

$K_0^*(800)$
or κ

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^+)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Needs confirmation. See the mini-review on scalar mesons under $f_0(600)$ (see the index for the page number).

$K_0^*(800)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
672 ± 40 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.9.		
841 ± 30	± 81 -73	25k	1,2 ABLIKIM	06C BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
658 ± 13			3 DESCOTES-G..06	RVUE $\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
797 ± 19	± 43	15090	4,5 AITALA	02 E791 $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
663 ± 8	± 34		6 BUGG	10 RVUE S-matrix pole
706.0 ± 1.8	± 22.8	141k	7 BONVICINI	08A CLEO $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
856 ± 17	± 13	54k	8 LINK	07B FOCS $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
750	$\begin{array}{l} +30 \\ -55 \end{array}$		9 BUGG	06 RVUE
855 ± 15	627 ± 30		10 CAWLFIELD	06A CLEO $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
694 ± 53		11,12 ZHOU		06 RVUE $K p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
753 ± 52			13 PELAEZ	04A RVUE $K \pi \rightarrow K \pi$
594 ± 79			12 ZHENG	04 RVUE $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
722 ± 60			14 BUGG	03 RVUE 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
905	$\begin{array}{l} +65 \\ -30 \end{array}$		15 ISHIDA	97B RVUE 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

¹S-matrix pole. GUO 06 in a chiral unitary approach report a mass of 757 ± 33 MeV and a width of 558 ± 82 MeV.

²A fit in the $K_0^*(800) + K^*(892) + K^*(1410)$ model with mass and width of the $K_0^*(800)$ from ABLIKIM 06C well describes the left slope of the $K_S^0 \pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum in $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$ decay studied by EPIFANOV 07.

³S-matrix pole. Using Roy-Steiner equations (ROY 71) as well as unitarity, analyticity and crossing symmetry constraints.

⁴Not seen by KOPP 01 using 7070 events of $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$. LINK 02E and LINK 05I show clear evidence for a constant non-resonant scalar amplitude rather than $K_0^*(800)$ in their high statistics analysis of $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$.

⁵AUBERT 07T does not find evidence for the charged $K_0^*(800)$ using 11k events of $D^0 \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^0$.

⁶S-Matrix pole. Supersedes BUGG 06. Combined analysis of ASTON 88, ABLIKIM 06C, AITALA 06, and LINK 09 using an s-dependent width with couplings to $K\pi$ and $K\eta'$, and the Adler zero near thresholds.

⁷T-matrix pole.

⁸A Breit-Wigner mass and width.

⁹S-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88, AITALA 02, and ABLIKIM 06C using for the κ an s-dependent width with an Adler zero near threshold.

- 10 Breit-Wigner parameters. A significant S -wave can be also modeled as a non-resonant contribution.
 11 S-matrix pole.
 12 Using ASTON 88.
 13 T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of data from LINGLIN 73, ESTABROOKS 78, and ASTON 88 in the unitarized ChPT model.
 14 T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data.
 15 Reanalysis of ASTON 88 using interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes.
-

$K_0^*(800)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
550 ± 34 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.5.
618 ± 90 ± 96 -144	25k	16,17 ABLIKIM	06C BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
557 ± 24		18 DESCOTES-G..06	RVUE	$\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
410 ± 43 ± 87	15k	19,20 AITALA	02 E791	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
658 ± 10 ± 44	21 BUGG	10 RVUE		S-matrix pole
638.8 ± 4.4 ± 40.4	141k	22 BONVICINI	08A CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
464 ± 28 ± 22	54k	23 LINK	07B FOCS	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
684 ± 120		24 BUGG	06 RVUE	
251 ± 48	0.6k	25 CAWLFIELD	06A CLEO	$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
606 ± 59	16,26 ZHOU	06 RVUE		$K p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
470 ± 66		27 PELAEZ	04A RVUE	$K \pi \rightarrow K \pi$
724 ± 332		26 ZHENG	04 RVUE	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
772 ± 100		28 BUGG	03 RVUE	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
545 ± 235 -110		29 ISHIDA	97B RVUE	$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

16 S-matrix pole.

17 A fit in the $K_0^*(800) + K^*(892) + K^*(1410)$ model with mass and width of the $K_0^*(800)$ from ABLIKIM 06C well describes the left slope of the $K_S^0 \pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum in $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$ decay studied by EPIFANOV 07.

18 S-matrix pole. Using Roy-Steiner equations (ROY 71) as well as unitarity, analyticity and crossing symmetry constraints.

19 Not seen by KOPP 01 using 7070 events of $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$. LINK 02E and LINK 05I show clear evidence for a constant non-resonant scalar amplitude rather than $K_0^*(800)$ in their high statistics analysis of $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$.

20 AUBERT 07T does not find evidence for the charged $K_0^*(800)$ using 11k events of $D^0 \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^0$.

21 S-Matrix pole. Supersedes BUGG 06. Combined analysis of ASTON 88, ABLIKIM 06C, AITALA 06, and LINK 09 using an s -dependent width with couplings to $K\pi$ and $K\eta'$, and the Adler zero near thresholds.

22 T-matrix pole.

23 A Breit-Wigner mass and width.

24 S-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88, AITALA 02, and ABLIKIM 06C using for the κ an s -dependent width with an Adler zero near threshold.

25 Statistical error only. A fit to the Dalitz plot including the $K_0^*(800)^\pm$, $K^*(892)^\pm$, and ϕ resonances modeled as Breit-Wigners. A significant S -wave can be also modeled as a non-resonant contribution.

26 Using ASTON 88.

27 T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of data from LINGLIN 73, ESTABROOKS 78, and ASTON 88 in the unitarized ChPT model.

28 T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data.

29 Reanalysis of ASTON 88 using interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes.

K₀^{*}(800) REFERENCES

BUGG	10	PR D81 014002	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
LINK	09	PL B681 14	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
BONVICINI	08A	PR D78 052001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	07T	PR D76 011102R	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
EPIFANOV	07	PL B654 65	D. Epifanov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LINK	07B	PL B653 1	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06C	PL B633 681	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AITALA	06	PR D73 032004	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
Also		PR D74 059901 (errat.)	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
BUGG	06	PL B632 471	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
CAWLFIELD	06A	PR D74 031108R	C. Cawlfeld <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
DESCOTES-G...	06	EPJ C48 553	S. Descotes-Genon, B. Moussallam	
GUO	06	NP A773 78	F.K. Guo <i>et al.</i>	
ZHOU	06	NP A775 212	Z.Y. Zhou, H.Q. Zheng	
LINK	05I	PL B621 72	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
PELAEZ	04A	MPL A19 2879	J.R. Pelaez	
ZHENG	04	NP A733 235	H.Q. Zheng <i>et al.</i>	
BUGG	03	PL B572 1	D.V. Bugg	
AITALA	02	PRL 89 121801	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
LINK	02E	PL B535 43	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
KOPP	01	PR D63 092001	S. Kopp <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ISHIDA	97B	PTP 98 621	S. Ishida <i>et al.</i>	
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B133 490	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)
LINGLIN	73	NP B55 408	D. Linglin	(CERN)
ROY	71	PL 36B 353	S.M. Roy	
