Reference = DICKSON 16; PR C93 065202
Verifier code = SCHUMACHER

Normally we send all verifications for one experiment to one person, usually the spokesperson or data-analysis coordinator, who then distributes them to the appropriate people. Please tell us if we should send the verifications for your experiment to someone else.

PLEASE READ NOW

PLEASE REPLY WITHIN ONE WEEK

Reinhard A Schumacher

EMAIL: schumacher@cmu.edu

March 20, 2017

Dear Colleague,

(1) Please check the results of your experiment carefully. They are marked.

(2) Please reply within one week.

(3) Please reply even if everything is correct.

(4) IMPORTANT!! Please tell WHICH papers you are verifying. We have lots of requests out.

(5) Feel free to make comments on our treatment of any of the results (not just yours) you see.

Thank you for helping us make the Review accurate and useful.

Sincerely,

Simon Eidelman
BINP, Budker Inst. of Nuclear Physics
Prospekt Lavrent'eva 11
RU-630090 Novosibirsk
Russian Federation

EMAIL: simon.eidelman@cern.ch

LIGHT UNFLAVORED MESONS $(S = C = B = 0)$

For $I = 1$ (π, b, ρ, a): $u\overline{d}$, $(u\overline{u}-d\overline{d})/\sqrt{2}$, $d\overline{u}$;
for $I = 0$ (η, η', h, h', ω, ϕ, f, f'): $c_1(u\overline{u} + d\overline{d}) + c_2(s\overline{s})$

NODE=MXXX005

NODE=MXXX005

NODE=M008

$f_1(1285)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$

$f_1(1285)$ MASS

NODE=M008M

NODE=M008M

	VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
	1281.9 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.8. See the ideogram below.				
YOUR DATA	1281.0 ± 0.8		DICKSON	16	CLAS	2.55 $\gamma p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- p$	
	1287.4 ± 3.0	87	ABLIKIM	15P	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^- 3\pi$	
	1281.16 ± 0.39 ± 0.45		[1] LEES	12X	BABR	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- f_1(1285)\nu_\tau$	
	1285.1 ± 1.0 $^{+1.6}_{-0.3}$		[2] ABLIKIM	11J	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)$	
	1281 ± 2 ± 1		AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$	
	1276.1 ± 8.1 ± 8.0	203	BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$	
	1274 ± 6	237	ABDALLAH	03H	DLPH	91.2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp + X$	
	1280 ± 4		ACCIARRI	01G	L3		
	1288 ± 4 ± 5	20k	ADAMS	01B	B852	18 GeV $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0 n$	
	1284 ± 6	1400	ALDE	97B	GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0 n$	
	1281 ± 1		BARBERIS	97B	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	
	1281 ± 1		BARBERIS	97C	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow ppK^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$	
	1280 ± 2		[3] ANTINORI	95	OMEG	300,450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	
	1282.2 ± 1.5		LEE	94	MPS2	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+\overline{K}^0 2\pi^- p$	
	1279 ± 5		FUKUI	91C	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$	
	1278 ± 2	140	ARMSTRONG	89	OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi pp$	
	1278 ± 2		ARMSTRONG	89G	OMEG	85 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow 4\pi\pi p$, $pp \rightarrow 4\pi pp$	
	1280.1 ± 2.1	60	RATH	89	MPS	21.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^0_S K^0_S \pi^0 n$	
	1285 ± 1	4750	[4] BIRMAN	88	MPS	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+\overline{K}^0\pi^- n$	
	1280 ± 1	504	BITYUKOV	88	SPEC	32.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0 n$	
	1280 ± 4		ANDO	86	SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$	
	1277 ± 2	420	REEVES	86	SPEC	6.6 $p\overline{p} \rightarrow KK\pi X$	
	1285 ± 2		CHUNG	85	SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow NK\overline{K}\pi$	
	1279 ± 2	604	ARMSTRONG	84	OMEG	85 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi\pi p$, $pp \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi pp$	
	1286 ± 1		CHAUVAT	84	SPEC	ISR 31.5 pp	
	1278 ± 4		EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$	
	1283 ± 3	103	DIONISI	80	HBC	4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi n$	
	1282 ± 2	320	NACASCH	78	HBC	0.7,0.76 $\overline{p}p \rightarrow K\overline{K}3\pi$	
	1279 ± 5	210	GRASSLER	77	HBC	16 $\pi^\mp p$	
	1286 ± 3	180	DUBOC	72	HBC	1.2 $\overline{p}p \rightarrow 2K4\pi$	
	1283 ± 5		DAHL	67	HBC	1.6–4.2 $\pi^- p$	
	• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
	1284.2 ± 2.2		[5] AAIJ	14Y	LHCB	$\overline{B}^0_{(s)} \rightarrow J/\psi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	
	1281.9 ± 0.5		[5] SOSA	99	SPEC	$pp \rightarrow p_{\mathrm{slow}}(K^0_S K^+\pi^-)p_{\mathrm{fast}}$	
	1282.8 ± 0.6		[5] SOSA	99	SPEC	$pp \rightarrow p_{\mathrm{slow}}(K^0_S K^-\pi^+)p_{\mathrm{fast}}$	OCCUR=2
	1270 ± 10		AMELIN	95	VES	37 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma N$	
	1280 ± 2		ABATZIS	94	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	
	1282 ± 4		ARMSTRONG	93C	E760	$\overline{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$	

