K^0

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^-)$

K^0 MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
497.648±0.022 OUR FIT					
497.648±0.022 OUR AVERAGE					
497.625±0.001±0.031	655k	LAI	02	NA48	K^0_L beam
497.661±0.033	3713	BARKOV	87B	CMD	$e^+ e^- \to K^0_L K^0_S$
497.742±0.085	780	BARKOV	85B	CMD	$e^+ e^- \to K^0_L K^0_S$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
497.44 ±0.50		FITCH	67	OSPK	
498.9 ±0.5	4500	BALTAY	66	HBC	K^0 from $\overline{p}p$
497.44 ±0.33	2223	KIM	65B	HBC	K^0 from $\overline{p}p$
498.1 ±0.4		CHRISTENS...	64	OSPK	

$m_{K^0} - m_{K^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
3.972±0.027 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.						
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
3.95 ±0.21	417	HILL	68B	DBC	+	$K^+ d \to K^0 p p$
3.90 ±0.25	9	BURNSTEIN	65	HBC	−	
3.71 ±0.35	7	KIM	65B	HBC	−	$K^- p \to n \overline{K}^0$
5.4 ±1.1		CRAWFORD	59	HBC	+	
3.9 ±0.6		ROSENFELD	59	HBC	−	

$|m_{K^0} - m_{\overline{K}^0}| / m_{average}$

A test of CPT invariance.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID
$<10^{-18}$ (CL = 90%) OUR EVALUATION		

K^0 MEAN SQUARE CHARGE RADIUS

VALUE (fm^2)	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
−0.054±0.026	MOLZON	78	K_S regen. by electrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
−0.087±0.046	BLATNIK	79	VMD + dispersion relations
−0.050±0.130	FOETH	69B	K_S regen. by electrons

T-VIOLATION PARAMETER IN K^0-$\overline{K}^0$ MIXING

The asymmetry $A_T = \frac{\Gamma(\overline{K}^0 \to K^0) - \Gamma(K^0 \to \overline{K}^0)}{\Gamma(\overline{K}^0 \to K^0) + \Gamma(K^0 \to \overline{K}^0)}$ must vanish if T invariance holds.

ASYMMETRY A_T IN K^0-$\overline{K}^0$ MIXING

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*
6.6±1.3±1.0	640k	[1] ANGELOPO...	98E	CPLR

[1] ANGELOPOULOS 98E measures the asymmetry $A_T = [\Gamma(\overline{K}^0_{t=0} \to e^+ \pi^- \nu_{t=\tau}) - \Gamma(K^0_{t=0} \to e^- \pi^+ \overline{\nu}_{t=\tau})]/[\Gamma(\overline{K}^0_{t=0} \to e^+ \pi^- \nu_{t=\tau}) + \Gamma(K^0_{t=0} \to e^- \pi^+ \overline{\nu}_{t=\tau})]$ as a function of the neutral-kaon eigentime τ. The initial strangeness of the neutral kaon is tagged by the charge of the accompanying charged kaon in the reactions $p\overline{p} \to K^- \pi^+ K^0$ and $p\overline{p} \to K^+ \pi^- \overline{K}^0$. The strangeness at the time of the decay is tagged by the lepton charge. The reported result is the average value of A_T over the interval $1\tau_S < \tau < 20\tau_S$. From this value of A_T ANGELOPOULOS 01B, assuming CPT invariance in the $e\pi\nu$ decay amplitude, determine the T-violating as $\Delta S = \Delta S$ conserving parameter (for its definition, see Review below) $4\mathrm{Re}(\epsilon) = (6.2 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$.

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

CPT-VIOLATION PARAMETERS IN K^0-$\overline{K}^0$ MIXING

If CP-violating interactions include a T conserving part then

$$|K_S\rangle = [|K_1\rangle + (\epsilon + \delta)|K_2\rangle]/\sqrt{1+|\epsilon+\delta|^2}$$
$$|K_L\rangle = [|K_2\rangle + (\epsilon - \delta)|K_1\rangle]/\sqrt{1+|\epsilon-\delta|^2}$$

where

$$|K_1\rangle = [|K^0\rangle + |\overline{K}^0\rangle]/\sqrt{2}$$
$$|K_2\rangle = [|K^0\rangle - |\overline{K}^0\rangle]/\sqrt{2}$$

and

$$|\overline{K}^0\rangle = CP|K^0\rangle.$$

The parameter δ specifies the CPT-violating part.

