately as $(1.361 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.025) \times 10^{-4}$ for $\overline{p}K^0_S\Sigma^+$ and $(1.352 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.025) \times 10^{-4}$ for $pK^0_S\overline{\Sigma}^-$.

NODE=M070Q73;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\overline{\Lambda}nK^0_S+c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{239}/Γ

NODE=M070S56

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.46±0.20±1.07	1058	[1] ABLIKIM	08C	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

[1] Using $B(\overline{\Lambda} \to \overline{p}\pi^+) = 63.9\%$ and $B(K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-) = 69.2\%$.

NODE=M070S56;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Sigma}+c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{240}/Γ

NODE=M070R61

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.83±0.23 OUR AVERAGE						
2.74±0.24±0.22		234 ± 21	[1] ABLIKIM	12B	BES3	$J/\psi \to \Lambda\overline{\Sigma}^0$
2.92±0.22±0.24		308 ± 24	[2] ABLIKIM	12B	BES3	$J/\psi \to \overline{\Lambda}\Sigma^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<18			[2] HENRARD	87	DM2	$J/\psi \to \overline{\Lambda}\Sigma^0$
<15	90		PERUZZI	78	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to \Lambda X$

OCCUR=2

[1] ABLIKIM 12B quotes $B(J/\psi \to \Lambda\overline{\Sigma}^0)$ which we multiply by 2.

NODE=M070R61;LINKAGE=AB

[2] ABLIKIM 12B and HENRARD 87 quote results for $B(J/\psi \to \overline{\Lambda}\Sigma^0)$ which we multiply by 2.

NODE=M070R61;LINKAGE=AC

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{241}/Γ

NODE=M070S09

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.07 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
1.061±0.004±0.036	87k	ABLIKIM	21AT	BES3	$J/\psi \to p\pi^0\overline{p}\pi^0$
1.50 ±0.10 ±0.22	399	ABLIKIM	08O	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{242}/Γ

NODE=M070R63

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.172±0.032 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.				
1.164±0.004±0.023	111k	ABLIKIM	17L	BES3	$J/\psi \to \Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0$
1.33 ±0.04 ±0.11	1.7k	ABLIKIM	06	BES2	$J/\psi \to \Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0$
1.06 ±0.04 ±0.23	884	PALLIN	87	DM2	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0$
1.58 ±0.16 ±0.25	90	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0$
1.3 ±0.4	52	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^0\overline{\Sigma}^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.4 ±2.6	3	BESCH	81	BONA	$e^+e^- \to \Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{243}/Γ

NODE=M070Q45

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.34±0.21±0.37	1821	ABLIKIM	22AY	BES3	$J/\psi \to \Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-\eta$

$\Gamma(\Xi^- \overline{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{244}/Γ

NODE=M070R62
NODE=M070R62

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.97 ±0.08 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.			
1.040±0.006±0.074	43k	ABLIKIM	16L	BES3	$J/\psi \to \Xi^- \overline{\Xi}^+$
0.90 ±0.03 ±0.18	961	ABLIKIM	12P	BES2	$J/\psi \to \Xi^- \overline{\Xi}^+$
0.70 ±0.06 ±0.12	132	HENRARD	87	DM2	$e^+ e^- \to \Xi^- \overline{\Xi}^+$
1.14 ±0.08 ±0.20	194	EATON	84	MRK2	$e^+ e^- \to \Xi^- \overline{\Xi}^+$
1.4 ±0.5	51	PERUZZI	78	MRK1	$e^+ e^- \to \Xi^- \overline{\Xi}^+$



RADIATIVE DECAYS

NODE=M070310

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{245}/Γ

NODE=M070R85
NODE=M070R85

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.41±0.14 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3.				
1.7 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5.				
2.00±0.31±0.02	[1] MITCHELL	09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \to \gamma X$
1.27±0.36	GAISER	86	CBAL	$J/\psi \to \gamma X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3.40±0.33	[2] ANASHIN	14	KEDR	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta_c$

[1] MITCHELL 09 reports $(1.98 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (35.04 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.77) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.69 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R85;LINKAGE=MI

[2] Statistical uncertainty only. NODE=M070R85;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{248}/Γ

NODE=M070R81
NODE=M070R81

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
11.6±2.2 OUR AVERAGE						
11.3±1.8±2.0		113 ± 18	ABLIKIM	13I	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
12 ±3 ±2		$24.2^{+7.2}_{-6.0}$	ADAMS	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<55	90		PARTRIDGE	80	CBAL	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(4\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{249}/Γ

NODE=M070S06
NODE=M070S06

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<9 × 10^{-6}	90	ADAMS	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

$\Gamma(5\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{250}/Γ

NODE=M070S07
NODE=M070S07

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<15 × 10^{-6}	90	ADAMS	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\gamma\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{251}/Γ

NODE=M070R82
NODE=M070R82

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.39±0.08 OUR AVERAGE					
3.34±0.02±0.09	176k	ABLIKIM	23BD	BES3	$J/\psi \to \pi^0\gamma$
3.59±0.20±0.03	1.6k	[1] ABLIKIM	18O	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma\gamma$
3.63±0.36±0.13		PEDLAR	09	CLE3	$J/\psi \to \pi^0\gamma$
$3.13^{+0.65}_{-0.47}$	586	ABLIKIM	06E	BES2	$J/\psi \to \pi^0\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3.6 ±1.1 ±0.7		BLOOM	83	CBAL	e^+e^-
7.3 ±4.7	10	BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-

[1] ABLIKIM 18O reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\pi^0 \to 2\gamma)] = (3.57 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\pi^0 \to 2\gamma)] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.49 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best values $B(\pi^0 \to 2\gamma) = (98.823 \pm 0.034) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.69 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M070R82;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{252}/Γ

NODE=M070B00
NODE=M070B00

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.15±0.05	[1] ABLIKIM	15AE	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$

[1] The uncertainty is systematic as statistical is netligible.

NODE=M070B00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{253}/Γ

NODE=M070R95
NODE=M070R95

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.8 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.			
4.32±0.14±0.73	[1] BISELLO	89B	DM2	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$
2.08±0.13±0.35	[2] BISELLO	89B	DM2	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$
3.05±0.08±0.45	[2] BALTRUSAIT...	86B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$
4.85±0.45±1.20	[3] BURKE	82	MRK2	e^+e^-

OCCUR=2

[1] 4π mass less than 3.0 GeV.
[2] 4π mass less than 2.0 GeV.
[3] 4π mass less than 2.5 GeV.

NODE=M070R95;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M070R95;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M070R95;LINKAGE=M



$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270) f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{254}/Γ

NODE=M070S45
NODE=M070S45

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.5±0.7±1.6	646 ± 45	ABLIKIM	04M	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270) f_2(1270)\text{(non resonant)})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{255}/Γ

NODE=M070S46
NODE=M070S46

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.2±0.8±1.7	[1] ABLIKIM	04M	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$

[1] Subtracting contribution from intermediate $\eta_c(1S)$ decays.

NODE=M070S46;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(\gamma \pi^+\pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{256}/Γ

NODE=M070R99
NODE=M070R99

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.3±0.2±3.1	[1] BALTRUSAIT...	86B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$

[1] 4π mass less than 2.0 GeV.

NODE=M070R99;LINKAGE=M

$\Gamma(\gamma K^0_S K^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{257}/Γ

NODE=M070P73
NODE=M070P73

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.1±0.4	ABLIKIM	18AA	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$

$\Gamma(\gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)[J^{PC}=0^{-+}])/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{258}/Γ

NODE=M070S38
NODE=M070S38

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.7 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.1.			
0.58±0.03±0.20	[1] BAI	00D	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp$
2.1 ±0.1 ±0.7	[2] BAI	00D	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp$

OCCUR=2

[1] For a broad structure around 1800 MeV.
[2] For a broad structure around 2040 MeV.

NODE=M070S38;LINKAGE=BD
NODE=M070S38;LINKAGE=BE

$\Gamma(\gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{259}/Γ

NODE=M070B05
NODE=M070B05

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.1±0.1±0.6	1516	BAI	00B	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^0 \pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\gamma K^*(892)\overline{K}^*(892))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{260}/Γ

NODE=M070B07
NODE=M070B07

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.0±0.3±1.3	320	[1] BAI	00B	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^0 \pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Summed over all charges.

NODE=M070R;LINKAGE=B7

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{261}/Γ

NODE=M070R83
NODE=M070R83

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.090±0.013 OUR AVERAGE					
1.096±0.001±0.019	2.2M	ABLIKIM	23BD	BES3	$J/\psi \to \eta\gamma$
1.067±0.005±0.023	87.9k	ABLIKIM	21AM	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$
1.12 ±0.05 ±0.01	18.6k	[1] ABLIKIM	18O	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma\gamma$
1.101±0.029±0.022		PEDLAR	09	CLE3	$J/\psi \to \eta\gamma$
1.123±0.089	11k	ABLIKIM	06E	BES2	$J/\psi \to \eta\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.88 ±0.08 ±0.11		BLOOM	83	CBAL	e^+e^-
0.82 ±0.10		BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	e^+e^-
1.3 ±0.4	21	BARTEL	77	CNTR	e^+e^-

[1] ABLIKIM 18O reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\eta \to 2\gamma)] = (4.42 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\eta \to 2\gamma)] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.49 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best values $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.69 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=M070R83;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{262}/Γ

NODE=M070P01
NODE=M070P01

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
21.4±1.8±2.5	596	ABLIKIM	16P	BES3	$J/\psi \to 5\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(500) \to \gamma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{263}/Γ

NODE=M070P95
NODE=M070P95

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
10.5±2.0	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(500) \to \gamma K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{264}/Γ

NODE=M070P97
NODE=M070P97

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5±5	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(500) \to \gamma\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{265}/Γ

NODE=M070P98

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4 ± 3	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma a_0(980)^0 \to \gamma\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{266}/Γ

NODE=M070P02

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.5 \times 10^{-6}$	95	ABLIKIM	16P	BES3	$J/\psi \to 5\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma a_2(1320)^0 \to \gamma\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{267}/Γ

NODE=M070P03

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<6.6 \times 10^{-6}$	95	ABLIKIM	16P	BES3	$J/\psi \to 5\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{268}/Γ

