

Reference = AAIJ 16AH; PR D94 072001  
 Verifier code = LHCb

Normally we send all verifications for one experiment to one person, usually the spokesperson or data-analysis coordinator, who then distributes them to the appropriate people. Please tell us if we should send the verifications for your experiment to someone else.

**PLEASE READ NOW**

**PLEASE  
REPLY  
WITHIN  
ONE WEEK**

Vincenzo Vagnoni

EMAIL: vincenzo.vagnoni@bo.infn.it

March 20, 2017

Dear Colleague,

- (1) Please check the results of your experiment carefully. They are marked.
- (2) Please reply within one week.
- (3) Please reply even if everything is correct.
- (4) IMPORTANT!! Please tell WHICH papers you are verifying. We have lots of requests out.
- (5) Feel free to make comments on our treatment of any of the results (not just yours) you see.

Thank you for helping us make the Review accurate and useful.

Sincerely,

Simon Eidelman  
 BINP, Budker Inst. of Nuclear Physics  
 Prospekt Lavrent'eva 11  
 RU-630090 Novosibirsk  
 Russian Federation

EMAIL: simon.eidelman@cern.ch

# CHARMED MESONS ( $C = \pm 1$ )

$D^+ = c\bar{d}$ ,  $D^0 = c\bar{u}$ ,  $\bar{D}^0 = \bar{c}u$ ,  $D^- = \bar{c}d$ , similarly for  $D^*$ 's

$D_2^*(2460)^0$

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^+)$

$J^P = 2^+$  assignment strongly favored (ALBRECHT 89B, ALBRECHT 89H), natural parity confirmed by the helicity analysis (DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 10P). AAIJ 13CC confirms  $J^P = 2^+$  and natural parity.

## $D_2^*(2460)^0$ MASS

The fit includes  $D^\pm$ ,  $D^0$ ,  $D_s^\pm$ ,  $D^{*\pm}$ ,  $D^{*0}$ ,  $D_s^{*\pm}$ ,  $D_1(2420)^0$ ,  $D_2^*(2460)^0$ , and  $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$  mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2460.56 ± 0.35 OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 2.6. See the ideogram below.		

YOUR DATA	2463.7 ± 0.4 ± 0.7	28k	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	16AH LHCb $B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	I	OCCUR=2	
	2460.4 ± 0.4 ± 1.2	82k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb $p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$		OCCUR=2	
	2460.4 ± 0.1 ± 0.1	675k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb $p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$		OCCUR=2	
	2462.5 ± 2.4 ± 1.3	2.3k	<sup>2</sup> ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS $e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$		OCCUR=2	
	2462.2 ± 0.1 ± 0.8	243k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$		OCCUR=2	
	2460.4 ± 1.2 ± 2.2	3.4k	AUBERT	09AB BABR $B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$		OCCUR=2	
	2461.6 ± 2.1 ± 3.3		<sup>3</sup> ABE	04D BELL $B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$		OCCUR=2	
	2464.5 ± 1.1 ± 1.9	5.8k	<sup>3</sup> LINK	04A FOCS $\gamma A$		OCCUR=2	
	2465 ± 3 ± 3	486	AVERY	94C CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$		OCCUR=2	
	2453 ± 3 ± 2	128	FRABETTI	94B E687 $\gamma Be \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$		OCCUR=2	
	2461 ± 3 ± 1	440	AVERY	90 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$		OCCUR=2	
	2455 ± 3 ± 5	337	ALBRECHT	89B ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$		OCCUR=2	
	2459 ± 3 ± 2	153	ANJOS	89C TPS $\gamma N \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$		OCCUR=2	
	• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
	2469.1 ± 3.7 ± 1.2	1.5k	<sup>4</sup> CHEKANOV	09 ZEUS $e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$		OCCUR=2	
	2463.3 ± 0.6 ± 0.8	20k	ABULENCIA	06A CDF 1900 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$		OCCUR=2	
	2461 ± 6	126	<sup>5</sup> ABREU	98M DLPH $e^+ e^-$		OCCUR=2	
	2466 ± 7	1	ASRATYAN	95 BEBC 53,40 $\nu(\bar{\nu}) \rightarrow pX, dX$		OCCUR=2	