Estimates of δ are given below assuming the validity of the $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ rule. See also THOMSON 95 for a test of CPT-symmetry conservation in K^0 decays using the Bell-Steinberger relation.

REAL PART OF δ

A nonzero value violates CPT invariance.

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
2.9± 2.7 OUR AVERAGE					
2.9± 2.6±0.6	1.3M	[2] ANGELOPO...	98F	CPLR	
180 ±200	6481	[3] DEMIDOV	95		$K_{\ell 3}$ reanalysis

[2] If $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ is not assumed, ANGELOPOULOS 98F finds $\mathrm{Re}\delta = (3.0 \pm 3.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$.

[3] DEMIDOV 95 reanalyzes data from HART 73 and NIEBERGALL 74.

IMAGINARY PART OF δ

A nonzero value violates *CPT* invariance.

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
− 0.8± 3.1 OUR AVERAGE					
− 0.9± 2.9±1.0	1.3M	[4] ANGELOPO...	98F	CPLR	
21 ±37	6481	[5] DEMIDOV	95		$K_{\ell 3}$ reanalysis

[4] If ΔS=ΔQ is not assumed, ANGELOPOULOS 98F finds Imδ=$(-15 \pm 23 \pm 3) \times 10^{-3}$.

[5] DEMIDOV 95 reanalyzes data from HART 73 and NIEBERGALL 74.

K^0 REFERENCES

LAI	02	PL B533 196	A. Lai *et al.*	(CERN NA48 Collab.)
ANGELOPO...	01B	EPJ C22 55	A. Angelopoulos *et al.*	(CPLEAR Collab.)
ANGELOPO...	98E	PL B444 43	A. Angelopoulos *et al.*	(CPLEAR Collab.)
ANGELOPO...	98F	PL B444 52	A. Angelopoulos *et al.*	(CPLEAR Collab.)
Also	01B	EPJ C22 55	A. Angelopoulos *et al.*	(CPLEAR Collab.)
DEMIDOV	95	PAN 58 968	V. Demidov, K. Gusev, E. Shabalin	(ITEP)
From YAF 58 1041.				
THOMSON	95	PR D51 1412	G.B. Thomson, Y. Zou	(RUTG)
BARKOV	87B	SJNP 46 630	L.M. Barkov *et al.*	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 46 1088.		
BARKOV	85B	JETPL 42 138	L.M. Barkov *et al.*	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 42 113.		
BLATNIK	79	LNC 24 39	S. Blatnik, J. Stahov, C.B. Lang	(TUZL, GRAZ)
MOLZON	78	PRL 41 1213	W.R. Molzon *et al.*	(EFI+)
NIEBERGALL	74	PL 49B 103	F. Niebergall *et al.*	(CERN, ORSAY, VIEN)
HART	73	NP B66 317	J.C. Hart *et al.*	(CAVE, RHEL)
FOETH	69B	PL 30B 276	H. Foeth *et al.*	(AACH, CERN, TORI)
HILL	68B	PR 168 1534	D.G. Hill *et al.*	(BNL, CMU)
FITCH	67	PR 164 1711	V.L. Fitch *et al.*	(PRIN)
BALTAY	66	PR 142 932	C. Baltay *et al.*	(YALE, BNL)
BURNSTEIN	65	PR 138B 895	R.A. Burnstein, H.A. Rubin	(UMD)
KIM	65B	PR 140B 1334	J.K. Kim, L. Kirsch, D. Miller	(COLU)
CHRISTENS...	64	PRL 13 138	J.H. Christenson *et al.*	(PRIN)
CRAWFORD	59	PRL 2 112	F.S. Crawford *et al.*	(LRL)
ROSENFELD	59	PRL 2 110	A.H. Rosenfeld, F.T. Solmitz, R.D. Tripp	(LRL)