NODE=M070R96

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
6.1 ±1.0 OUR AVERAGE					
$5.85\pm0.3\pm1.05$	[1] EDWARDS	83B	CBAL	$J/\psi \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	
$7.8\ \pm1.2\pm2.4$	[1] EDWARDS	83B	CBAL	$J/\psi \to \eta 2\pi^0$	OCCUR=2

[1] Broad enhancement at 1700 MeV. NODE=M070R96;LINKAGE=M

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta_2(1870) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{269}/Γ

NODE=M070S37

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.2±2.2±0.9	BAI	99	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{270}/Γ

NODE=M070R84

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
5.28±0.06 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.					
$5.40\pm0.01\pm0.11$	638k	ABLIKIM	23BD	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$	
$5.27\pm0.03\pm0.05$	36k	ABLIKIM	19T	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$	
$5.43\pm0.23\pm0.09$	5.0k	[1] ABLIKIM	18O	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma\gamma$	
$4.76\pm0.22\pm0.06$		[2] ABLIKIM	11	BES3	$J/\psi \to \eta'\gamma$	
$5.24\pm0.12\pm0.11$		PEDLAR	09	CLE3	$J/\psi \to \eta'\gamma$	
5.55 ± 0.44	35k	ABLIKIM	06E	BES2	$J/\psi \to \eta'\gamma$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
$4.50\pm0.14\pm0.53$		BOLTON	92B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta,\ \eta \to \gamma\gamma$	
$4.30\pm0.31\pm0.71$		BOLTON	92B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta,\ \eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	OCCUR=2
$4.04\pm0.16\pm0.85$	622	AUGUSTIN	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	
$4.39\pm0.09\pm0.66$	2420	AUGUSTIN	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=2
$4.1\ \pm0.3\ \pm0.6$		BLOOM	83	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to 3\gamma +$ hadrons	
$2.9\ \pm1.1$	6	BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	$e^+e^- \to 3\gamma$	
$2.4\ \pm0.7$	57	BARTEL	76	CNTR	$e^+e^- \to 2\gamma\rho$	

[1] ABLIKIM 18O reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\eta'(958) \to \gamma\gamma)] = (1.26 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\eta'(958) \to \gamma\gamma)] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.49 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best values $B(\eta'(958) \to \gamma\gamma) = (2.307 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (34.69 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values. NODE=M070R84;LINKAGE=A

[2] ABLIKIM 11 reports $(4.84 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}]$ / $[B(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta)]$ / $[B(\eta \to 2\gamma)]$ assuming $B(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta) = (43.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = (39.31 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best values $B(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta) = (42.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values. NODE=M070R84;LINKAGE=AB



$\Gamma(\gamma\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{total}$ (units 10^{-3})

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(980) \to \gamma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{271}/Γ

NODE=M070P90
NODE=M070P90

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.3±0.2	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(980) \to \gamma K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{272}/Γ

NODE=M070P91
NODE=M070P91

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.8±0.3	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma\rho\rho)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{273}/Γ

NODE=M070R94
NODE=M070R94

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.5 ±0.8 OUR AVERAGE					
4.7 ±0.3 ±0.9		[1] BALTRUSAIT...	86B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$
3.75±1.05±1.20		[2] BURKE	82	MRK2	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.09	90	[3] BISELLO	89B		$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$

[1] 4π mass less than 2.0 GeV.
[2] 4π mass less than 2.0 GeV. We have multiplied $2\rho^0$ measurement by 3 to obtain 2ρ.
[3] 4π mass in the range 2.0–25 GeV.

NODE=M070R94;LINKAGE=N
NODE=M070R94;LINKAGE=M
NODE=M070R94;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma\rho\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{274}/Γ

NODE=M070R05
NODE=M070R05

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<5.4 × 10^{-4}	90	ABLIKIM	08A	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\gamma\rho\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{275}/Γ

NODE=M070R06
NODE=M070R06

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<8.8 × 10^{-5}	90	ABLIKIM	08A	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\gamma\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{276}/Γ

NODE=M070R97
NODE=M070R97

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.61±0.33 OUR AVERAGE					
6.0 ±4.8 ±1.8		ABLIKIM	08A	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\pi^+\pi^-$
1.41±0.2 ±0.42	120 ± 17	BISELLO	87	SPEC	e^+e^-, hadronsγ
1.76±0.09±0.45		BALTRUSAIT...	85C	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to$ hadronsγ

$\Gamma(\gamma\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{277}/Γ

NODE=M070R98
NODE=M070R98

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.0±1.2 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.				
7.5±0.6±1.2	168	BAI	90B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma 4K$
3.4±0.8±0.6	33 ± 7	[1] BISELLO	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^- K^0_S K^0_L$
3.1±0.7±0.4		[1] BISELLO	86B	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$

[1] $\phi\phi$ mass less than 2.9 GeV, η_c excluded. NODE=M070R98;LINKAGE=C



$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{278}/Γ

NODE=M070R89
NODE=M070R89

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
2.8 ±0.6 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.				
1.66±0.1 ±0.58	[1,2] BAI	00D	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp$	
3.8 ±0.3 ±0.6	[3] AUGUSTIN	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi$	
4.0 ±0.7 ±1.0	[3] EDWARDS	82E	CBAL	$J/\psi \to K^+ K^- \pi^0 \gamma$	
4.3 ±1.7	[3,4] SCHARRE	80	MRK2	$e^+ e^-$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.78±0.21±0.33	[3,5,6] AUGUSTIN	92	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi$	
0.83±0.13±0.18	[3,7,8] AUGUSTIN	92	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi$	OCCUR=2
$0.66^{+0.17}_{-0.16}{}^{+0.24}_{-0.15}$	[3,6,9] BAI	90C	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$	
$1.03^{+0.21}_{-0.18}{}^{+0.26}_{-0.19}$	[3,8,10] BAI	90C	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$	OCCUR=2

[1] Interference with the $J/\psi(1S)$ radiative transition to the broad $K\overline{K}\pi$ pseudoscalar state around 1800 is $(0.15 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=BD

[2] Interference with $J/\psi \to \gamma f_1(1420)$ is $(-0.03 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}$. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=BE

[3] Includes unknown branching fraction $\eta(1405) \to K\overline{K}\pi$. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=B

[4] Corrected for spin-zero hypothesis for $\eta(1405)$. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=C

[5] From fit to the $a_0(980)\pi$ 0^{-+} partial wave. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=H

[6] $a_0(980)\pi$ mode. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=K9

[7] From fit to the $K^*(892)K$ 0^{-+} partial wave. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=J

[8] $K^* K$ mode. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=K8

[9] From $a_0(980)\pi$ final state. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=D

[10] From $K^*(890)K$ final state. NODE=M070R89;LINKAGE=E



$\Gamma\big(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ (units 10^{-3})

$\Gamma\big(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma\gamma\rho^0\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{279}/Γ

NODE=M070S30
NODE=M070S30

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.78±0.20 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.8.				
1.07±0.17±0.11	[1] BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
0.64±0.12±0.07	[1] COFFMAN	90	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Includes unknown branching fraction $\eta(1405) \to \gamma\rho^0$. NODE=M070S30;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma\big(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{280}/Γ

NODE=M070S29
NODE=M070S29

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.0 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE					
2.6 ±0.7 ±0.4		BAI	99	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
3.38±0.33±0.64		[1] BOLTON	92B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
7.0 ±0.6 ±1.1	261	[2] AUGUSTIN	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Via $a_0(980)\pi$. NODE=M070S29;LINKAGE=RR

[2] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$. NODE=M070S29;LINKAGE=R

$\Gamma\big(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma\rho^0\rho^0\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{281}/Γ

NODE=M070S19
NODE=M070S19

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.7 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3.				
2.1 ±0.4	BUGG	95	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
1.36±0.38	[1,2] BISELLO	89B	DM2	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$

[1] Estimated by us from various fits. NODE=M070S19;LINKAGE=A

[2] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\rho^0\rho^0$. NODE=M070S19;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma\big(\gamma\eta(1405/1475) \to \gamma\gamma\phi\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{282}/Γ

NODE=M070R77
NODE=M070R77

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<82	95		BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma K^+K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
7.03±0.92±0.91		1.3k	[1] ABLIKIM	18I	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$
10.36±1.51±1.54		1.9k	[2] ABLIKIM	18I	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$ OCCUR=2

[1] Constructive interference between the $X(1835)$ and $\eta(1405)/\eta(1475)$ is assumed in a fit to the $\gamma\phi$ invariant mass. NODE=M070R77;LINKAGE=B

[2] Destructive interference between the $X(1835)$ and $\eta(1405)/\eta(1475)$ is assumed in a fit to the $\gamma\phi$ invariant mass. NODE=M070R77;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma\big(\gamma\eta(1405) \to \gamma f_0(980)\pi^0 \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{283}/Γ

NODE=M070Q76
NODE=M070Q76

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.5±0.11±0.11	743	ABLIKIM	12E	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta(1405)$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405) \to \gamma f_0(980)\pi^0 \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{284}/Γ

NODE=M070Q77
NODE=M070Q77

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.10±0.82±0.72	198	ABLIKIM	12E	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta(1405)$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1405) \to \gamma\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{285}/Γ

NODE=M070P38
NODE=M070P38

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.63 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	18O	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1475) \to \gamma\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{286}/Γ

NODE=M070P39
NODE=M070P39

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.86 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	18O	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1760) \to \gamma\rho^0\rho^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{287}/Γ

NODE=M070S20
NODE=M070S20

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.13±0.09	[1,2] BISELLO	89B	DM2	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$

[1] Estimated by us from various fits. NODE=M070S20;LINKAGE=A

[2] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\rho^0\rho^0$. NODE=M070S20;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1760) \to \gamma\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{288}/Γ

NODE=M070R04
NODE=M070R04

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.98±0.08±0.32	1045	ABLIKIM	06H	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\omega$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(1760) \to \gamma\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{289}/Γ

NODE=M070P40
NODE=M070P40

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<4.80 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	18O	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(2225))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{290}/Γ