YOUR NOTE	<sup>1</sup> From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and $B^{*0}$ states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$ , $D_1^*(2680)^0$ , $D_3^*(2760)^0$ , and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.					
	<sup>2</sup> From the combined fit of the $M(D^+ \pi^-)$ and $M(D^{*+} \pi^-)$ distributions. and $A_{D_2}$ fixed to the theoretical prediction of -1.					
	<sup>3</sup> Fit includes the contribution from $D_0^*(2400)^0$ .					
	<sup>4</sup> Calculated using the mass difference $m(D_2^{*0}) - m(D^{*+})_{PDG}$ reported below and $m(D^{*+})_{PDG} = 2010.27 \pm 0.17$ MeV. The 0.17 MeV uncertainty of the PDG mass value should be added to the experimental uncertainty of $^{+1.2}_{-1.3}$ MeV.					
	<sup>5</sup> No systematic error given.					

$D_2^*(2460)^0$ WIDTH						
VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
<b>47.5 ± 1.1 OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.8. See the ideogram below.				
47.0 ± 0.8 ± 1.0	28k	<sup>6</sup> AAIJ	16AH LHCb $B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$		I	NODE=M119W
43.2 ± 1.2 ± 3.0	82k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb $p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$			NODE=M119W

NODE=MXXX035

NODE=MXXX035

NODE=M119

NODE=M119

NODE=M119M

NODE=M119M

NODE=M119M

NODE=M119M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M119M;LINKAGE=AR

NODE=M119M;LINKAGE=LI

NODE=M119M;LINKAGE=CH

NODE=M119M;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M119W

NODE=M119W

OCCUR=3

45.6 ± 0.4 ± 1.1	675k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$p p \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$	OCCUR=2
46.6 ± 8.1 ± 5.9	2.3k	<sup>7</sup> ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)} + \pi^- X$	
50.5 ± 0.6 ± 0.7	243k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$	
41.8 ± 2.5 ± 2.9	3.4k	AUBERT	09AB BABR	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	
49.2 ± 2.3 ± 1.3	20k	ABULENCIA	06A CDF	$1900 p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^- X$	
45.6 ± 4.4 ± 6.7	<sup>8</sup> ABE	04D BELL	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$		
38.7 ± 5.3 ± 2.9	5.8k	<sup>8</sup> LINK	04A FOCS	$\gamma A$	
28 ± 8 ± 6	486	AVERY	94C CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$	
25 ± 10 ± 5	128	FRABETTI	94B E687	$\gamma Be \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$	
20 ± 9 ± 9	440	AVERY	90 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$	
15 ± 13 ± 5	337	ALBRECHT	89B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$	
20 ± 10 ± 5	153	ANJOS	89C TPS	$\gamma N \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$	

YOUR NOTE

<sup>6</sup> From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the  $D^+ \pi^-$  wave together with virtual contributions from the  $D^*(2007)^0$  and  $B^{*0}$  states, and components corresponding to the  $D_2^*(2460)^0$ ,  $D_1^*(2680)^0$ ,  $D_3^*(2760)^0$ , and  $D_2^*(3000)^0$  resonances.

<sup>7</sup> From the combined fit of the  $M(D^+ \pi^-)$  and  $M(D^{*+} \pi^-)$  distributions. and  $A_{D_2}$  fixed to the theoretical prediction of  $-1$ .

<sup>8</sup> Fit includes the contribution from  $D_0^*(2400)^0$ .

NODE=M119W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M119W;LINKAGE=AR

NODE=M119W;LINKAGE=LI

NODE=M119

REFID=57518  
REFID=55581  
REFID=54743  
REFID=53534  
REFID=52941  
REFID=52733  
REFID=51054  
REFID=50011  
REFID=49775  
REFID=46315  
REFID=44439  
REFID=44096  
REFID=43687  
REFID=41013  
REFID=40736  
REFID=41001  
REFID=40737

NODE=M199

**$D_J^*(2600)$**   
was  $D(2600)$ ,

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(??)$$

OMMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

$J^P$  consistent with natural parity (DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 10P,  
AAIJ 13CC).

NODE=M199

### **$D_J^*(2600)$ MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>2623 ± 12 OUR AVERAGE</b>					Error includes scale factor of 4.8. See the ideogram below.

