



$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ Status: ***

Neither J or P has actually been measured.

Ξ_c^0 MASS

The fit uses the Ξ_c^0 and Ξ_c^+ mass and mass-difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

2470.44 ± 0.28 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

2470.99 ± 0.30 OUR AVERAGE

2470.85 ± 0.24 ± 0.55	3.4k	AALTONEN	14B	CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
2471.0 ± 0.3 ± 0.2	8.6k	¹ LESIAK	05	BELL	$e^+ e^-$, $\Upsilon(4S)$
2470.0 ± 2.8 ± 2.6	85	FRABETTI	98B	E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma = 220$ GeV
2469 ± 2 ± 3	9	HENDERSON	92B	CLEO	$\Omega^- K^+$
2472.1 ± 2.7 ± 1.6	54	ALBRECHT	90F	ARG	$e^+ e^-$ at $\Upsilon(4S)$
2473.3 ± 1.9 ± 1.2	4	BARLAG	90	ACCM	$\pi^- (K^-)$ Cu 230 GeV
2472 ± 3 ± 4	19	ALAM	89	CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ 10.6 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2462.1 ± 3.1 ± 1.4	42	² FRABETTI	93C	E687	See FRABETTI 98B
2471 ± 3 ± 4	14	AVERY	89	CLEO	See ALAM 89

¹ The systematic error was (wrongly) given the other way round in LESIAK 05.

² The FRABETTI 93C mass is well below the other measurements.

$\Xi_c^0 - \Xi_c^+$ MASS DIFFERENCE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

2.72 ± 0.23 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

2.91 ± 0.26 OUR AVERAGE

2.85 ± 0.30 ± 0.04	5.1/3.4k	AALTONEN	14B	CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
2.9 ± 0.5		LESIAK	05	BELL	$e^+ e^-$, $\Upsilon(4S)$
7.0 ± 4.5 ± 2.2		ALBRECHT	90F	ARG	$e^+ e^-$ at $\Upsilon(4S)$
6.8 ± 3.3 ± 0.5		BARLAG	90	ACCM	$\pi^- (K^-)$ Cu 230 GeV
5 ± 4 ± 1		ALAM	89	CLEO	$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+, \Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$

Ξ_c^0 MEAN LIFE

VALUE (10^{-15} s)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-----------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

150.4 ± 2.8 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.4.

148.0 ± 2.3 ± 2.2		¹ AAIJ	22Y	LHCb	$p p \rightarrow \Xi_c^0 + X, \Xi_c^0 \rightarrow p K^- K^- \pi^+$
153.4 ± 2.4 ± 0.7	22k	2.3 AAIJ		19AG LHCb	$\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi_c^0 \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu + X, \Xi_c^0 \rightarrow p K^- K^- \pi^+$
118 ± 14 ± 5	110	LINK	02H	FOCS	γ nucleus, ≈ 180 GeV
101 ± 25 ± 5	42	FRABETTI	93C	E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma = 220$ GeV
82 ± 59 ± 30	4	BARLAG	90	ACCM	$\pi^- (K^-)$ Cu 230 GeV

¹ Measured in Ξ_c^0 produced promptly in $p p$ collisions, using $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ as normalisation mode. AAIJ 22Y reports this lifetime value as $(148.0 \pm 2.3 \pm 2.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-15}$ s where the last uncertainty is due to the uncertainty on the D^0 lifetime value from PDG 20 average, $\tau_{D^0} = (410.1 \pm 1.5)$ fs.

² AAIJ 19AG reports $[\Xi_c^0 \text{ MEAN LIFE}] / [D^\pm \text{ MEAN LIFE}] = 0.1485 \pm 0.0017 \pm 0.0016$ which we multiply by our best value $D^\pm \text{ MEAN LIFE} = (1.033 \pm 0.005) \times 10^{-12}$ s. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ Measured in Ξ_c^0 produced in semileptonic Ξ_b^- decays.