NODE=M070S21
NODE=M070S21

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$3.14^{+0.50}_{-0.19}$ OUR AVERAGE					
$2.40\pm0.10^{+2.47}_{-0.18}$		[1,2] ABLIKIM	16N	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-K^+K^-$
$4.4\pm0.4\pm0.8$	196	[2] ABLIKIM	08I	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-K^0_S K^0_L$
$3.3\pm0.8\pm0.5$		[2] BAI	90B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-K^+K^-$
$2.7\pm0.6\pm0.6$		[2] BAI	90B	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-K^0_S K^0_L$ (OCCUR=2)
$2.4\ ^{+1.5}_{-1.0}$		[3,4] BISELLO	89B	DM2	$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$

[1] From a partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \to \gamma\phi\phi$ that also finds significant signals for for $\eta(2100)$, 0^{-+} phase space, $f_0(2100)$, $f_2(2010)$, $f_2(2300)$, $f_2(2340)$, and a previously unseen 0^{-+} state $X(2500)$ ($M = 2470^{+15}_{-19}{}^{+101}_{-23}$ MeV, $\Gamma = 230^{+64}_{-35}{}^{+56}_{-33}$ MeV). NODE=M070S21;LINKAGE=C

[2] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\phi\phi$. NODE=M070S21;LINKAGE=U

[3] Estimated by us from various fits. NODE=M070S21;LINKAGE=A

[4] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\rho^0\rho^0$. NODE=M070S21;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{291}/Γ

NODE=M070R86
NODE=M070R86

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.63±0.12 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.			
$2.07\pm0.16^{+0.02}_{-0.07}$	2.4k	[1,2] DOBBS	15		$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi\pi$
$1.63\pm0.26^{+0.02}_{-0.05}$		[3] ABLIKIM	06V	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
$1.42\pm0.21^{+0.02}_{-0.05}$		[4] ABLIKIM	06V	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$ (OCCUR=2)
$1.33\pm0.05\pm0.20$		[5] AUGUSTIN	87	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
$1.36\pm0.09\pm0.23$		[5] BALTRUSAIT...	87	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
$1.48\pm0.25\pm0.30$	178	EDWARDS	82B	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to 2\pi^0\gamma$
2.0 ± 0.7	35	ALEXANDER	78	PLUT	e^+e^-
1.2 ± 0.6	30	[6] BRANDELIK	78B	DASP	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

[1] Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=A

[2] DOBBS 15 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi)] = (1.744 \pm 0.052 \pm 0.122) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi) = (84.3^{+2.8}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=DO

[3] ABLIKIM 06V reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi)] = (1.371 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.222) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi)$ NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=AI

$= (84.3^{+2.8}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[4] ABLIKIM 06V reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi)] = (1.200 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.174) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi) = (84.3^{+2.8}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=AL

[5] Estimated using $B(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi)$=0.843 ± 0.012. The errors do not contain the uncertainty in the $f_2(1270)$ decay. NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=X

[6] Restated by us to take account of spread of E1, M2, E3 transitions. NODE=M070R86;LINKAGE=T



$\Gamma\left(\gamma f_2(1270)\right)/\Gamma_{total}$ (units 10^{-3})

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270) \rightarrow \gamma K^0_S K^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{292}/Γ

NODE=M070P68
NODE=M070P68

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
$\mathbf{2.58^{+0.08}_{-0.09}{}^{+0.59}_{-0.20}}$	ABLIKIM	18AA	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_1(1285))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{293}/Γ

NODE=M070R88
NODE=M070R88

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.61 ±0.08 OUR AVERAGE				
0.69 ±0.16 ±0.20	[1] BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\rho^0$
0.61 ±0.04 ±0.21	[2] BAI	00D	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^{\pm} K^0_S \pi^{\mp}$
0.45 ±0.09 ±0.17	[3] BAI	99	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.625±0.063±0.103	[4] BOLTON	92	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285)$
0.70 ±0.08 ±0.16	[5] BOLTON	92B	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Assuming $B(f_1(1285) \rightarrow \rho^0\gamma) = 0.055 \pm 0.013$. NODE=M070R88;LINKAGE=BI

[2] Assuming $\Gamma(f_1(1285) \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{total} = 0.090 \pm 0.004$. NODE=M070R88;LINKAGE=BD

[3] Assuming $\Gamma(f_1(1285) \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ =0.5 ± 0.18. NODE=M070R88;LINKAGE=BA

[4] Obtained summing the sequential decay channels NODE=M070R88;LINKAGE=B
$B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285), f_1(1285) \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi\pi) = (1.44 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-4}$;
$B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285), f_1(1285) \rightarrow a_0(980)\pi, a_0(980) \rightarrow \eta\pi) = (3.90 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.87) \times 10^{-4}$;
$B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285), f_1(1285) \rightarrow a_0(980)\pi, a_0(980) \rightarrow K\overline{K}) = (0.66 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-4}$;
$B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285), f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma\rho^0) = (0.25 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4}$.

[5] Using $B(f_1(1285) \rightarrow a_0(980)\pi) = 0.37$, and including unknown branching ratio for $a_0(980) \rightarrow \eta\pi$. NODE=M070R88;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1370) \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{294}/Γ

NODE=M070P92
NODE=M070P92

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
38±10	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1370) \to \gamma K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{295}/Γ

NODE=M070R00

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.19±0.73±1.34	478	[1] DOBBS	15		$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.3 ±0.4		SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma (\pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

[1] Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M070R00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1370) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{296}/Γ

NODE=M070P63

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.07^{+0.08}_{-0.07}{}^{+0.36}_{-0.34}$	ABLIKIM	18AA	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1370) \to \gamma\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{297}/Γ

NODE=M070P93

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3.5±1.0	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1370) \to \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{298}/Γ

NODE=M070P94

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.9±0.3	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_1(1420) \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{299}/Γ

NODE=M070S31

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.79±0.13 OUR AVERAGE				
0.68±0.04±0.24	BAI	00D	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^{\pm} K^0_S \pi^{\mp}$
0.76±0.15±0.21	[1,2] AUGUSTIN	92	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi$
$0.87\pm0.14^{+0.14}_{-0.11}$	[1] BAI	90C	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$

OCCUR=2

[1] Included unknown branching fraction $f_1(1420) \to K\overline{K}\pi$.

NODE=M070S31;LINKAGE=A

[2] From fit to the $K^*(892)K$ 1^{++} partial wave.

NODE=M070S31;LINKAGE=D

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1500) \to \gamma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{300}/Γ

NODE=M070S32

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.09±0.24 OUR AVERAGE					
1.21±0.29±0.24	174	[1] DOBBS	15		$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi\pi$
1.00±0.03±0.45		[2] ABLIKIM	06V	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1.02±0.09±0.45		[2] ABLIKIM	06V	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.90±0.17		SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma (\pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$
5.7 ±0.8		[3,4] BUGG	95	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$

OCCUR=2

[1] Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M070S32;LINKAGE=C

[2] Including unknown branching fraction to $\pi\pi$.

NODE=M070S32;LINKAGE=AB

[3] Including unknown branching ratio for $f_0(1500) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$.

NODE=M070S32;LINKAGE=A

[4] Assuming that $f_0(1500)$ decays only to two S-wave dipions.

NODE=M070S32;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1500) \to \gamma\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{301}/Γ

NODE=M070S83

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.65^{+0.26}_{-0.31}{}^{+0.51}_{-1.40}$	5.5k	[1] ABLIKIM	13N	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.1 ±0.4		SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma (\pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

[1] From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++}, 2^{++}, and 4^{++} resonances.

NODE=M070S83;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1500) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{302}/Γ

NODE=M070P64

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.59\pm0.16^{+0.18}_{-0.56}$	ABLIKIM	18AA	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.7 ±0.3	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma (\pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1500) \to \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{303}/Γ

NODE=M070P99

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$18.1\pm1.1^{+1.9}_{-1.3}$	[1] ABLIKIM	22AS	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$
12 ± 5	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$

[1] From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \to \eta\eta'$ P-wave.

NODE=M070P99;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_1(1510) \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{304}/Γ

NODE=M070S36

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$4.5\pm1.0\pm0.7$	BAI	99	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\gamma f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{305}/Γ

NODE=M070R87

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$5.7^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.						
$8.0 \pm0.9 \pm0.2$		750	[1,2] DOBBS	15		$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}$
$3.85\pm0.17^{+1.91}_{-0.73}$			[3] BAI	03G	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}$
$3.6 \pm0.4\ ^{+1.4}_{-0.4}$			[3] BAI	96C	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
$5.6 \pm1.4 \pm0.9$			[3] AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
$4.5 \pm0.4 \pm0.9$			[3] AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$
$6.8 \pm1.6 \pm1.4$			[3] BALTRUSAIT...	87	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<3.4	90	4	[4] BRANDELIK	79C	DASP	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
<2.3	90	3	ALEXANDER	78	PLUT	$e^+e^- \to K^+K^-\gamma$

OCCUR=3
OCCUR=4
OCCUR=2

[1] Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M070R87;LINKAGE=B

[2] DOBBS 15 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(f'_2(1525) \to K\overline{K})] = (7.09 \pm 0.46 \pm 0.67) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(f'_2(1525) \to K\overline{K}) = (88.8 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=M070R87;LINKAGE=DO

[3] Using $B(f'_2(1525) \to K\overline{K}) = 0.888$.

NODE=M070R87;LINKAGE=A1

[4] Assuming isotropic production and decay of the $f'_2(1525)$ and isospin.

NODE=M070R87;LINKAGE=I



$\Gamma(\gamma f'_2(1525) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{306}/Γ

NODE=M070P69

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$7.99^{+0.03+0.69}_{-0.04-0.50}$	ABLIKIM	18AA	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$

$\Gamma(\gamma f'_2(1525) \to \gamma\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{307}/Γ

NODE=M070S86

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$3.42^{+0.43+1.37}_{-0.51-1.30}$	5.5k	[1] ABLIKIM	13N	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\eta$

[1] From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++}, 2^{++}, and 4^{++} resonances.

NODE=M070S86;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1565) \to \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{308}/Γ

NODE=M070Q31

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.32\pm0.05^{+0.12}_{-0.02}$	[1] ABLIKIM	22AS	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$

[1] From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \to \eta\eta'$ P-wave.