2681.1 ± 5.6 ± 14.0	28k	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	16AH LHCb	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	
2649.2 ± 3.5 ± 3.5	51k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$p p \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$	
2608.7 ± 2.4 ± 2.5	26k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR 0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$	
2621.3 ± 3.7 ± 4.2	13k	<sup>2</sup> DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR +	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$	

NODE=M199M

NODE=M199M

OCCUR=2

NODE=M199M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M199M;LINKAGE=DE

YOUR DATA

<sup>1</sup> From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the  $D^+ \pi^-$  wave together with virtual contributions from the  $D^*(2007)^0$  and  $B^{*0}$  states, and components corresponding to the  $D_2^*(2460)^0$ ,  $D_1^*(2680)^0$ ,  $D_3^*(2760)^0$ , and  $D_2^*(3000)^0$  resonances.

<sup>2</sup> At a fixed width of 93 MeV.

NODE=M199W

### **$D_J^*(2600)$ WIDTH**

	<i>VALUE (MeV)</i>	<i>EVTS</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>	
YOUR DATA	<b>139 ± 31 OUR AVERAGE</b>				Error includes scale factor of 3.2. See the ideogram below.	
	186.7 ± 8.5 ± 11.9	28k	<sup>3</sup> AAIJ	16AH LHCb	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	
	140.2 ± 17.1 ± 18.6	51k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$p p \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$	
	93 ± 6 ± 13	26k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$	
YOUR NOTE					<sup>3</sup> From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and $B^{*0}$ states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$ , $D_1^*(2680)^0$ , $D_3^*(2760)^0$ , and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.	

NODE=M199W

 **$D_J^*(2600)$  REFERENCES**

YOUR PAPER	AAIJ	16AH PR D94 072001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
	AAIJ	13CC JHEP 1309 145	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	PR D82 111101	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)

NODE=M199W;LINKAGE=A

 **$D(2750)$** 

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(3^-)$$

## OMMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

$J^P$  determined by AAIJ 15Y from the Dalitz plot analysis of  $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$  decays.  $J^P$  consistent with natural parity (AAIJ 13CC).

NODE=M199

REFID=57518  
REFID=55581  
REFID=53534  
NODE=M203

 **$D(2750)$  MASS**

	<i>VALUE (MeV)</i>	<i>EVTS</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>CHG</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>	
YOUR DATA	<b>2763.5 ± 3.4 OUR AVERAGE</b>					Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.	
	2775.5 ± 4.5 ± 6.5	28k	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	16AH LHCb		$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	
	2798 ± 7 ± 7		<sup>2</sup> AAIJ	15Y LHCb		$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	
	2761.1 ± 5.1 ± 6.5	14k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb 0		$p p \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$	
	2760.1 ± 1.1 ± 3.7	56k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb 0		$p p \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$	
	2771.7 ± 1.7 ± 3.8	20k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb +		$p p \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$	
	2752.4 ± 1.7 ± 2.7	23.5k	<sup>3</sup> DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR 0		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$	
	2763.3 ± 2.3 ± 2.3	11.3k	<sup>3</sup> DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR 0		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$	
	2769.7 ± 3.8 ± 1.5	5.7k	<sup>3,4</sup> DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR +		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$	
	• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
	2802 ± 11 ± 10		<sup>5</sup> AAIJ	15Y LHCb		$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	

NODE=M203

YOUR NOTE	1	From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and $B^{*0}$ states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$ , $D_1^*(2680)^0$ , $D_3^*(2760)^0$ , and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.
	2	Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S-wave with the Isobar formalism.
	3	The states observed in the $D^* \pi$ and $D \pi$ final states are not necessarily the same.
	4	At a fixed width of 60.9 MeV.
	5	Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S-wave with the K-matrix formalism.

NODE=M203M

NODE=M203M

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

NODE=M203M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M203M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M203M;LINKAGE=DE

NODE=M203M;LINKAGE=DA

NODE=M203M;LINKAGE=B

 **$D(2750)$  WIDTH**

	<i>VALUE (MeV)</i>	<i>EVTS</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>CHG</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>	
YOUR DATA	<b>66 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE</b>					Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.	
	95.3 ± 9.6 ± 34.0	28k	<sup>6</sup> AAIJ	16AH LHCb		$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	
	105 ± 18 ± 24		<sup>7</sup> AAIJ	15Y LHCb		$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	
	74.4 ± 3.4 ± 37.0	14k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb 0		$p p \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$	
	74.4 ± 3.4 ± 19.1	56k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb 0		$p p \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$	
	66.7 ± 6.6 ± 10.5	20k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb +		$p p \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ X$	
	71 ± 6 ± 11	23.5k	<sup>8</sup> DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$	
	60.9 ± 5.1 ± 3.6	11.3k	<sup>8</sup> DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$	
	• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
	154 ± 27 ± 16		<sup>9</sup> AAIJ	15Y LHCb		$B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	

