

Reference = ABLIKIM 13N; PR D87 092009
Verifier code = BES3

PLEASE READ NOW

*PLEASE
REPLY
WITHIN
ONE WEEK*

Normally we send all verifications for one experiment to one person, usually the spokesperson or data-analysis coordinator, who then distributes them to the appropriate people. Please tell us if we should send the verifications for your experiment to someone else.

Xiao-Rui Lyu

EMAIL: xiaorui@ucas.ac.cn

July 21, 2016

Dear Colleague,

- (1) Please check the results of your experiment carefully. They are marked.
- (2) Please reply within one week.
- (3) Please reply even if everything is correct.
- (4) IMPORTANT!! Please tell WHICH papers you are verifying. We have lots of requests out.
- (5) Feel free to make comments on our treatment of any of the results (not just yours) you see.

Thank you for helping us make the Review accurate and useful.

Sincerely,

Simon Eidelman
BINP, Budker Inst. of Nuclear Physics
Prospekt Lavrent'eva 11
RU-630090 Novosibirsk
Russian Federation

EMAIL: simon.eidelman@cern.ch

LIGHT UNFLAVORED MESONS

($S = C = B = 0$)

For $I = 1$ (π, b, ρ, a): $u\bar{d}, (u\bar{u}-d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}, d\bar{u}$;
for $I = 0$ ($\eta, \eta', h, h', \omega, \phi, f, f'$): $c_1(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}) + c_2(s\bar{s})$

$f_0(1500)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

See also the mini-reviews on scalar mesons under $f_0(500)$ (see the index for the page number) and on non- $q\bar{q}$ candidates in PDG 06, Journal of Physics **G33** 1 (2006).

$f_0(1500)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1504 ± 6 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.		
1468 ⁺¹⁴⁺²³ ₋₁₅₋₇₄	5.5k	1 ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$
1466 ± 6 ± 20		ABLIKIM	06V BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1515 ± 12		2 BARBERIS	00A	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f\eta\eta p_s$
1511 ± 9		2,3 BARBERIS	00C	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f4\pi p_s$
1510 ± 8		2 BARBERIS	00E	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f\eta\eta p_s$
1522 ± 25		BERTIN	98 OBLX	0.05–0.405 $\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
1449 ± 20		2 BERTIN	97C OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1515 ± 20		ABELE	96B CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 K_L^0 K_L^0$
1500 ± 15		4 AMSLER	95B CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
1505 ± 15		5 AMSLER	95C CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1447 ± 16 ± 13	163	6,7 DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1442 ± 9 ± 4	261	6,7 DOBBS	15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1486 ± 10		2 ANISOVICH	09 RVUE	0.0 $\bar{p}p, \pi N$
1470 ± 60	568	8 KLEMP	08 E791	$D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^+$
1470 ⁺⁶⁺⁷² ₋₇₋₂₅₅		9 UEHARA	08A BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
1495 ± 4		AMSLER	06 CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
1539 ± 20	9.9k	AUBERT	06O BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+K^+K^-$
1473 ± 5	80k	10,11 UMAN	06 E835	5.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
1478 ± 6		VLADIMIRSK...	06 SPEC	40 $\pi^-p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1493 ± 7		10 BINON	05 GAMS	33 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\eta n$
1524 ± 14	1400	12 GARMASH	05 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+K^+K^-$
1489 ⁺⁸ ₋₄		13 ANISOVICH	03 RVUE	
1490 ± 30		10 ABELE	01 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^-4\pi^0 p$
1497 ± 10		10 BARBERIS	99 OMEG	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_s p_f K^+K^-$
1502 ± 10		10 BARBERIS	99B OMEG	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+\pi^-$
1502 ± 12 ± 10		14 BARBERIS	99D OMEG	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K^+K^-, \pi^+\pi^-$
1530 ± 45		10 BELLAZZINI	99 GAM4	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0$
1505 ± 18		10 FRENCH	99	300 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f(K^+K^-)p_s$
1447 ± 27		15 KAMINSKI	99 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \sigma\sigma$
1580 ± 80		10 ALDE	98 GAM4	100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n$
1499 ± 8		2 ANISOVICH	98B RVUE	Compilation
~ 1520		REYES	98 SPEC	800 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_s p_f K_S^0 K_S^0$
1510 ± 20		2 BARBERIS	97B OMEG	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\bar{p}2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
~ 1475		FRABETTI	97D E687	$D_s^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\mp \pi^\pm \pi^\pm$
~ 1505		ABELE	96 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi^0$
1500 ± 8		2 ABELE	96C RVUE	Compilation
1460 ± 20	120	10 AMELIN	96B VES	37 $\pi^-A \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^-A$
1500 ± 8		BUGG	96 RVUE	
1500 ± 10		16 AMSLER	95D CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta,$ $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$
1445 ± 5		17 ANTINORI	95 OMEG	300,450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\bar{p}2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

NODE=MXXX005

NODE=MXXX005

NODE=M152

NODE=M152

NODE=M152M

NODE=M152M

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

1497±30		10	ANTINORI	95	OMEG	300,450	$pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-$
~ 1505			BUGG	95	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$	
1446± 5		10	ABATZIS	94	OMEG	450	$pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1545±25		10	AMSLER	94E	CBAR	0.0	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta'$
1520±25		2,18	ANISOVICH	94	CBAR	0.0	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta$
1505±20		2,19	BUGG	94	RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta\eta\pi^0, \eta\pi^0\pi^0$	
1560±25		10	AMSLER	92	CBAR	0.0	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta$
1550±45± 30		10	BELADIDZE	92C	VES	36	$\pi^-\text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^-\eta'\eta\text{Be}$
1449± 4		10	ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG	300	$pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1610±20		10	ALDE	88	GAM4	300	$\pi^-N \rightarrow \pi^-N2\eta$
~ 1525			ASTON	88D	LASS	11	$K^-p \rightarrow K_S^0K_S^0\Lambda$
1570±20	600	10	ALDE	87	GAM4	100	$\pi^-p \rightarrow 4\pi^0n$
1575±45		20	ALDE	86D	GAM4	100	$\pi^-p \rightarrow 2\eta n$
1568±33		10	BINON	84C	GAM2	38	$\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\eta'n$
1592±25		10	BINON	83	GAM2	38	$\pi^-p \rightarrow 2\eta n$
1525± 5		10	GRAY	83	DBC	0.0	$\bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi$