NODE=M070Q31;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1640) \to \gamma\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{309}/Γ

NODE=M070R02

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.28\pm0.05\pm0.17$	141	ABLIKIM	06H	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\omega$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{310}/Γ

NODE=M070B01

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
3.8 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE						
$3.72\pm0.30\pm0.43$	483	[1] DOBBS	15		$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi\pi$	
$3.96\pm0.06\pm1.12$		[2] ABLIKIM	06V	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$	
$3.99\pm0.15\pm2.64$		[2] ABLIKIM	06V	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$	OCCUR=2
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
0.6 ± 0.2		[3] SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\ (\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$	
$2.5\pm1.6\pm0.8$		BAI	98H	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$	

[1] Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=M070B01;LINKAGE=A

[2] Including unknown branching fraction to $\pi\pi$. NODE=M070B01;LINKAGE=AB

[3] There is a further $(2.4\pm0.8)\times10^{-4}$ scalar contribution at 1765 MeV. NODE=M070B01;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{311}/Γ

NODE=M070R91

$9.5^{+1.0}_{-0.5}$ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
$8.00^{+0.12+1.24}_{-0.08-0.40}$			[1] ABLIKIM	18AA	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$	
$11.76\pm0.54\pm0.94$		1.2k	[2] DOBBS	15		$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}$	
$9.62\pm029^{+3.51}_{-1.86}$			[3] BAI	03G	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}$	
$5.0\pm0.8^{+1.8}_{-0.4}$			[1,4] BAI	96C	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$	
$9.2\pm1.4\pm1.4$			[1] AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$	
$10.4\pm1.2\pm1.6$			[1] AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$	OCCUR=2
$9.6\pm1.2\pm1.8$			[1] BALTRUSAIT...	87	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •							
2.3 ± 0.8			[5] SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\ (\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$	
$1.6\pm0.2^{+0.6}_{-0.2}$			[1,6] BAI	96C	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$	OCCUR=2
<0.8	90		[7] BISELLO	89B		$J/\psi \to 4\pi\gamma$	
$1.6\pm0.4\pm0.3$			[8] BALTRUSAIT...	87	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$	OCCUR=2
3.8 ± 1.6			[9] EDWARDS	82D	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \eta\eta\gamma$	

[1] Includes unknown branching fraction to K^+K^- or $K^0_S K^0_S$. We have multiplied K^+K^- measurement by 2, and $K^0_S K^0_S$ by 4 to obtain $K\overline{K}$ result. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=B

[2] Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=D

[3] Includes unknown branching ratio to K^+K^- or $K^0_S K^0_S$. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=K9

[4] Assuming $J^P = 2^+$ for $f_0(1710)$. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=A1

[5] There is a further $(6\pm2)\times10^{-4}$ scalar contribution at 1765 MeV. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=E

[6] Assuming $J^P = 0^+$ for $f_0(1710)$. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=A2

[7] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\rho^0\rho^0$. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=C

[8] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\pi^+\pi^-$. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=Z

[9] Includes unknown branching fraction to $\eta\eta$. NODE=M070R91;LINKAGE=A



$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{312}/Γ

NODE=M070R01
NODE=M070R01

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.31±0.06±0.08	180	ABLIKIM	06H	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\omega$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{313}/Γ

NODE=M070S84
NODE=M070S84

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{2.35^{+0.13}_{-0.11}{}^{+1.24}_{-0.74}}$	5.5k	[1] ABLIKIM	13N	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.2 ±0.4		[2] SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma (\pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

[1] From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++}, 2^{++}, and 4^{++} resonances.

NODE=M070S84;LINKAGE=A

[2] There is a further $(0.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-4}$ scalar contribution at 1765 MeV.

NODE=M070S84;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{314}/Γ

NODE=M070Q00
NODE=M070Q00

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
6.5±2.5	[1] SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

[1] There is a further $(2.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$ scalar contribution at 1765 MeV.

NODE=M070Q00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma\omega\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{315}/Γ

NODE=M070S79
NODE=M070S79

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.5 ±0.6 OUR AVERAGE					
$2.00\pm0.08^{+1.38}_{-1.64}$	1.3k	ABLIKIM	13J	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\phi$
2.61±0.27±0.65	95	ABLIKIM	06J	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\phi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.1 ±0.1		[1] SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma (\pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

[1] There is a further $(2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ scalar contribution at 1765 MeV.

NODE=M070S79;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1770) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{316}/Γ

NODE=M070P65
NODE=M070P65

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{1.11\pm0.06^{+0.19}_{-0.32}}$	ABLIKIM	18AA	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1810) \to \gamma\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{317}/Γ

NODE=M070S87
NODE=M070S87

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
$\mathbf{5.40^{+0.60}_{-0.67}{}^{+3.42}_{-2.35}}$	5.5k	[1] ABLIKIM	13N	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\eta$

[1] From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++}, 2^{++}, and 4^{++} resonances.

NODE=M070S87;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta_1(1855) \to \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{318}/Γ

NODE=M070Q44

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$2.70\pm0.41^{+0.16}_{-0.35}$	[1] ABLIKIM	22AI	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$

[1] From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and the resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \to \eta\eta'$ P-wave. For analysis details see ABLIKIM 22AS.

NODE=M070Q44;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1770) \to \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{319}/Γ

NODE=M070Q30

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.11\pm0.01^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$	[1] ABLIKIM	22AS	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$

[1] From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \to \eta\eta'$ P-wave.

NODE=M070Q30;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1910) \to \gamma\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{320}/Γ

NODE=M070R03

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.20\pm0.04\pm0.13$	151	ABLIKIM	06H	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\omega$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1950) \to \gamma K^*(892)\overline{K}^*(892))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{321}/Γ

NODE=M070B06

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.7\pm0.1\pm0.2$	BAI	00B	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^0 \pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(2010) \to \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{322}/Γ

NODE=M070Q37

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.71\pm0.06^{+0.10}_{-0.06}$	[1] ABLIKIM	22AS	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$

[1] From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \to \eta\eta'$ P-wave.

NODE=M070Q37;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2020) \to \gamma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{323}/Γ

NODE=M070Q01

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
42 ± 10	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2020) \to \gamma K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{324}/Γ

NODE=M070Q02

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
55 ± 25	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2020) \to \gamma\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{325}/Γ

NODE=M070Q03

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
10 ± 10	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2020) \to \gamma\eta'\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{326}/Γ

NODE=M070Q25

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$2.63\pm0.06^{+0.31}_{-0.46}$	[1] ABLIKIM	22C	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'\eta' \to 4/5\gamma 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

[1] From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX), with $X \to \eta'\eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \to \gamma\eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'\eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

NODE=M070Q25;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2020) \to \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{327}/Γ

NODE=M070Q35

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$2.28\pm0.12^{+0.29}_{-0.20}$	[1] ABLIKIM	22AS	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$

[1] From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \to \eta\eta'$ P-wave.

NODE=M070Q35;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_4(2050))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{328}/Γ

NODE=M070S7
NODE=M070S7

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.7±0.5±0.5	[1] BALTRUSAIT...87	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Assuming branching fraction $f_4(2050) \to \pi\pi/$ total $= 0.167$.

NODE=M070S7;LINKAGE=V

$\Gamma(\gamma f_4(2050) \to \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{329}/Γ

NODE=M070Q32
NODE=M070Q32

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.06\pm0.01^{+0.03}_{-0.01}$	[1] ABLIKIM	22AS	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$

[1] From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \to \eta\eta'$ *P*-wave.

NODE=M070Q32;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2100) \to \gamma\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{330}/Γ

NODE=M070S85
NODE=M070S85

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{1.13^{+0.09+0.64}_{-0.10-0.28}}$	5.5k	[1] ABLIKIM	13N	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.8 ±1.5		SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

[1] From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++}, 2^{++}, and 4^{++} resonances.

NODE=M070S85;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2100) \to \gamma K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{331}/Γ

NODE=M070Q04
NODE=M070Q04

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
32±20	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2100) \to \gamma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{332}/Γ

NODE=M070B08
NODE=M070B08

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.24±0.48±0.87	744	[1] DOBBS	15		$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.0 ±0.8		SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

[1] Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M070B08;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2200))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{333}/Γ

NODE=M070S18
NODE=M070S18

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.5	[1] AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$

[1] Includes unknown branching fraction to $K^0_S K^0_S$.

NODE=M070S18;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2200) \to \gamma K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{334}/Γ

NODE=M070B09
NODE=M070B09

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.86±0.49±1.20	490	[1] DOBBS	15		$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.5 ±0.5		SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

[1] Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

NODE=M070B09;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2200) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{335}/Γ

NODE=M070P66
NODE=M070P66

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{2.72^{+0.08+0.17}_{-0.06-0.47}}$	ABLIKIM	18AA	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2200) \to \gamma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{336}/Γ

NODE=M070Q05
NODE=M070Q05

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5±2	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2200) \to \gamma\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{337}/Γ

NODE=M070Q06
NODE=M070Q06

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.7±0.4	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta, \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{338}/Γ

NODE=M070R92
NODE=M070R92

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>300			[1] BAI	96B	BES	$e^+e^- \to \gamma\overline{p}p,\ K\overline{K}$
>250	99.9		[2] HASAN	96	SPEC	$\overline{p}p \to \pi^+\pi^-$
< 2.3	95		[3] AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
< 1.6	95		[3] AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$
$12.4^{+6.4}_{-5.2}\pm2.8$		23	[3] BALTRUSAIT...	86D	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$
$8.4^{+3.4}_{-2.8}\pm1.6$		93	[3] BALTRUSAIT...	86D	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$

OCCUR=2
OCCUR=2

[1] Using BARNES 93. NODE=M070R92;LINKAGE=A
[2] Using BAI 96B. NODE=M070R92;LINKAGE=M
[3] Includes unknown branching fraction to K^+K^- or $K^0_S K^0_S$. NODE=M070R92;LINKAGE=W

$\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220) \to \gamma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{339}/Γ

NODE=M070B02
NODE=M070B02

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 3.9	90	[1,2] DOBBS	15		$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
14 ±8 ±4		BAI	98H	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$
8.4±2.6±3.0		BAI	96B	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=M070B02;LINKAGE=A
[2] For $\Gamma = 20/50$ MeV, the 90% CL upper limits for $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$ are $2.6/5.2 \times 10^{-5}$ and $1.3/1.9 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively. NODE=M070B02;LINKAGE=DO

