

$\Xi(2120)$
 $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(??)$ Status: *
 J, P need confirmation.

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

 $\Xi(2120)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
≈ 2120 OUR ESTIMATE				
2137 ± 4	18	¹ CHLIAPNIK...	79 HBC	$K^+ p$ 32 GeV/c
2123 ± 7		² GAY	76C HBC	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

 $\Xi(2120)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<20	18	¹ CHLIAPNIK...	79 HBC	$K^+ p$ 32 GeV/c
25 ± 12		² GAY	76C HBC	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

 $\Xi(2120)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \Lambda \bar{K}$	seen

 $\Xi(2120)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	
seen	¹ CHLIAPNIK... 79 HBC $K^+ p \rightarrow (\bar{\Lambda} K^+) X$
seen	² GAY 76C HBC $K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

 $\Xi(2120)$ FOOTNOTES

¹ CHLIAPNIKOV 79 does not uniquely identify the K^+ in the $(\bar{\Lambda} K^+) X$ final state. It also reports bumps with fewer events at 2240, 2540, and 2830 MeV.

² GAY 76C sees a 4-standard deviation signal. However, HEMINGWAY 77, with more events from the same experiment points out that the signal is greatly reduced if a cut is made on the 4-momentum u . This suggests an anomalous production mechanism if the $\Xi(2120)$ is real.

 $\Xi(2120)$ REFERENCES

CHLIAPNIK... 79	NP B158 253	Chliapnikov, Gerdyukov+	(CERN, BELG, MONS)
HEMINGWAY 77	PL 68B 197	+Armenteros+	(AMST, CERN, NIJM, OXF)
GAY 76C	PL 62B 477	+Armenteros, Berge+	(AMST, CERN, NIJM)