OCCUR=2

YOUR NOTE

- From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.
- T-matrix pole.
- Average between $\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$ and $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$.
- T-matrix pole, supersedes ANISOVICH 94.
- T-matrix pole, supersedes ANISOVICH 94 and AMSLER 92.
- Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.
- From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape with fixed $\Gamma = 109$ MeV.
- Reanalysis of AITALA 01A data. This state could also be $f_0(1370)$.
- Breit-Wigner mass. May also be the $f_0(1370)$.
- Breit-Wigner mass.
- Statistical error only.
- Breit-Wigner, solution 1, PWA ambiguous.
- K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n$, $\pi^-p \rightarrow K\bar{K}n$, $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$, $\pi^0\eta\eta$, $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $K^+K^-\pi^0$, $K_S^0K_S^0\pi^0$, $K^+K_S^0\pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$, $K_S^0K^-\pi^0$, $K_S^0K_S^0\pi^-$ at rest.
- Supersedes BARBERIS 99 and BARBERIS 99B.
- T-matrix pole on sheet $--+$.
- T-matrix pole. Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.
- Supersedes ABATZIS 94, ARMSTRONG 89E. Breit-Wigner mass.
- From a simultaneous analysis of the annihilations $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta$.
- Reanalysis of ANISOVICH 94 data.
- From central value and spread of two solutions. Breit-Wigner mass.

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=PP
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=PC
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=D1
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=F
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=G
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=KL
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=UE
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=ST
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=GA
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=KM

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=BD
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=TK
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=C1
 NODE=M152M;LINKAGE=AZ

 $f_0(1500)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
109± 7 OUR AVERAGE				
136 ⁺ ₋ 41 ⁺ ₂₆ 28 ⁺ ₁₀₀	5.5k	21 ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$
108 ⁺ ₋ 14 ⁺ ₁₁ ± 25		ABLIKIM	06V BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
110± 24		22 BARBERIS	00A	$450 pp \rightarrow p_f\eta\eta p_s$
102± 18		22,23 BARBERIS	00C	$450 pp \rightarrow p_f4\pi p_s$
110± 16		22 BARBERIS	00E	$450 pp \rightarrow p_f\eta\eta p_s$
108± 33		BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
114± 30		22 BERTIN	97C OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
105± 15		ABELE	96B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 K_L^0 K_L^0$
120± 25		24 AMSLER	95B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
120± 30		25 AMSLER	95C CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
114± 10		22 ANISOVICH	09 RVUE	$0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$
90 ⁺ ₋ 2 ⁺ ₁ 50 ⁺ ₂₂		26 UEHARA	08A BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
121± 8		AMSLER	06 CBAR	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
257± 33	9.9k	AUBERT	06O BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+K^+K^-$
108± 9	80k	27,28 UMAN	06 E835	$5.2 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
119± 10		VLADIMIRSK...	06 SPEC	$40 \pi^-p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
90± 15		27 BINON	05 GAMS	$33 \pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\eta n$

NODE=M152W

NODE=M152W

OCCUR=2

YOUR DATA

136± 23	1400	29	GARMASH	05	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^+ K^-$
102± 10		30	ANISOVICH	03	RVUE	
140± 40		27	ABELE	01	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
104± 25		27	BARBERIS	99	OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow p_s p_f K^+ K^-$
131± 15		27	BARBERIS	99B	OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
98± 18± 16		31	BARBERIS	99D	OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^-$
160± 50		27	BELLAZZINI	99	GAM4	$450 p p \rightarrow p p \pi^0 \pi^0$
100± 33		27	FRENCH	99		$300 p p \rightarrow p_f(K^+ K^-) p_s$
108± 46		32	KAMINSKI	99	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \sigma\sigma$
280±100		27	ALDE	98	GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
130± 20		22	ANISOVICH	98B	RVUE	Compilation
120± 35		22	BARBERIS	97B	OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
~ 100			FRABETTI	97D	E687	$D_S^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\mp \pi^\pm \pi^\pm$
~ 169			ABELE	96	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 5\pi^0$
100± 30	120	27	AMELIN	96B	VES	$37 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^- A$
132± 15			BUGG	96	RVUE	
154± 30		33	AMSLER	95D	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
65± 10		34	ANTINORI	95	OMEG	$300,450 p p \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
199± 30		27	ANTINORI	95	OMEG	$300,450 p p \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^-$
56± 12		27	ABATZIS	94	OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
100± 40		27	AMSLER	94E	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta'$
148 ⁺ ₋₂₅		22,35	ANISOVICH	94	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta$
150± 20		22,36	BUGG	94	RVUE	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta\eta\pi^0, \eta\pi^0 \pi^0$
245± 50		27	AMSLER	92	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$
153± 67± 50		27	BELADIDZE	92C	VES	$36 \pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^- \eta' \eta \text{Be}$
78± 18		27	ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG	$300 p p \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
170± 40		27	ALDE	88	GAM4	$300 \pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- N 2\eta$
150± 20	600	27	ALDE	87	GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow 4\pi^0 n$
265± 65		37	ALDE	86D	GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta n$
260± 60		27	BINON	84C	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta' n$
210± 40		27	BINON	83	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta n$
101± 13		27	GRAY	83	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p} N \rightarrow 3\pi$

OCCUR=2

YOUR NOTE

- 21 From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.
- 22 T-matrix pole.
- 23 Average between $\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$ and $2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$.
- 24 T-matrix pole, supersedes ANISOVICH 94.
- 25 T-matrix pole, supersedes ANISOVICH 94 and AMSLER 92.
- 26 Breit-Wigner width. May also be the $f_0(1370)$.
- 27 Breit-Wigner width.
- 28 Statistical error only.
- 29 Breit-Wigner, solution 1, PWA ambiguous.
- 30 K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K\bar{K}n$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta\eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$, $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$, $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.
- 31 Supersedes BARBERIS 99 and BARBERIS 99B.
- 32 T-matrix pole on sheet $--+$.
- 33 T-matrix pole. Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.
- 34 Supersedes ABATZIS 94, ARMSTRONG 89E. Breit-Wigner mass.
- 35 From a simultaneous analysis of the annihilations $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta$.
- 36 Reanalysis of ANISOVICH 94 data.
- 37 From central value and spread of two solutions. Breit-Wigner mass.

NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=PP
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=PC
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=D
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=D1
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=UE
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=E
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=ST
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=GA
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=KM

NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=BD
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=TK
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=C1
 NODE=M152W;LINKAGE=AZ

$f_0(1500)$ REFERENCES

YOUR PAPER

DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)
ABLIKIM	13N	PR D87 092009	Ablikim M. <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
KLEMP	08	EPJ C55 39	E. Klempt, M. Matveev, A.V. Sarantsev	(BONN+)
UEHARA	08A	PR D78 052004	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06V	PL B642 441	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(CBAR Collab.)
AUBERT	06O	PR D74 032003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
UMAN	06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)
VLADIMIRSK...	06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)
Translated from YAF 69 515.				

NODE=M152

REFID=56805
 REFID=55387
 REFID=52719
 REFID=52286
 REFID=52309
 REFID=51507
 REFID=51136
 REFID=51141
 REFID=51004
 REFID=51063
 REFID=51191

BINON	05	PAN 68 960	F. Binon <i>et al.</i>	REFID=50780
GARMASH	05	Translated from YAF 68 998.	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	REFID=50641
ANISOVICH	03	PR D71 092003	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	REFID=49401
ABELE	01	EPJ A16 229	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	REFID=48334
AITALA	01A	EPJ C19 667	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=48004
BARBERIS	00A	PRL 86 765	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	REFID=47957
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 429	(FNAL E791 Collab.)	REFID=47959
BARBERIS	00E	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	REFID=47961
BARBERIS	99	PL B479 59	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=46921
BARBERIS	99B	PL B453 305	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	REFID=46922
BARBERIS	99D	PL B453 316	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=47395
BELLAZZINI	99	PL B462 462	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	REFID=47400
FRENCH	99	PL B467 296	R. Bellazzini <i>et al.</i>	REFID=47491
KAMINSKI	99	PL B460 213	B. French <i>et al.</i>	REFID=46927
ALDE	98	EPJ C9 141	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau	REFID=46605
Also		EPJ A3 361	(CRAC, PARIN)	REFID=46914
ANISOVICH	98B	PAN 62 405	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	REFID=46331
BERTIN	98	Translated from YAF 62 446.	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	REFID=45782
REYES	98	SPU 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	REFID=46378
BARBERIS	97B	Translated from UFN 168 481.	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	REFID=45758
BERTIN	97C	PR D57 55	M.A. Reyes <i>et al.</i>	REFID=45701
FRABETTI	97D	PRL 81 4079	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	REFID=45554
ABELE	96	PL B413 217	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	REFID=45011
ABELE	96B	PL B408 476	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	REFID=45038
ABELE	96C	PL B407 79	(FNAL E687 Collab.)	REFID=45076
AMELIN	96B	PL B380 453	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	REFID=44725
		PL B385 425	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	
		NP A609 562	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	
		PAN 59 976	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	
BUGG	96	Translated from YAF 59 1021.	D.V. Bugg, A.V. Sarantsev, B.S. Zou	REFID=45094
AMSLER	95B	NP B471 59	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	REFID=44377
AMSLER	95C	PL B342 433	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)	REFID=44440
AMSLER	95D	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	REFID=44441
ANTINORI	95	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	REFID=44437
BUGG	95	PL B353 589	F. Antinori <i>et al.</i>	REFID=44438
ABATZIS	94	PL B353 378	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	REFID=44090
AMSLER	94D	PL B324 509	S. Abatzis <i>et al.</i>	REFID=44093
AMSLER	94E	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	REFID=44080
ANISOVICH	94	PL B340 259	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	REFID=43659
BUGG	94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	REFID=44078
AMSLER	92	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	REFID=43169
BELADIDZE	92C	PL B291 347	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	REFID=43175
		SJNP 55 1535	G.M. Beladidze, S.I. Bityukov, G.V. Borisov	
ARMSTRONG	89E	Translated from YAF 55 2748.	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	REFID=41011
ALDE	88	PL B228 536	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=40283
ASTON	88D	PL B201 160	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	REFID=40330
ALDE	87	NP B301 525	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)	REFID=40221
ALDE	86D	PL B198 286	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	REFID=20765
BINON	84C	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	REFID=21418
BINON	83	NC 80A 363	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	REFID=20750
Also		NC 78A 313	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	REFID=20751
		SJNP 38 561	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	
GRAY	83	Translated from YAF 38 934.	L. Gray <i>et al.</i>	REFID=21370
		PR D27 307	(SYRA)	NODE=M013

$$f'_2(1525)$$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

$f'_2(1525)$ MASS

PRODUCED IN e^+e^- ANNIHILATION AND PARTICLE DECAYS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

1521.9⁺_{-1.5} OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

YOUR DATA	1522.2 ± 2.8 ⁺ _{-2.0}	5.3	AAIJ	13AN LHCb	$\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^-$
	1513 ± 5 ⁺ ₋₁₀	5.5k	5 ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$
	1525.3 ⁺ _{-1.4}	3.7	UEHARA	13 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
	1521 ± 5		ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$
	1518 ± 1 ± 3		ABE	04 BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- K^+ K^-$
	1519 ± 2 ⁺ ₋₅		BAI	03G BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$
	1523 ± 6	331	6 ACCIARRI	01H L3	$91, 183-209 e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- K_S^0 K_S^0$
	1535 ± 5 ± 4		ABREU	96C DLPH	$Z^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- + X$
	1516 ± 5 ⁺ ₋₁₅		BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
	1531.6 ± 10.0		AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
	1515 ± 5		7 FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$
	1525 ± 10 ± 10		BALTRUSAIT..87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

NODE=M013205

NODE=M013M3
NODE=M013M3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1532 $\pm 3 \pm 6$	644	^{8,9} DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1557 $\pm 9 \pm 3$	113	^{8,9} DOBBS	15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1523 ± 5	870	¹⁰ SCHEGELSKY	06A RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
1496 ± 2		¹¹ FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$

YOUR NOTE

- ⁵ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.
⁶ Supersedes ACCIARRI 95J.
⁷ From an analysis ignoring interference with $f_0(1710)$.
⁸ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.
⁹ From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape with fixed $\Gamma = 73$ MeV.
¹⁰ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.
¹¹ From an analysis including interference with $f_0(1710)$.