NODE=M203W

NODE=M203W

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=4

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=M203W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M203W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M203W;LINKAGE=DE

NODE=M203W;LINKAGE=B

YOUR NOTE	6	From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and $B^{*0}$ states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$ , $D_1^*(2680)^0$ , $D_3^*(2760)^0$ , and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.
	7	Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S-wave with the Isobar formalism.
	8	The states observed in the $D^* \pi$ and $D \pi$ final states are not necessarily the same.
	9	Modeling the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ S-wave with the K-matrix formalism.

**D(2750) REFERENCES**

YOUR PAPER AAIJ 16AH PR D94 072001  
 AAIJ 15Y PR D92 032002  
 AAIJ 13CC JHEP 1309 145  
 DEL-AMO-SA... 10P PR D82 111101

R. Aaij *et al.*  
 R. Aaij *et al.*  
 R. Aaij *et al.*  
 P. del Amo Sanchez *et al.*

(LHCb Collab.)  
 (LHCb Collab.) JP  
 (LHCb Collab.)  
 (BABAR Collab.)

**D(3000)<sup>0</sup>**

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(??)$$

**OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE**

Both natural- and unnatural-parity components observed depending on the decay mode (AAIJ 13CC).

<b>D(3000)<sup>0</sup> MASS</b>					
	<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
YOUR DATA	<b>3214 ± 29 ± 49</b>	28k	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	16AH LHCb	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
	2971.8 ± 8.7	9.5k	<sup>2,3</sup> AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$p p \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
	3008.1 ± 4.0	17.6k	<sup>2,4</sup> AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$p p \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
YOUR NOTE	<sup>1</sup> From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and $B^{*0}$ states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$ , $D_1^*(2680)^0$ , $D_3^*(2760)^0$ , and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.				
	<sup>2</sup> Systematic uncertainty not estimated.				
	<sup>3</sup> Unnatural parity preferred.				
	<sup>4</sup> Natural parity state. A state $D(3000)^+$ is possibly seen in $D^0 \pi^+$ final state.				

<b>D(3000)<sup>0</sup> WIDTH</b>					
	<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
YOUR DATA	<b>186 ± 38 ± 72</b>	28k	<sup>5</sup> AAIJ	16AH LHCb	$B^- \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
	188.1 ± 44.8	9.5k	<sup>6,7</sup> AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$p p \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
	110.5 ± 11.5	17.6k	<sup>6,8</sup> AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$p p \rightarrow D^+ \pi^- X$
YOUR NOTE	<sup>5</sup> From the amplitude analysis in the model describing the $D^+ \pi^-$ wave together with virtual contributions from the $D^*(2007)^0$ and $B^{*0}$ states, and components corresponding to the $D_2^*(2460)^0$ , $D_1^*(2680)^0$ , $D_3^*(2760)^0$ , and $D_2^*(3000)^0$ resonances.				
	<sup>6</sup> Systematic uncertainty not estimated.				
	<sup>7</sup> Unnatural parity preferred.				
	<sup>8</sup> Natural parity state. A state $D(3000)^+$ is possibly seen in $D^0 \pi^+$ final state.				

<b>D(3000)<sup>0</sup> REFERENCES</b>					
YOUR PAPER	AAIJ 16AH PR D94 072001 AAIJ 13CC JHEP 1309 145	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i> R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>		(LHCb Collab.) (LHCb Collab.)	

NODE=M203

REFID=57518  
 REFID=56609  
 REFID=55581  
 REFID=53534  
 NODE=M229

NODE=M229

NODE=M229M

NODE=M229M

OCCUR=2

NODE=M229M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M229M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M229M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M229M;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M229W

NODE=M229W

OCCUR=2

NODE=M229W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M229W;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M229W;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M229W;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M229

REFID=57518  
 REFID=55581