

**$f_0(980)$**  $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$ 

See also the minireview on scalar mesons under  $f_0(1370)$ . (See the index for the page number.)

 **$f_0(980)$  MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>980 ± 10 OUR ESTIMATE</b>				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
955 ± 10		<sup>1</sup> ALDE 97 GAM2	450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0$	
994 ± 9		<sup>2</sup> BERTIN 97C OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	
993.2 ± 6.5 ± 6.9		<sup>3</sup> ISHIDA 96 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$	
1006		TORNQVIST 96 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi,$ $\eta\pi$	
997 ± 5	3k	<sup>4</sup> ALDE 95B GAM2	38 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n$	
960 ± 10	10k	<sup>5</sup> ALDE 95B GAM2	38 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n$	
994 ± 5		AMSLER	95B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$	
~ 996		<sup>6</sup> AMSLER	95D CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0,$ $\pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0\pi^0\eta$	
987 ± 6		<sup>7</sup> ANISOVICH 95 RVUE		
1015		JANSSEN	95 RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$	
983		<sup>8</sup> BUGG	94 RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 2\pi^0$	
973 ± 2		KAMINSKI	94 RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$	
988		<sup>9</sup> ZOU 94B RVUE		
988 ± 10		<sup>10</sup> MORGAN 93 RVUE	$\pi\pi(K\bar{K}) \rightarrow$ $\pi\pi(K\bar{K}), J/\psi \rightarrow$ $\phi\pi\pi(K\bar{K}), D_s \rightarrow$ $\pi(\pi\pi)$	
971.1 ± 4.0		<sup>1</sup> AGUILAR-... 91 EHS	400 $p\bar{p}$	
979 ± 4		<sup>11</sup> ARMSTRONG 91 OMEG	300 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi\pi,$ $p\bar{p}K\bar{K}$	
956 ± 12		BREAKSTONE 90 SFM	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-$	
959.4 ± 6.5		<sup>1</sup> AUGUSTIN 89 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$	
978 ± 9		<sup>1</sup> ABACHI 86B HRS	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$	
985.0 ± 9.0		ETKIN 82B MPS	23 $\pi^-p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$	
-39.0				
974 ± 4		<sup>11</sup> GIDAL 81 MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$	
975		<sup>12</sup> ACHASOV 80 RVUE		
986 ± 10		<sup>11</sup> AGUILAR-... 78 HBC	0.7 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	
969 ± 5		<sup>11</sup> LEEPER 77 ASPK	2-2.4 $\pi^-p \rightarrow$ $\pi^+\pi^-n, K^+K^-n$	
987 ± 7		<sup>11</sup> BINNIE 73 CNTR	$\pi^-p \rightarrow nMM$	
1012 ± 6		<sup>13</sup> GRAYER 73 ASPK	17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$	
1007 ± 20		<sup>13</sup> HYAMS 73 ASPK	17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$	
997 ± 6		<sup>13</sup> PROTOPOP... 73 HBC	7 $\pi^+p \rightarrow$ $\pi^+\rho\pi^+\pi^-$	

<sup>1</sup> From invariant mass fit.<sup>2</sup> On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (963-29i) MeV.

<sup>3</sup> Reanalysis of data from HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, SRINIVASAN 75, and ROSSELET 77  
using the interfering amplitude method.

<sup>4</sup> At high  $|t|$ .

<sup>5</sup> At low  $|t|$ .

<sup>6</sup> On sheet II in a 4-pole solution, the other poles are found on sheet III at  $(953-55i)$  MeV  
and on sheet IV at  $(938-35i)$  MeV.

<sup>7</sup> Combined fit of ALDE 95B, ANISOVICH 94, AMSLER 94D.

<sup>8</sup> On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at  $(996-103i)$  MeV.

<sup>9</sup> On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at  $(797-185i)$  MeV  
and can be interpreted as a shadow pole.

<sup>10</sup> On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at  $(978-28i)$  MeV.

<sup>11</sup> From coupled channel analysis.

<sup>12</sup> Coupled channel analysis with finite width corrections.

<sup>13</sup> Included in AGUILAR-BENITEZ 78 fit.

## $f_0(980)$ WIDTH

Width determination very model dependent. Peak width in  $\pi\pi$  is about 50 MeV, but decay width can be much larger.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>40 to 100 OUR ESTIMATE</b>				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
69 ± 15		<sup>14</sup> ALDE	97 GAM2	$450 pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0\pi^0$
38 ± 20		<sup>15</sup> BERTIN	97C OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
~100		<sup>16</sup> ISHIDA	96 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
34		TORNQVIST	96 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi,$ $\eta\pi$
48 ± 10	3k	<sup>17</sup> ALDE	95B GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n$
95 ± 20	10k	<sup>18</sup> ALDE	95B GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n$
26 ± 10		AMSLER	95B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
~112		<sup>19</sup> AMSLER	95D CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0,$ $\pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0\pi^0\eta$
80 ± 12		<sup>20</sup> ANISOVICH	95 RVUE	
30		JANSSEN	95 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
74		<sup>21</sup> BUGG	94 RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 2\pi^0$
29 ± 2		KAMINSKI	94 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
46		<sup>22</sup> ZOU	94B RVUE	
48 ± 12		<sup>23</sup> MORGAN	93 RVUE	$\pi\pi(K\bar{K}) \rightarrow$ $\pi\pi(K\bar{K}), J/\psi \rightarrow$ $\phi\pi\pi(K\bar{K}), D_s \rightarrow$ $\pi(\pi\pi)$
37.4 ± 10.6		<sup>14</sup> AGUILAR-...	91 EHS	$400 pp$
72 ± 8		<sup>24</sup> ARMSTRONG	91 OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow pp\pi\pi,$ $ppK\bar{K}$

110	$\pm$ 30	BREAKSTONE 90	SFM	$p p \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^-$
29	$\pm$ 13	<sup>14</sup> ABACHI	86B HRS	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X$
120	$\pm$ 281 $\pm$ 20	ETKIN	82B MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$
28	$\pm$ 10	<sup>24</sup> GIDAL	81 MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X$
70	to 300	<sup>25</sup> ACHASOV	80 RVUE	
100	$\pm$ 80	<sup>26</sup> AGUILAR...	78 HBC	$0.7 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
30	$\pm$ 8	<sup>24</sup> LEEPER	77 ASPK	$2-2.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n, K^+ K^- n$
48	$\pm$ 14	<sup>24</sup> BINNIE	73 CNTR	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n M M$
32	$\pm$ 10	<sup>27</sup> GRAYER	73 ASPK	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
30	$\pm$ 10	<sup>27</sup> HYAMS	73 ASPK	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
54	$\pm$ 16	<sup>27</sup> PROTOPOP...	73 HBC	$7 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \pi^+ \pi^-$

<sup>14</sup> From invariant mass fit.<sup>15</sup> On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (963-29*i*) MeV.<sup>16</sup> Reanalysis of data from HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, SRINIVASAN 75, and ROSSELET 77 using the interfering amplitude method.<sup>17</sup> At high  $|t|$ .<sup>18</sup> At low  $|t|$ .<sup>19</sup> On sheet II in a 4-pole solution, the other poles are found on sheet III at (953-55*i*) MeV and on sheet IV at (938-35*i*) MeV.<sup>20</sup> Combined fit of ALDE 95B, ANISOVICH 94,<sup>21</sup> On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (996-103*i*) MeV.<sup>22</sup> On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (797-185*i*) MeV and can be interpreted as a shadow pole.<sup>23</sup> On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (978-28*i*) MeV.<sup>24</sup> From coupled channel analysis.<sup>25</sup> Coupled channel analysis with finite width corrections.<sup>26</sup> From coupled channel fit to the HYAMS 73 and PROTOPOPESCU 73 data. With a simultaneous fit to the  $\pi\pi$  phase-shifts, inelasticity and to the  $K_S^0 K_S^0$  invariant mass.<sup>27</sup> Included in AGUILAR-BENITEZ 78 fit.

## $f_0(980)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \pi\pi$	dominant	
$\Gamma_2 K\bar{K}$	seen	
$\Gamma_3 \gamma\gamma$	$(1.19 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_4 e^+ e^-$	$< 3 \times 10^{-7}$	90%

## $f_0(980)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$		$\Gamma_3$		
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>0.56 \pm 0.11</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.63 $\pm$ 0.14		<sup>28</sup> MORGAN	90 RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-, \pi^0 \pi^0$
0.42 $\pm$ 0.06 $\pm$ 0.18	60	<sup>29</sup> OEST	90 JADE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

- 0.29 $\pm$ 0.07 $\pm$ 0.12      30,31 BOYER      90 MRK2  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$   
 0.31 $\pm$ 0.14 $\pm$ 0.09      30,31 MARSISKE      90 CBAL  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
- 28 From amplitude analysis of BOYER 90 and MARSISKE 90, data corresponds to resonance parameters  $m = 989$  MeV,  $\Gamma = 61$  MeV.  
 29 OEST 90 quote systematic errors  $^{+0.08}_{-0.18}$ . We use  $\pm 0.18$ .  
 30 From analysis allowing arbitrary background unconstrained by unitarity.  
 31 Data included in MORGAN 90 analysis.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$				$\Gamma_4$
<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;8.4</b>	90	VOROBIEV	88 ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$

### $f_0(980)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/[\Gamma(\pi\pi) + \Gamma(K\bar{K})]$		$\Gamma_1/(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)$	
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
0.67 $\pm$ 0.09	32 LOVERRE	80 HBC	$4\pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$
0.81 $^{+0.09}_{-0.04}$	32 CASON	78 STRC	$7\pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$
0.78 $\pm$ 0.03	32 WETZEL	76 OSPK	$8.9\pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$
32 Measure $\pi\pi$ elasticity assuming two resonances coupled to the $\pi\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ channels only.			