NODE=S048

NODE=S048

NODE=S048M

NODE=S048M

NODE=S048M

SYCLP2=G

SYCLP2=D

SYCLP2=C

SYCLP2=B

SYCLP2=A

NODE=S048M;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=S048M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S048D

NODE=S048D

SYCLP2=G

SYCLP2=D

SYCLP2=C

SYCLP2=B

SYCLP2=A

NODE=S048T

NODE=S048T

NODE=S048T;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S048T;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S048T;LINKAGE=C

Ξ_c^0 DECAY MODES

NODE=S048215;NODE=S048

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Cabibbo-favored decays		
$\Gamma_1 p K^- K^- \pi^+$	$(4.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$	NODE=S048;CLUMP=A
$\Gamma_2 p K^- \bar{K}^*(892)^0, \bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$	$(2.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=10
$\Gamma_3 p K^- K^- \pi^+ (\text{no } \bar{K}^{*0})$	$(3.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=2
$\Gamma_4 \Lambda K_S^0$	$(3.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=11
$\Gamma_5 \Lambda K^- \pi^+$	$(1.45 \pm 0.28) \%$	DESIG=6
$\Gamma_6 \Lambda \bar{K}^*(892)^0$	$(2.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=12
$\Gamma_7 \Lambda \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen	DESIG=19
$\Gamma_8 \Lambda K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen	DESIG=8
$\Gamma_9 \Sigma^0 K_S^0$	$(5.4 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=9
$\Gamma_{10} \Sigma^+ K^-$	$(1.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=25
$\Gamma_{11} \Sigma^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0$	$(9.9 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=26
$\Gamma_{12} \Sigma^+ K^*(892)^-$	$(4.9 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=20
$\Gamma_{13} \Xi^- \pi^+$	$(1.43 \pm 0.27) \%$	DESIG=21
$\Gamma_{14} \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(4.8 \pm 2.3) \%$	DESIG=1
$\Gamma_{15} \Xi^0 \pi^0$	$(6.9 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=3
$\Gamma_{16} \Xi^0 \eta$	$(1.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=30
$\Gamma_{17} \Xi^0 \eta'$	$(1.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=31
$\Gamma_{18} \Xi^0 K^+ K^-$		DESIG=32
$\Gamma_{19} \Xi^0 \phi, \phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$	$(5.2 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=22
$\Gamma_{20} \Xi^0 K^+ K^- \text{nonresonant}$	$(5.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=23
$\Gamma_{21} \Omega^- K^+$	$(4.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=24
$\Gamma_{22} \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e$	$(1.05 \pm 0.20) \%$	DESIG=4
$\Gamma_{23} \Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	$(1.01 \pm 0.21) \%$	DESIG=7
$\Gamma_{24} \Xi^0 \gamma$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=18
$\Gamma_{25} \Xi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	DESIG=27
$\Gamma_{26} \Xi^0 e^+ e^-$	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=28
Cabibbo-suppressed decays		
$\Gamma_{27} \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$	$(5.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=29
$\Gamma_{28} \Xi^- K^+$	$(3.9 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=17
$\Gamma_{29} \Lambda K^+ K^- (\text{no } \phi)$	$(4.1 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=13
$\Gamma_{30} \Lambda \phi$	$(4.9 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=14
		DESIG=15

FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 7 branching ratios uses 8 measurements to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 1.4$ for 4 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

x_5	64			
x_{13}	86	74		
x_{27}	64	55	75	
	x_1	x_5	x_{13}	

 Ξ_c^0 BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=S048220

Cabibbo-favored ($S = -2$) decays

$\Gamma(p K^- K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
VALUE (%)	EVTS
0.49 ± 0.10 OUR FIT	
0.58 ± 0.23 ± 0.05	17 ± 5
	LI
	19A BELL $e^+ e^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$