1270 ± 6 ± 10			ARMSTRONG	92C	OMEG	300 $pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$	
1281 ± 1			ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG	300 $pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	
1279 ± 6 ± 10	16		BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to \phi K\overline{K}\pi$	
1286 ± 9			GIDAL	87	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	
1287 ± 5	353		BITYUKOV	84B	SPEC	32 $\pi^- p \to K^+K^-\pi^0 n$	
∼ 1279		[6]	TORNQVIST	82B	RVUE		
1275 ± 6	31		BROMBERG	80	SPEC	100 $\pi^- p \to K\overline{K}\pi X$	
1288 ± 9	200		GURTU	79	HBC	4.2 $K^- p \to n\eta 2\pi$	
∼ 1275.0	46	[7]	STANTON	79	CNTR	8.5 $\pi^- p \to n2\gamma 2\pi$	
1271 ± 10	34		CORDEN	78	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p \to K^+K^-\pi n$	
1295 ± 12	85		CORDEN	78	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p \to n5\pi$	OCCUR=2
1292 ± 10	150		DEFOIX	72	HBC	0.7 $\overline{p}p \to 7\pi$	
1280 ± 3	500	[8]	THUN	72	MMS	13.4 $\pi^- p$	
1303 ± 8			BARDADIN-...	71	HBC	8 $\pi^+ p \to p6\pi$	
1283 ± 6			BOESEBECK	71	HBC	16.0 $\pi p \to p5\pi$	
1270 ± 10			CAMPBELL	69	DBC	2.7 $\pi^+ d$	
1285 ± 7			LORSTAD	69	HBC	0.7 $\overline{p}p$, 4,5-body	
1290 ± 7			D'ANDLAU	68	HBC	1.2 $\overline{p}p$, 5–6 body	

[1] Using the $2\pi^+2\pi^-$ and $\pi^+\pi^-\eta$ modes of $f_1(1285)$ decay. NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=LE
[2] The selected process is $J/\psi \to \omega a_0(980)\pi$. NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=BL
[3] Supersedes ABATZIS 94, ARMSTRONG 89E. NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=B
[4] From partial wave analysis of $K^+\overline{K}^0\pi^-$ system. NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=A
[5] No systematic error given. NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=N1
[6] From a unitarized quark-model calculation. NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=T
[7] From phase shift analysis of $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ system. NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=P
[8] Seen in the missing mass spectrum. NODE=M008M;LINKAGE=S

$f_1(1285)$ WIDTH

NODE=M008W

Only experiments giving width error less than 20 MeV are kept for averaging.

NODE=M008W

NODE=M008W

	VALUE (MeV)	EVTS		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
	22.7± 1.1 OUR AVERAGE			Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.			
YOUR DATA	18.4± 1.4			DICKSON	16	CLAS	2.55 $\gamma p \to \eta\pi^+\pi^- p$
	18.3± 6.3	87		ABLIKIM	15P	BES3	$J/\psi \to K^+K^-3\pi$
	22.0± 3.1 $^{+2.0}_{-1.5}$		[1]	ABLIKIM	11J	BES3	$J/\psi \to \omega(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)$
	35 ± 6 ± 4			AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
	40.0± 8.6± 9.3	203		BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
	29 ± 12	237		ABDALLAH	03H	DLPH	91.2 $e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp + X$
	45 ± 9 ± 7	20k		ADAMS	01B	B852	18 GeV $\pi^- p \to K^+K^-\pi^0 n$
	55 ± 18	1400		ALDE	97B	GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^0\pi^0 n$
	24 ± 3			BARBERIS	97B	OMEG	450 $pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
	20 ± 2			BARBERIS	97C	OMEG	450 $pp \to ppK^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$
	36 ± 5		[2]	ANTINORI	95	OMEG	300,450 $pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
	29.0± 4.1			LEE	94	MPS2	18 $\pi^- p \to K^+\overline{K}^0 2\pi^- p$
	25 ± 4	140		ARMSTRONG	89	OMEG	300 $pp \to K\overline{K}\pi pp$
	22 ± 2	4750	[3]	BIRMAN	88	MPS	8 $\pi^- p \to K^+\overline{K}^0\pi^- n$
	25 ± 4	504		BITYUKOV	88	SPEC	32.5 $\pi^- p \to K^+K^-\pi^0 n$
	19 ± 5			ANDO	86	SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$
	32 ± 8	420		REEVES	86	SPEC	6.6 $p\overline{p} \to KK\pi X$
	22 ± 2			CHUNG	85	SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \to NK\overline{K}\pi$
	32 ± 3	604		ARMSTRONG	84	OMEG	85 $\pi^+ p \to K\overline{K}\pi\pi p$, $pp \to K\overline{K}\pi pp$
	24 ± 3			CHAUVAT	84	SPEC	ISR 31.5 pp
	29 ± 10	103		DIONISI	80	HBC	4 $\pi^- p \to K\overline{K}\pi n$
	28.3± 6.7	320		NACASCH	78	HBC	0.7,0.76 $\overline{p}p \to K\overline{K}3\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

32.4± 5.8		[4] AAIJ	14Y	LHCB	$\overline{B}^0_{(s)} \to J/\psi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
18.2± 1.2		[4] SOSA	99	SPEC	$pp \to p_{slow} (K^0_S K^+\pi^-) p_{fast}$
19.4± 1.5		[4] SOSA	99	SPEC	$pp \to p_{slow} (K^0_S K^-\pi^+) p_{fast}$
40 ± 5		ABATZIS	94	OMEG	450 $pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
31 ± 5		ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG	300 $pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
41 ±12		ARMSTRONG	89G	OMEG	85 $\pi^+ p \to 4\pi\pi p$, $pp \to 4\pi pp$
17.9±10.9	60	RATH	89	MPS	21.4 $\pi^- p \to K^0_S K^0_S \pi^0 n$
14 $^{+20}_{-14}$ ±10	16	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to \phi K\overline{K}\pi$
26 ±12		EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	12 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$
25 ±15	200	GURTU	79	HBC	4.2 $K^- p \to n\eta 2\pi$
∼ 10		[5] STANTON	79	CNTR	8.5 $\pi^- p \to n 2\gamma 2\pi$
24 ±18	210	GRASSLER	77	HBC	16 $\pi^\mp p$
28 ± 5	150	[6] DEFOIX	72	HBC	0.7 $\overline{p}p \to 7\pi$
46 ± 9	180	[6] DUBOC	72	HBC	1.2 $\overline{p}p \to 2K 4\pi$
37 ± 5	500	[7] THUN	72	MMS	13.4 $\pi^- p$
10 ±10		BOESEBECK	71	HBC	16.0 $\pi p \to p 5\pi$
30 ±15		CAMPBELL	69	DBC	2.7 $\pi^+ d$
60 ±15		[6] LORSTAD	69	HBC	0.7 $\overline{p}p$, 4,5-body
35 ±10		[6] DAHL	67	HBC	1.6–4.2 $\pi^- p$

OCCUR=2

[1] The selected process is $J/\psi \to \omega a_0(980)\pi$.
[2] Supersedes ABATZIS 94, ARMSTRONG 89E.
[3] From partial wave analysis of $K^+\overline{K}^0\pi^-$ system.
[4] No systematic error given.
[5] From phase shift analysis of $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ system.
[6] Resolution is not unfolded.
[7] Seen in the missing mass spectrum.

NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=BL
NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=B
NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=N1
NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=P
NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=R
NODE=M008W;LINKAGE=S

f_1(1285) BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=M008220

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi$ [ignoring $a_0(980) \to K\overline{K}$]$)/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$ $\Gamma_9/\Gamma_8 = \Gamma_9/(\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10})$

NODE=M008R3
NODE=M008R3

	VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
	0.72±0.07 OUR AVERAGE					
YOUR DATA	0.74±0.02±0.09		DICKSON	16	CLAS	$\gamma p \to f_1(1285) p$
	0.72±0.15		GURTU	79	HBC	4.2 $K^- p$
	0.6 $^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$		CORDEN	78	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p$
	• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
	>0.69	95	ACHARD	02B	L3	183–209 $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
	0.28±0.07		ALDE	97B	GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^0\pi^0 n$
	1.0 ±0.3		GRASSLER	77	HBC	16 $\pi^\mp p$

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$ $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_8 = \Gamma_{11}/(\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10})$

NODE=M008R2
NODE=M008R2

	VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
	0.176±0.012 OUR AVERAGE				
YOUR DATA	0.216±0.010±0.031	DICKSON	16	CLAS	$\gamma p \to f_1(1285) p$
	0.166±0.01 ±0.008	BARBERIS	98C	OMEG	450 $pp \to p_f f_1(1285) p_s$
	0.42 ±0.15	GURTU	79	HBC	4.2 $K^- p$
	0.5 ±0.2	[1] CORDEN	78	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p$
	0.20 ±0.08	[2] DEFOIX	72	HBC	0.7 $\overline{p}p \to 7\pi$
	0.16 ±0.08	CAMPBELL	69	DBC	2.7 $\pi^+ d$

OCCUR=2

[1] CORDEN 78 assumes low-mass $\eta\pi\pi$ region is dominantly 1^{++}. See BARBERIS 98C and MANAK 00A for discussion.
[2] $K\overline{K}$ system characterized by the $I = 1$ threshold enhancement. (See under $a_0(980)$).

NODE=M008R2;LINKAGE=CD
NODE=M008R2;LINKAGE=K

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)$ $\Gamma_8/\Gamma_{15} = (\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10})/\Gamma_{15}$

NODE=M008R16
NODE=M008R16

	VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
	8.5±2.0 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.				
YOUR DATA	21.3±4.4	DICKSON	16	CLAS	$\gamma p \to f_1(1285) p$
	10.0±1.0±2.0	BARBERIS	98C	OMEG	450 $pp \to p_f f_1(1285) p_s$
	7.5±1.0	[1] ARMSTRONG	92C	OMEG	300 $pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$, $pp\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Published value multiplied by 1.5. NODE=M008R16;LINKAGE=B