$\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220) \to \gamma K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{340}/Γ

NODE=M070B03
NODE=M070B03

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 4.1	90	[1,2] DOBBS	15		$J/\psi \to \gamma K\overline{K}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 3.6		[3] DEL-AMO-SA...	10O	BABR	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
< 2.9		[3] DEL-AMO-SA...	10O	BABR	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$
6.6±2.9±2.4		BAI	96B	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
10.8±4.0±3.2		BAI	96B	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$

OCCUR=2
OCCUR=2

[1] Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration. NODE=M070B03;LINKAGE=A
[2] For $\Gamma = 20/50$ MeV, the 90% CL upper limits for K^+K^- and $K^0_S K^0_S$ are $1.7/3.1\times10^{-5}$ and $1.2/2.0 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively. NODE=M070B03;LINKAGE=DO
[3] For spin 2 and helicity 0; other combinations lead to more stringent upper limits. NODE=M070B03;LINKAGE=DE

$\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220) \to \gamma p\overline{p})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{341}/Γ

NODE=M070B04
NODE=M070B04

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.5±0.6±0.5	BAI	96B	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to \gamma p\overline{p}$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2330) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{342}/Γ

NODE=M070P67
NODE=M070P67

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{4.95\pm0.21^{+0.66}_{-0.72}}$	ABLIKIM	18AA	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.6 ±0.1	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\ (\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2330) \to \gamma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{343}/Γ

NODE=M070Q07
NODE=M070Q07

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4±2	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2330) \to \gamma\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{344}/Γ

NODE=M070Q08
NODE=M070Q08

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.5±0.4	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma(\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2330) \to \gamma\eta'\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{345}/Γ

NODE=M070Q26
NODE=M070Q26

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$6.09\pm0.64^{+4.00}_{-1.68}$	[1] ABLIKIM 22C	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'\eta' \to 4/5\gamma 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

[1] From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX), with $X \to \eta'\eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \to \gamma\eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'\eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

NODE=M070Q26;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2330) \to \gamma\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{346}/Γ

NODE=M070Q36
NODE=M070Q36

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.10\pm0.02^{+0.01}_{-0.02}$	[1] ABLIKIM 22AS	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta\eta'$

[1] From a Breit-Wigner fit involving 9 resonances and a resonating exotic $\eta_1(1855) \to \eta\eta'$ P-wave.

NODE=M070Q36;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(2340) \to \gamma\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{347}/Γ

NODE=M070S88
NODE=M070S88

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.60^{+0.62+2.37}_{-0.65-2.07}$	5.5k	[1] ABLIKIM 13N	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\eta$

[1] From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++}, 2^{++}, and 4^{++} resonances.

NODE=M070S88;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(2340) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{348}/Γ

NODE=M070P70
NODE=M070P70

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.54^{+0.34+3.82}_{-0.40-1.49}$	ABLIKIM 18AA	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(2340) \to \gamma\eta'\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{349}/Γ

NODE=M070Q29
NODE=M070Q29

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$8.67\pm0.70^{+0.61}_{-1.67}$	[1] ABLIKIM 22C	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'\eta' \to 4/5\gamma 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

[1] From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX), with $X \to \eta'\eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \to \gamma\eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'\eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

NODE=M070Q29;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2470) \to \gamma\eta'\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{350}/Γ

NODE=M070Q27
NODE=M070Q27

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$8.18\pm1.77^{+3.73}_{-2.23}$	[1] ABLIKIM 22C	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'\eta' \to 4/5\gamma 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

[1] From a partial wave analysis of the systems (γX), with $X \to \eta'\eta'$, and $(\eta' X)$, with $X \to \gamma\eta'$ in the decay $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'\eta'$. The intermediate resonance X is parametrized by a constant-width, relativistic Breit-Wigner.

NODE=M070Q27;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma X(1835) \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{351}/Γ

NODE=M070R78
NODE=M070R78

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.7^{+0.6}_{-0.8}$ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.6.				
$3.93\pm0.38^{+0.31}_{-0.84}$		[1] ABLIKIM 16J	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
$2.2 \pm0.4 \pm0.4$	264	ABLIKIM 05R	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$2.87\pm0.09^{+0.49}_{-0.52}$	4265	[2] ABLIKIM 11C	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$

[1] From a fit of the measured $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ lineshape that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\overline{p}$ threshold with a Flatte formula in addition to known backgrounds and contributors, as well as an *ad hoc* Breit-Wigner (M $\approx$ 1919 MeV; Γ $\approx$ 51 MeV) that is required for a good fit. Another explanation for the distortion provided by ABLIKIM 16J is that a second resonance near 1870 MeV interferes with the $X(1835)$; fits to this possibility yield product branching fraction values compatible with that shown within the respective systematic uncertainties.

NODE=M070R78;LINKAGE=A

[2] From a fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma f_1(1510)$, $\gamma X(1835)$, and two states $\gamma X(2120)$ and $\gamma\eta(2370)$, for $M(\pi^+\pi^-\eta') < 2.8$ GeV,and accounting for backgrounds from non-η' events and $J/\psi \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$.

NODE=M070R78;LINKAGE=AI

$\Gamma(\gamma X(1835) \to \gamma p \overline{p})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{352}/Γ

NODE=M070S71
NODE=M070S71

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.77^{+0.15}_{-0.09}$ OUR AVERAGE					
$0.90^{+0.04}_{-0.11}{}^{+0.27}_{-0.55}$		[1] ABLIKIM	12D	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma p \overline{p}$
$1.14^{+0.43}_{-0.30}{}^{+0.42}_{-0.26}$	231	[2] ALEXANDER	10	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma p \overline{p}$
$0.70 \pm 0.04^{+0.19}_{-0.08}$		BAI	03F	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma p \overline{p}$

[1] From the fit including final state interaction effects in isospin 0 S-wave according to SIBIRTSEV 05A. NODE=M070S71;LINKAGE=AK

[2] From a fit of the $p\overline{p}$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma X(1835)$, γR with $M(R)$ = 2100 MeV and $\Gamma(R)$ = 160 MeV, and $\gamma p \overline{p}$ phase space, for $M(p\overline{p}) < 2.85$ GeV. NODE=M070S71;LINKAGE=AL

$\Gamma(\gamma X(1835) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S \eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{353}/Γ

NODE=M070S96
NODE=M070S96

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$3.31^{+0.33}_{-0.30}{}^{+1.96}_{-1.29}$	ABLIKIM	15T	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S \eta$

$\Gamma(\gamma X(1835) \to \gamma\gamma\phi(1020))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{354}/Γ

NODE=M070P37
NODE=M070P37

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$1.77 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.25$	305	[1] ABLIKIM	18I	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$
$8.09 \pm 1.99 \pm 1.36$	1.3k	[2] ABLIKIM	18I	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$

OCCUR=2

[1] Constructive interference between the $X(1835)$ and $\eta(1405)/\eta(1475)$ is assumed in a fit to the $\gamma\phi$ invariant mass. NODE=M070P37;LINKAGE=A

[2] Destructive interference between the $X(1835)$ and $\eta(1405)/\eta(1475)$ is assumed in a fit to the $\gamma\phi$ invariant mass. NODE=M070P37;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\gamma X(1835) \to \gamma\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{355}/Γ

NODE=M070P41
NODE=M070P41

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<3.56 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	18O	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma X(1835) \to \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{356}/Γ

NODE=M070S82
NODE=M070S82

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$2.44 \pm 0.36^{+0.60}_{-0.74}$	0.6k	ABLIKIM	13U	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(2370) \to \gamma K^+ K^- \eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{357}/Γ

NODE=M070P86
NODE=M070P86

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.79 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.65$	ABLIKIM	20Q	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^- \eta'$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(2370) \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S \eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{358}/Γ

NODE=M070P87
NODE=M070P87

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.18 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.39$	ABLIKIM	20Q	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S \eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1.31 \pm 0.22^{+2.85}_{-0.84}$	[1] ABLIKIM	24	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S \eta'$

[1] Decaying via the intermediate $f_0(980)\eta'$, fitted together with $X(1835)$, a 600 MeV broad structure around 2.8 GeV, and the tail of the $\eta_c(1S)$. NODE=M070P87;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta(2370) \to \gamma\eta\eta\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{359}/Γ

NODE=M070P88
NODE=M070P88

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<9.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	21C	BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\eta\eta\eta'$

$\Gamma(\gamma D^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{360}/Γ

NODE=M070Q79
NODE=M070Q79

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<9.1 \times 10^{-8}$	90	ABLIKIM	24BZ	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi(1S)$

$\Gamma(\gamma p \overline{p})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{361}/Γ

NODE=M070R90
NODE=M070R90

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.38 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.07$		49	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.11	90		PERUZZI	78	MRK1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\gamma p\overline{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{362}/Γ

NODE=M070R93

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.79 × 10⁻³	90	EATON	84	MRK2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\gamma\Lambda\overline{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{363}/Γ

NODE=M070S8

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<0.13\times10^{-3}$	90	HENRARD	87	DM2	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<0.16\times10^{-3}$	90	BAI	98G	BES	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\gamma A^0\to\gamma\,\text{invisible})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{364}/Γ

NODE=M070S68

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.7\times10^{-6}$	90	88M	[1] ABLIKIM	20K	BES3	$\psi(2S)\to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
$<6.3\times10^{-6}$	90	3.7M	[2] INSLER	10	CLEO	$\psi(2S)\to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] For a narrow state, A^0, with mass m_{A^0} < 1.2 GeV. The limit varies with m_{A^0}, reaching its largest value of 1.7×10^{-6} at 1.2 GeV and being 7.0×10^{-7} for $m_{A^0}=0$. NODE=M070S68;LINKAGE=A

[2] The limit varies with mass m_{A^0} of a narrow state A^0 and is 4.3×10^{-6} for $m_{A^0}=0$, reaches its largest value of 6.3×10^{-6} at $m_{A^0}=500$ MeV, and is 3.6×10^{-6} at $m_{A^0}=960$ MeV. NODE=M070S68;LINKAGE=IN

$\Gamma(\gamma A^0\to\gamma\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{365}/Γ