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M013M3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M013M;LINKAGE=HA

NODE=M013M;LINKAGE=F1

NODE=M013M3;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M013M3;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M013M3;LINKAGE=SC

NODE=M013M;LINKAGE=F2

$f'_2(1525)$ WIDTH

NODE=M013210

PRODUCED IN e^+e^- ANNIHILATION AND PARTICLE DECAYS

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

NODE=M013W3

NODE=M013W3

81.4⁺_{-2.0} OUR AVERAGE

YOUR DATA

84 ± 6	$^{+10}_{-5}$	AAIJ	13AN LHCb	$\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^-$
75 $^{+12}_{-10}$	$^{+16}_{-8}$	5.5k ²¹ ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$
82.9 $^{+2.1}_{-2.2}$	$^{+3.3}_{-2.0}$	UEHARA	13 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
77 ± 15		ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$
82 $\pm 2 \pm 3$		ABE	04 BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- K^+ K^-$
75 ± 4	$^{+15}_{-5}$	BAI	03G BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$
100 ± 15		331 ²² ACCIARRI	01H L3	$91, 183-209 e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- K_S^0 K_S^0$
60 $\pm 20 \pm 19$		ABREU	96C DLPH	$Z^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- + X$
60 ± 23	$^{+13}_{-20}$	BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
103 ± 30		AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
62 ± 10		²³ FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$
85 ± 35		BALTRUSAIT..87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

104 ± 10	870	²⁴ SCHEGELSKY	06A RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
100 ± 3		²⁵ FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$

YOUR NOTE

- ²¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.
²² Supersedes ACCIARRI 95J.
²³ From an analysis ignoring interference with $f_0(1710)$.
²⁴ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.
²⁵ From an analysis including interference with $f_0(1710)$.

OCCUR=2

NODE=M013W3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M013W;LINKAGE=HA

NODE=M013W;LINKAGE=F1

NODE=M013W3;LINKAGE=SC

NODE=M013W;LINKAGE=F2

$f'_2(1525)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M013

YOUR PAPER

DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)	REFID=56805
AAIJ	13AN	PR D87 072004	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=55137
ABLIKIM	13N	PR D87 092009	Ablikim M. <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)	REFID=55387
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55592
SCHEGELSKY	06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>		REFID=51185
ABLIKIM	05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50450
ABE	04	EPJ C32 323	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=49650
BAI	03G	PR D68 052003	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49580
ACCIARRI	01H	PL B501 173	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=48321
ABREU	96C	PL B379 309	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)	REFID=44671
BAI	96C	PRL 77 3959	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=45169
ACCIARRI	95J	PL B363 118	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=44615
AUGUSTIN	88	PRL 60 2238	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=40574
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)	REFID=40576
BALTRUSAIT...	87	PR D35 2077	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40010

$f_0(1710)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

See our mini-review in the 2004 edition of this *Review*, Physics Letters **B592** 1 (2004). See also the mini-review on scalar mesons under $f_0(500)$ (see the index for the page number).

NODE=M068

NODE=M068

 $f_0(1710)$ MASS

NODE=M068M

NODE=M068M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

1723⁺⁶₋₅ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.

YOUR DATA

1759 $\pm$ 6 ⁺¹⁴ ₋₂₅	5.5k	1	ABLIKIM	13N	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$
1750 ⁺⁶ ₋₇			UEHARA	13	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
1701 $\pm$ 5 ⁺⁹ ₋₂	4k	2	CHEKANOV	08	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
1765 ⁺⁴ ₋₃			ABLIKIM	06V	BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1760 $\pm$ 15 ⁺¹⁵ ₋₁₀		3	ABLIKIM	05Q	BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^- K^+ K^-$
1738 $\pm$ 30			ABLIKIM	04E	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^-$
1740 $\pm$ 4 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₂₅		4	BAI	03G	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$
1740 ⁺³⁰ ₋₂₅		4	BAI	00A	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$
1698 $\pm$ 18		5	BARBERIS	00E		$450 pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \eta p_s$
1710 $\pm$ 12 $\pm$ 11		6	BARBERIS	99D	OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow K^+ K^-, \pi^+\pi^-$
1710 $\pm$ 25		7	FRENCH	99		$300 pp \rightarrow p_f(K^+ K^-) p_s$
1707 $\pm$ 10		8	AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_S^0$
1698 $\pm$ 15		8	AUGUSTIN	87	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1720 $\pm$ 10 $\pm$ 10		9	BALTRUSAIT..	87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1742 $\pm$ 15		8	WILLIAMS	84	MPSF	$200 \pi^- N \rightarrow 2K_S^0 X$
1670 $\pm$ 50			BLOOM	83	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1744 $\pm$ 7 $\pm$ 5	381	10,11	DOBBS	15		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1705 $\pm$ 11 $\pm$ 5	237	10,11	DOBBS	15		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1706 $\pm$ 4 $\pm$ 5	1.0k	10,11	DOBBS	15		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1690 $\pm$ 8 $\pm$ 3	349	10,11	DOBBS	15		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1750 $\pm$ 13			AMSLER	06	CBAR	$1.64 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1747 $\pm$ 5	80k	12,13	UMAN	06	E835	$5.2 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
1776 $\pm$ 15			VLADIMIRSK..	06	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1790 ⁺⁴⁰ ₋₃₀		3	ABLIKIM	05	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-$
1670 $\pm$ 20		12	BINON	05	GAMS	$33 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta n$
1726 $\pm$ 7	74	13	CHEKANOV	04	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
1732 $\pm$ 15		14	ANISOVICH	03	RVUE	
1682 $\pm$ 16			TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC	$40.0 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
1670 $\pm$ 26	3.6k	4,15	NICHITIU	02	OBLX	
1770 $\pm$ 12		16,17	ANISOVICH	99B	SPEC	$0.6-1.2 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
1730 $\pm$ 15		4	BARBERIS	99	OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow p_s p_f K^+ K^-$
1750 $\pm$ 20		4	BARBERIS	99B	OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+\pi^-$
1750 $\pm$ 30		18	ANISOVICH	98B	RVUE	Compilation
1720 $\pm$ 39			BAI	98H	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$
1775 $\pm$ 1.5	57	19	BARKOV	98		$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1690 $\pm$ 11		20	ABREU	96C	DLPH	$Z^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- + X$
1696 $\pm$ 5 ⁺⁹ ₋₃₄		9	BAI	96C	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1781 $\pm$ 8 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₃₁		4	BAI	96C	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1768 $\pm$ 14			BALOSHIN	95	SPEC	$40 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
1750 $\pm$ 15		21	BUGG	95	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
1620 $\pm$ 16		9	BUGG	95	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
1748 $\pm$ 10		8	ARMSTRONG	93C	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
$\sim$ 1750			BREAKSTONE	93	SFM	$pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
1744 $\pm$ 15		22	ALDE	92D	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta n$
1713 $\pm$ 10		23	ARMSTRONG	89D	OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow ppK^+ K^-$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

