$N(2200)$ D_{15}

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$ Status: **

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

The mass is not well determined. A few early results have been omitted.

The latest GWU analysis (ARNDT 06) finds no evidence for this resonance.

N(2200) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
≈ 2200 OUR ESTIMATE				
1900	BELL	83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
2180±80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1920	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
2228±30	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2240±65	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$, $N\eta$

N(2200) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
130	BELL	83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
400±100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
220	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
310± 50	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
761±139	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$, $N\eta$

N(2200) POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
2100±60	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
360±80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

N(2200) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
20±10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
-90 ± 50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

N(2200) DECAY MODES

	Mode
Γ_1	$N\pi$
Γ_2	$N\eta$
Γ_3	ΛK

N(2200) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_1/Γ**

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.10 ± 0.03	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.07 ± 0.02	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.08 ± 0.04	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi,\ N\eta$

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_2/Γ**

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.001 ± 0.01	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi,\ N\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(2200) \rightarrow N\eta$ **$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$**

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.066	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(2200) \rightarrow \Lambda K$ **$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$**

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
-0.03	BELL	83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
-0.05	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

N(2200) REFERENCES

ARNDT	06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt *et al.*	(GWU)
BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	M. Batinic *et al.*	(BOSK, UCLA)
Also		PR C57 1004 (erratum)	M. Batinic *et al.*	
BELL	83	NP B222 389	K.W. Bell *et al.*	(RL) IJP
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky *et al.*	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky *et al.*	(CMU, LBL)
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	D.H. Saxon *et al.*	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	R.D. Baker *et al.*	(RHEL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler *et al.*	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP