



$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } ***$$

I, J, P need confirmation.

In the quark model, Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^- are an isodoublet (usb, dsb) state; the lowest Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^- ought to have $J^P = 1/2^+$. None of I, J , or P have actually been measured.

NODE=S070

NODE=S070

Ξ_b^0 MASS

NODE=S070205

Ξ_b^0 MASS

NODE=S070M0
NODE=S070M0

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5791.7 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE			
5791.12 ± 0.60 ± 0.51	¹ AAIJ	24V LHCb	pp at 13 TeV
5794.3 ± 2.4 ± 0.7	AAIJ	14H LHCb	pp at 7 TeV
5791.80 ± 0.39 ± 0.31	² AAIJ	14Z LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV
5788.7 ± 4.3 ± 1.4	³ AALTONEN	14B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
5787.8 ± 5.0 ± 1.3	⁴ AALTONEN	11X CDF	Repl. by AALTONEN 14B
¹ Uses $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ D_s^-$ decays.			
² Uses $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$ and $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ decays. The measurement comes from the mass difference of Ξ_b^0 and Λ_b^0 .			
³ Uses $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$ decays.			
⁴ Measured in $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$ with $25.3^{+5.6}_{-5.4}$ candidates.			

NODE=S070M0;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S070M0;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=S070M0;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=S070M0;LINKAGE=AT

$m_{\Xi_b^0} - m_{\Lambda_b^0}$

NODE=S070DMN
NODE=S070DMN

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
172.3 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE			
171.78 ± 0.60 ± 0.33	¹ AAIJ	24V LHCb	pp at 13 TeV
174.8 ± 2.4 ± 0.5	AAIJ	14H LHCb	pp at 7 TeV
172.44 ± 0.39 ± 0.17	² AAIJ	14Z LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV
¹ Uses $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ D_s^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-$ decays.			
² Uses $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$ and $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ decays.			

NODE=S070DMN;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S070DMN;LINKAGE=AA

Ξ_b^0 MEAN LIFE

NODE=S070208

NODE=S070208

“OUR EVALUATION” is an average using rescaled values of the data listed below. The average and rescaling were performed by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFLAV) and are described at <https://hflav.web.cern.ch/>. The averaging/rescaling procedure takes into account correlations between the measurements and asymmetric lifetime errors.

Ξ_b^0 MEAN LIFE

NODE=S070T0
NODE=S070T0

→ UNCHECKED ←

VALUE (10^{-12} s)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.477 ± 0.032 OUR EVALUATION	(Produced by HFLAV)		
1.477 ± 0.026 ± 0.019	¹ AAIJ	14Z LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV
¹ Uses $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$ and $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ decays. The measurement comes from the value of relative lifetime of Ξ_b^0 to Λ_b^0 .			

NODE=S070T0;LINKAGE=AA

$\tau_{mix} (1/2\pi)$ times the $\Xi_b^0 - \Xi_b^0$ oscillation period

NODE=S070TM0
NODE=S070TM0

VALUE (s)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$> 13 \times 10^{-12}$	¹ AAIJ	17BH LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV
¹ Uses Ξ_b^{*-} and $\Xi_b'^-$ decays to $\Xi_b^0 \pi^-$, where $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$, $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$.			

NODE=S070TM0;LINKAGE=A

Ξ_b^0 DECAY MODES

NODE=S070210;NODE=S070

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $p D^0 K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)$	$(1.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$	
Γ_2 $p \bar{K}^0 \pi^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B^0)$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90%
Γ_3 $p K^0 K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B^0)$	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90%
Γ_4 $\Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0)$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90%
Γ_5 $\Lambda K^- \pi^+ \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0)$	$< 8 \times 10^{-7}$	90%
Γ_6 $\Lambda K^+ K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0)$	$< 3 \times 10^{-7}$	90%
Γ_7 $J/\psi \Lambda$	seen	
Γ_8 $J/\psi \Xi^0$	seen	
Γ_9 $\Xi_c^+ D_s^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0)$	$(1.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{10} $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)$	$(6 \pm 4) \times 10^{-7}$	
Γ_{11} $p K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0)$	$(1.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$	
Γ_{12} $p K^- K^- \pi^+ \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0)$	$(1.73 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-6}$	
Γ_{13} $p K^- K^+ K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0)$	$(1.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-7}$	

DESIG=3
 DESIG=5
 DESIG=6
 DESIG=8
 DESIG=9
 DESIG=10
 DESIG=23;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=24;OUR EVAL;→ UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=25
 DESIG=4
 DESIG=18
 DESIG=19
 DESIG=20

 Ξ_b^0 BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=S070215

$\Gamma(p D^0 K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_1/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4$	¹ AAIJ	14H LHCb	pp at 7 TeV

NODE=S070R01
 NODE=S070R01

¹ AAIJ 14H reports $[\Gamma(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow p D^0 K^- \times B(\bar{b} \rightarrow \Xi_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\bar{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon})] / [B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p D^0 K^-)] = 0.44 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.06$ which we multiply by our best values $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}) = (8.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p D^0 K^-) = (4.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=S070R01;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(p \bar{K}^0 \pi^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_2/Γ**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AAIJ	14Q LHCb	pp at 7 TeV

NODE=S070R03
 NODE=S070R03

$\Gamma(p K^0 K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_3/Γ**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AAIJ	14Q LHCb	pp at 7 TeV

NODE=S070R04
 NODE=S070R04

$\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_4/Γ**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AAIJ	16W LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV

NODE=S070R00
 NODE=S070R00

$\Gamma(\Lambda K^- \pi^+ \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_5/Γ**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 0.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AAIJ	16W LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV

NODE=S070R06
 NODE=S070R06

$\Gamma(\Lambda K^+ K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_6/Γ**

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 0.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AAIJ	16W LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV

NODE=S070R07
 NODE=S070R07

$\Gamma(J/\psi \Lambda)/\Gamma(J/\psi \Xi^0)$ **Γ_7/Γ_8**

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$8.2 \pm 2.1 \pm 0.9$	¹ AAIJ	20U LHCb	pp at 7, 8 and 13 TeV

NODE=S070R15
 NODE=S070R15

¹ The Cabibbo suppressed $\Xi_b \rightarrow J/\psi \Lambda$ decay is observed for the first time.