$f_1(1285)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M008

YOUR PAPER	DICKSON	16	PR C93 065202	R. Dickson *et al.*	(JLab CLAS Collab.)	REFID=57487
	ABLIKIM	15P	PR D92 012007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES III Collab.)	REFID=56781
	AAIJ	14Y	PRL 112 091802	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	REFID=55837
	LEES	12X	PR D86 092010	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=54714
	ABLIKIM	11J	PRL 107 182001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES III Collab.)	REFID=53931
	AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	REFID=52049
	BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	REFID=50167
	ABDALLAH	03H	PL B569 129	J. Abdallah *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)	REFID=49548
	ACHARD	02B	PL B526 269	P. Achard *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=48574
	ACCIARRI	01G	PL B501 1	M. Acciarri *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=48319
	ADAMS	01B	PL B516 264	G.S. Adams *et al.*	(BNL E852 Collab.)	REFID=49649
	MANAK	00A	PR D62 012003	J.J. Manak *et al.*	(BNL E852 Collab.)	REFID=47989
	SOSA	99	PRL 83 913	M. Sosa *et al.*		REFID=46937
	BARBERIS	98C	PL B440 225	D. Barberis *et al.*	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=46346
	ALDE	97B	PAN 60 386	D. Alde *et al.*	(GAMS Collab.)	REFID=45396
			Translated from YAF 60 458.			
	BARBERIS	97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis *et al.*	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=45758
	BARBERIS	97C	PL B413 225	D. Barberis *et al.*	(WA 102 Collab.)	REFID=45759
	AMELIN	95	ZPHY C66 71	D.V. Amelin *et al.*	(VES Collab.)	REFID=44376
	ANTINORI	95	PL B353 589	F. Antinori *et al.*	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=44437
	ABATZIS	94	PL B324 509	S. Abatzis *et al.*	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=44090
	LEE	94	PL B323 227	J.H. Lee *et al.*	(BNL, IND, KYUN, MASD+)	REFID=44092
	ARMSTRONG	93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	REFID=43587
	ARMSTRONG	92C	ZPHY C54 371	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=42097
	FUKUI	91C	PL B267 293	S. Fukui *et al.*	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)	REFID=41748
	ARMSTRONG	89	PL B221 216	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(CERN, CDEF, BIRM+) JPC	REFID=40729
	ARMSTRONG	89E	PL B228 536	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	REFID=41011
	ARMSTRONG	89G	ZPHY C43 55	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(CERN, BIRM, BARI+)	REFID=40930
	RATH	89	PR D40 693	M.G. Rath *et al.*	(NDAM, BRAN, BNL, CUNY+)	REFID=40924
	BIRMAN	88	PRL 61 1557	A. Birman *et al.*	(BNL, FSU, IND, MASD) JP	REFID=40568
	BITYUKOV	88	PL B203 327	S.I. Bityukov *et al.*	(SERP)	REFID=40569
	BECKER	87	PRL 59 186	J.J. Becker *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=40015
	GIDAL	87	PRL 59 2012	G. Gidal *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC, HARV)	REFID=40223
	ANDO	86	PRL 57 1296	A. Ando *et al.*	(KEK, KYOT, NIRS, SAGA+) IJP	REFID=20891
	REEVES	86	PR D34 1960	D.F. Reeves *et al.*	(FLOR, BNL, IND+) JP	REFID=20936
	CHUNG	85	PRL 55 779	S.U. Chung *et al.*	(BNL, FLOR, IND+) JP	REFID=20934
	ARMSTRONG	84	PL 146B 273	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+) JP	REFID=20929
	BITYUKOV	84B	PL 144B 133	S.I. Bityukov *et al.*	(SERP)	REFID=20468
	CHAUVAT	84	PL 148B 382	P. Chauvat *et al.*	(CERN, CLER, UCLA+)	REFID=20932
	TORNQVIST	82B	NP B203 268	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)	REFID=20573
	EVANGELIS...	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista *et al.*	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)	REFID=20462
	BROMBERG	80	PR D22 1513	C.M. Bromberg *et al.*	(CIT, FNAL, ILLC+)	REFID=20922
	DIONISI	80	NP B169 1	C. Dionisi *et al.*	(CERN, MADR, CDEF+)	REFID=20924
	GURTU	79	NP B151 181	A. Gurtu *et al.*	(CERN, ZEEM, NIJM, OXF)	REFID=20456
	STANTON	79	PRL 42 346	N.R. Stanton *et al.*	(OSU, CARL, MCGI+) JP	REFID=20887
	CORDEN	78	NP B144 253	M.J. Corden *et al.*	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+) JP	REFID=20452
	NACASCH	78	NP B135 203	R. Nacasch *et al.*	(PARIS, MADR, CERN)	REFID=20919
	GRASSLER	77	NP B121 189	H. Grassler *et al.*	(AACH3, BERL, BONN+)	REFID=20447
	DEFOIX	72	NP B44 125	C. Defoix *et al.*	(CDEF, CERN)	REFID=20435
	DUBOC	72	NP B46 429	J. Duboc *et al.*	(PARIS, LIVP)	REFID=20339
	THUN	72	PRL 28 1733	R. Thun *et al.*	(STON, NEAS)	REFID=20911
	BARDADIN-...	71	PR D4 2711	M. Bardadin-Otwinowska *et al.*	(WARS)	REFID=20196
	BOESEBECK	71	PL 34B 659	K. Boesebeck	(AACH, BERL, BONN, CERN, CRAC+)	REFID=20905
	CAMPBELL	69	PRL 22 1204	J.H. Campbell *et al.*	(PURD)	REFID=20419
	LORSTAD	69	NP B14 63	B. Lorstad *et al.*	(CDEF, CERN) JP	REFID=20901
	D'ANDLAU	68	NP B5 693	C. d'Andlau *et al.*	(CDEF, CERN, IRAD+) IJP	REFID=20897
	DAHL	67	PR 163 1377	O.I. Dahl *et al.*	(LRL) IJP	REFID=20321