NODE=S048240

NODE=S048R05
NODE=S048R05

$\Gamma(pK^-K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^-\pi^+)$	Γ_1/Γ_{13}	NODE=S048R10 NODE=S048R10
<u>VALUE</u> <u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>	
0.339±0.035 OUR FIT		
0.34 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE		
0.33 ± 0.03 ± 0.03 1908 ± 62	LESIAK 05 BELL e^+e^- , $\gamma(4S)$	
0.35 ± 0.06 ± 0.03 148 ± 18	DANKO 04 CLEO e^+e^-	
$\Gamma(pK^-\bar{K}^*(892)^0, \bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^-\pi^+)$	Γ_2/Γ_{13}	NODE=S048R11 NODE=S048R11
<u>VALUE</u> <u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>	
0.14±0.03±0.01		
	DANKO 04 CLEO e^+e^-	
$\Gamma(pK^-K^-\pi^+(\text{no } \bar{K}^{*0}))/\Gamma(\Xi^-\pi^+)$	Γ_3/Γ_{13}	NODE=S048R12 NODE=S048R12
<u>VALUE</u> <u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>	
0.21±0.04±0.02		
	DANKO 04 CLEO e^+e^-	
$\Gamma(\Lambda K_S^0)/\Gamma(\Xi^-\pi^+)$	Γ_4/Γ_{13}	NODE=S048R6 NODE=S048R6
<u>VALUE</u> <u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>	
0.225±0.013 OUR AVERAGE		
0.229±0.008±0.012 5.6k	LI 21F BELL e^+e^- at $\gamma(nS)$	
0.21 ± 0.02 ± 0.02 465 ± 37	LESIAK 05 BELL e^+e^- , $\gamma(4S)$	
$\Gamma(\Lambda K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_5/Γ	NODE=S048R04 NODE=S048R04
<u>VALUE (%)</u> <u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>	
1.45±0.28 OUR FIT		
1.17±0.37±0.09 24 ± 6	LI 19A BELL e^+e^- at $\gamma(4S)$	
$\Gamma(\Lambda K^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^-\pi^+)$	Γ_5/Γ_{13}	NODE=S048R13 NODE=S048R13
<u>VALUE</u> <u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>	
1.02±0.14 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
1.07±0.12±0.07 2979 ± 211	LESIAK 05 BELL e^+e^- , $\gamma(4S)$	
$\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma(\Xi^-\pi^+)$	Γ_6/Γ_{13}	NODE=S048R08 NODE=S048R08
<u>VALUE</u> <u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>	
0.18±0.02±0.01 4k	JIA 21 BELL e^+e^- at $\gamma(nS)$	
$\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_7/Γ	NODE=S048R8 NODE=S048R8
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>	
seen	FRABETTI 98B E687 γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma = 220$ GeV	
$\Gamma(\Lambda K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_8/Γ	NODE=S048R9 NODE=S048R9
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>	
seen	FRABETTI 98B E687 γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma = 220$ GeV	
$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma(\Xi^-\pi^+)$	Γ_9/Γ_{13}	NODE=S048R18 NODE=S048R18
<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u> <u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>	
3.8±0.6±0.4 279	LI 21F BELL e^+e^- at $\gamma(nS)$	
$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ K^-)/\Gamma(\Xi^-\pi^+)$	Γ_{10}/Γ_{13}	NODE=S048R19 NODE=S048R19
<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u> <u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>	
12.3±0.7±1.0 889	LI 21F BELL e^+e^- at $\gamma(nS)$	
$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma(\Xi^-\pi^+)$	Γ_{11}/Γ_{13}	NODE=S048R09 NODE=S048R09
<u>VALUE</u> <u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>	
0.69±0.03±0.03 6.3k	JIA 21 BELL e^+e^- at $\gamma(nS)$	
$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ K^*(892)^-)/\Gamma(\Xi^-\pi^+)$	Γ_{12}/Γ_{13}	NODE=S048R14 NODE=S048R14
<u>VALUE</u> <u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>	
0.34±0.06±0.02 373	JIA 21 BELL e^+e^- at $\gamma(nS)$	
$\Gamma(\Xi^-\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_{13}/Γ	NODE=S048R00 NODE=S048R00
<u>VALUE (%)</u> <u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>	
1.43±0.27 OUR FIT		
1.80±0.50±0.14 45 ± 7	LI 19A BELL e^+e^- at $\gamma(4S)$	
$\Gamma(\Xi^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)$	Γ_{13}/Γ_{14}	NODE=S048R1 NODE=S048R1
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>	
0.30±0.12±0.05	ALBRECHT 90F ARG e^+e^- at $\gamma(4S)$	

