$N(2250)$ G_{19}

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{9}{2}^-)$ Status: ****

$N(2250)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2170 to 2310 (≈ 2250) OUR ESTIMATE				
2250± 80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
2268± 15	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
2200±100	HENDRY	78	MPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2291	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N \pi$

$N(2250)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
290 to 470 (≈ 400) OUR ESTIMATE				
480±120	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
300± 40	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
350±100	HENDRY	78	MPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
772	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N \pi$

$N(2250)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2087	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N \pi$
2187	[1] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
2150±50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2243	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
680	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N \pi$
388	[1] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
360±100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
650	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

$N(2250)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
24	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N \pi$
21	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
20±6	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
47	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−44	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N \pi$
−50±20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−37	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

$N(2250)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	5–15 %
Γ_2	$N\eta$	
Γ_3	ΛK	

$N(2250)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.05 to 0.15 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.10±0.02	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.10±0.02	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.09±0.02	HENDRY	78	MPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.10	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(2250) \rightarrow N\eta$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.043	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(2250) \rightarrow \Lambda K$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.02	BELL	83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
not seen	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

$N(2250)$ FOOTNOTES

[1] See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

$N(2250)$ REFERENCES

ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	+Strakovsky, Workman, Pavan	(VPI, BRCO)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
BELL	83	NP B222 389	+Blissett, Broome, Daley, Hart, Lintern+	(RL) IJP
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL) IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	+Baker, Bell, Blissett, Bloodworth+	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	+Brown, Clark, Davies, Depagter, Evans+	(RHEL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	+Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	Koch	(KARLT) IJP
HENDRY	78	PRL 41 222		(IND, LBL) IJP
Also	81	ANP 136 1	Hendry	(IND)