NODE=M070Q68

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<4.9\times10^{-7}$	95	[1] ABLIKIM	24AD	BES3	$J/\psi\to\gamma\gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.8\times10^{-6}$	95	[2] ABLIKIM	23E	BES3	$J/\psi\to\gamma\gamma\gamma$

[1] For a light pseudoscalar axion-like particle, A^0, with a mass in the range 0.18–2.85 GeV. The measured 95% CL limit as a function of m_{A^0} ranges from 3.7×10^{-8} to 4.85×10^{-7}. NODE=M070Q68;LINKAGE=B

[2] For a light pseudoscalar axion-like particle, A^0, with a mass in the range 0.165–2.84 GeV. The measured 95% CL limit as a function of m_{A^0} ranges from 8.3×10^{-8} to 1.8×10^{-6}. NODE=M070Q68;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\gamma A^0\to\gamma\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{366}/Γ
(narrow state A^0 with 0.2 GeV $<m_{A^0}<$ 3 GeV)

NODE=M070S76

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<7.8\times10^{-7}$	90	[1] ABLIKIM	22H	BES3	$J/\psi\to\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<0.5\times10^{-5}$	90	[2] ABLIKIM	16E	BES3	$J/\psi\to\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$
$<2.1\times10^{-5}$	90	[3] ABLIKIM	12	BES3	$J/\psi\to\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$

[1] For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0, with a mass in the range 0.212–3.0 GeV. The measured 90% CL limit as a function of m_{A^0} is in the range $(1.2–778.0)\times10^{-9}$. NODE=M070S76;LINKAGE=B

[2] For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0, with a mass in the range 0.212–3 GeV. The measured 90% CL limit as a function of m_{A^0} is in the range $(2.8–495.3)\times10^{-8}$. NODE=M070S76;LINKAGE=A

[3] For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0, with a mass in the range 0.21–3.00 GeV. The measured 90% CL limit as a function of m_{A^0} ranges from 4×10^{-7} to 2.1×10^{-5}. NODE=M070S76;LINKAGE=AB

DALITZ DECAYS

NODE=M070330

$\Gamma(\pi^0e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{367}/Γ

NODE=M070S89

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.56±1.32±0.50	39	ABLIKIM	14I	BES3	$J/\psi\to\pi^0e^+e^-$

$\Gamma(\eta e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{368}/Γ

NODE=M070S90

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.42±0.04±0.07	2.47k	[1,2] ABLIKIM	19A	BES3	$J/\psi\to\eta e^+e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.16±0.07±0.06	320	[1] ABLIKIM	14I	BES3	$J/\psi\to\eta e^+e^-$

[1] Using both $\eta\to\gamma\gamma$ and $\eta\to\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays. NODE=M070S90;LINKAGE=A

[2] Approximation of the transition form factor squared as an incoherent sum of the ρ-meson and one-pole non-resonant amplitudes gives the pole mass m(Λ) = 2.56 ± 0.04 ± 0.03 GeV. Supersedes ABLIKIM 14I. NODE=M070S90;LINKAGE=C

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{369}/Γ

NODE=M070S91

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.59±0.07±0.17	8.9k	[1] ABLIKIM	19H	BES3	$J/\psi \to \eta'(958)e^+e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
5.81±0.16±0.31	1.4k	[1,2] ABLIKIM	14I	BES3	$J/\psi \to \eta'(958)e^+e^-$

[1] Using both $\eta' \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ decays. NODE=M070S91;LINKAGE=A

[2] Superseded by ABLIKIM 19H. NODE=M070S91;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\eta(1405)e^+e^- \to f_0(980)\pi^0e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{370}/Γ

NODE=M070Q75

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.04±0.20±0.08	203	[1] ABLIKIM	24I	BES3	$J/\psi \to e^+e^-\eta(1405)$

OCCUR=2

[1] With a significance of 9.8 σ. NODE=M070Q75;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(X(1835)e^+e^-,\ X \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{371}/Γ

NODE=M070Q22

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.58±0.19±0.16	1364	[1] ABLIKIM	22B	BES3	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta'e^+e^-$

[1] Assuming constructive interference. Destructive interference gives a value of $(4.43 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-6}$ for this branching fraction. NODE=M070Q22;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(X(2120)e^+e^-,\ X \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{372}/Γ

NODE=M070Q24

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.82±0.12±0.06	310	ABLIKIM	22B	BES3	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta'e^+e^-$

$\Gamma(\eta(2370)e^+e^-,\ \eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta')/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{373}/Γ

NODE=M070Q23

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.08±0.14±0.10	397	ABLIKIM	22B	BES3	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta'e^+e^-$

$\Gamma(\eta U \to \eta e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{374}/Γ

NODE=M070P42

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<9.11 \times 10^{-7}$	90	[1] ABLIKIM	19A	BES3	$J/\psi \to \eta e^+e^-$

[1] For a dark photon U with mass between 10 and 2400 MeV. Obtained 90% C.L. limits as a function of m_U range from 1.9×10^{-8} to 91.1×10^{-8}. NODE=M070P42;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)U \to \eta'(958)e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{375}/Γ

NODE=M070P61

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.0 \times 10^{-7}$	90	[1] ABLIKIM	19H	BES3	$J/\psi \to \eta'(958)e^+e^-$

[1] For a dark photon U with mass between 100 and 2100 MeV. Obtained 90% C.L. limits as a function of m_U range from 1.8×10^{-8} to 2.0×10^{-7}. The corresponding limits on the branching fraction $J/\psi \to \eta' U$ range from 5.7×10^{-8} to 7.4×10^{-7}. NODE=M070P61;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\phi e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{376}/Γ

NODE=M070P82

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.2	90	[1] ABLIKIM	19AB	BES3	$J/\psi \to \phi e^+e^-$

[1] Using B($\phi \to K^+K^-$) = (48.9 ± 0.5)% and B($\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$) = (34.49 ± 0.30)%. NODE=M070P82;LINKAGE=A

WEAK DECAYS

NODE=M070320

$\Gamma(D^-e^+\nu_e + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{377}/Γ

NODE=M070S53

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<7.1 \times 10^{-8}$	90	ABLIKIM	21Q	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	06M	BES2	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(D^-\mu^+\nu_\mu + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{378}/Γ

NODE=M070Q67

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<5.6 \times 10^{-7}$	90	[1] ABLIKIM	24AM	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

[1] Using B($D^- \to K^+\pi^-\pi^-$) = 9.38 ± 0.16 %. NODE=M070Q67;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0 e^+ e^- + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{379}/Γ

NODE=M070S54

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<8.5 \times 10^{-8}$	90	[1] ABLIKIM	17AF	BES3	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	06M	BES2	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$

[1] Using D^0 decays to $K^- \pi^+$, $K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$, and $K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$.

NODE=M070S54;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(D_s^- e^+ \nu_e + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{380}/Γ

NODE=M070S55

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	14R	BES3	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<3.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] ABLIKIM	06M	BES2	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$

[1] Using $B(D_s^- \to \phi \pi^-) = 4.4 \pm 0.5$ %.

NODE=M070S55;LINKAGE=AB

$\Gamma(D_s^{*-} e^+ \nu_e + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{381}/Γ

NODE=M070B13

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	14R	BES3	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(D^- \pi^+ + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{382}/Γ

NODE=M070S61

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<7.0 \times 10^{-8}$	90	ABLIKIM	24BI	BES3	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<7.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	08J	BES2	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(D^- \rho^+ + c.c)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{383}/Γ

NODE=M070Q63

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<6.0 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	24BI	BES3	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0 \pi^0 + c.c)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{384}/Γ

NODE=M070Q64

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<4.7 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	24BI	BES3	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0 \overline{K}^0 + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{385}/Γ

NODE=M070S62

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	08J	BES2	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0 \overline{K}^{*0} + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{386}/Γ

NODE=M070S93

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	14K	BES3	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0 \eta + c.c)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{387}/Γ

NODE=M070Q65

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<6.8 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	24BI	BES3	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0 \rho^0 + c.c)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{388}/Γ

NODE=M070Q66

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<5.2 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	24BI	BES3	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(D_s^- \pi^+ + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{389}/Γ

NODE=M070S63

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	08J	BES2	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(D_s^- \rho^+ + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{390}/Γ

NODE=M070S92

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	14K	BES3	$e^+ e^- \to J/\psi$

CHARGE CONJUGATION (*C*), PARITY (*P*), LEPTON FAMILY NUMBER (*LF*) VIOLATING MODES

NODE=M070315

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{391}/Γ

NODE=M070R80

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.7 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	14Q	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ADAMS	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	[1] WICHT	08	BELL	$B^\pm \to K^\pm \gamma\gamma$
$< 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	07J	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$
$<50 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BARTEL	77	CNTR	e^+e^-

[1] WICHT 08 reports $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(B^+ \to J/\psi(1S) K^+)] < 0.16 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \to J/\psi(1S) K^+) = 1.020 \times 10^{-3}$. NODE=M070R80;LINKAGE=WI

$\Gamma(\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{392}/Γ

NODE=M070S95
NODE=M070S95

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.4 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	14Q	BES3	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

$\Gamma(e^\pm \mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{393}/Γ

NODE=M070S39
NODE=M070S39

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.6 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	13L	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	BAI	03D	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(e^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{394}/Γ

NODE=M070S40
NODE=M070S40

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<7.5 \times 10^{-8}$	90	ABLIKIM	21M	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<8.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	[1] ABLIKIM	04	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

[1] Superseded by ABLIKIM 21M. NODE=M070S40;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\mu^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{395}/Γ

NODE=M070S41
NODE=M070S41

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.0 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	04	BES	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ e^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{396}/Γ

NODE=M070P74
NODE=M070P74

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<6.9 \times 10^{-8}$	90	ABLIKIM	19AF	BES3	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \to p K^- \pi^+ e^-$ (+ c.c.)