1706±10	23	ARMSTRONG	89D	OMEG	300	$pp \rightarrow pp K_S^0 K_S^0$
1700±15	9	BOLONKIN	88	SPEC	40	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1720±60	4	BOLONKIN	88	SPEC	40	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1638±10	24	FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$	$K_S^0 K_S^0$
1690± 4	25	FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$	$K_S^0 K_S^0$
1755± 8	26	ALDE	86C	GAM2	38	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\eta$
1730 ⁺ ₋₁₀	27	LONGACRE	86	RVUE	22	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$
1650±50		BURKE	82	MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\rho$	
1640±50	28,29	EDWARDS	82D	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\eta$	
1730±10 ±20	30	ETKIN	82C	MPS	23	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

YOUR NOTE

- From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.
- In the SU(3) based model with a specific interference pattern of the $f_2(1270)$, $a_2^0(1320)$, and $f_2'(1525)$ mesons incoherently added to the $f_0(1710)$ and non-resonant background.
- This state may be different from $f_0(1710)$, see CLOSE 05.
- $J^P = 0^+$.
- T-matrix pole.
- Supersedes BARBERIS 99 and BARBERIS 99B.
- $J^P = 0^+$, supersedes by ARMSTRONG 89D.
- No J^{PC} determination.
- $J^P = 2^+$.
- Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.
- From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape with fixed $\Gamma = 135$ MeV.
- Breit-Wigner mass.
- Systematic errors not estimated.
- K-matrix pole, assuming $J^P = 0^+$, from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$, $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$, $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.
- Decaying to $f_0(1370)\pi\pi$.
- $J^P = 0^+$.
- Not seen by AMSLER 02.
- T-matrix pole, assuming $J^P = 0^+$
- No J^{PC} determination.
- No J^{PC} determination, width not determined.
- From a fit to the 0^+ partial wave.
- ALDE 92D combines all the GAMS-2000 data.
- $J^P = 2^+$, superseded by FRENCH 99.
- From an analysis ignoring interference with $f_2'(1525)$.
- From an analysis including interference with $f_2'(1525)$.
- Superseded by ALDE 92D.
- Uses MRK3 data. From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles, but assuming spin 2. Fit with constrained inelasticity.
- $J^P = 2^+$ preferred.
- From fit neglecting nearby $f_2'(1525)$. Replaced by BLOOM 83.
- Superseded by LONGACRE 86.

NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=HE

NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=AB
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=A8
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=TP
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=BD
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=C3
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=A1
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=A3
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=F
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=G
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=BW
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=CH
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=KM

NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=NC
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=AV
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=NS
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=AN
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=4A
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=A4
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=Q0
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=AA
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=C
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=B
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=BB
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=A9

NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=B2

NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=B1

 $f_0(1710)$ WIDTH

NODE=M068W

NODE=M068W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
139 ± 8	OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
172 ± 10	+32 -16	5.5k	1	ABLIKIM 13N BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$
139 ± 11	+96 -50			UEHARA 13 BELL $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
100 ± 24	+7 -22	4k	2	CHEKANOV 08 ZEUS $e p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
145 ± 8	+69			ABLIKIM 06V BES2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
125 ± 25	+10 -15		3	ABLIKIM 05Q BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
125 ± 20				ABLIKIM 04E BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega K^+ K^-$
166 ± 5	+15 -8		4	BAI 03G BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$
120 ± 50			4	BAI 00A BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma (\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$
120 ± 26			5	BARBERIS 00E 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \eta p_s$

YOUR DATA

126 ± 16 ± 18	6 BARBERIS	99D OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^-$	
105 ± 34	7 FRENCH	99	300 $pp \rightarrow p_f(K^+ K^-) p_s$	
166.4 ± 33.2	8 AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_S^0$	
136 ± 28	8 AUGUSTIN	87 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$	
130 ± 20	9 BALTRUSAIT..	87 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$	
57 ± 38	10 WILLIAMS	84 MPSF	200 $\pi^- N \rightarrow 2K_S^0 X$	
160 ± 80	BLOOM	83 CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\eta$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
148 + 40 - 30	AMSLER	06 CBAR	1.64 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$	
188 ± 13	80k 3,11 UMAN	06 E835	5.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$	
250 ± 30	VLADIMIRSK...	06 SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$	
270 + 60 - 30	12 ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$	
260 ± 50	3 BINON	05 GAMS	33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta n$	
38 + 20 - 14	74 11 CHEKANOV	04 ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$	
144 ± 30	13,14 ANISOVICH	03 RVUE		
320 + 50 - 20	14,15 ANISOVICH	03 RVUE		OCCUR=2
102 ± 26	TIKHOMIROV	03 SPEC	40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$	
267 ± 44	3651 4,16 NICHITIU	02 OBLX		
220 ± 40	17,18 ANISOVICH	99B SPEC	0.6-1.2 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$	
100 ± 25	4 BARBERIS	99 OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow p_s p_f K^+ K^-$	
160 ± 30	4 BARBERIS	99B OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$	
250 ± 140	19 ANISOVICH	98B RVUE	Compilation	
30 ± 7	57 20 BARKOV	98	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$	
103 ± 18 + 30 - 11	9 BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$	
85 ± 24 + 22 - 19	4 BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$	OCCUR=2
56 ± 19	BALOSHIN	95 SPEC	40 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$	
160 ± 40	21 BUGG	95 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	
160 + 60 - 20	9 BUGG	95 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	OCCUR=2
264 ± 25	8 ARMSTRONG	93C E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$	
200 to 300	BREAKSTONE	93 SFM	$pp \rightarrow pp \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	
< 80 90% CL	22 ALDE	92D GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta N^*$	
181 ± 30	23 ARMSTRONG	89D OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow pp K^+ K^-$	
104 ± 30	23 ARMSTRONG	89D OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow pp K_S^0 K_S^0$	OCCUR=2
30 ± 20	9 BOLONKIN	88 SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$	
350 ± 150	4 BOLONKIN	88 SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$	OCCUR=2
148 ± 17	24 FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_S^0$	
184 ± 6	25 FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_S^0$	OCCUR=2
122 + 74 - 15	26 LONGACRE	86 RVUE	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$	
200 ± 100	BURKE	82 MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2p$	
220 + 100 - 70	27,28 EDWARDS	82D CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\eta$	
200 + 156 - 9	29 ETKIN	82B MPS	23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$	

