$\chi_{b0}(2P)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$
J needs confirmation.

Observed in radiative decay of the $\Upsilon(3S)$, therefore $C = +$. Branching ratio requires E1 transition, M1 is strongly disfavored, therefore $P = +$.

$\chi_{b0}(2P)$ MASS

VALUE (GeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
10.2321±0.0006 OUR AVERAGE				
10.2312±0.0008±0.0012	[1] HEINTZ	92	CSB2	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X, \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
10.2323±0.0007	[2] MORRISON	91	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$

[1] From the average photon energy for inclusive and exclusive events and assuming $\Upsilon(3S)$ mass = 10355.3 ± 0.5 MeV. Supersedes HEINTZ 91 and NARAIN 91.

[2] From γ energy below assuming $\Upsilon(3S)$ mass = 10355.3 ± 0.5 MeV. The error on the $\Upsilon(3S)$ mass is not included in the individual measurements. It is included in the final average.

γ ENERGY IN $\Upsilon(3S)$ DECAY

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
122.8±0.5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
123.0±0.8	4959	[3] HEINTZ	92	CSB2	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
124.6±1.4	17	[4] HEINTZ	92	CSB2	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
122.3±0.3±0.6	9903	MORRISON	91	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$

[3] A systematic uncertainty on the energy scale of 0.9% not included. Supersedes NARAIN 91.

[4] A systematic uncertainty on the energy scale of 0.9% not included. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.

$\chi_{b0}(2P)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$\gamma\,\Upsilon(2S)$	(4.6±2.1) %
Γ_2	$\gamma\,\Upsilon(1S)$	(9 ±6) $\times 10^{-3}$

$\chi_{b0}(2P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\gamma\,\Upsilon(2S))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<0.089	90	[5] CRAWFORD	92B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
0.046±0.020±0.007		[6] HEINTZ	92	CSB2	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$

[5] Using B($\Upsilon(2S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (1.37 ± 0.26)%, B($\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma\gamma\,\Upsilon(2S)$)×2 B($\Upsilon(2S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) < 1.19×10^{-4}, and B($\Upsilon(3S) \to \chi_{b0}(2P)\gamma$) = 0.049.

[6] Using B($\Upsilon(2S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (1.44 ± 0.10)%, B($\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma\chi_{b0}(2P)$) = (6.0 ± 0.4 ± 0.6)% and assuming $e\mu$ universality. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.

$\Gamma(\gamma\Upsilon(1S))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.025	90	[7] CRAWFORD	92B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
0.009±0.006±0.001		[8] HEINTZ	92	CSB2	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$

[7] Using B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (2.57 ± 0.07)%, B($\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma\gamma\Upsilon(1S)$)×2 B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) < 0.63×10^{-4}, and B($\Upsilon(3S) \to \chi_{b0}(2P)\gamma$) = 0.049.

[8] Using B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (2.57 ± 0.07)%, B($\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma\chi_{b0}(2P)$) = (6.0 ± 0.4 ± 0.6)% and assuming $e\mu$ universality. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.

$\chi_{b0}(2P)$ REFERENCES

CRAWFORD	92B	PL B294 139	+Fulton	(CLEO Collab.)
HEINTZ	92	PR D46 1928	+Lee, Franzini+	(CUSB II Collab.)
HEINTZ	91	PRL 66 1563	+Kaarsberg+	(CUSB Collab.)
MORRISON	91	PRL 67 1696	+Schmidt+	(CLEO Collab.)
NARAIN	91	PRL 66 3113	+Lovelock+	(CUSB Collab.)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

TUTS	83	Cornell Conf. 284		(CUSB Collab.)
EIGEN	82	PRL 49 1616	+Bohringer, Herb+	(CUSB Collab.)
HAN	82	PRL 49 1612	+Horstkotte, Imlay+	(CUSB Collab.)