$\Gamma(\Omega^- K^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$					Γ_{21}/Γ_{13}	
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		NODE=S048R2 NODE=S048R2
0.294±0.018±0.016	650	AUBERT,B	05M BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$		
$\Gamma(\Xi^0 \phi, \phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$					Γ_{19}/Γ_{13}	
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		NODE=S048R15 NODE=S048R15
0.036±0.004±0.002	311	1 MCNEIL	21 BELL	$e^+ e^- \text{ at } \gamma(nS)$		
1 MCNEIL 21 assumes an azimuthally symmetric amplitude model to recover resonant and nonresonant contributions to $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^+ K^-$.						NODE=S048R15;LINKAGE=A
$\Gamma(\Xi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$					Γ_{15}/Γ_{13}	
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		NODE=S048R24 NODE=S048R24
0.48±0.02±0.03	2.2k	1 ADACHI	24S BEL2	$e^+ e^- \text{ at } \sim \gamma(nS)$		
1 Analysis of Belle and Belle II data samples.						NODE=S048R24;LINKAGE=A
$\Gamma(\Xi^0 \eta)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$					Γ_{16}/Γ_{13}	
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		NODE=S048R25 NODE=S048R25
0.11±0.01±0.01	0.14k	1 ADACHI	24S BEL2	$e^+ e^- \text{ at } \sim \gamma(nS)$		
1 Analysis of Belle and Belle II data samples.						NODE=S048R25;LINKAGE=A
$\Gamma(\Xi^0 \eta')/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$					Γ_{17}/Γ_{13}	
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		NODE=S048R26 NODE=S048R26
0.08±0.02±0.01	31	1 ADACHI	24S BEL2	$e^+ e^- \text{ at } \sim \gamma(nS)$		
1 Analysis of Belle and Belle II data samples.						NODE=S048R26;LINKAGE=A
$\Gamma(\Xi^0 K^+ K^- \text{ nonresonant})/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$					Γ_{20}/Γ_{13}	
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		NODE=S048R16 NODE=S048R16
0.039±0.004±0.002	311	1 MCNEIL	21 BELL	$e^+ e^- \text{ at } \gamma(nS)$		
1 MCNEIL 21 assumes an azimuthally symmetric amplitude model to recover resonant and nonresonant contributions to $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^+ K^-$.						NODE=S048R16;LINKAGE=A
$\Gamma(\Xi^- e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$					Γ_{22}/Γ_{13}	
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		NODE=S048R7 NODE=S048R7
0.730±0.021±0.039	1 LI	21C BELL	$e^+ e^- \text{ at } 10.52, 10.58 \text{ GeV}$			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1.38 ± 0.14 ± 0.22		ACHARYA	21A ALCE	$p p \text{ at } 13 \text{ TeV}$		
3.1 ± 1.0 ± 0.3	54	ALEXANDER	95B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$		
0.96 ± 0.43 ± 0.18	18	2 ALBRECHT	93B ARG	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.4 \text{ GeV}$		
1 LI 21C measures ratio $B(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e) / B(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = 1.03 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.07$.						NODE=S048R7;LINKAGE=B
2 This ALBRECHT 93B value is the average of the $(\Xi^- e^+ \text{ anything})/\Xi^- \pi^+$ and $(\Xi^- \mu^+ \text{ anything})/\Xi^- \pi^+$ ratios. Here we average it with the $\Xi^- e^+ \nu_e/\Xi^- \pi^+$ ratio.						NODE=S048R7;LINKAGE=A
$\Gamma(\Xi^- e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$					Γ_{22}/Γ_{23}	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT			NODE=S048R17 NODE=S048R17
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1.03±0.05±0.07	1 LI	21C BELL	$e^+ e^- \text{ at } 10.52, 10.58 \text{ GeV}$			
1 LI 21C value is not independent from other quoted measurements.						NODE=S048R17;LINKAGE=A
$\Gamma(\Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$					Γ_{23}/Γ_{13}	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT			NODE=S048R07 NODE=S048R07
0.708±0.033±0.056	1 LI	21C BELL	$e^+ e^- \text{ at } 10.52, 10.58 \text{ GeV}$			
1 LI 21C measures ratio $B(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e) / B(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = 1.03 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.07$.						NODE=S048R07;LINKAGE=A
$\Gamma(\Xi^0 \gamma)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$					Γ_{24}/Γ_{13}	
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		NODE=S048R21 NODE=S048R21
<1.2 × 10⁻²	90	LI	23 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(nS)$		
$\Gamma(\Xi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$					Γ_{25}/Γ_{13}	
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		NODE=S048R22 NODE=S048R22
<4.3 × 10⁻³	90	CUI	24 BELL	$980 \text{ fb}^{-1}, e^+ e^- \text{ at } Y(4S)$		

