

Reference = LEES 16A; PR D93 012005
Verifier code = BABAR

PLEASE READ NOW



Normally we send all verifications for one experiment to one person, usually the spokesperson or data-analysis coordinator, who then distributes them to the appropriate people. Please tell us if we should send the verifications for your experiment to someone else.

Fabio Anulli

EMAIL: anulli@slac.stanford.edu

July 21, 2016

Dear Colleague,

- (1) Please check the results of your experiment carefully. They are marked.
- (2) Please reply within one week.
- (3) Please reply even if everything is correct.
- (4) IMPORTANT!! Please tell WHICH papers you are verifying. We have lots of requests out.
- (5) Feel free to make comments on our treatment of any of the results (not just yours) you see.

Thank you for helping us make the Review accurate and useful.

Sincerely,

Simon Eidelman
BINP, Budker Inst. of Nuclear Physics
Prospekt Lavrent'eva 11
RU-630090 Novosibirsk
Russian Federation

EMAIL: simon.eidelman@cern.ch

LIGHT UNFLAVORED MESONS

($S = C = B = 0$)

For $l = 1$ (π, b, ρ, a): $u\bar{d}, (u\bar{u}-d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}, d\bar{u}$;
for $l = 0$ ($\eta, \eta', h, h', \omega, \phi, f, f'$): $c_1(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}) + c_2(s\bar{s})$

NODE=MXXX005

NODE=MXXX005

NODE=M227

 $a_0(1950)$

$$J^{PC} = 1^-(0^{++})$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Needs confirmation. Seen in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$ by LEES 16A with significance 2.5σ in $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ and 4.2σ in $K^+ K^- \pi^0$. Spin-2 explanation ($a_2(1950)$) is not compatible with data.

NODE=M227

 $a_0(1950)$ MASS

NODE=M227M

	VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
YOUR DATA	1931 ± 14 ± 22	12k	1,2 LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
	● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
YOUR DATA	1949 ± 32 ± 76	8k	¹ LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
YOUR DATA	1927 ± 15 ± 23	4k	¹ LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
YOUR NOTE	¹ From a model-independent partial wave analysis fit to a relativistic Breit-Wigner function with a floating width.				
YOUR NOTE	² WEighted average of the $K_S^0 K^\pm$ and $K^+ K^-$ decay modes.				

NODE=M227M

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

NODE=M227M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M227M;LINKAGE=B

 $a_0(1950)$ WIDTH

NODE=M227W

	VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
YOUR DATA	271 ± 22 ± 29	12k	1,2 LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
	● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
YOUR DATA	265 ± 36 ± 110	8k	¹ LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
YOUR DATA	274 ± 28 ± 30	4k	¹ LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
YOUR NOTE	¹ From a model-independent partial wave analysis fit to a relativistic Breit-Wigner function with a floating mass.				
YOUR NOTE	² Weighted average of the $K_S^0 K^\pm$ and $K^+ K^-$ decay modes.				

NODE=M227W

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

NODE=M227W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M227W;LINKAGE=B

 $a_0(1950)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M227225

	$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
	VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
YOUR DATA	seen	12k	¹ LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
YOUR NOTE	¹ From a model-independent partial wave analysis.				

NODE=M227R01

NODE=M227R01

NODE=M227R01;LINKAGE=A

 $a_0(1950)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M227

YOUR PAPER LEES 16A PR D93 012005 J.P. Lees *et al.* (BABAR Collab.)

REFID=57125

$c\bar{c}$ MESONS

NODE=MXXX025

 $\eta_c(1S)$

$$J^{PC} = 0^+(0^{-+})$$

NODE=M026

 $\eta_c(1S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M026225

HADRONIC DECAYS

NODE=M026305

$\Gamma(a_0(1950)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

YOUR DATA	seen	12k	¹ LEES	16A BABR $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
-----------	------	-----	-------------------	--

NODE=M026R00
 NODE=M026R00

YOUR NOTE ¹ From a model-independent partial wave analysis.

NODE=M026R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(a_2(1950)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

YOUR DATA	not seen	12k	¹ LEES	16A BABR $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
-----------	----------	-----	-------------------	--

NODE=M026R50
 NODE=M026R50

YOUR NOTE ¹ From a model-independent partial wave analysis assuming the existence of a hypothetical tensor isovector $a_2(1950)$.

NODE=M026R50;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

YOUR DATA	seen	12k	¹ LEES	16A BABR $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
	seen		LEES	14E BABR Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta/\pi^0$

NODE=M026R47
 NODE=M026R47

YOUR NOTE ¹ From a model-independent partial wave analysis.

NODE=M026R47;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(K_0^*(1950)\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

YOUR DATA	seen	12K	¹ LEES	16A BABR $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
	seen		LEES	14E BABR Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta/\pi^0$

NODE=M026R49
 NODE=M026R49

YOUR NOTE ¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis using an isobar model.

NODE=M026R49;LINKAGE=A

 $\eta_c(1S)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M026

YOUR PAPER	LEES	16A	PR D93 012005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
	LEES	14E	PR D89 112004	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)

REFID=57125
 REFID=55937