$N(1680)$ F_{15}

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ Status: ****

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

$N(1680)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1675 to 1690 (≈ 1680) OUR ESTIMATE				
1684 ± 4	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1680 ± 10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1684 ± 3	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1679 ± 5	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1678	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
1674 ± 12	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$
1682	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1680	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1660	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1685	KNASEL	75	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
1670	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1680)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
120 to 140 (≈ 130) OUR ESTIMATE				
139 ± 8	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
120 ± 10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
128 ± 8	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
124 ± 4	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
126	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
126 ± 20	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$
121	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
119	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
150	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
155	KNASEL	75	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
130	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1680)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1665 to 1675 (≈ 1670) OUR ESTIMATE				
1670	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
1673	[3] HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1667 ± 5	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1670	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
1668 or 1674	[4] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1656 or 1653	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
105 to 135 (≈ 120) OUR ESTIMATE				
120	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
135	[3] HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
110±10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
116	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
132 or 137	[4] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
145 or 143	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

N(1680) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
40	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
44	HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
34±2	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
37	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
+ 1	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
−17	HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \to \pi N$
−25±5	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−14	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

N(1680) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	60–70 %
Γ_2	$N\eta$	
Γ_3	ΛK	
Γ_4	ΣK	
Γ_5	$N\pi\pi$	30–40 %
Γ_6	$\Delta\pi$	5–15 %
Γ_7	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, *P*-wave	6–14 %
Γ_8	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, *F*-wave	<2 %
Γ_9	$N\rho$	3–15 %

Γ_{10}	$N\rho$, S=1/2, F-wave	
Γ_{11}	$N\rho$, S=3/2, P-wave	<12 %
Γ_{12}	$N\rho$, S=3/2, F-wave	1–5 %
Γ_{13}	$N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$	5–20 %
Γ_{14}	$p\gamma$	0.21–0.32 %
Γ_{15}	$p\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.001–0.011 %
Γ_{16}	$p\gamma$, helicity=3/2	0.20–0.32 %
Γ_{17}	$n\gamma$	0.021–0.046 %
Γ_{18}	$n\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.004–0.029 %
Γ_{19}	$n\gamma$, helicity=3/2	0.01–0.024 %

N(1680) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.6 to 0.7 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.70±0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.62±0.05	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.65±0.02	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.68	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
0.69±0.04	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \to N(1680) \to N\eta$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.01 ±0.004	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$
0.0005 or 0.001	[5] CARRERAS	70	MPWA	t pole + resonance
0.0004	[5] BOTKE	69	MPWA	t pole + resonance
0.003 ±0.002	[5] DEANS	69	MPWA	t pole + resonance

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma(N\pi)$ — Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.027	HEUSCH	66	RVUE	π^0, η photoproduction

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \to N(1680) \to \Lambda K$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

Coupling to ΛK not required in the analyses of BAKER 77, SAXON 80, or BELL 83.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.01	KNASEL	75	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
−0.009±0.009	DEVENISH	74B		Fixed-t dispersion rel.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1680) \to \Sigma K$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.001	[6] DEANS	75	DPWA	$\pi N \to \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620)$ S_{31} coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1680) \to \Delta(1232)\pi$, *P*-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.31 to −0.21 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.26±0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.27	[1,7] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
−0.25	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.38	[8] NOVOSELLER	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1680) \to \Delta(1232)\pi$, *F*-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_8)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.03 to +0.11 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.07±0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
+0.07	[1,7] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
+0.08	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
+0.05	[8] NOVOSELLER	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1680) \to N\rho$, S=3/2, *P*-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{11})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.30 to −0.10 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.20±0.05	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.23	[1,7] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
−0.30	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.34	[8] NOVOSELLER	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1680) \to N\rho$, S=3/2, *F*-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{12})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.18 to −0.10 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.13±0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.15	[1,7] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1680) \to N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{13})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.25 to +0.35 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.29 ± 0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
+0.31	[1,7] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
+0.30	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
+0.42	[8] NOVOSELLER	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1680)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$N(1680) \to p\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.015±0.006 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.010 ± 0.004	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.017 ± 0.018	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.009 ± 0.006	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.028 ± 0.003	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
−0.026 ± 0.003	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
−0.018 ± 0.014	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.006 ± 0.002	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.005 ± 0.015	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.009 ± 0.002	FELLER	76	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(1680) \to p\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.133±0.012 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.145 ± 0.005	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.132 ± 0.010	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.115 ± 0.008	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.115 ± 0.003	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.122 ± 0.003	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.141 ± 0.014	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.154 ± 0.002	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
+0.138 ± 0.021	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
+0.121 ± 0.010	FELLER	76	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(1680) \to n\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.029±0.010 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.030 ± 0.005	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.017 ± 0.014	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.032 ± 0.003	FUJII	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.026 ± 0.005	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.028 ± 0.014	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.044 ± 0.012	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.025 ± 0.010	TAKEDA	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

