$N(1700)\ D_{13}$

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$ Status: ∗∗∗

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

The various partial-wave analyses do not agree very well.

N(1700) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1650 to 1750 (≈ 1700) OUR ESTIMATE				
1737±44	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1675±25	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1731±15	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1791±46	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$, $N\eta$
1709	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1650	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1690 to 1710	BAKER	78	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1719	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1670±10	[1] BAKER	77	IPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1690	[1] BAKER	77	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1660	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1710	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

N(1700) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
50 to 150 (≈ 100) OUR ESTIMATE				
250±220	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
90± 40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
110± 30	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
215± 60	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$, $N\eta$
166	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
70	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
70 to 100	BAKER	78	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
126	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
90± 25	[1] BAKER	77	IPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
100	[1] BAKER	77	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
600	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
300	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

N(1700) POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1700	[4] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1660±30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1710 or 1678	[5] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1616 or 1613	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
120	[4] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
90±40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
607 or 567	[5] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
577 or 575	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

N(1700) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
6±3	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0±50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

N(1700) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	5–15 %
Γ_2	$N\eta$	
Γ_3	ΛK	<3 %
Γ_4	ΣK	
Γ_5	$N\pi\pi$	85–95 %
Γ_6	$\Delta\pi$	
Γ_7	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, *S*-wave	
Γ_8	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, *D*-wave	
Γ_9	$N\rho$	<35 %
Γ_{10}	$N\rho$, S=1/2, *D*-wave	
Γ_{11}	$N\rho$, S=3/2, *S*-wave	
Γ_{12}	$N\rho$, S=3/2, *D*-wave	
Γ_{13}	$N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$	
Γ_{14}	$p\gamma$	0.01–0.05 %
Γ_{15}	$p\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.0–0.024 %
Γ_{16}	$p\gamma$, helicity=3/2	0.002–0.026 %
Γ_{17}	$n\gamma$	0.01–0.13 %
Γ_{18}	$n\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.0–0.09 %
Γ_{19}	$n\gamma$, helicity=3/2	0.01–0.05 %

N(1700) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.05 to 0.15 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.01±0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.11±0.05	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.08±0.03	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.04±0.05	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.10±0.06	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \to N(1700) \to \Lambda K$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.06 to +0.04 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.012	BELL	83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
−0.012	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.04	[6] BAKER	78	DPWA	See SAXON 80
−0.03 ±0.004	[1] BAKER	77	IPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
−0.03	[1] BAKER	77	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
+0.026±0.019	DEVENISH	74B		Fixed-t dispersion rel.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Sigma K$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	LIVANOS	80	DPWA	$\pi p \rightarrow \Sigma K$
<0.017	[7] DEANS	75	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620)$ S_{31} coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi$, *S*-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.00 to ±0.08 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.02±0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.00	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
−0.16	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi$, *D*-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_8)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
±0.04 to ±0.20 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.10±0.09	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.12	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.14	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow N\rho$, S=3/2, *S*-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{11})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
±0.01 to ±0.13 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.04±0.06	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.07	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.07	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{13})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
±0.02 to ±0.28 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.02±0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.00	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.2	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

N(1700) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$N(1700) \rightarrow p\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE ($\mathrm{GeV}^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.018±0.013 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.016±0.014	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.002±0.013	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.028±0.007	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
−0.029±0.006	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
−0.024±0.019	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.033±0.021	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.014±0.025	FELLER	76	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(1700) \rightarrow p\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE ($\mathrm{GeV}^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.002±0.024 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.009±0.012	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.029±0.014	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.002±0.005	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.014±0.005	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
−0.017±0.014	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.014±0.025	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.0 ±0.014	FELLER	76	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(1700) \to n\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE ($GeV^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.000±0.050 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.006±0.024	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.002±0.013	FUJII	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.052±0.030	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
−0.055±0.030	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.052±0.035	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
+0.050±0.042	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(1700) \to n\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE ($GeV^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.003±0.044 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.033±0.017	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.018±0.018	FUJII	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.037±0.036	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
−0.035±0.024	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.041±0.030	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
+0.035±0.030	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(1700)$ $\gamma p \to \Lambda K^+$ AMPLITUDES

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $p\gamma \to N(1700) \to \Lambda K^+$ (E_{2-} amplitude)

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
4.09	TANABE	89	DPWA

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $p\gamma \to N(1700) \to \Lambda K^+$ (M_{2-} amplitude)

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
−7.09	TANABE	89	DPWA

$p\gamma \to N(1700) \to \Lambda K^+$ phase angle θ (E_{2-} amplitude)

VALUE (degrees)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
−35.9	TANABE	89	DPWA

$N(1700)$ FOOTNOTES

[1] The two BAKER 77 entries are from an IPWA using the Barrelet-zero method and from a conventional energy-dependent analysis.

[2] LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[3] From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[4] See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

[5] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

[6] The overall phase of BAKER 78 couplings has been changed to agree with previous conventions.

[7] The range given is from the four best solutions.

N(1700) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	+Slaus, Svarc, Nefkens	(BOSK, UCLA)	
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)	
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT)	IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)	
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE)	IJP
TANABE	89	PR C39 741	+Kohno, Bennhold	(MANZ)	
Also	89	NC 102A 193	Kohno, Tanabe, Bennhold	(MANZ)	
BELL	83	NP B222 389	+Blissett, Broome, Daley, Hart, Lintern+	(RL)	IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	+Morton	(GLAS)	
PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)	
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)	
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)	
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	+Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK)	
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93		(INUS)	
Also	82	NP B194 251	Arai, Fujii	(INUS)	
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107		(GLAS)	
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL)	IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL)	IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	+Baton, Coutures, Kochowski, Neveu	(SACL)	IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	+Baker, Bell, Blissett, Bloodworth+	(RHEL, BRIS)	IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	+Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT)	IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	Koch	(KARLT)	IJP
BAKER	78	NP B141 29	+Blissett, Bloodworth, Broome+	(RL, CAVE)	IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	+Crawford, Parsons	(GLAS)	
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	+Lasinski, Rosenfeld, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)	
BAKER	77	NP B126 365	+Blissett, Bloodworth, Broome, Hart+	(RHEL)	IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	+Dolbeau	(SACL)	IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	Dolbeau, Triantis, Neveu, Cadiet	(SACL)	IJP
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	+Fukushima, Horikawa, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK)	IJP
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	+Mitchell, Montgomery+	(SFLA, ALAH)	IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	+Rosenfeld, Lasinski, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)	IJP
DEVENISH	74B	NP B81 330	+Froggatt, Martin	(DESY, NORD, LOUC)	