YOUR NOTE

- ¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.
- ² In the SU(3) based model with a specific interference pattern of the $f_2(1270)$, $a_2^0(1320)$, and $f_2'(1525)$ mesons incoherently added to the $f_0(1710)$ and non-resonant background.
- ³ Breit-Wigner width.
- ⁴ $J^P = 0^+$.
- ⁵ T-matrix pole.
- ⁶ Supersedes BARBERIS 99 and BARBERIS 99B.
- ⁷ $J^P = 0^+$, supersedes by ARMSTRONG 89D.
- ⁸ No J^{PC} determination.
- ⁹ $J^P = 2^+$.
- ¹⁰ No J^{PC} determination.
- ¹¹ Systematic errors not estimated.
- ¹² This state may be different from $f_0(1710)$, see CLOSE 05.
- ¹³ (Solution I)

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=HE

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=BW
 NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=A8
 NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=TP
 NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=BD
 NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=C3
 NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=A1
 NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=A3
 NODE=M068M;LINKAGE=WI
 NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=CH
 NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=AB
 NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=K1

- 14 K -matrix pole, assuming $J^P = 0^+$, from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$, $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$, $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.
- 15 (Solution I)
- 16 Decaying to $f_0(1370) \pi \pi$.
- 17 $J^P = 0^+$.
- 18 Not seen by AMSLER 02.
- 19 T -matrix pole, assuming $J^P = 0^+$
- 20 No J^{PC} determination.
- 21 From a fit to the 0^+ partial wave.
- 22 ALDE 92D combines all the GAMS-2000 data.
- 23 $J^P = 2^+$, (0^+ excluded).
- 24 From an analysis ignoring interference with $f_2'(1525)$.
- 25 From an analysis including interference with $f_2'(1525)$.
- 26 Uses MRK3 data. From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K -matrix formalism with 5 poles, but assuming spin 2. Fit with constrained inelasticity.
- 27 $J^P = 2^+$ preferred.
- 28 From fit neglecting nearby $f_2'(1525)$. Replaced by BLOOM 83.
- 29 From an amplitude analysis of the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ system, superseded by LONGACRE 86.

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=KM

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=K2

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=NC

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=AV

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=NS

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=4A

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=Q0

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=A9

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=B2

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M068W;LINKAGE=A

$f_0(1710)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M068

YOUR PAPER

DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)	REFID=56805
ABLIKIM	13N	PR D87 092009	Ablikim M. <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)	REFID=55387
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)	REFID=55592
CHEKANOV	08	PRL 101 112003	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)	REFID=52275
ABLIKIM	06V	PL B642 441	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=51507
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(CBAR Collab.)	REFID=51136
UMAN	06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)	REFID=51063
VLADIMIRSK...	06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirovsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)	REFID=51191
		Translated from YAF 69 515.			
ABLIKIM	05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50450
ABLIKIM	05Q	PR D72 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50958
BINON	05	PAN 68 960	F. Binon <i>et al.</i>		REFID=50780
		Translated from YAF 68 998.			
CLOSE	05	PR D71 094022	F.E. Close, Q. Zhao		REFID=50788
ABLIKIM	04E	PL B603 138	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50174
CHEKANOV	04	PL B578 33	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)	REFID=49672
PDG	04	PL B592 1	S. Eidelman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=49653
ANISOVICH	03	EPJ A16 229	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=49401
BAI	03G	PR D68 052003	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=49580
TIKHOMIROV	03	PAN 66 828	G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=49423
		Translated from YAF 66 860.			
AMSLER	02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>		REFID=48580
NICHITIU	02	PL B545 261	F. Nichitiu <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)	REFID=48848
BAI	00A	PL B472 207	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=47426
BARBERIS	00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=47961
ANISOVICH	99B	PL B449 154	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46886
BARBERIS	99	PL B453 305	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=46921
BARBERIS	99B	PL B453 316	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=46922
BARBERIS	99D	PL B462 462	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)	REFID=47395
FRENCH	99	PL B460 213	B. French <i>et al.</i>	(WA76 Collab.)	REFID=47491
ANISOVICH	98B	SPU 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46331
		Translated from UFN 168 481.			
BAI	98H	PRL 81 1179	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=46342
BARKOV	98	JETPL 68 764	B.P. Barkov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=46616
ABREU	96C	PL B379 309	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)	REFID=44671
BAI	96C	PRL 77 3959	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=45169
BALOSHIN	95	PAN 58 46	O.N. Baloshin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)	REFID=44621
		Translated from YAF 58 50.			
BUGG	95	PL B353 378	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, PNPI, WASH)	REFID=44438
ARMSTRONG	93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	REFID=43587
BREAKSTONE	93	ZPHY C58 251	A.M. Breakstone <i>et al.</i>	(IOWA, CERN, DORT+)	REFID=43312
ALDE	92D	PL B284 457	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM2 Collab.)	REFID=41591
Also		SJNP 54 451	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM2 Collab.)	REFID=44696
		Translated from YAF 54 745.			
ARMSTRONG	89D	PL B227 186	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=41010
AUGUSTIN	88	PRL 60 2238	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)	REFID=40574
BOLONKIN	88	NP B309 426	B.V. Bolonkin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, SERP)	REFID=40580
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)	REFID=40576
AUGUSTIN	87	ZPHY C36 369	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, CLER, FRAS+)	REFID=40268
BALTRUSAIT...	87	PR D35 2077	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40010
ALDE	86C	PL B182 105	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP)	REFID=21694
LONGACRE	86	PL B177 223	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)	REFID=20768
WILLIAMS	84	PR D30 877	E.G.H. Williams <i>et al.</i>	(VAND, NDAM, TUFTS+)	REFID=21693
BLOOM	83	ARNS 33 143	E.D. Bloom, C. Peck	(SLAC, CIT)	REFID=21682
BURKE	82	PRL 49 632	D.L. Burke <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)	REFID=21676
EDWARDS	82D	PRL 48 458	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)	REFID=21677
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)	REFID=20390
ETKIN	82C	PR D25 2446	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)	REFID=20391

$f_2(1810)$

$$J^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Needs confirmation.

NODE=M038

NODE=M038

NODE=M038M

NODE=M038M

 $f_2(1810)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1815±12 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.		
YOUR DATA 1822 ⁺²⁹⁺⁶⁶ ₋₂₄₋₅₇	5.5k	¹ ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$
1737±9 ⁺¹⁹⁸ ₋₆₅		² UEHARA	10A BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\eta$
1800±30	40	ALDE	88D GAM4	300 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^-p4\pi^0$
1806±10	1600	ALDE	87 GAM4	100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow 4\pi^0n$
1870±40		³ ALDE	86D GAM4	100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\eta n$
1857 ⁺³⁵ ₋₂₄		⁴ COSTA...	80 OMEG	10 $\pi^-p \rightarrow K^+K^-n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1858 ⁺¹⁸ ₋₇₁		⁵ LONGACRE	86 RVUE	Compilation
1799±15		⁶ CASON	82 STRC	8 $\pi^+p \rightarrow \Delta^{++}\pi^0\pi^0$

YOUR NOTE ¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.
² Breit-Wigner mass.
³ Seen in only one solution.
⁴ Error increased by spread of two solutions. Included in LONGACRE 86 global analysis.
⁵ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles. Includes compilation of several other experiments.
⁶ From an amplitude analysis of the reaction $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow 2\pi^0$. The resonance in the $2\pi^0$ final state is not confirmed by PROKOSHKIN 97.

NODE=M038M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M038M;LINKAGE=UE
 NODE=M038M;LINKAGE=F
 NODE=M038M;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M038M;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M038M;LINKAGE=P1

 $f_2(1810)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
197±22 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.		
YOUR DATA 229 ⁺⁵²⁺⁸⁸ ₋₄₂₋₁₅₅	5.5k	⁷ ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$
228 ⁺²¹⁺²³⁴ ₋₂₀₋₁₅₃		⁸ UEHARA	10A BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\eta$
160±30	40	ALDE	88D GAM4	300 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^-p4\pi^0$
190±20	1600	ALDE	87 GAM4	100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow 4\pi^0n$
250±30		⁹ ALDE	86D GAM4	100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\eta n$
185 ⁺¹⁰² ₋₁₃₉		¹⁰ COSTA...	80 OMEG	10 $\pi^-p \rightarrow K^+K^-n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
388 ⁺¹⁵ ₋₂₁		¹¹ LONGACRE	86 RVUE	Compilation
280 ⁺⁴² ₋₃₅		¹² CASON	82 STRC	8 $\pi^+p \rightarrow \Delta^{++}\pi^0\pi^0$

YOUR NOTE ⁷ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.
⁸ Breit-Wigner width.
⁹ Seen in only one solution.
¹⁰ Error increased by spread of two solutions. Included in LONGACRE 86 global analysis.
¹¹ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles. Includes compilation of several other experiments.
¹² From an amplitude analysis of the reaction $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow 2\pi^0$. The resonance in the $2\pi^0$ final state is not confirmed by PROKOSHKIN 97.

NODE=M038W

NODE=M038W

NODE=M038W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M038W;LINKAGE=UE
 NODE=M038W;LINKAGE=F
 NODE=M038W;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M038W;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M038W;LINKAGE=P1

 $f_2(1810)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_2/Γ
YOUR DATA seen	ABLIKIM	13N BES3	PWA of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.008 ^{+0.028} _{-0.003}	¹⁶ LONGACRE	86 RVUE	Compilation	

NODE=M038220

NODE=M038R3
 NODE=M038R3

¹⁶ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles. Includes compilation of several other experiments.

NODE=M038R3;LINKAGE=L

$f_2(1810)$ REFERENCES

YOUR PAPER	ABLIKIM	13N	PR D87 092009	Ablikim M. <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
	UEHARA	10A	PR D82 114031	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
	PROKOSHKIN	97	SPD 42 117	Y.D. Prokoshkin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
	ALDE	88D	Translated from DANS 353 323.		
			SJNP 47 810	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)
	ALDE	87	Translated from YAF 47 1273.		
			PL B198 286	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, BRUX, SERP, LAPP)
	ALDE	86D	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)
	LONGACRE	86	PL B177 223	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)
	CASON	82	PRL 48 1316	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
	COSTA...	80	NP B175 402	G. Costa de Beauregard <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN+)

NODE=M038

REFID=55387
REFID=53641
REFID=45386

REFID=44652

REFID=40221
REFID=20765
REFID=20768
REFID=20746
REFID=20737
NODE=M168

$f_0(2100)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^{+}(0^{++})$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Needs confirmation.

NODE=M168

$f_0(2100)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2101 ± 7 OUR AVERAGE				
2081 ± 13 ⁺²⁴ ₋₃₆	5.5k	¹ ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$
2102 ± 13		² ANISOVICH	00J SPEC	$2.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0, \pi^0\pi^0, \eta\eta, \eta\eta', \pi^+\pi^-$
2090 ± 30		BAI	00A BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$
2105 ± 10		ANISOVICH	99K SPEC	$0.6-1.94 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta, \eta\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2090 ± 10 ± 6	529	^{3,4} DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
2099 ± 17 ± 8	283	^{3,4} DOBBS	15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
2105 ± 8	80k	⁵ UMAN	06 E835	$5.2 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
~ 2104		BUGG	95	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
~ 2122		HASAN	94 RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

NODE=M168M

NODE=M168M

OCCUR=2

YOUR NOTE ¹ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.
² Includes the data of ANISOVICH 00B indicating to exotic decay pattern.
³ Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.
⁴ From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape with fixed $\Gamma = 209$ MeV.
⁵ Statistical error only.

NODE=M168M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M168M;LINKAGE=AN
NODE=M168M;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M168M;LINKAGE=C
NODE=M168M;LINKAGE=ST

$f_0(2100)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
224 ± 23 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.
273 ± 27 ⁺⁷⁰ ₋₂₄₋₂₃	5.5k	⁶ ABLIKIM	13N BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$
211 ± 29		⁷ ANISOVICH	00J SPEC	$2.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0, \pi^0\pi^0, \eta\eta, \eta\eta', \pi^+\pi^-$
330 ± 100		BAI	00A BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$
200 ± 25		ANISOVICH	99K SPEC	$0.6-1.94 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta, \eta\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
236 ± 14	80k	⁸ UMAN	06 E835	$5.2 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
~ 203		BUGG	95	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
~ 273		HASAN	94 RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

NODE=M168W

NODE=M168W

YOUR NOTE ⁶ From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0^{++} , 2^{++} , and 4^{++} resonances.
⁷ Includes the data of ANISOVICH 00B indicating to exotic decay pattern.
⁸ Statistical error only.