NODE=S070R15;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Xi_c^+ D_s^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.7±0.9±0.2	¹ AAIJ	24V LHCb	pp at 13 TeV

¹ AAIJ 24V reports $[\Gamma(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ D_s^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-)] = (15.8 \pm 1.1 \pm 7.7) \times 10^{-2}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-) = (1.10 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

NODE=S070R05
NODE=S070R05

NODE=S070R05;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0))/\Gamma(p D^0 K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)) \quad \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.35±0.19±0.01	¹ AAIJ	14H LHCb	pp at 7 TeV

¹ AAIJ 14H reports $[\Gamma(\Xi_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \times B(\bar{b} \rightarrow \Xi_b)) / \Gamma(\Xi_b \rightarrow p D^0 K^- \times B(\bar{b} \rightarrow \Xi_b))] \times [B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) / B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)] = 0.57 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.21$ which we multiply or divide by our best values $B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) = (6.35 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) = (3.945 \pm 0.030) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=S070R02
NODE=S070R02

NODE=S070R02;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(p K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.9±0.4±0.2	¹ AAIJ	18Q LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ AAIJ 18Q reports $[\Gamma(\Xi_b \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+)] / [B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-)] = (6.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best values $B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) = (6.35 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-) = (4.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=S070R12
NODE=S070R12

NODE=S070R12;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(p K^- K^- \pi^+ \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.73±0.27±0.14	¹ AAIJ	18Q LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ AAIJ 18Q reports $[\Gamma(\Xi_b \rightarrow p K^- K^- \pi^+ \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+)] / [B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-)] = (5.6 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best values $B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) = (6.35 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-) = (4.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

NODE=S070R13
NODE=S070R13

NODE=S070R13;LINKAGE=A

$$\Gamma(p K^- K^+ K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.18±0.10±0.01	^{1,2} AAIJ	18Q LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ AAIJ 18Q reports $[\Gamma(\Xi_b \rightarrow p K^- K^+ K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+)] / [B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-)] = (0.57 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best values $B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) = (6.35 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-) = (4.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

² AAIJ 18Q sees excess with a significance of 2.3σ . Using $B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-) = (0.430 \pm 0.036) \times 10^{-2}$ and $B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) = (6.46 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$ the authors set two sided limit $[0.11-0.25]$ at 90% C.L.

NODE=S070R14
NODE=S070R14

NODE=S070R14;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S070R14;LINKAGE=B

P AND CP VIOLATION ASYMMETRIES

NODE=S070230

$$a_P(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow p K^- K^- \pi^+)$$

Observable calculated as average of the triple products for Ξ_b^0 and $\bar{\Xi}_b^0$, which is sensitive to parity violation.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-3.04±5.19±0.36	¹ AAIJ	18AG LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ Measured over full phase space of the decay.

NODE=S070A01

NODE=S070A01

NODE=S070A01

NODE=S070A01;LINKAGE=A

$a_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow p K^- K^- \pi^+)$

Observable calculated as half of the difference between triple products for Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^0 , which is sensitive to CP violation.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-3.58 \pm 5.19 \pm 0.36$	¹ AAIJ	18AG LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ Measured over full phase space of the decay.

NODE=S070A02

NODE=S070A02

NODE=S070A02

NODE=S070A02;LINKAGE=A

 $\Delta A_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$

$\Delta A_{CP} \equiv A_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) - A_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow (\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) \pi^-)$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-17 \pm 11 \pm 1$	¹ AAIJ	19AH LHCB	pp at 7 and 8 TeV

¹ Full phase space.

NODE=S070A04

NODE=S070A04

NODE=S070A04

NODE=S070A04;LINKAGE=A

 $\Delta A_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+ K^-)$

$\Delta A_{CP} \equiv A_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+ K^-) - A_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow (\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) \pi^-)$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-6.8 \pm 8.0 \pm 0.8$	¹ AAIJ	19AH LHCB	pp at 7 and 8 TeV

¹ Full phase space.

NODE=S070A05

NODE=S070A05

NODE=S070A05

NODE=S070A05;LINKAGE=A

 Ξ_b^0 REFERENCES

AAIJ	24V	EPJ C84 237	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	20U	PRL 124 111802	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	19AH	EPJ C79 745	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	18AG	JHEP 1808 039	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	18Q	JHEP 1802 098	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	17BH	PRL 119 181807	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	16W	JHEP 1605 081	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	14H	PR D89 032001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	14Q	JHEP 1404 087	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	14Z	PRL 113 032001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AALTONEN	14B	PR D89 072014	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	11X	PRL 107 102001	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)

NODE=S070

REFID=62886

REFID=60541

REFID=59963

REFID=59146

REFID=59075

REFID=58274

REFID=57331

REFID=55694

REFID=55730

REFID=55842

REFID=55804

REFID=53705