

$\Delta(1905)$ F_{35} $I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ Status: ***

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

 $\Delta(1905)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1865 to 1915 (\approx 1890) OUR ESTIMATE			
1855.7 \pm 4.2	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1881 \pm 18	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
1910 \pm 30	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1905 \pm 20	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1873 \pm 77	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
1895 \pm 8	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1850	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1960 \pm 40	CANDLIN 84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$
1787.0 $^{+ 6.0}_{- 5.7}$	CHEW 80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
1880	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1892	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1830	¹ LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

 $\Delta(1905)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
270 to 400 (\approx 330) OUR ESTIMATE			
334 \pm 22	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
327 \pm 51	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
400 \pm 100	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
260 \pm 20	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
461 \pm 111	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
354 \pm 10	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
294	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
270 \pm 40	CANDLIN 84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$
66.0 $^{+ 24.0}_{- 16.0}$	CHEW 80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
193	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
159	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
220	¹ LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1905)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1825 to 1835 (≈ 1830) OUR ESTIMATE			
1825	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1829	² HOEHLER 93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1830 ± 40	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1793	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
1832	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1794	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1813 or 1808	³ LONGACRE 78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$-2 \times$ IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
265 to 300 (≈ 280) OUR ESTIMATE			
270	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
303	² HOEHLER 93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
280 ± 60	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
302	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
254	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
230	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
193 or 187	³ LONGACRE 78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1905)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
16	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
25	HOEHLER 93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
25 ± 8	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
12	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
14	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-25	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
-50 ± 20	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-4	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
-40	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

$\Delta(1905)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 N\pi$	0.09 to 0.15
$\Gamma_2 \Sigma K$	
$\Gamma_3 N\pi\pi$	85–95 %
$\Gamma_4 \Delta\pi$	<25 %
$\Gamma_5 \Delta(1232)\pi$, <i>P</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_6 \Delta(1232)\pi$, <i>F</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_7 N\rho$	>60 %
$\Gamma_8 N\rho$, <i>S</i> =3/2, <i>P</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_9 N\rho$, <i>S</i> =3/2, <i>F</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_{10} N\rho$, <i>S</i> =1/2, <i>F</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_{11} N\gamma$	0.01–0.03 %
$\Gamma_{12} N\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.0–0.1 %
$\Gamma_{13} N\gamma$, helicity=3/2	0.004–0.03 %

$\Delta(1905)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.09 to 0.15 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.120 ± 0.002	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
0.12 ± 0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
0.08 ± 0.03	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.15 ± 0.02	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.09 ± 0.01	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
0.12	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
0.11	CHEW	80	BPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1905) \rightarrow \Sigma K$	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
–0.015 ± 0.003			
–0.015 ± 0.003	CANDLIN	84	DPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
–0.013	LIVANOS	80	DPWA $\pi p \rightarrow \Sigma K$
0.021 to 0.054	⁴ DEANS	75	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620) S_{31}$ coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1905) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi$, <i>P</i> -wave	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
–0.04 ± 0.05	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, P\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.23±0.01	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

$$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1905) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi, F\text{-wave} \quad (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_6)^{1/2} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.02±0.03	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
+0.20	¹ LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
+0.17	⁵ NOVOSELLER 78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.06	⁶ NOVOSELLER 78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

 $\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, F\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.44±0.01	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

$$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1905) \rightarrow N\rho, S=3/2, P\text{-wave} \quad (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_8)^{1/2} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.030 to +0.36 OUR ESTIMATE			
+0.33 ± 0.03	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
+0.33	¹ LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
+0.26	⁵ NOVOSELLER 78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.11 to +0.33	⁷ NOVOSELLER 78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

 $\Gamma(N\rho, S=3/2, P\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.24±0.01	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

 $\Delta(1905)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES **$\Delta(1905) \rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$**

VALUE (GeV ^{-1/2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.026±0.011 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.022±0.005	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.021±0.010	CRAWFORD 83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.043±0.020	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.022±0.010	ARAI 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.031±0.009	ARAI 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.024±0.014	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.055±0.004	LI 93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.033±0.018	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$\Delta(1905) \rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE ($\text{GeV}^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.045 ± 0.020 OUR ESTIMATE			
-0.045 ± 0.005	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.056 ± 0.028	CRAWFORD 83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.025 ± 0.023	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.029 ± 0.007	ARAI 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
-0.045 ± 0.006	ARAI 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
-0.072 ± 0.035	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.002 ± 0.003	LI 93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.055 ± 0.019	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

 $\Delta(1905)$ FOOTNOTES

- ¹ From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- ² See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.
- ³ LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.
- ⁴ The range given for DEANS 75 is from the four best solutions.
- ⁵ A Breit-Wigner fit to the HERNDON 75 IPWA.
- ⁶ A Breit-Wigner fit to the NOVOSELLER 78B IPWA.
- ⁷ A Breit-Wigner fit to the NOVOSELLER 78B IPWA; the phase is near 90° .

 $\Delta(1905)$ REFERENCESFor early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

ARNDT	04	PR C69 035213	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU, TRIU)
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT+)
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, BRCO)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also		PR D30 904	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, TELE) IJP
CANDLIN	84	NP B238 477	D.J. Candlin <i>et al.</i>	(EDIN, RAL, LOWC)
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 11B	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also		NP B197 365	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93	I. Arai	(INUS)
Also		NP B194 251	I. Arai, H. Fujii	(INUS)
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123	D.M. Chew	(LBL) IJP
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107	R.L. Crawford	(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	P. Livanos <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP

BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	I.M. Barbour, R.L. Crawford, N.H. Parsons	(GLAS)
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
NOVOSELLER	78	NP B137 509	D.E. Novoseller	(CIT) IJP
NOVOSELLER	78B	NP B137 445	D.E. Novoseller	(CIT) IJP
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	S.R. Deans <i>et al.</i>	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
HERNDON	75	PR D11 3183	D. Herndon <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC) IJP