

## $D^0$ – $\bar{D}^0$ MIXING

Written April 2002 by D. Asner (LLNL).

Standard Model contributions to  $D^0$ – $\bar{D}^0$  mixing are strongly suppressed by CKM and GIM factors. Thus the observation of  $D^0$ – $\bar{D}^0$  mixing might be evidence for physics beyond the Standard Model. See Bigi [1] for a review of  $D^0$ – $\bar{D}^0$  mixing, and see Nelson [2] for a recent compilation of mixing predictions.

**Formalism:** The time evolution of the  $D^0$ – $\bar{D}^0$  system is described by the Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix} D^0(t) \\ \bar{D}^0(t) \end{pmatrix} = \left(\mathbf{M} - \frac{i}{2}\mathbf{\Gamma}\right)\begin{pmatrix} D^0(t) \\ \bar{D}^0(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where the  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{\Gamma}$  matrices are Hermitian, and  $CPT$  invariance requires  $M_{11} = M_{22} \equiv M$  and  $\Gamma_{11} = \Gamma_{22} \equiv \Gamma$ . The off-diagonal elements of these matrices describe the dispersive and absorptive parts of  $D^0$ – $\bar{D}^0$  mixing.

The two eigenstates  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  of the effective Hamiltonian matrix  $(\mathbf{M} - \frac{i}{2}\mathbf{\Gamma})$  are given by

$$|D_{1,2}\rangle = p|D^0\rangle \pm q|\bar{D}^0\rangle. \quad (2)$$

The corresponding eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_{1,2} \equiv m_{1,2} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{1,2} = \left(M - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma\right) \pm \frac{q}{p}\left(M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}\right), \quad (3)$$

where

$$\left|\frac{q}{p}\right|^2 = \frac{M_{12}^* - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}^*}{M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}}. \quad (4)$$

We extend the formalism from our note on “ $B^0$ – $\bar{B}^0$  mixing” [3]. In addition to the ‘right-sign’ instantaneous decay amplitudes  $\bar{A}_f \equiv \langle f|H|\bar{D}^0\rangle$  and  $A_{\bar{f}} \equiv \langle \bar{f}|H|D^0\rangle$  for  $CP$  conjugate final states  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$ , we include the ‘wrong-sign’ amplitudes  $\bar{A}_{\bar{f}} \equiv \langle \bar{f}|H|\bar{D}^0\rangle$  and  $A_f \equiv \langle f|H|D^0\rangle$ .

It is usual to normalize the wrong-sign decay distributions to the integrated rate of right-sign decays and to express time in units of the precisely measured  $D^0$  mean life,  $\bar{\tau}_{D^0} = 1/\Gamma = 2/(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)$ . We denote the resulting decay distributions as  $r(t)$

and  $\bar{r}(t)$ . Starting from a pure  $|D^0\rangle$  or  $|\bar{D}^0\rangle$  state at  $t = 0$ , the time dependence to the wrong-sign final states is then

$$r(t) = \frac{|\langle f|H|D^0(t)\rangle|^2}{|\bar{A}_f|^2} = \left|\frac{q}{p}\right|^2 \left|g_+(t)\chi_f^{-1} + g_-(t)\right|^2, \quad (5)$$

$$\bar{r}(t) = \frac{|\langle \bar{f}|H|\bar{D}^0(t)\rangle|^2}{|A_{\bar{f}}|^2} = \left|\frac{p}{q}\right|^2 \left|g_+(t)\chi_{\bar{f}} + g_-(t)\right|^2, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\chi_f = \frac{q\bar{A}_f}{pA_f} \quad (7)$$

and

$$g_{\pm}(t) = \frac{1}{2} (e^{-iz_1 t} \pm e^{-iz_2 t}), \quad z_{1,2} = \frac{\lambda_{1,2}}{\Gamma}. \quad (8)$$

Note that a change of the relative phase of  $D^0$  and  $\bar{D}^0$  cancels between  $q/p$  and  $\bar{A}_f/A_f$  and leaves  $\chi_f$  invariant.

Since  $D^0$ – $\bar{D}^0$  mixing is a small effect, the identification tag of the initial particle as a  $D^0$  or a  $\bar{D}^0$  must be extremely accurate. The usual tag is the charge of the distinctive slow pion in the decay sequence  $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$  or  $D^{*-} \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^-$ . In current experiments, mis-tags occur at a rate of about one per thousand events. Another tag of sufficient accuracy is identification of one of the  $D$ 's from  $\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0$ .

