CITATION: R.M. Barnett *et al.* Physical Review D54, 1 (1996) and 1997 off-year partial update for the 1998 edition (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

$\Delta(1920)\ P_{33}$

$I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$ Status: ∗∗∗

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

Δ(1920) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1900 to 1970 (≈ 1920) OUR ESTIMATE				
2014 ±16	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1920 ±80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1868 ±10	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1840 ±40	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$
1955.0±13.0	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
$2065.0^{+13.6}_{-12.9}$	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$

Δ(1920) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
150 to 300 (≈ 200) OUR ESTIMATE				
152 ± 55	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
300 ±100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
220 ± 80	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
200 ± 40	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$
88.3± 35.0	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
62.0± 44.0	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$

Δ(1920) POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1900	[2] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1900±80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
300±100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

$\Delta(1920)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
24±4	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−150±30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

$\Delta(1920)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	5–20 %
Γ_2	ΣK	
Γ_3	$N\pi\pi$	
Γ_4	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, P-wave	
Γ_5	$N(1440)\pi$, P-wave	
Γ_6	$N\gamma$, helicity=1/2	
Γ_7	$N\gamma$, helicity=3/2	

$\Delta(1920)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\qquad\qquad$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.05 to 0.2 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.02±0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.20±0.05	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.14±0.04	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.24	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
0.18	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1920) \rightarrow \Sigma K$ $\qquad\qquad$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.052±0.015	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.049	LIVANOS	80	DPWA	$\pi p \rightarrow \Sigma K$
0.048 to 0.120	[3] DEANS	75	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1920) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi$, P-wave $\qquad\qquad$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.13±0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.3	[4] NOVOSELLER	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
0.27	[5] NOVOSELLER	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1920) \rightarrow N(1440)\pi$, P-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
$+0.06\pm0.07$	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1920)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$\Delta(1920) \rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.040 ± 0.014	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$\Delta(1920) \rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.023 ± 0.017	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$\Delta(1920)$ FOOTNOTES

[1] CHEW 80 reports two P_{33} resonances in this mass region. Problems with this analysis are discussed in section 2.1.11 of HOEHLER 83.

[2] See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

[3] The range given for DEANS 75 is from the four best solutions.

[4] A Breit-Wigner fit to the HERNDON 75 IPWA; the phase is near $-90°$.

[5] A Breit-Wigner fit to the NOVOSELLER 78B IPWA; the phase is near $-90°$.

$\Delta(1920)$ REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
CANDLIN	84	NP B238 477	+Lowe, Peach, Scotland+	(EDIN, RAL, LOWC)
HOEHLER	83	Landolt-Boernstein 1/9B2		(KARLT)
PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123		(LBL) IJP
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL) IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	+Baton, Coutures, Kochowski, Neveu	(SACL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	+Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	Koch	(KARLT) IJP
NOVOSELLER	78	NP B137 509		(CIT)
NOVOSELLER	78B	NP B137 445		(CIT)
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	+Mitchell, Montgomery+	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
HERNDON	75	PR D11 3183	+Longacre, Miller, Rosenfeld+	(LBL, SLAC)