$\Gamma(\Xi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$					Γ_{26}/Γ_{13}
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<6.7 \times 10^{-3}$	90	CUI	24	BELL	980fb^{-1} , $e^+ e^-$ at $\Upsilon(4S)$

Cabibbo-suppressed decays

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{27}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
5.5 ± 1.1 OUR FIT					NODE=S048R250

$5.5 \pm 0.2 \pm 1.8$ 6.3k ¹ AAIJ 20AH LHCb $p p$ at 13 TeV

¹ AAIJ 20AH extracts $B(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-)$ using two different normalization modes: $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ and $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$. The mean value of both results, taking their correlations into account, is presented as the final result. The measurement assumes production fraction ratios $f_{\Xi_c^0}/f_{\Lambda_c^+} = (9.7 \pm 0.9 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-2}$ (from AAIJ 19AB plus heavy quark symmetry arguments) as well as $f_{\Xi_c^0}/f_{\Xi_c^+} = 1.00 \pm 0.01$. It further uses the inputs $B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) = (6.23 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-2}$ and $B(\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) = (4.5 \pm 2.1 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$ (from L1 19C). Its correlation with $B(\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+)$, as measured in AAIJ 20AH, is 0.414.

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$					Γ_{27}/Γ_{13}
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.38 ± 0.05 OUR FIT					NODE=S048R20 NODE=S048R20

$0.38 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04$ 1468 ¹ TANG 23 BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(nS)$

¹ TANG 23 reports fitted masses $m_{\Lambda_c^+} = 2286.55 \pm 0.03$ MeV and $m_{\Xi_c^0} = 2470.43 \pm 0.06$ MeV.

$\Gamma(\Xi^- K^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$					Γ_{28}/Γ_{13}
VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$2.75 \pm 0.51 \pm 0.25$	314 ± 58	CHISTOV	13	BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Lambda K^+ K^- (\text{no } \phi))/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$					Γ_{29}/Γ_{13}
VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$2.86 \pm 0.61 \pm 0.37$	510 ± 110	CHISTOV	13	BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Lambda \phi)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$					Γ_{30}/Γ_{13}
VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$3.43 \pm 0.58 \pm 0.32$	316 ± 54	CHISTOV	13	BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

Ξ_c^0 DECAY PARAMETERS

See the note on "Baryon Decay Parameters" in the neutron Listings.

α FOR $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+$					
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$-0.64 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.01$		LI	21c	BELL	$e^+ e^-$ at 10.52, 10.58 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$-0.56 \pm 0.39^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$ 138 CHAN 01 CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

α FOR $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^-$					
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
$0.61 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.01$	LI	21c	BELL	$e^+ e^-$ at 10.52, 10.58 GeV	NODE=S048A01 NODE=S048A01

α FOR $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{K}^*(892)^0$					
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$0.15 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.04$	4k	¹ JIA	21	BELL	$e^+ e^-$ at $\gamma(nS)$

¹ JIA 21 measures $\alpha(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{K}^*(892)^0)$ $\alpha(\Lambda \rightarrow p \pi^-) = 0.115 \pm 0.164 \pm 0.031$, and uses $\alpha(\Lambda \rightarrow p \pi^-) = 0.747 \pm 0.010$.

α FOR $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^*(892)^-$					
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$-0.52 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.02$	373	¹ JIA	21	BELL	$e^+ e^-$ at $\gamma(nS)$

¹ JIA 21 measures $\alpha(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^-)$ $\alpha(\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \pi^0) = 0.514 \pm 0.295 \pm 0.012$, and uses $\alpha(\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \pi^0) = -0.980 \pm 0.017$.

