

**$K_2(1770)$**  $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^-)$ **THE  $K_2(1770)$  AND THE  $K_2(1820)$** 

A partial-wave analysis of the  $K^-\omega$  system based on about 100,000  $K^-p \rightarrow K^-\omega p$  events (ASTON 93) gives evidence for two  $q\bar{q}$   $D$ -wave states near 1.8 GeV. A previous analysis based on about 200,000 diffractively produced  $K^-p \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^-p$  events (DAUM 81) gave evidence for two  $J^P = 2^-$  states in this region, with masses  $\sim 1780$  MeV and  $\sim 1840$  MeV and widths  $\sim 200$  MeV, in good agreement with the results of ASTON 93. In contrast, the masses obtained using a single resonance do not agree well: ASTON 93 obtains  $1728 \pm 7$  MeV, while DAUM 81 estimates  $\sim 1820$  MeV. We conclude that there are indeed two  $K_2$  resonances here.

We list under the  $K_2(1770)$  other measurements that do not resolve the two-resonance structure of the enhancement.

 **$K_2(1770)$  MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b><math>1773 \pm 8</math></b>		<sup>1</sup> ASTON	93	LASS	$11K^-p \rightarrow K^-\omega p$
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>					
1810 $\pm$ 20		FRAME	86	OMEG	$+ 13 K^+p \rightarrow \phi K^+p$
$\sim 1730$		ARMSTRONG	83	OMEG	$- 18.5 K^-p \rightarrow 3Kp$
$\sim 1780$		<sup>2</sup> DAUM	81C	CNTR	$- 63 K^-p \rightarrow K^-2\pi p$
1710 $\pm$ 15	60	CHUNG	74	HBC	$- 7.3 K^-p \rightarrow K^-\omega p$
1767 $\pm$ 6		BLIEDEN	72	MMS	$- 11-16 K^-p$
1730 $\pm$ 20	306	<sup>3</sup> FIRESTONE	72B	DBC	$+ 12 K^+d$
1765 $\pm$ 40		<sup>4</sup> COLLEY	71	HBC	$+ 10 K^+p \rightarrow K2\pi N$
1740		DENEGRIG	71	DBC	$- 12.6 K^-d \rightarrow \bar{K}2\pi d$
1745 $\pm$ 20		AGUILAR...	70C	HBC	$- 4.6 K^-p$
1780 $\pm$ 15		BARTSCH	70C	HBC	$- 10.1 K^-p$
1760 $\pm$ 15		LUDLAM	70	HBC	$- 12.6 K^-p$

<sup>1</sup> From a partial wave analysis of the  $K^-\omega$  system.<sup>2</sup> From a partial wave analysis of the  $K^-2\pi$  system.<sup>3</sup> Produced in conjunction with excited deuteron.<sup>4</sup> Systematic errors added correspond to spread of different fits.

## $K_2(1770)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>186±14</b>		5 ASTON	93 LASS		$11K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					
140±40		FRAME	86 OMEG	+	$13 K^+ p \rightarrow \phi K^+ p$
~220		ARMSTRONG	83 OMEG	-	$18.5 K^- p \rightarrow 3K p$
~210		6 DAUM	81C CNTR	-	$63 K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
110±50	60	CHUNG	74 HBC	-	$7.3 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$
100±26		BLIEDEN	72 MMS	-	$11-16 K^- p$
210±30	306	7 FIRESTONE	72B DBC	+	$12 K^+ d$
90±70		8 COLLEY	71 HBC	+	$10 K^+ p \rightarrow K 2\pi N$
130		DENEGRIG	71 DBC	-	$12.6 K^- d \rightarrow \bar{K} 2\pi d$
100±50		AGUILAR-...	70C HBC	-	$4.6 K^- p$
138±40		BARTSCH	70C HBC	-	$10.1 K^- p$
50 <sup>+40</sup> -20		LUDLAM	70 HBC	-	$12.6 K^- p$

<sup>5</sup> From a partial wave analysis of the  $K^- \omega$  system.

<sup>6</sup> From a partial wave analysis of the  $K^- 2\pi$  system.

<sup>7</sup> Produced in conjunction with excited deuteron.

<sup>8</sup> Systematic errors added correspond to spread of different fits.

## $K_2(1770)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 K \pi \pi$	
$\Gamma_2 K_2^*(1430) \pi$	dominant
$\Gamma_3 K^*(892) \pi$	seen
$\Gamma_4 K f_2(1270)$	seen
$\Gamma_5 K \phi$	seen
$\Gamma_6 K \omega$	seen

## $K_2(1770)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$	$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$
$(K_2^*(1430) \rightarrow K \pi)$	
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>	
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>	
~0.03	DAUM 81C CNTR
~1.0	9 FIRESTONE 72B DBC
<1.0	COLLEY 71 HBC
0.2 ±0.2	AGUILAR-... 70C HBC
<1.0	BARTSCH 70C HBC
1.0	BARBARO-... 69 HBC

<sup>9</sup> Produced in conjunction with excited deuteron.

### $\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$

$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$\sim 0.23$  DAUM 81C CNTR 63  $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

### $\Gamma(K f_2(1270))/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$

$\Gamma_4/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$\sim 0.74$  DAUM 81C CNTR 63  $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

### $\Gamma(K\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	-----	---------

**seen** ARMSTRONG 83 OMEG – 18.5  $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \phi N$

### $\Gamma(K\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_6/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	-----	---------

**seen** OTTER 81 HBC ± 8.25,10,16  $K^\pm p$

**seen** CHUNG 74 HBC – 7.3  $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$

## $K_2(1770)$ REFERENCES

ASTON	93	PL B308 186	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
FRAME	86	NP B276 667	D. Frame <i>et al.</i>	(GLAS)
ARMSTRONG	83	NP B221 1	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+)
DAUM	81C	NP B187 1	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
OTTER	81	NP B181 1	G. Otter	(AACH3, BERL, LOIC, VIEN, BIRM+)
CHUNG	74	PL 51B 413	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
BLIEDEN	72	PL 39B 668	H.R. Blieden <i>et al.</i>	(STON, NEAS)
FIRESTONE	72B	PR D5 505	A. Firestone <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
COLLEY	71	NP B26 71	D.C. Colley <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, GLAS)
DENEGRIS	71	NP B28 13	D. Denegri <i>et al.</i>	(JHU) JP
AGUILAR-...	70C	PRL 25 54	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
BARTSCH	70C	PL 33B 186	J. Bartsch <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN+)
LUDLAM	70	PR D2 1234	T. Ludlam, J. Sandweiss, A.J. Slaughter	(YALE)
BARBARO-...	69	PRL 22 1207	A. Barbaro-Galtieri <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)

## OTHER RELATED PAPERS

BERLINGHIERI	67	PRL 18 1087	J.C. Berlinghieri <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH) I
CARMONY	67	PRL 18 615	D.D. Carmony, T. Hendricks, R.L. Lander	(UCSD)
JOBES	67	PL 26B 49	M. Jobes <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, BRUX)
BARTSCH	66	PL 22 357	J. Bartsch <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN+)