$N(1675)\ D_{15}$

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$ Status: ∗∗∗∗

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

N(1675) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1670 to 1685 (≈ 1675) OUR ESTIMATE				
1676± 2	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1675±10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1679± 8	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1673± 5	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1673	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
1683±19	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$
1666	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1685	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1670	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
1680	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1650	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1660	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

N(1675) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
140 to 180 (≈ 150) OUR ESTIMATE				
159± 7	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
160±20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
120±15	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
154± 7	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
154	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
142±23	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$
136	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
191	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
40	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
88	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$
192	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
130	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
150	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1675)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1655 to 1665 (≈ 1660) OUR ESTIMATE				
1663	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
1656	[3] HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1660±10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1655	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
1663 or 1668	[4] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1649 or 1650	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
125 to 155 (≈ 140) OUR ESTIMATE				
152	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
126	[3] HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
140±10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
124	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
146 or 171	[4] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
127 or 127	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1675)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
29	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
23	HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
31±5	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
28	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
− 6	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
−22	HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
−30±10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−17	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

$N(1675)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	40–50 %
Γ_2	$N\eta$	
Γ_3	ΛK	<1 %
Γ_4	ΣK	
Γ_5	$N\pi\pi$	50–60 %
Γ_6	$\Delta\pi$	50–60 %
Γ_7	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave	
Γ_8	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, G-wave	
Γ_9	$N\rho$	< 1–3 %
Γ_{10}	$N\rho$, S=1/2, D-wave	
Γ_{11}	$N\rho$, S=3/2, D-wave	
Γ_{12}	$N\rho$, S=3/2, G-wave	
Γ_{13}	$N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$	
Γ_{14}	$p\gamma$	0.004–0.023 %
Γ_{15}	$p\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.0–0.015 %
Γ_{16}	$p\gamma$, helicity=3/2	0.0–0.011 %
Γ_{17}	$n\gamma$	0.02–0.12 %
Γ_{18}	$n\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.006–0.046 %
Γ_{19}	$n\gamma$, helicity=3/2	0.01–0.08 %

$N(1675)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.4 to 0.5 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.47±0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.38±0.05	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.38±0.03	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.38	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
0.31±0.06	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$, $N\eta$

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.001±0.001	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$, $N\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1675) \rightarrow N\eta$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.07	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$
+0.009	FELTESSE	75	DPWA	Soln A; see BAKER 79

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1675) \rightarrow \Lambda K$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
±0.04 to ±0.08 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.01	BELL	83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
+0.036	[5] SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.034±0.006	DEVENISH	74B		Fixed-t dispersion rel.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1675) \rightarrow \Sigma K$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.003	[6] DEANS	75	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620)$ S_{31} coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1675) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.46 to +0.50 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.496±0.003	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
+0.46	[1,7] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.50	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
+0.5	[8] NOVOSELLER	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1675) \rightarrow N\rho$, S=1/2, D-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{10})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.04±0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1675) \rightarrow N\rho$, S=3/2, D-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{11})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.12 to −0.06 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.03±0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.15	[1,7] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1675) \rightarrow N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{13})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.03	[1,7] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

N(1675) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

N(1675) → $p\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
+0.019±0.008 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.015±0.010	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.021±0.011	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.034±0.005	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.006±0.005	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.006±0.004	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.023±0.015	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.012±0.002	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.022±0.010	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.034±0.004	FELLER	76	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

N(1675) → $p\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
+0.015±0.009 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.010±0.007	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.015±0.009	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.024±0.008	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.030±0.004	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.029±0.004	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.003±0.012	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.021±0.002	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.015±0.006	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.019±0.009	FELLER	76	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

N(1675) → $n\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
−0.043±0.012 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.049±0.010	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.057±0.024	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.033±0.004	FUJII	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.039±0.017	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
−0.025±0.027	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
−0.059±0.015	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.021±0.011	TAKEDA	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.060±0.003	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.066±0.020	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(1675) \to n\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE ($GeV^{-1/2}$)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
−0.058±0.013 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.051±0.010	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.077±0.018	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.069±0.004	FUJII	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.066±0.026	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
−0.071±0.022	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
−0.059±0.020	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.030±0.012	TAKEDA	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.074±0.003	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.073±0.014	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

N(1675) FOOTNOTES

[1] LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[2] From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[3] See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

[4] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

[5] SAXON 80 finds the coupling phase is near 90°.

[6] The range given is from the four best solutions. DEANS 75 disagrees with $\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$ data of WINNIK 77 around 1920 MeV.

[7] LONGACRE 77 considers this coupling to be well determined.

[8] A Breit-Wigner fit to the HERNDON 75 IPWA.

N(1675) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	+Strakovsky, Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	+Strakovsky, Workman, Pavan	(VPI, BRCO)
BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	+Slaus, Svarc, Nefkens	(BOSK, UCLA)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	+Arndt, Roper, Workman	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
BELL	83	NP B222 389	+Blissett, Broome, Daley, Hart, Lintern+	(RL) IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	+Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	+Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93		(INUS)
Also	82	NP B194 251	Arai, Fujii	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107		(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL) IJP

SAXON	80	NP B162 522	+Baker, Bell, Blissett, Bloodworth+	(RHEL, BRIS)	IJP
TAKEDA	80	NP B168 17	+Arai, Fujii, Ikeda, Iwasaki+	(TOKY, INUS)	
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	+Brown, Clark, Davies, Depagter, Evans+	(RHEL)	IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	+Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT)	IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	Koch	(KARLT)	IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	+Crawford, Parsons	(GLAS)	
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	+Lasinski, Rosenfeld, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)	
NOVOSELLER	78	NP B137 509		(CIT)	IJP
Also	78B	NP B137 445	Novoseller	(CIT)	IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	+Dolbeau	(SACL)	IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	Dolbeau, Triantis, Neveu, Cadiet	(SACL)	IJP
WINNIK	77	NP B128 66	+Toaff, Revel, Goldberg, Berny	(HAIF)	I
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	+Fukushima, Horikawa, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK)	IJP
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	+Mitchell, Montgomery+	(SFLA, ALAH)	IJP
FELTESSE	75	NP B93 242	+Ayed, Bareyre, Borgeaud, David+	(SACL)	IJP
HERNDON	75	PR D11 3183	+Longacre, Miller, Rosenfeld+	(LBL, SLAC)	
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	+Rosenfeld, Lasinski, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)	IJP
DEVENISH	74B	NP B81 330	+Froggatt, Martin	(DESY, NORD, LOUC)	