NODE=S048R23
NODE=S048R23

NODE=S048250
NODE=S048R06
NODE=S048R06

NODE=S048R06;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S048R20
NODE=S048R20

NODE=S048R20;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S048R01
NODE=S048R01

NODE=S048R02
NODE=S048R02

NODE=S048R03
NODE=S048R03

NODE=S048225

NODE=S048225

NODE=S048A
NODE=S048A

NODE=S048A01
NODE=S048A01

NODE=S048A02
NODE=S048A02

NODE=S048A02;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S048A03
NODE=S048A03

NODE=S048A03;LINKAGE=A

α FOR $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^0 \pi^0$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-0.90 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.23$	2.2k	1 ADACHI	24S BEL2	$e^+ e^-$ at $\sim \gamma(nS)$

1 Analysis of Belle and Belle II data samples.

 Ξ_c^0 Tests of Baryon Number Violation **$\tau_{mix}, \Xi_c^0 - \Xi_c^0$ oscillation period**

VALUE (10^{-12} s)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>1.3	1 GU	24 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

1 Search for baryon-number violating decay $B^- \rightarrow \Xi_c^0 \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$, which can be interpreted as a search for $B^- \rightarrow \Xi_c^0 \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$ followed by $\Xi_c^0 - \Xi_c^0$ baryon-number violating oscillation, from which a bound on the oscillation period τ_{mix} can be inferred, assuming no direct $B^- \rightarrow \Xi_c^0 \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$ decay.

 Ξ_c^0 REFERENCES

ADACHI	24S	JHEP 2410 045	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE and BELLE II Collab.)
CUI	24	PR D109 052003	J.X. Cui <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
GU	24	PRL 133 071802	T. Gu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LI	23	PR D107 032001	Y. Li <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
TANG	23	PR D107 032005	S.S. Tang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	22Y	SCIB 67 479	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ACHARYA	21A	PRL 127 272001	S. Acharya <i>et al.</i>	(ALICE Collab.)
JIA	21	JHEP 2106 160	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LI	21C	PRL 127 121803	Y.B. Li <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LI	21F	PR D105 L011102	Y. Li <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
MCMNEIL	21	PR D103 112002	J.T. McNeil <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	20AH	PR D102 071101	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
PDG	20	PTEP 2020 083C01	P.A. Zyla <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
AAIJ	19AB	PR D99 052006	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	19AG	PR D100 032001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
LI	19A	PRL 122 082001	Y.B. Li <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LI	19C	PR D100 031101	Y.B. Li <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AALTONEN	14B	PR D89 072014	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
CHISTOV	13	PR D88 071103	R. Chistov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT,B	05M	PRL 95 142003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LESIAK	05	PL B605 237	T. Lesiak <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
Also		PL B617 198 (errat.)	T. Lesiak <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DANKO	04	PR D69 052004	I. Danko <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LINK	02H	PL B541 211	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
CHAN	01	PR D63 111102	S. Chan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
FRABETTI	98B	PL B426 403	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
ALEXANDER	95B	PR D 74 3113	J. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
Also		PRL 75 4155 (errat.)	J. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	93B	PL B303 368	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
FRABETTI	93C	PRL 70 2058	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
HENDERSON	92B	PL B283 161	S. Henderson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90F	PL B247 121	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BARLAG	90	PL B236 495	S. Barlag <i>et al.</i>	(ACCMOR Collab.)
ALAM	89	PL B226 401	M.S. Alam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AVERY	89	PRL 62 863	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)

NODE=S048A04
NODE=S048A04

NODE=S048A04;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S048230

NODE=S048B00
NODE=S048B00

NODE=S048B00;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S048

REFID=63125
REFID=62779
REFID=62744
REFID=62078
REFID=62081
REFID=62186
REFID=61477
REFID=61126
REFID=61420
REFID=61485
REFID=61116
REFID=60723
REFID=60676
REFID=59843
REFID=59957
REFID=59595
REFID=59906
REFID=55804
REFID=55452
REFID=50831
REFID=50383
REFID=50652
REFID=49960
REFID=48775
REFID=48150
REFID=46086
REFID=44197
REFID=44579
REFID=43298
REFID=43240
REFID=42050
REFID=41339
REFID=40999
REFID=40745
REFID=40720