**Semileptonic decays:** We expand  $r(t)$  and  $\bar{r}(t)$  to second order in time for modes where the ratio of decay amplitudes  $R_D = |A_f/\bar{A}_f|^2$  is very small. In semileptonic decays,  $A_f = \bar{A}_{\bar{f}} = 0$  in the Standard Model. We define reduced mixing amplitudes  $x$  and  $y$  by

$$x \equiv \frac{2M_{12}}{\Gamma} = \frac{m_1 - m_2}{\Gamma} = \frac{\Delta m}{\Gamma} \quad (9)$$

and

$$y \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{12}}{\Gamma} = \frac{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2}{2\Gamma} = \frac{\Delta\Gamma}{2\Gamma}. \quad (10)$$

In these, the first equality holds in the limit of  $CP$  conservation; and now the subscripts 1 and 2 indicate the  $CP$ -even and  $CP$ -odd eigenstates, respectively. Then, in the limit of weak mixing, where  $|ix + y| \ll 1$ ,  $r(t)$  is given by

$$r(t) = |g_-(t)|^2 \left|\frac{q}{p}\right|^2 \approx \frac{e^{-t}}{4} (x^2 + y^2) t^2 \left|\frac{q}{p}\right|^2. \quad (11)$$

For  $\bar{r}(t)$  one replaces  $q/p$  by  $p/q$ , and in the limit of  $CP$  conservation,  $r(t) = \bar{r}(t)$  and

$$R_M = \int_0^\infty r(t) dt \approx \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2). \quad (12)$$

**Wrong-sign decays to hadronic non- $CP$  eigenstates:**

Consider the final state  $f = K^+\pi^-$ , where  $A_f$  is doubly Cabibbo suppressed, and the ratio of decay amplitudes is

$$\frac{A_f}{\bar{A}_f} = -\sqrt{R_D}e^{-i\delta} \sim O(\tan^2 \theta_c). \quad (13)$$

The minus sign originates from the sign of  $V_{us}$  with respect to  $V_{cd}$ , and  $\delta$  is a strong phase difference between doubly Cabibbo suppressed and Cabibbo-favored decay amplitudes.

We characterize the violation of  $CP$  in the mixing amplitude, decay amplitude, and the interference between those two processes, by the real-valued parameters  $A_M$ ,  $A_D$ , and  $\phi$ . In general  $\chi_{\bar{f}}$  and  $\chi_f^{-1}$  are two independent complex numbers. We adopt a parameterization similar to that of Nir [4] and CLEO [5] and express these quantities in a way that is convenient to describe the three types of  $CP$  violation:

$$\left| \frac{q}{p} \right| = 1 + A_M, \quad (14)$$

$$\chi_f^{-1} \equiv \frac{pA_f}{q\bar{A}_f} = \frac{-\sqrt{R_D}(1 + A_D)}{(1 + A_M)} e^{-i(\delta+\phi)}, \quad (15)$$

$$\chi_{\bar{f}} \equiv \frac{q\bar{A}_{\bar{f}}}{pA_{\bar{f}}} = \frac{-\sqrt{R_D}(1 + A_M)}{(1 + A_D)} e^{-i(\delta-\phi)}. \quad (16)$$

To leading order,

$$r(t) = e^{-t} \times \left[ R_D(1 + A_D)^2 + \sqrt{R_D}(1 + A_M)(1 + A_D)y'_-t + \frac{(1 + A_M)^2 R_M}{2} t^2 \right], \quad (17)$$

$$\bar{r}(t) = e^{-t} \times \left[ \frac{R_D}{(1 + A_D)^2} + \frac{\sqrt{R_D}}{(1 + A_D)(1 + A_M)} y'_+t + \frac{R_M}{2(1 + A_M)^2} t^2 \right], \quad (18)$$

where

$$y'_{\pm} \equiv y' \cos \phi \pm x' \sin \phi = y \cos(\delta \mp \phi) - x \sin(\delta \mp \phi) \quad (19)$$

$$y' \equiv y \cos \delta - x \sin \delta, \quad x' \equiv x \cos \delta + y \sin \delta, \quad (20)$$

and  $R_D$  and  $R_M$  are the doubly Cabibbo-suppressed decay and mixing rates, respectively, relative to the time-integrated right-sign rate. Comparing the terms in Eq. (17) and Eq. (18) probes the three fundamental types of  $CP$  violation. In the limit of  $CP$  conservation,  $A_M$ ,  $A_D$ , and  $\phi$  are all zero, and  $r(t) = \bar{r}(t)$ . Eq. (17) and Eq. (18) become

$$r(t) = e^{-t} \left( R_D + \sqrt{R_D} y' t + \frac{1}{2} R_M t^2 \right), \quad (21)$$

$$R = \int_0^{\infty} r(t) dt = R_D + \sqrt{R_D} y' + R_M, \quad (22)$$

where  $R$  is the time-integrated wrong-sign rate relative to the time-integrated right-sign rate.

For multibody final states, Eqs. (13)–(22) are applicable for one point in the Dalitz space. Although  $x$  and  $y$  do not vary across the Dalitz space, knowledge of the resonant substructure is needed to extrapolate the strong phase difference  $\delta$  to a different point. Both the sign and magnitude of  $x$  and  $y$  are believed to be experimentally accessible through the study of the time-dependent resonant substructure in decay modes such as  $D^0 \rightarrow K_S \pi^+ \pi^-$  [6].

**Decays to  $CP$  eigenstates:** When the final state  $f$  is a  $CP$  eigenstate, there is no distinction between  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$ , and  $A_f = A_{\bar{f}}$  and  $\bar{A}_{\bar{f}} = \bar{A}_f$ . We denote final states with  $CP$  eigenvalues  $\pm 1$  by  $f_{\pm}$ . In analogy with Eqs. (5)–(6), the time dependence of decays to  $CP$  eigenstates is then

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\pm}(t) &= \frac{|\langle f_{\pm} | H | D^0(t) \rangle|^2}{|\bar{A}_{\pm}|^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left| h_{\pm}(t) \left( \frac{A_{\pm}}{\bar{A}_{\pm}} \pm \frac{q}{p} \right) + h_{\mp}(t) \left( \frac{A_{\pm}}{\bar{A}_{\pm}} \mp \frac{q}{p} \right) \right|^2, \\ &\propto \frac{1}{|p|^2} \left| h_{\pm}(t) + \eta_{\pm} h_{\mp}(t) \right|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\bar{r}_{\pm}(t) = \frac{|\langle f_{\pm} | H | \bar{D}^0(t) \rangle|^2}{|A_{\pm}|^2} \propto \frac{1}{|q|^2} |h_{\pm}(t) - \eta_{\pm} h_{\mp}(t)|^2, \quad (24)$$

where

$$h_{\pm}(t) = g_{+}(t) \pm g_{-}(t) = e^{-iz_{\pm}t}, \quad (25)$$

$$\eta_{\pm} \equiv \frac{pA_{\pm} \mp q\bar{A}_{\pm}}{pA_{\pm} \pm q\bar{A}_{\pm}} = \frac{1 \mp \chi_{\pm}}{1 \pm \chi_{\pm}}, \quad (26)$$

and the variable  $\eta_{\pm}$  describes  $CP$  violation. This  $\eta_{\pm}$  can receive contributions from each of the three fundamental types of  $CP$  violation.

The quantity  $y$  is accessible experimentally, by comparing the lifetime measured, for example, with decays to non- $CP$  eigenstates such as  $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ , with that measured with decays to a  $CP$  eigenstate such as  $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ ; see Bergmann [7]. A positive  $y$  would make  $K^+ K^-$  decays appear to have a shorter lifetime than  $K^- \pi^+$  decays.

In the limit of weak mixing, where  $|ix + y| \ll 1$ , and small  $CP$  violation, where  $|A_M|$ ,  $|A_D|$ , and  $|\sin \phi| \ll 1$ , the time dependence of decays to  $CP$  eigenstates is proportional to a single exponential:

$$r_{\pm}(t) \propto e^{-[1 \pm \frac{q}{p}(y \cos \phi - x \sin \phi)]t}, \quad (27)$$

$$\bar{r}_{\pm}(t) \propto e^{-[1 \pm \frac{p}{q}(y \cos \phi + x \sin \phi)]t}, \quad (28)$$

$$r_{\pm}(t) + \bar{r}_{\pm}(t) \propto e^{-(1 \pm y_{CP})t}, \quad (29)$$

where

$$y_{CP} \equiv y \cos \phi \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{q}{p} + \frac{p}{q} \right) + \frac{A_{\text{prod}}}{2} \left( \frac{q}{p} - \frac{p}{q} \right) \right] - x \sin \phi \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{q}{p} - \frac{p}{q} \right) + \frac{A_{\text{prod}}}{2} \left( \frac{q}{p} + \frac{p}{q} \right) \right], \quad (30)$$

and

$$A_{\text{prod}} \equiv \frac{N(D^0) - N(\bar{D}^0)}{N(D^0) + N(\bar{D}^0)} \quad (31)$$

is defined as the production asymmetry of the  $D^0$  and  $\bar{D}^0$ . Note that deviations from the lifetime measured in non- $CP$  eigenstates do not require  $y \neq 0$  but can be due to  $x \sin \phi \neq 0$ .

This possibility is distinguished by a relative sign difference between the  $D^0$  and  $\overline{D}^0$  samples.

In the limit of  $CP$  conservation,  $A_{\pm} = \pm \overline{A}_{\pm}$ ,  $\eta_{\pm} = 0$ ,  $y = y_{CP}$ , and

$$r_{\pm}(t) |\overline{A}_{\pm}|^2 = \overline{r}_{\pm}(t) |A_{\pm}|^2 = e^{-(1 \pm y_{CP})t}. \quad (32)$$

## References

1. I. Bigi, in *Proceedings of the Tau-Charm Factory Workshop*, Stanford, Calif., ed. L.V. Beers, SLAC (1989).
2. H.N. Nelson, in *Proceedings of the 19th Intl. Symp. on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energy LP99*, ed. J.A. Jaros and M.E. Peskin, SLAC (1999).
3. See the review on  $B^0-\overline{B}^0$  mixing by O. Schneider in this *Review*.
4. Y. Nir, Lectures given at 27th SLAC Summer Institute on Particle Physics:  $CP$  Violation in and Beyond the Standard Model (SSI 99), Stanford, California, 7-16 Jul 1999. Published in Trieste 1999, *Particle Physics* pp. 165-243.
5. R. Godang *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5038 (2000).
6. D. Asner, UCRL-JC-147296.
7. S. Bergmann *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B486**, 418 (2000).