

**$f_2(1565)$**

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

## OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Seen in antinucleon-nucleon annihilation at rest. Needs confirmation.

### **$f_2(1565)$ MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1546 ± 12 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.		
1552 ± 13	<sup>1</sup> AMSLER 02	CBAR	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
1550 ± 10 ± 20	AMELIN 00	VES	$37 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1575 ± 18	BERTIN 98	OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1507 ± 15	<sup>1</sup> BERTIN 97c	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1565 ± 20	MAY 90	ASTE	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1544.7 ± 3.0	VLADIMIRSKII 00	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
1598 ± 11 ± 9	BAKER 99B	SPEC	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega \omega \pi^0$
1534 ± 20	<sup>2</sup> ABELE 96C	RVUE	Compilation
~ 1552	<sup>3</sup> AMSLER 95D	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
1598 ± 72	BALOSHIN 95	SPEC	$40 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
1566 +80 -50	<sup>4</sup> ANISOVICH 94	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta \eta \pi^0$
1502 ± 9	ADAMO 93	OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1488 ± 10	ARMSTRONG 93C	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
1508 ± 10	ARMSTRONG 93D	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
1525 ± 10	ARMSTRONG 93D	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~ 1504	<sup>6</sup> WEIDENAUER 93	ASTE	$0.0 \bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$
1540 ± 15	ADAMO 92	OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1515 ± 10	<sup>7</sup> AKER 91	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
1477 ± 5	BRIDGES 86c	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$

<sup>1</sup> T-matrix pole.

<sup>2</sup> T-matrix pole, large coupling to  $\rho\rho$  and  $\omega\omega$ , could be  $f_2(1640)$ .


<sup>3</sup> Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.

<sup>4</sup> From a simultaneous analysis of the annihilations  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta$  including AKER 91 data.

<sup>5</sup>  $J^P$  not determined, could be partly  $f_0(1500)$ .

<sup>6</sup>  $J^P$  not determined.

<sup>7</sup> Superseded by AMSLER 95B.



### $f_2(1565)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>126 ± 12 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
113 ± 23	8 AMSLER	02 CBAR	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
130 ± 20 ± 40	AMELIN	00 VES	$37 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
119 ± 24	BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
130 ± 20	8 BERTIN	97C OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
170 ± 40	MAY	90 ASTE	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
10.3 ± 3.0	VLADIMIRSKI 00	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
180 ± 60	9 ABELE	96C RVUE	Compilation
~142	10 AMSLER	95D CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
263 ± 101	BALOSHIN	95 SPEC	$40 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
166 ± 80	11 ANISOVICH	94 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta \eta \pi^0$
130 ± 10	12 ADAMO	93 OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
148 ± 27	13 ARMSTRONG	93C E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
103 ± 15	13 ARMSTRONG	93D E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
111 ± 10	13 ARMSTRONG	93D E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~206	14 WEIDENAUER	93 ASTE	$0.0 \bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$
132 ± 37	13 ADAMO	92 OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
120 ± 10	15 AKER	91 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
116 ± 9	BRIDGES	86C DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$

<sup>8</sup> T-matrix pole.

<sup>9</sup> T-matrix pole, large coupling to  $\rho\rho$  and  $\omega\omega$ , could be  $f_2(1640)$ .

<sup>10</sup> Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.

<sup>11</sup> From a simultaneous analysis of the annihilations  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta$  including AKER 91 data.

<sup>12</sup> Superseded ADAMO 92.

<sup>13</sup>  $J^P$  not determined, could be partly  $f_0(1500)$ .

<sup>14</sup>  $J^P$  not determined.

<sup>15</sup> Superseded by AMSLER 95B.

## $f_2(1565)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 \pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \pi^+\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \pi^0\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \rho^0\rho^0$	seen
$\Gamma_5 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \eta\eta$	seen
$\Gamma_7 a_2(1320)\pi$	
$\Gamma_8 \omega\omega$	seen

## $f_2(1565)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen BAKER 99B SPEC 0  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega\pi^0$

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen BERTIN 98 OBLX 0.05–0.405  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$

not seen 16 ANISOVICH 94B RVUE  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

seen MAY 89 ASTE  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

<sup>16</sup> ANISOVICH 94B is from a reanalysis of MAY 90.

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0\rho^0) \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma_4$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.042 \pm 0.013$  BRIDGES 86B DBC  $\bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$

$$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3/\Gamma$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

seen AMSLER 95B CBAR 0.0  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)$  $\Gamma_6/\Gamma_3$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
$0.024 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.012$	17 ARMSTRONG 93C E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$	
$17 J^P$ not determined, could be partly $f_0(1500)$ .			

 $\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_8/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
seen	BAKER	99B SPEC	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega\pi^0$

 **$f_2(1565)$  REFERENCES**

AMSLER 02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	
AMELIN 00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
VLADIMIRSKII 00	JETPL 26 486	V.V. Vladimirkii <i>et al.</i>	
	Translated from ZETFP 72 698.		
BAKER 99B	PL B467 147	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
BERTIN 98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN 97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE 96C	NP A609 562	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BALOSHIN 95	PAN 58 46	O.N. Baloshin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
	Translated from YAF 58 50.		
AMSLER 94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH 94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH 94B	PR D50 1972	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
ADAMO 93	NP A558 13C	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ARMSTRONG 93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
ARMSTRONG 93D	PL B307 399	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
WEIDENAUER 93	ZPHY C59 387	P. Weidenauer <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
ADAMO 92	PL B287 368	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
AKER 91	PL B260 249	E. Aker <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
MAY 90	ZPHY C46 203	B. May <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
MAY 89	PL B225 450	B. May <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.) IJP
BRIDGES 86B	PRL 56 215	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA, CASE)
BRIDGES 86C	PRL 57 1534	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA)