NODE=S000M

NODE=S000M



## $I(J^{PC}) = 0.1(1^{-})$

## $\gamma$ MASS

Results prior to 2008 are critiqued in GOLDHABER 10. All experimental

results published prior to 2005 are summarized in detail by TU 05.

The following conversions are useful: 1 eV = 1.783  $\times$  10  $^{-33}$  g = 1.957  $\times$  10  $^{-6}$   $m_e$ ; 7  $_C=$  (1.973  $\times$  10  $^{-7}$  m)×(1 eV/ $m_\gamma$ ).

VALUE	(eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT	NODE=S000M
<1	× 10 <sup>-18</sup>		$^{ m 1}$ RYUTOV	07	MHD of solar wind	
• • •	We do not use	the follo	wing data for avera	ges, f	its, limits, etc. • • •	
<2.1	$\times$ 10 <sup>-15</sup>	68	<sup>2</sup> WANG	<b>23</b> B	Fast Radio Bursts	
<2.2	$\times 10^{-14}$		<sup>3</sup> BONETTI	17	Fast Radio Bursts, FRB 121102	
<1.8	$\times 10^{-14}$		<sup>4</sup> BONETTI	16	Fast Radio Bursts, FRB 150418	
<1.9	$\times 10^{-15}$		<sup>5</sup> RETINO	16	Ampere's Law in solar wind	
<2.3	$\times$ 10 <sup>-9</sup>	95	<sup>6</sup> EGOROV	14	Lensed quasar position	
			<sup>7</sup> ACCIOLY	10	Anomalous magn. mom.	
<1	$\times 10^{-26}$		<sup>8</sup> ADELBERGER	07A	Proca galactic field	
	nit feasible		<sup>8</sup> ADELBERGER	07A	$\gamma$ as Higgs particle	OCCUR=2
	× 10 <sup>-19</sup>		<sup>9</sup> TU	06	Torque on rotating magnetized toroid	
<1.4	$\times$ 10 <sup>-7</sup>		ACCIOLY	04	Dispersion of GHz radio waves by	
	$\times10^{-16}$		<sup>10</sup> FULLEKRUG	04	sun Speed of 5-50 Hz radiation in at- mosphere	
<7	$\times$ 10 <sup>-19</sup>		<sup>11</sup> LUO	03	Torque on rotating magnetized toroid	
<1	$\times 10^{-17}$		<sup>12</sup> LAKES	98	Torque on toroid balance	
<6	$\times 10^{-17}$		<sup>13</sup> RYUTOV	97	MHD of solar wind	
<8	$\times 10^{-16}$	90	<sup>14</sup> FISCHBACH	94	Earth magnetic field	
	$\times 10^{-13}$		<sup>15</sup> CHERNIKOV	92	Ampere's Law null test	
<1.5	$\times$ 10 <sup>-9</sup>	90	<sup>16</sup> RYAN	85	Coulomb's Law null test	OCCUR=2
<3	$\times 10^{-27}$		<sup>17</sup> CHIBISOV	76	Galactic magnetic field	
<6	$\times 10^{-16}$	99.7	<sup>18</sup> DAVIS	75	Jupiter's magnetic field	
<7.3	$\times 10^{-16}$		HOLLWEG	74	Alfven waves	
<6	$\times 10^{-17}$		<sup>19</sup> FRANKEN	71	Low freq. res. circuit	
< 2.4	$\times 10^{-13}$		<sup>20</sup> KROLL	71A	Dispersion in atmosphere	
<1	$\times 10^{-14}$		<sup>21</sup> WILLIAMS	71	Tests Coulomb's Law	
<2.3	$\times 10^{-15}$		GOLDHABER	68	Satellite data	
$^{1}R$	YUTOV 07 exte	nds the r	nethod of RYUTO	/ 97 t	to the radius of Pluto's orbit.	NODE=S000M:L

YUTOV 07 extends the method of RYUTOV 97 to the radius of Pluto's orbit.

 $^{2}\,\mathrm{WANG}$  23B use fast radio burst photon mass dependent dispersion relation to determine an upper limit of the photon mass.

<sup>3</sup>BONETTI 17 uses frequency-dependent time delays of repeating FRB with welldetermined redshift, assuming the DM is caused by expected dispersion in IGM. There are several uncertainties, leading to mass limit  $2.2 \times 10^{-14}$  eV.