### $f_0(980)$ REFERENCES

ALDE	97	PL B397 350	+Bellazzini, Binon+	(GAMS Collab.)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin, Bruschi+	(OBELIX Collab.)
ISHIDA	96	PTP 95 745	S. Ishida+	(TOKY, MIYA, KEK)
TORNQVIST	96	PRL 76 1575	+Roos	(HELS)
ALDE	95B	ZPHY C66 375	+Binon, Boutemeur+	(GAMS Collab.)
AMSLER	95B	PL B342 433	+Armstrong, Brose+	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95D	PL B355 425	+Armstrong, Spanier+	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH	95	PL B355 363	+Kondashov+	(PNPI, SERP)
JANSSEN	95	PR D52 2690	+Pearce, Holinde, Speth	(STON, ADLD, JULI)
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	+Anisovich, Spanier+	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH	94	PL B323 233	+Armstrong+	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BUGG	94	PR D50 4412	+Anisovich+	(LOQM)
KAMINSKI	94	PR D50 3145	R. Kaminski+	(CRAC, IPN)
ZOU	94B	PR D50 591	+Bugg	(LOQM)
MORGAN	93	PR D48 1185	+Pennington	(RAL, DURH)
AGUILAR...	91	ZPHY C50 405	Aguiar-Benitez, Allison, Batalor+	(LEBC-EHS Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	91	ZPHY C51 351	+Benayoun+	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM, CERN, CDEF)
BOYER	90	PR D42 1350	+Butler+	(Mark II Collab.)
BREAKSTONE	90	ZPHY C48 569	+ (ISU, BGNA, CERN, DORT, HEIDH, WARS)	
MARSISKE	90	PR D41 3324	+Antreasyan+	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
MORGAN	90	ZPHY C48 623	+Pennington	(RAL, DURH)
OEST	90	ZPHY C47 343	+Olsson+	(JADE Collab.)
AUGUSTIN	89	NP B320 1	+Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)
VOROBIEV	88	SJNP 48 273	+Golubev, Dolinsky, Druzhinin+	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 48 436.		
ABACHI	86B	PRL 57 1990	+Derrick, Blockus+	(PURD, ANL, IND, MICH, LBL)
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	+Foley, Lai+	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
GIDAL	81	PL 107B 153	+Goldhaber, Guy, Millikan, Abrams+	(SLAC, LBL)
ACHASOV	80	SJNP 32 566	+Devyanin, Shestakov	(NOVM)
		Translated from YAF 32 1098.		

LOVERRE	80	ZPHY C6 187	+Armenteros, Dionisi+ (CERN, CDEF, MADR, STOH) IJP
AGUILAR-...	78	NP B140 73	Aguilar-Benitez, Cerrada+ (MADR, BOMB, CERN+)
CASON	78	PRL 41 271	+Baumbaugh, Bishop, Biswas+ (NDAM, ANL)
LEEPER	77	PR D16 2054	+Buttram, Crowley, Duke, Lamb, Peterson (ISU)
ROSSELET	77	PR D15 574	+Extermann, Fischer, Guisan+ (GEVA, SACL)
WETZEL	76	NP B115 208	+Freudenreich, Beusch+ (ETH, CERN, LOIC)
SRINIVASAN	75	PR D12 681	+Helland, Lennox, Klem+ (NDAM, ANL)
GRAYER	74	NP B75 189	+Hyams, Blum, Dietl+ (CERN, MPIM)
BINNIE	73	PRL 31 1534	+Carr, Debenham, Duane, Garbutt+ (LOIC, SHMP)
GRAYER	73	Tallahassee	+Hyams, Jones, Blum, Dietl, Koch+ (CERN, MPIM)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	+Jones, Weilhammer, Blum, Dietl+ (CERN, MPIM)
PROTOPOP...	73	PR D7 1279	Protopopescu, Alston-Garnjost, Galtieri, Flatte+ (LBL)

## — OTHER RELATED PAPERS —

ACHASOV	97C	PR D56 4084	N.N. Achasov+
ACHASOV	97D	PR D56 203	N.N. Achasov+
PROKOSHKIN	97	SPD 42 117	+Kondashov, Sadovsky+ (SERP)
		Translated from DANS	353 323.
AU	87	PR D35 1633	+Morgan, Pennington (DURH, RAL)
AKESSON	86	NP B264 154	+Albrow, Almehed+ (Axial Field Spec. Collab.)
MENNESSIER	83	ZPHY C16 241	(MONP)
BARBER	82	ZPHY C12 1	+Dainton, Brodbeck, Brookes+ (DARE, LANC, SHEF)
ETKIN	82C	PR D25 2446	+Foley, Lai+ (BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
SRINIVASAN	75	PR D12 681	+Helland, Lennox, Klem+ (NDAM, ANL)
BIGI	62	CERN Conf. 247	+Brandt, Carrara+ (CERN)
BINGHAM	62	CERN Conf. 240	+Bloch+ (EPOL, CERN)
ERWIN	62	PRL 9 34	+Hoyer, March, Walker, Wangler (WISC, BNL)
WANG	61	JETP 13 323	+Veksler, Vrana+ (JINR)
		Translated from ZETF	40 464.