$N(2250)$ G_{19}

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{9}{2}^-)$ Status: ****

$N(2250)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2170 to 2310 (≈ 2250) OUR ESTIMATE				
2250 ± 80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
2268 ± 15	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
2200 ± 100	HENDRY	78	MPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2291	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$

$N(2250)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
290 to 470 (≈ 400) OUR ESTIMATE				
480 ± 120	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
300 ± 40	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
350 ± 100	HENDRY	78	MPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
772	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$

$N(2250)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2080 to 2200 (≈ 2140) OUR ESTIMATE				
2087	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
2187	[1] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
2150 ± 50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2243	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
280 to 680 (≈ 480) OUR ESTIMATE				
680	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
388	[1] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
360 ± 100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
650	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

$N(2250)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
24	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
21	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
20 ± 6	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
47	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-44	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
-50 ± 20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-37	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

$N(2250)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	5–15 %
Γ_2	$N\eta$	
Γ_3	ΛK	

$N(2250)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.05 to 0.15 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.10 ± 0.02	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.10 ± 0.02	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.09 ± 0.02	HENDRY	78	MPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.10	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(2250) \to N\eta$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.043	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(2250) \to \Lambda K$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.02	BELL	83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
not seen	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$

N(2250) FOOTNOTES

[1] See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

N(2250) REFERENCES

ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt *et al.*	(VPI, BRCO)	
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)	
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt *et al.*	(VPI, TELE)	IJP
BELL	83	NP B222 389	K.W. Bell *et al.*	(RL)	IJP
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky *et al.*	(CMU, LBL)	IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky *et al.*	(CMU, LBL)	IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	D.H. Saxon *et al.*	(RHEL, BRIS)	IJP
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	R.D. Baker *et al.*	(RHEL)	IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler *et al.*	(KARLT)	IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT)	IJP
HENDRY	78	PRL 41 222	A.W. Hendry	(IND, LBL)	IJP
Also	81	ANP 136 1	A.W. Hendry	(IND)	