OTHER DECAYS

NODE=M070325

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ — Γ_{397}/Γ_5

NODE=M070S80
NODE=M070S80

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<6.6 \times 10^{-2}$	90	LEES	13I	BABR	$B \to K^{(*)} J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ — Γ_{397}/Γ_7

NODE=M070S60
NODE=M070S60

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.2 \times 10^{-2}$	90	ABLIKIM	08G	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^- X^0 \to \mu^+\mu^- + \text{invisible})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{398}/Γ

NODE=M070Q69
NODE=M070Q69

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<5.5 \times 10^{-7}$	90	[1] ABLIKIM	24G	BES3	$J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^- X^0$(scalar) $\to \mu^+\mu^-$ + invisible
$<9.6 \times 10^{-7}$	90	[2] ABLIKIM	24G	BES3	$J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^- X^0$(vector) $\to \mu^+\mu^-$ + invisible

OCCUR=2

[1] For a light scalar, X^0, with a mass in the range 1–1000 MeV. The measured limit at the 90% credibility level as a function of m_{X^0} ranges from 6.2×10^{-9} to 5.5×10^{-7}. NODE=M070Q69;LINKAGE=A

[2] For a light vector, X^0, with a mass in the range 1–1000 MeV. The measured limit at the 90% credibility level as a function of m_{X^0} ranges from 4.5×10^{-9} to 9.6×10^{-7}. NODE=M070Q69;LINKAGE=B

$J/\psi(1S)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M070

AAIJ	24AE	JHEP 2412 062	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=63039
ABLIKIM	24	PRL 132 181901	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62645
ABLIKIM	24AB	PR D110 032006	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62681
ABLIKIM	24AD	PR D110 L031101	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62683
ABLIKIM	24AM	JHEP 2401 126	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62697
ABLIKIM	24BI	PR D110 032020	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62938
ABLIKIM	24BQ	PR D110 052005	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63020
ABLIKIM	24BZ	PR D110 112012	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63031
ABLIKIM	24CB	PR D110 112014	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=63033
ABLIKIM	24G	PR D109 L031102	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62657
ABLIKIM	24H	PR D109 012006	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62658
ABLIKIM	24I	PR D109 012007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62659
ABLIKIM	24L	PR D109 052006	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62662
HAYRAPETY...	24A	PR D109 L111101	A. Hayrapetyan *et al.*	(CMS Collab.)	REFID=62675
ABLIKIM	23BD	PR D108 092002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62425
ABLIKIM	23BU	PR D108 112012	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62517
ABLIKIM	23E	PL B838 137698	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62047
ABLIKIM	23S	PR D107 072005	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62063
ANASHIN	23	JHEP 2306 196	V.V. Anashin *et al.*	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=62057
GONG	23	PR D107 072008	G. Gong *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=62073
LEES	23	PR D107 072001	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=62061
LIAO	23	PR D107 112007	L. Liao *et al.*		REFID=62279
ZHU	23	PR D107 012006	W. Zhu *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=61911
ABLIKIM	22AI	PRL 129 192002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61881
Also		PR D106 072012	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61891
ABLIKIM	22AS	PR D106 072012	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61891
Also		PR D107 079901 (errat.)	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=62033
ABLIKIM	22AY	PR D106 112007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61902
ABLIKIM	22B	PRL 129 022002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61636
ABLIKIM	22C	PR D105 072002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61637
ABLIKIM	22H	PR D105 012008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61643
ANASHIN	22	EPJ C82 938	V.V. Anashin *et al.*	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=61894
ABLIKIM	21AM	PR D104 092004	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61445
ABLIKIM	21AT	JHEP 2111 226	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61463
ABLIKIM	21C	PR D103 012009	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61030
ABLIKIM	21M	PR D103 112007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61118
ABLIKIM	21Q	JHEP 2106 157	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61125
LEES	21	PR D103 092001	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=61113
LEES	21B	PR D104 112003	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=61450
LEES	21C	PR D104 112004	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=61451
SARANTSEV	21	PL B816 136227	A.V. Sarantsev *et al.*	(BONN, PNPI)	REFID=61091
ABLIKIM	20	PR D101 012004	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60048
ABLIKIM	20K	PR D101 112005	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60316
ABLIKIM	20Q	EPJ C80 746	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=60457
ANASHIN	20	JHEP 2007 112	V.V. Anashin *et al.*	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=60512
PDG	20	PTEP 2020 083C01	P.A. Zyla *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=60676
ABLIKIM	19A	PR D99 012006	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59517
Also		PR D104 099901 (errat.)	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=61449
ABLIKIM	19AB	PR D99 052010	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59846
ABLIKIM	19AC	PR D99 071101	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59850
ABLIKIM	19AF	PR D99 072006	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59857
ABLIKIM	19AN	PR D99 112008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59890
ABLIKIM	19AQ	PR D100 032004	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59909
ABLIKIM	19H	PR D99 012013	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59604
ABLIKIM	19N	PR D99 032006	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59615
ABLIKIM	19Q	PL B791 375	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59747
ABLIKIM	19T	PRL 122 142002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59773
LU	19	PR D99 032003	P.-C. Lu *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=59614
ABLIKIM	18AA	PR D98 072003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59455
ABLIKIM	18AB	PR D98 072005	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=59456
ABLIKIM	18D	PRL 121 022001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58849
ABLIKIM	18I	PR D97 051101	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58893
ABLIKIM	18O	PR D97 072014	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58925
ANASHIN	18A	JHEP 1805 119	V.V. Anashin *et al.*	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=59102
LEES	18	PR D97 052007	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=58900
LEES	18E	PR D98 112015	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=59505
ABLIKIM	17AF	PR D96 111101	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58315
ABLIKIM	17AH	PR D96 112001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58317
ABLIKIM	17AK	PR D96 112012	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=58324
ABLIKIM	17E	PL B770 217	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57903
ABLIKIM	17L	PR D95 052003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57967
LEES	17A	PR D95 052001	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=57966
LEES	17C	PR D95 072007	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=57981
LEES	17D	PR D95 092005	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=57990
ABLIKIM	16E	PR D93 052005	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57265
ABLIKIM	16J	PRL 117 042002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57454
ABLIKIM	16K	PR D93 052010	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57509
ABLIKIM	16L	PR D93 072003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57510
ABLIKIM	16M	PR D93 072008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57511
ABLIKIM	16N	PR D93 112011	M. Ablikim	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57512
ABLIKIM	16P	PR D94 072005	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57522
ABLIKIM	16Q	PL B761 98	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=57566
PDG	16	CP C40 100001	C. Patrignani *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=57140
AAIJ	15BI	EPJ C75 311	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=57147
ABLIKIM	15AE	PR D92 052003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56984
ABLIKIM	15H	PR D91 052017	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56773
ABLIKIM	15K	PR D91 112001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56776
ABLIKIM	15P	PR D92 012007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56781
ABLIKIM	15T	PRL 115 091803	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56785
ANASHIN	15	PL B749 50	V.V. Anashin *et al.*	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=56792
DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs *et al.*	(NWES)	REFID=56805
LEES	15J	PR D92 072008	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=56988
ABLIKIM	14I	PR D89 092008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55900
ABLIKIM	14K	PR D89 071101	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55902
ABLIKIM	14N	PR D90 052009	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55905
ABLIKIM	14Q	PR D90 092002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56238
ABLIKIM	14R	PR D90 112014	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=56388
ANASHIN	14	PL B738 391	V.V. Anashin *et al.*	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=56130
AULCHENKO	14	PL B731 227	V.M. Aulchenko *et al.*	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=55655

LEES	14H	PR D89 092002	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55940
ABLIKIM	13F	PR D87 052007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54920
ABLIKIM	13I	PR D87 032003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54954
ABLIKIM	13J	PR D87 032008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54955
ABLIKIM	13L	PR D87 112007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55300
ABLIKIM	13N	PR D87 092009	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55387
ABLIKIM	13P	PR D87 112004	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55392
ABLIKIM	13R	PR D88 032007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55402
ABLIKIM	13U	PR D88 091502	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=55582
LEES	13I	PR D87 112005	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55161
LEES	13O	PR D87 092005	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55293
LEES	13Q	PR D88 032013	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55404
LEES	13Y	PR D88 072009	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=55589
ABLIKIM	12	PR D85 092012	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54265
ABLIKIM	12B	PR D86 032008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54267
ABLIKIM	12C	PR D86 032014	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54268
ABLIKIM	12D	PRL 108 112003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54269
ABLIKIM	12E	PRL 108 182001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54270
ABLIKIM	12H	PL B710 594	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=54273
ABLIKIM	12P	CP C36 1031	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=54863
LEES	12E	PR D85 112009	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54297
LEES	12F	PR D86 012008	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54298
METREVELI	12	PR D85 092007	Z. Metreveli *et al.*	(NWES, FLOR, WAYN+)	REFID=54304
ABLIKIM	11	PR D83 012003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53646
ABLIKIM	11C	PRL 106 072002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=53684
ABLIKIM	11D	PR D83 032003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	REFID=16715
ABLIKIM	10C	PL B685 27	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=53349
ABLIKIM	10E	PL B693 88	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=53361
ALEXANDER	10	PR D82 092002	J.P. Alexander *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53525
ANASHIN	10	PL B685 134	V.V. Anashin *et al.*	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=53220
DEL-AMO-SA...	10O	PRL 105 172001	P. del Amo Sanchez *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=53533
INSLER	10	PR D81 091101	J. Insler *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=53359
ABLIKIM	09	PL B676 25	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52718
ABLIKIM	09B	PR D80 052004	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=53099
MITCHELL	09	PRL 102 011801	R.E. Mitchell *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52676
PEDLAR	09	PR D79 111101	T.K. Pedlar *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52998
SHEN	09	PR D80 031101	C.P. Shen *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=53000
ABLIKIM	08	EPJ C53 15	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52047
ABLIKIM	08A	PR D77 012001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52128
ABLIKIM	08C	PL B659 789	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52130
ABLIKIM	08E	PR D77 032005	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52143
ABLIKIM	08F	PRL 100 102003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52154
ABLIKIM	08G	PRL 100 192001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52253
ABLIKIM	08I	PL B662 330	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52255
ABLIKIM	08J	PL B663 297	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52256
ABLIKIM	08O	PR D78 092005	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52571
ADAMS	08	PRL 101 101801	G.S. Adams *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52261
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52242
BESSON	08	PR D78 032012	D. Besson *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=52685
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=52166
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=52204
ABLIKIM	07H	PR D76 092003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52046
ABLIKIM	07J	PR D76 117101	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=52072
ANDREOTTI	07	PL B654 74	M. Andreotti *et al.*	(Femilab E835 Collab.)	REFID=51944
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51908
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52049
Also		PR D77 119902E (errat.)	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52266
AUBERT	07BD	PR D76 092006	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52050
ABLIKIM	06	PL B632 181	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50986
ABLIKIM	06C	PL B633 681	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51037
ABLIKIM	06E	PR D73 052008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51057
ABLIKIM	06F	PR D73 052007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51058
ABLIKIM	06H	PR D73 112007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51125
ABLIKIM	06J	PRL 96 162002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51127
ABLIKIM	06K	PRL 97 062001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=51128
ABLIKIM	06M	PL B639 418	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51130
ABLIKIM	06V	PL B642 441	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51507
ADAMS	06A	PR D73 051103	G.S. Adams *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=51036
AUBERT	06	PR D73 011101	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51017
AUBERT	06B	PR D73 012005	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51026
AUBERT	06D	PR D73 052003	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51047
AUBERT,BE	06D	PR D74 091103	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=51511
WU	06	PRL 97 162003	C.-H. Wu *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=51472
ABLIKIM	05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50450
ABLIKIM	05B	PR D71 032003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50496
ABLIKIM	05C	PL B610 192	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50507
ABLIKIM	05H	PR D72 012002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50759
ABLIKIM	05R	PRL 95 262001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50985
AUBERT	05D	PR D71 052001	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50509
LI	05C	PR D71 111103	Z. Li *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=50802
SIBIRTSEV	05A	PR D71 054010	A. Sibirtsev, J. Haidenbauer		REFID=51038
ABLIKIM	04	PL B598 172	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49739
ABLIKIM	04M	PR D70 112008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50329
AUBERT	04	PR D69 011103	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=49611
AUBERT,B	04N	PR D70 072004	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=50184
BAI	04A	PR D69 012003	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49607
BAI	04D	PL B589 7	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49750
BAI	04E	PL B591 42	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49751
BAI	04G	PR D70 012004	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49753
BAI	04H	PR D70 012005	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49754
BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50167
SETH	04	PR D69 097503	K.K. Seth		REFID=49779
AULCHENKO	03	PL B573 63	V.M. Aulchenko *et al.*	(KEDR Collab.)	REFID=49579
BAI	03D	PL B561 49	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49403

BAI	03F	PRL 91 022001	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES II Collab.)	REFID=49473
BAI	03G	PR D68 052003	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49580
HUANG	03	PRL 91 241802	H.-C. Huang *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=49621
BAI	02C	PRL 88 101802	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50506
ARTAMONOV	00	PL B474 427	A.S. Artamonov *et al.*		REFID=47424
BAI	00	PRL 84 594	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50503
BAI	00B	PL B472 200	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47427
BAI	00D	PL B476 25	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47954
BAI	99	PL B446 356	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46606
BAI	99C	PRL 83 1918	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47420
BAI	98D	PR D58 092006	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46338
BAI	98G	PL B424 213	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46341
BAI	98H	PRL 81 1179	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46342
BALDINI	98	PL B444 111	R. Baldini *et al.*	(FENICE Collab.)	REFID=46608
ARMSTRONG	96	PR D54 7067	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(E760 Collab.)	REFID=45146
BAI	96B	PRL 76 3502	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=44736
BAI	96C	PRL 77 3959	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=45169
BAI	96D	PR D54 1221	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=45198
GRIBUSHIN	96	PR D53 4723	A. Gribushin *et al.*	(E672 and E706 Collab.)	REFID=44739
HASAN	96	PL B388 376	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(BRUN, LOQM)	REFID=45197
BAI	95B	PL B355 374	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=44434
BUGG	95	PL B353 378	D.V. Bugg *et al.*	(LOQM, PNPI, WASH)	REFID=44438
ANTONELLI	93	PL B301 317	A. Antonelli *et al.*	(FENICE Collab.)	REFID=43314
ARMSTRONG	93B	PR D47 772	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(FNAL E760 Collab.)	REFID=43307
BARNES	93	PL B309 469	P.D. Barnes *et al.*	(PS185 Collab.)	REFID=43601
AUGUSTIN	92	PR D46 1951	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41584
BOLTON	92	PL B278 495	T. Bolton *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=42175
BOLTON	92B	PRL 69 1328	T. Bolton *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=42176
COFFMAN	92	PRL 68 282	D.M. Coffman *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41866
HSUEH	92	PR D45 2181	S. Hsueh, S. Palestini	(FNAL, TORI)	REFID=41899
AUGUSTIN	90	PR D42 10	J.E. Augustin *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41352
BAI	90B	PRL 65 1309	Z. Bai *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41354
BAI	90C	PRL 65 2507	Z. Bai *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41578
BISELLO	90	PL B241 617	D. Bisello *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41359
COFFMAN	90	PR D41 1410	D.M. Coffman *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=41350
JOUSSET	90	PR D41 1389	J. Jousset *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41349
ALEXANDER	89	NP B320 45	J.P. Alexander *et al.*	(LBL, MICH, SLAC)	REFID=40345
AUGUSTIN	89	NP B320 1	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=41004
BISELLO	89B	PR D39 701	G. Busetto *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=40575
AUGUSTIN	88	PRL 60 2238	J.E. Augustin *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=40574
COFFMAN	88	PR D38 2695	D.M. Coffman *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40346
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard *et al.*	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)	REFID=40576
AUGUSTIN	87	ZPHY C36 369	J.E. Augustin *et al.*	(LALO, CLER, FRAS+)	REFID=40268
BAGLIN	87	NP B286 592	C. Baglin *et al.*	(LAPP, CERN, GENO, LYON+)	REFID=40002
BALTRUSAIT...	87	PR D35 2077	R.M. Baltrusaitis *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40010
BECKER	87	PRL 59 186	J.J. Becker *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40015
BISELLO	87	PL B192 239	D. Bisello *et al.*	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)	REFID=40012
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)	REFID=11616
HENRARD	87	NP B292 670	P. Henrard *et al.*	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)	REFID=40261
PALLIN	87	NP B292 653	D. Pallin *et al.*	(CLER, FRAS, LALO, PADO)	REFID=40243
BALTRUSAIT...	86B	PR D33 1222	R.M. Baltrusaitis *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=22100
BALTRUSAIT...	86D	PRL 56 107	R.M. Baltrusaitis	(CIT, UCSC, ILL, SLAC+)	REFID=21865
BISELLO	86B	PL B179 294	D. Bisello *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=22101
GAISER	86	PR D34 711	J. Gaiser *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=22012
BALTRUSAIT...	85C	PRL 55 1723	R.M. Baltrusaitis *et al.*	(CIT, UCSC+)	REFID=22095
BALTRUSAIT...	85D	PR D32 566	R.M. Baltrusaitis *et al.*	(CIT, UCSC+)	REFID=22097
KURAEV	85	SJNP 41 466	E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin	(NOVO)	REFID=40033
		Translated from YAF 41 733.			
EATON	84	PR D29 804	M.W. Eaton *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22092
BLOOM	83	ARNS 33 143	E.D. Bloom, C. Peck	(SLAC, CIT)	REFID=21682
EDWARDS	83B	PRL 51 859	C. Edwards *et al.*	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21318
FRANKLIN	83	PRL 51 963	M.E.B. Franklin *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22216
BURKE	82	PRL 49 632	D.L. Burke *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=21676
EDWARDS	82B	PR D25 3065	C. Edwards *et al.*	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=22080
EDWARDS	82D	PRL 48 458	C. Edwards *et al.*	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21677
Also		ARNS 33 143	E.D. Bloom, C. Peck	(SLAC, CIT)	REFID=21682
EDWARDS	82E	PRL 49 259	C. Edwards *et al.*	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21314
LEMOIGNE	82	PL 113B 509	Y. Lemoigne *et al.*	(SACL, LOIC, SHMP+)	REFID=22084
BESCH	81	ZPHY C8 1	H.J. Besch *et al.*	(BONN, DESY, MAINZ)	REFID=22077
GIDAL	81	PL 107B 153	G. Gidal *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=20386
PARTRIDGE	80	PRL 44 712	R. Partridge *et al.*	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=22073
SCHARRE	80	PL 97B 329	D.L. Scharre *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=21329
ZHOLENTZ	80	PL 96B 214	A.A. Zholents *et al.*	(NOVO)	REFID=10320
Also		SJNP 34 814	A.A. Zholents *et al.*	(NOVO)	REFID=10321
		Translated from YAF 34 1471.			
BRANDELIK	79C	ZPHY C1 233	R. Brandelik *et al.*	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22114
ALEXANDER	78	PL 72B 493	G. Alexander *et al.*	(DESY, HAMB, SIEG+)	REFID=22065
BESCH	78	PL 78B 347	H.J. Besch *et al.*	(BONN, DESY, MAINZ)	REFID=22066
BRANDELIK	78B	PL 74B 292	R. Brandelik *et al.*	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22067
PERUZZI	78	PR D17 2901	I. Peruzzi *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22068
BARTEL	77	PL 66B 489	W. Bartel *et al.*	(DESY, HEIDP)	REFID=22058
BURMESTER	77D	PL 72B 135	J. Burmester *et al.*	(DESY, HAMB, SIEG+)	REFID=22060
FELDMAN	77	PRPL 33C 285	G.J. Feldman, M.L. Perl	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=22062
VANNUCCI	77	PR D15 1814	F. Vannucci *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL)	REFID=22063
BARTEL	76	PL 64B 483	W. Bartel *et al.*	(DESY, HEIDP)	REFID=22192
BRAUNSCH...	76	PL 63B 487	W. Braunschweig *et al.*	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22054
JEAN-MARIE	76	PRL 36 291	B. Jean-Marie *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL) IG	REFID=22056
BALDINI-...	75	PL 58B 471	R. Baldini-Celio *et al.*	(FRAS, ROMA)	REFID=22026
BOYARSKI	75	PRL 34 1357	A.M. Boyarski *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL) JPC	REFID=22030
DASP	75	PL 56B 491	W. Braunschweig *et al.*	(DASP Collab.)	REFID=22036
ESPOSITO	75B	LNC 14 73	B. Esposito *et al.*	(FRAS, NAPL, PADO+)	REFID=22038
FORD	75	PRL 34 604	R.L. Ford *et al.*	(SLAC, PENN)	REFID=22039