 $^4\,\mathrm{BONETTI}\,16$  uses frequency-dependent time delays of FRB, assuming the DM is caused by expected dispersion in IGM. There are several uncertainties, leading to mass limit  $1.8\times 10^{-14}\ \text{eV},$  if indeed the FRB is at the initially reported redshift.

<sup>5</sup> RETINO 16 looks for deviations from Ampere's law in the solar wind, using Cluster four spacecraft data. Authors quote a range of limits from  $1.9 \times 10^{-15}$  eV to  $7.9 \times 10^{-14}$  eV depending on the assumptions of the vector potential from the interplanetary magnetic field.

<sup>6</sup> EGOROV 14 studies chromatic dispersion of lensed quasar positions ( "gravitational rainbows") that could be produced by any of several mechanisms, among them via photon mass. Limit not competitive but obtained on cosmological distance scales.

 $^{7}\!$  ACCIOLY 10 limits come from possible alterations of anomalous magnetic moment of electron and gravitational deflection of electromagnetic radiation. Reported limits are not "claimed" by the authors and in any case are not competitive.

 $^8\mathrm{When}$  trying to measure m one must distinguish between measurements performed on large and small scales. If the photon acquires mass by the Higgs mechanism, the largescale behavior of the photon might be effectively Maxwellian. If, on the other hand, one postulates the Proca regime for all scales, the very existence of the galactic field implies  $m<10^{-26}~{\rm eV}$ , as correctly calculated by YAMAGUCHI 59 and CHIBISOV 76.

 $^9$  TU 06 continues the work of LUO 03, with extended LAKES 98 method, reporting the improved limit  $\mu^2A=(0.7\pm1.7)\times10^{-13}$  T/m if  $A=0.2~\mu\text{G}$  out to  $4\times10^{22}$ m. Reported result  $\mu=(0.9\pm1.5) imes10^{-52}$  g reduces to the frequentist mass limit  $1.2 \times 10^{-19}$  eV (FELDMAN 98).

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=RU NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=J

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=G

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=I

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=H

NODE=S000M:LINKAGE=EG

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=AC

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=AD

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=TU

 $^{10}$  FULLEKRUG 04 adopted KROLL 71A method with newer and better Schumann resonance data. Result questionable because assumed frequency shift with photon mass is assumed to be linear. It is quadratic according to theorem by GOLDHABER 71B, KROLL 71, and PARK 71.

 $^{11}$ LUO 03 extends LAKES 98 technique to set a limit on  $\mu^2A$ , where  $\mu^{-1}$  is the Compton wavelength  $\lambda_C$  of the massive photon and A is the ambient vector potential. The important departure is that the apparatus rotates, removing sensitivity to the direction of A. They take  $A=10^{12}$  Tm, due to "cluster level fields." But see comment of GOLDHABER 03 and reply by LUO 03B.

12 LAKES 98 reports limits on torque on a toroid Cavendish balance, obtaining a limit on  $\mu^2 A < 2 imes 10^{-9} \, {
m Tm/m^2}$  via the Maxwell-Proca equations, where  $\mu^{-1}$  is the characteristic length associated with the photon mass and A is the ambient vector potential in the Lorentz gauge. Assuming  $A \approx 1 \times 10^{12}\,\mathrm{Tm}$  due to cluster fields he obtains  $\mu^{-1} > 2 \times 10^{10}$  m, corresponding to  $\mu < 1 \times 10^{-17}$  eV. A more conservative limit, using  $A \approx (1 \ \mu\text{G}) \times (600 \ \text{pc})$  based on the galactic field, is  $\mu^{-1} > 1 \times 10^9 \ \text{m}$  or  $\mu < 2 \times 10^{-16} \text{ eV}.$ 

 $^{13}\mathrm{RYUTOV}$  97 uses a magnetohydrodynamics argument concerning survival of the Sun's field to the radius of the Earth's orbit. "To reconcile observations to theory, one has to reduce [the photon mass] by approximately an order of magnitude compared with" per DAVIS 75. "Secure limit, best by this method" (per GOLDHABER 10).

 $^{14}\hspace{-0.04cm}\mathsf{FISCHBACH}$  94 analysis is based on terrestrial magnetic fields; approach analogous to DAVIS 75. Similar result based on a much smaller planet probably follows from more precise B field mapping. "Secure limit, best by this method" (per GOLDHABER 10).

 $^{15}\hspace{0.05cm}\text{CHERNIKOV}$  92, motivated by possibility that photon exhibits mass only below some unknown critical temperature, searches for departure from Ampere's Law at 1.24 K. See also RYAN 85.

 $16\,\mathrm{RYAN}$  85, motivated by possibility that photon exhibits mass only below some unknown critical temperature, sets mass limit at  $<(1.5\pm1.4)\times10^{-42}~\text{g}$  based on Coulomb's Law departure limit at 1.36 K. We report the result as frequentist 90% CL (FELDMAN 98).

 $^{17}\mathrm{CHIBISOV}$  76 depends in critical way on assumptions such as applicability of virial theorem. Some of the arguments given only in unpublished references.

 $^{18}\,\mathrm{DAVIS}$  75 analysis of Pioneer-10 data on Jupiter's magnetic field. "Secure limit, best by this method" (per GOLDHABER 10).

<sup>19</sup> FRANKEN 71 method is of dubious validity (KROLL 71A, JACKSON 99, GOLD-HABER 10, and references therein).

 $^{20}\,\mathrm{KROLL}$  71A used low frequency Schumann resonances in cavity between the conducting earth and resistive ionosphere, overcoming objections to resonant-cavity methods (JACKSON 99, GOLDHABER 10, and references therein). "Secure limit, best by this method" (per GOLDHABER 10).

 $^{21}$  WILLIAMS 71 is landmark test of Coulomb's law. "Secure limit, best by this method" (per GOLDHABER 10).

## $\gamma$ CHARGE

OKUN 06 has argued that schemes in which all photons are charged are inconsistent. He says that if a neutral photon is also admitted to avoid this problem, then other problems emerge, such as those connected with the emission and absorption of charged photons by charged particles. He concludes that in the absence of a self-consistent phenomenological basis, interpretation of experimental data is at best difficult.

VALUE (e)	CHARGE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	NODE=S000Q;CHECK LIM
$<1 \times 10^{-46}$	mixed	$^{ m 1}$ ALTSCHUL	<b>07</b> B	VLBI	Aharonov-Bohm effect	OCCUR=2
$< 1 \times 10^{-35}$	single	<sup>2</sup> CAPRINI	05	CMB	Isotropy constraint	
• • • We do n	ot use the f	following data for a	averag	es, fits,	limits, etc. • • •	
$< 1 \times 10^{-32}$	single	$^{ m 1}$ ALTSCHUL	<b>07</b> B	VLBI	Aharonov-Bohm effect	
$< 3 \times 10^{-33}$	mixed	<sup>3</sup> KOBYCHEV	05	VLBI	Smear as function of $B \cdot E_\gamma$	
$<$ 4 $\times$ 10 <sup>-31</sup>	single				Deflection as function of $\overset{'}{B}\cdotE_{\gamma}$	OCCUR=2
$< 8.5 \times 10^{-17}$		<sup>4</sup> SEMERTZIDIS			Laser light deflection in B-field	
$< 3 \times 10^{-28}$	single	<sup>5</sup> SIVARAM	95	CMB	For $\Omega_M = 0.3$ , $h^2 = 0.5$	
$< 5 \times 10^{-30}$					Pulsar $f_1 - f_2$	
$< 2 \times 10^{-28}$		<sup>7</sup> COCCONI	92		VLBA radio telescope resolution	
$< 2 \times 10^{-32}$		COCCONI	88	TOF	Pulsar $f_1 - f_2$ TOF	
$1_{\text{ALTSCHIII}}$	07P looks	for Abaranay Bal	am nh	aca chi	ft in addition to geometric phase	NODE COOOLINICACE

ALTSCHUL 07B looks for Aharonov-Bohm phase shift in addition to geometric phase shift in radio interference fringes (VSOP mission).

<sup>2</sup>CAPRINI 05 uses isotropy of the cosmic microwave background to place stringent limits on possible charge asymmetry of the Universe. Charge limits are set on the photon, neutrino, and dark matter particles. Valid if charge asymmetries produced by different particles are not anticorrelated.

 $^3$  KOBYCHEV 05 considers a variety of observable effects of photon charge for extragalactic compact radio sources. Best limits if source observed through a foreground cluster of galaxies.

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=LU

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=FU

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=S000M:LINKAGE=RY

NODE=S000M:LINKAGE=C

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=DA

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=KR

NODE=S000M;LINKAGE=WI

NODE=S000Q

NODE=S000Q

MITS

NODE=S000Q;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=S000Q;LINKAGE=CA

NODE=S000Q;LINKAGE=KO

 $^4$  SEMERTZIDIS 03 reports the first laboratory limit on the photon charge in the last 30 years. Straightforward improvements in the apparatus could attain a sensitivity of  $10^{-20}$  e.

 $^5 \, {\rm SIVARAM}$  95 requires that CMB photon charge density not overwhelm gravity. Result scales as  $\Omega_M \, {\rm h}^2.$ 

<sup>6</sup> RAFFELT 94 notes that COCCONI 88 neglects the fact that the time delay due to dispersion by free electrons in the interstellar medium has the same photon energy dependence as that due to bending of a charged photon in the magnetic field. His limit is based on the assumption that the entire observed dispersion is due to photon charge. It is a factor of 200 less stringent than the COCCONI 88 limit.

 $^{7}$  See COCCONI 92 for less stringent limits in other frequency ranges. Also see RAF-FELT 94 note.

NODE=S000Q;LINKAGE=QS

NODE=S000Q;LINKAGE=SI

NODE=S000Q;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S000Q;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S000

## $\gamma$ REFERENCES

BONETTI BONETTI BONETTI RETINO EGOROV ACCIOLY GOLDHABER ALTSCHUL Also RYUTOV OKUN TU CAPRINI KOBYCHEV TU ACCIOLY FULLEKRUG GOLDHABER LUO LUO SEMERTZIDIS JACKSON FELDMAN LAKES RYUTOV SIVARAM FISCHBACH RAFFELT CHERNIKOV Also COCCONI RYAN	07B 07 06 06 05 05 05 04 04 03 03 03B	JCAP 2309 025 PL B768 326 PL B757 548 ASP 82 49 MNRAS 437 L90 PR D82 065026 RMP 82 939 PRL 98 010402 PRL 98 261801 ASP 29 290 PPCF 49 B429 APP B37 565 PL A352 267 JCAP 0502 006 AL 31 147 RPP 68 77 PR D69 107501 PRL 93 043901 PRL 91 149101 PRL 90 081801 PRL 91 149101 PRL 90 107501 PRL 91 149101 PRL 90 107501 PRL 91 149101 PRL 91 149101 PRL 90 107501 PRL 91 149101 PRL 91 149102 PR D67 017701 Classical Electrodynamics PR D57 3873 PRL 80 1826 PPCF 39 A73 AJP 63 473 PRL 73 514 PR D50 7729 PRL 68 3383 PRL 69 2999 (errat.) AJP 60 750 PR D32 802 SPU 19 624 Translated from UFN 119	G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins R. Lakes (WISC) D.D. Ryutov (LLNL) C. Sivaram (BANG) E. Fischbach et al. (PURD, JHU+) G. Raffelt (MPIM) M.A. Chernikov et al. (ETH) M.A. Chernikov et al. (ETH) G. Cocconi (CERN) G. Cocconi (CERN) J.J. Ryan, F. Accetta, R.H. Austin (PRIN) G.V. Chibisov (LEBD)	REFID=62560 REFID=58076 REFID=58076 REFID=57681 REFID=57582 REFID=55420 REFID=53247 REFID=52247 REFID=52247 REFID=52248 REFID=52248 REFID=52693 REFID=52693 REFID=52696 REFID=52696 REFID=52697 REFID=52697 REFID=52697 REFID=52697 REFID=52697 REFID=52695 REFID=493543 REFID=493543 REFID=49543 REFID=49543 REFID=49547 REFID=49547 REFID=49548 REFID=49648 REFID=49648 REFID=49688 REFID=496642 REFID=4009
HOLLWEG FRANKEN GOLDHABER KROLL KROLL PARK WILLIAMS GOLDHABER	75 74 71 71B 71 71A 71 71 68	PRL 35 1402 PRL 32 961 PRL 26 115 RMP 43 277 PRL 26 1395 PRL 27 340 PRL 26 1393 PRL 26 721 PRL 21 567 PTPS 11 37	L. Davis, A.S. Goldhaber, M.M. Nieto (CIT, STON+) J.V. Hollweg (NCAR) P.A. Franken, G.W. Ampulski A.S. Goldhaber, M.M. Nieto (STON, BOHR, UCSB) N.M. Kroll (SLAC) N.M. Kroll (SLAC) D. Park, E.R. Williams (WILC) E.R. Williams, J.E. Faller, H.A. Hill (WESL) A.S. Goldhaber, M.M. Nieto (STON) Y. Yamaguchi	REFID=10008 REFID=10007 REFID=10004 REFID=10011 REFID=10012 REFID=55676 REFID=49737 REFID=10005 REFID=10003 REFID=52250