0.022 ± 0.002	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
$+0.037 \pm 0.010$	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(1680) \to n\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE ($GeV^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.033 ± 0.009 OUR ESTIMATE				
-0.040 ± 0.015	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
-0.033 ± 0.013	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
-0.023 ± 0.005	FUJII	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
-0.024 ± 0.009	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
-0.029 ± 0.017	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
-0.033 ± 0.015	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
-0.035 ± 0.012	TAKEDA	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.048 ± 0.002	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
-0.038 ± 0.018	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$N(1680)$ FOOTNOTES

[1] LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[2] From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[3] See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

[4] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

[5] The parametrization used may be double counting.

[6] The range given is from 3 of 4 best solutions; not present in solution 1. DEANS 75 disagrees with $\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$ data of WINNIK 77 around 1920 MeV.

[7] LONGACRE 77 considers this coupling to be well determined.

[8] A Breit-Wigner fit to the HERNDON 75 IPWA.

$N(1680)$ REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982). For very early references, see Reviews of Modern Physics **37** 633 (1965).

ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	+Strakovsky, Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	+Strakovsky, Workman, Pavan	(VPI, BRCO)
BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	+Slaus, Svarc, Nefkens	(BOSK, UCLA)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	+Arndt, Roper, Workman	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
BELL	83	NP B222 389	+Blissett, Broome, Daley, Hart, Lintern+	(RL) IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	+Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	+Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93		(INUS)
Also	82	NP B194 251	Arai, Fujii	(INUS)

CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107		(GLAS)	
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL)	IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL)	IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	+Baker, Bell, Blissett, Bloodworth+	(RHEL, BRIS)	IJP
TAKEDA	80	NP B168 17	+Arai, Fujii, Ikeda, Iwasaki+	(TOKY, INUS)	
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	+Brown, Clark, Davies, Depagter, Evans+	(RHEL)	IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	+Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT)	IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	Koch	(KARLT)	IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	+Crawford, Parsons	(GLAS)	
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	+Lasinski, Rosenfeld, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)	
NOVOSELLER	78	NP B137 509		(CIT)	IJP
Also	78B	NP B137 445	Novoseller	(CIT)	IJP
BAKER	77	NP B126 365	+Blissett, Bloodworth, Broome, Hart+	(RHEL)	IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	+Dolbeau	(SACL)	IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	Dolbeau, Triantis, Neveu, Cadiet	(SACL)	IJP
WINNIK	77	NP B128 66	+Toaff, Revel, Goldberg, Berny	(HAIF)	I
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	+Fukushima, Horikawa, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK)	IJP
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	+Mitchell, Montgomery+	(SFLA, ALAH)	IJP
HERNDON	75	PR D11 3183	+Longacre, Miller, Rosenfeld+	(LBL, SLAC)	
KNASEL	75	PR D11 1	+Lindquist, Nelson+	(CHIC, WUSL, OSU, ANL)	IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	+Rosenfeld, Lasinski, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)	IJP
DEVENISH	74B	NP B81 330	+Froggatt, Martin	(DESY, NORD, LOUC)	
CARRERAS	70	NP B16 35	+Donnachie	(DARE, MCHS)	
BOTKE	69	PR 180 1417		(UCSB)	
DEANS	69	PR 185 1797	+Wooten	(SFLA)	
HEUSCH	66	PRL 17 1019	+Prescott, Dashen	(CIT)	