

$\Delta(1905) F_{35}$ 

$$I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{5}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } ****$$

Most of the results published before 1975 were last included in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982). Some further obsolete results published before 1984 were last included in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

 **$\Delta(1905)$  BREIT-WIGNER MASS**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1865 to 1915 (<math>\approx</math> 1890) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1857.8 $\pm$ 1.6	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1881 $\pm$ 18	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
1910 $\pm$ 30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1905 $\pm$ 20	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1855.7 $\pm$ 4.2	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1873 $\pm$ 77	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
1895 $\pm$ 8	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1850	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1960 $\pm$ 40	CANDLIN	84	DPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$
1787.0 <sup>+</sup> 6.0 - 5.7	CHEW	80	BPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
1830	<sup>1</sup> LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

 **$\Delta(1905)$  BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>270 to 400 (<math>\approx</math> 330) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
320.6 $\pm$ 8.6	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
327 $\pm$ 51	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
400 $\pm$ 100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
260 $\pm$ 20	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
334 $\pm$ 22	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
461 $\pm$ 111	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
354 $\pm$ 10	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
294	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
270 $\pm$ 40	CANDLIN	84	DPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$
66.0 <sup>+</sup> 24.0 - 16.0	CHEW	80	BPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
220	<sup>1</sup> LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

**$\Delta(1905)$  POLE POSITION****REAL PART**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1825 to 1835 (<math>\approx</math> 1830) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1819	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1829	<sup>2</sup> HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1830 $\pm$ 40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1825	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1793	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
1832	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1794	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1813 or 1808	<sup>3</sup> LONGACRE	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

**–2×IMAGINARY PART**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>265 to 300 (<math>\approx</math> 280) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
247	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
303	<sup>2</sup> HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
280 $\pm$ 60	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
270	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
302	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
254	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
230	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
193 or 187	<sup>3</sup> LONGACRE	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

 **$\Delta(1905)$  ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE****MODULUS  $|r|$** 

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
15	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
25	HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
25 $\pm$ 8	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
16	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
12	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
14	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

**PHASE  $\theta$** 

<u>VALUE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
–30	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
–50 $\pm$ 20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
–25	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
–4	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
–40	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

**$\Delta(1905)$  DECAY MODES**

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $N\pi$	0.09 to 0.15
$\Gamma_2$ $\Sigma K$	
$\Gamma_3$ $N\pi\pi$	85–95 %
$\Gamma_4$ $\Delta\pi$	<25 %
$\Gamma_5$ $\Delta(1232)\pi$ , <i>P</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_6$ $\Delta(1232)\pi$ , <i>F</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_7$ $N\rho$	>60 %
$\Gamma_8$ $N\rho$ , <i>S</i> =3/2, <i>P</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_9$ $N\rho$ , <i>S</i> =3/2, <i>F</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_{10}$ $N\rho$ , <i>S</i> =1/2, <i>F</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_{11}$ $N\gamma$	0.01–0.03 %
$\Gamma_{12}$ $N\gamma$ , helicity=1/2	0.0–0.1 %
$\Gamma_{13}$ $N\gamma$ , helicity=3/2	0.004–0.03 %

 **$\Delta(1905)$  BRANCHING RATIOS**

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b>0.09 to 0.15 OUR ESTIMATE</b>				
0.122±0.001	ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
0.12 ±0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \ \& \ N\pi\pi$
0.08 ±0.03	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.15 ±0.02	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.120±0.002	ARNDT	04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
0.09 ±0.01	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
0.12	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
0.11	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1905) \rightarrow \Sigma K$				$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
−0.015±0.003	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$

Note: Signs of couplings from  $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$  analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the  $\Delta(1620)$   $S_{31}$  coupling to  $\Delta(1232)\pi$ .

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1905) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi$ , <i>P</i> -wave				$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
−0.04±0.05	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \ \& \ N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, P\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.23±0.01	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1905) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi, F\text{-wave}$   $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_6)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
+0.02±0.03	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
+0.20	<sup>1</sup> LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, F\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_6/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.44±0.01	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1905) \rightarrow N\rho, S=3/2, P\text{-wave}$   $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_8)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>+0.030 to +0.36 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
+0.33 ±0.03	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
+0.33	<sup>1</sup> LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(N\rho, S=3/2, P\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_8/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.24±0.01	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

**$\Delta(1905)$  PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES**

Papers on  $\gamma N$  amplitudes predating 1981 may be found in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

**$\Delta(1905) \rightarrow N\gamma, \text{helicity-1/2 amplitude } A_{1/2}$**

<u>VALUE (GeV<sup>-1/2</sup>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>+0.026±0.011 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
0.021±0.004	DUGGER 07	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.022±0.005	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.021±0.010	CRAWFORD 83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.043±0.020	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.018	DRECHSEL 07	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.055±0.004	LI 93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

**$\Delta(1905) \rightarrow N\gamma, \text{helicity-3/2 amplitude } A_{3/2}$**

<u>VALUE (GeV<sup>-1/2</sup>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>-0.045±0.020 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.046±0.005	DUGGER 07	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.045±0.005	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.056±0.028	CRAWFORD 83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.025±0.023	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
-0.028	DRECHSEL 07	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.002±0.003	LI 93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

## $\Delta(1905)$ FOOTNOTES

- <sup>1</sup> From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- <sup>2</sup> See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of  $N$  and  $\Delta$  resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of  $\pi N$  elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.
- <sup>3</sup> LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to  $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$  data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

---

## $\Delta(1905)$ REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

DRECHSEL	07	EPJ A34 69	D. Drechsel, S.S. Kamalov, L. Tiator	(MAINZ, JINR)
DUGGER	07	PR C76 025211	M. Dugger <i>et al.</i>	(Jefferson Lab CLAS Collab.)
ARNDT	06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
PDG	06	JPG 33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ARNDT	04	PR C69 035213	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU, TRIU)
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT+)
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, BRCO)
HOEHLER	93	$\pi N$ Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also		PR D30 904	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, TELE) IJP
CANDLIN	84	NP B238 477	D.J. Candlin <i>et al.</i>	(EDIN, RAL, LOWC)
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELSE, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also		NP B197 365	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO)
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123	D.M. Chew	(LBL) IJP
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC) IJP

---