

Reference = ACHASOV 16D; PR D94 112001
Verifier code = DRUZHININ

PLEASE READ NOW

*PLEASE
REPLY
WITHIN
ONE WEEK*

Normally we send all verifications for one experiment to one person, usually the spokesperson or data-analysis coordinator, who then distributes them to the appropriate people. Please tell us if we should send the verifications for your experiment to someone else.

Vladimir P. Druzhinin

EMAIL: v.p.druzhinin@inp.nsk.su

March 20, 2017

Dear Colleague,

- (1) Please check the results of your experiment carefully. They are marked.
- (2) Please reply within one week.
- (3) Please reply even if everything is correct.
- (4) IMPORTANT!! Please tell WHICH papers you are verifying. We have lots of requests out.
- (5) Feel free to make comments on our treatment of any of the results (not just yours) you see.

Thank you for helping us make the Review accurate and useful.

Sincerely,

Simon Eidelman
BINP, Budker Inst. of Nuclear Physics
Prospekt Lavrent'eva 11
RU-630090 Novosibirsk
Russian Federation

EMAIL: simon.eidelman@cern.ch

LIGHT UNFLAVORED MESONS

($S = C = B = 0$)

For $I = 1$ (π, b, ρ, a): $u\bar{d}, (u\bar{u}-d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}, d\bar{u}$;
for $I = 0$ ($\eta, \eta', h, h', \omega, \phi, f, f'$): $c_1(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}) + c_2(s\bar{s})$

$\rho(1450)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^-)$$

See our mini-review under the $\rho(1700)$.

$\rho(1450)$ MASS

$\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
YOUR DATA 1510 ± 7	10.2k	¹ ACHASOV	16D SND	1.05–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1544 ± 22 ⁺¹¹ ₋₄₆	821	² MATVIENKO	15 BELL	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
1491 ± 19	7815	³ ACHASOV	13 SND	1.05–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1582 ± 17 ± 25	2382	⁴ AKHMETSHIN	03B CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1349 ± 25 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₅	341	⁵ ALEXANDER	01B CLE2	$B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\omega\pi^-$
1523 ± 10		⁶ EDWARDS	00A CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^- \nu_\tau$
1463 ± 25		⁷ CLEGG	94 RVUE	
1250		⁸ ASTON	80C OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
1290 ± 40		⁸ BARBER	80C SPEC	3–5 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

YOUR NOTE

- ¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The $\rho(1700)$ mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.
- ² Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.
- ³ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.
- ⁴ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the $\omega\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass dependence of the total width. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.
- ⁵ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming the $\omega\pi^-$ mass dependence for the total width.
- ⁶ Mass-independent width parameterization. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.
- ⁷ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.
- ⁸ Not separated from $b_1(1235)$, not pure $J^P = 1^-$ effect.

$\rho(1450)$ WIDTH

$\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
YOUR DATA 440 ± 40	10.2k	¹ ACHASOV	16D SND	1.05–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
303 ⁺³¹ ₋₅₂ ± 69 ₋₇	821	² MATVIENKO	15 BELL	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
429 ± 42 ± 10	2382	³ AKHMETSHIN	03B CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
547 ± 86 ⁺⁴⁶ ₋₄₅	341	⁴ ALEXANDER	01B CLE2	$B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\omega\pi^-$
400 ± 35		⁵ EDWARDS	00A CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^- \nu_\tau$
311 ± 62		⁶ CLEGG	94 RVUE	
300		⁷ ASTON	80C OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
320 ± 100		⁷ BARBER	80C SPEC	3–5 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

NODE=MXXX005

NODE=MXXX005

NODE=M105

NODE=M105

NODE=M105205

NODE=M105M3
NODE=M105M3

OCCUR=2

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=AC

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=HK

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=3Z

NODE=M105M;LINKAGE=E1

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M105210

NODE=M105W3
NODE=M105W3

OCCUR=3

YOUR NOTE

- ¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The $\rho(1700)$ mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.
- ² Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.
- ³ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the $\omega\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass dependence of the total width. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.
- ⁴ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming the $\omega\pi^-$ mass dependence for the total width.
- ⁵ Mass-independent width parameterization. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.
- ⁶ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.
- ⁷ Not separated from $b_1(1235)$, not pure $J^P = 1^-$ effect.

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=HK

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=3Z

NODE=M105W;LINKAGE=E1

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=A

$\rho(1450) \Gamma(i)/\Gamma(\text{total}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

 $\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $\Gamma_3/\Gamma \times \Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

YOUR DATA

2.1 ± 0.4	10.2k	¹ ACHASOV	16D	SND	$1.05-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
5.3 ± 0.4	7815	² ACHASOV	13	SND	$1.05-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M105230

NODE=M105R05
NODE=M105R05

OCCUR=3

YOUR NOTE

- ¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The $\rho(1700)$ mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.
- ² From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.

NODE=M105R05;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M105R05;LINKAGE=AC

$\rho(1450)$ REFERENCES

YOUR PAPER

ACHASOV	16D	PR D94 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
MATVIENKO	15	PR D92 012013	D. Matvienko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ACHASOV	13	PR D88 054013	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALEXANDER	01B	PR D64 092001	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
EDWARDS	00A	PR D61 072003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
BISELLO	91B	NPBPS B21 111	D. Bisello	(DM2 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	87L	PL B185 223	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
DOLINSKY	86	PL B174 453	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
ASTON	80C	PL 92B 211	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
BARBER	80C	ZPHY C4 169	D.P. Barber <i>et al.</i>	(DARE, LANC, SHEF)

NODE=M105

REFID=57618
REFID=56601
REFID=55584
REFID=49406
REFID=48391
REFID=47465
REFID=44081
REFID=41752
REFID=40418
REFID=20246
REFID=20652
REFID=20653
NODE=M065
 $\rho(1700)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$$

$\rho(1700) \Gamma(i)/\Gamma(\text{total}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

YOUR DATA

0.09 ± 0.05	10.2k	¹ ACHASOV	16D	SND	$1.05-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1.7 ± 0.4	7815	² ACHASOV	13	SND	$1.05-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

NODE=M065240

NODE=M065R01
NODE=M065R01

OCCUR=4

YOUR NOTE

- ¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering $\rho(700)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The $\rho(1700)$ mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.
- ² From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.

NODE=M065R01;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M065R01;LINKAGE=AC

$\rho(1700)$ REFERENCES

YOUR PAPER

ACHASOV	16D	PR D94 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	13	PR D88 054013	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)

NODE=M065

REFID=57618
REFID=55584