NODE=M168W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M168W;LINKAGE=AN
NODE=M168W;LINKAGE=ST

f₀(2100) REFERENCES

YOUR PAPER	DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)
	ABLIKIM	13N	PR D87 092009	Ablikim M. <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
	UMAN	06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)
	ANISOVICH	00B	NP A662 319	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
	ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
	BAI	00A	PL B472 207	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
	ANISOVICH	99K	PL B468 309	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
	BUGG	95	PL B353 378	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, PNPI, WASH)
	HASAN	94	PL B334 215	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)

NODE=M168

REFID=56805
REFID=55387
REFID=51063
REFID=47942
REFID=47950
REFID=47426
REFID=47472
REFID=44438
REFID=44103
NODE=M108

$f_2(2340)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^{+}(2^{+}+)$$

f₂(2340) MASS

NODE=M108M

NODE=M108M

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2345⁺⁵⁰₋₄₀ OUR AVERAGE				
2362 ⁺³¹⁺¹⁴⁰ ₋₃₀₋₆₃	5.5k	1 ABLIKIM	13N BES3	e ⁺ e ⁻ → J/ψ → γηη
2339±55		2 ETKIN	88 MPS	22 π ⁻ p → φφn
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2350± 7	80k	3 UMAN	06 E835	5.2 p̄p → ηηπ ⁰
2392±10		BOOTH	86 OMEG	85 π ⁻ Be → 2φBe
2360±20		LINDENBAUM	84 RVUE	

YOUR DATA

YOUR NOTE

- 1 From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0⁺⁺, 2⁺⁺, and 4⁺⁺ resonances.
- 2 Includes data of ETKIN 85. The percentage of the resonance going into φφ 2⁺⁺ S₂, D₂, and D₀ is 37 ± 19, 4⁺¹²₋₄, and 59⁺²¹₋₁₉, respectively.
- 3 Statistical error only.

NODE=M108M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M108M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M108M;LINKAGE=ST

f₂(2340) WIDTH

NODE=M108W

NODE=M108W

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
322⁺⁷⁰₋₆₀ OUR AVERAGE				
334 ⁺⁶²⁺¹⁶⁵ ₋₅₄₋₁₀₀	5.5k	4 ABLIKIM	13N BES3	e ⁺ e ⁻ → J/ψ → γηη
319 ⁺⁸¹ ₋₆₉		5 ETKIN	88 MPS	22 π ⁻ p → φφn
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
218± 16	80k	6 UMAN	06 E835	5.2 p̄p → ηηπ ⁰
198± 50		BOOTH	86 OMEG	85 π ⁻ Be → 2φBe
150 ⁺¹⁵⁰ ₋₅₀		LINDENBAUM	84 RVUE	

YOUR DATA

YOUR NOTE

- 4 From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0⁺⁺, 2⁺⁺, and 4⁺⁺ resonances.
- 5 Includes data of ETKIN 85.
- 6 Statistical error only.

NODE=M108W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M108W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M108W;LINKAGE=ST

f₂(2340) REFERENCES

NODE=M108

REFID=55387
REFID=51063
REFID=40285
REFID=21870
REFID=21871
REFID=21869

YOUR PAPER	ABLIKIM	13N	PR D87 092009	Ablikim M. <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
	UMAN	06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)
	ETKIN	88	PL B201 568	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)
	BOOTH	86	NP B273 677	P.S.L. Booth <i>et al.</i>	(LIVP, GLAS, CERN)
	ETKIN	85	PL 165B 217	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)
	LINDENBAUM	84	CNPP 13 285	S.J. Lindenbaum	(CUNY)

$c\bar{c}$ MESONS

NODE=MXXX025

NODE=M070

$J/\psi(1S)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^{-}(1^{-}-)$$

J/ψ(1S) BRANCHING RATIOS

RADIATIVE DECAYS

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{171} / Γ

VALUE (units 10⁻⁴) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

YOUR DATA 2.35^{+0.13+1.24}_{-0.11-0.74} 5.5k 1 ABLIKIM 13N BES3 J/ψ → γηη

YOUR NOTE 1 From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0⁺⁺, 2⁺⁺, and 4⁺⁺ resonances.

$\Gamma(\gamma f'_2(1525) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{177} / Γ

VALUE (units 10⁻⁵) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

YOUR DATA 3.42^{+0.43+1.37}_{-0.51-1.30} 5.5k 1 ABLIKIM 13N BES3 J/ψ → γηη

YOUR NOTE 1 From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0⁺⁺, 2⁺⁺, and 4⁺⁺ resonances.

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1810) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{181} / Γ

VALUE (units 10⁻⁵) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

YOUR DATA 5.40^{+0.60+3.42}_{-0.67-2.35} 5.5k 1 ABLIKIM 13N J/ψ → γηη

YOUR NOTE 1 From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0⁺⁺, 2⁺⁺, and 4⁺⁺ resonances.

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(2100) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{197} / Γ

VALUE (units 10⁻⁴) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

YOUR DATA 1.13^{+0.09+0.64}_{-0.10-0.28} 5.5k 1 ABLIKIM 13N BES3 J/ψ → γηη

YOUR NOTE 1 From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0⁺⁺, 2⁺⁺, and 4⁺⁺ resonances.

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(2340) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{205} / Γ

VALUE (units 10⁻⁵) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

YOUR DATA 5.60^{+0.62+2.37}_{-0.65-2.07} 5.5k 1 ABLIKIM 13N BES3 J/ψ → γηη

YOUR NOTE 1 From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0⁺⁺, 2⁺⁺, and 4⁺⁺ resonances.

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1500) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{207} / Γ

VALUE (units 10⁻⁵) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

YOUR DATA 1.65^{+0.26+0.51}_{-0.31-1.40} 5.5k 1 ABLIKIM 13N BES3 J/ψ → γηη

YOUR NOTE 1 From partial wave analysis including all possible combinations of 0⁺⁺, 2⁺⁺, and 4⁺⁺ resonances.

J/ψ(1S) REFERENCES

YOUR PAPER ABLIKIM 13N PR D87 092009 Ablikim M. et al. (BES III Collab.)

NODE=M070230

NODE=M070310

NODE=M070S84
NODE=M070S84

NODE=M070S84;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070S86
NODE=M070S86

NODE=M070S86;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070S87
NODE=M070S87

NODE=M070S87;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070S85
NODE=M070S85

NODE=M070S85;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070S88
NODE=M070S88

NODE=M070S88;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070S83
NODE=M070S83

NODE=M070S83;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070

REFID=55387