

**$f_0(1370)$**  $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$ 

See also the mini-reviews on scalar mesons under  $f_0(600)$  (see the index for the page number) and on non- $q\bar{q}$  candidates in PDG 06, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

 **$f_0(1370)$  T-MATRIX POLE POSITION**Note that  $\Gamma \approx 2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s_{\text{pole}}})$ .

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>(1200–1500)–<math>i</math>(150–250) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$(1290 \pm 50) - i(170^{+20}_{-40})$	<sup>1</sup> ANISOVICH 09 RVUE $0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$		
$(1373 \pm 15) - i(137 \pm 10)$	<sup>2</sup> BARGIOTTI 03 OBLX $\bar{p}p$		
$(1302 \pm 17) - i(166 \pm 18)$	<sup>3</sup> BARBERIS 00C $450 pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$		
$(1312 \pm 25 \pm 10) - i(109 \pm 22 \pm 15)$	BARBERIS 99D OMEG $450 pp \rightarrow K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^-$		
$(1406 \pm 19) - i(80 \pm 6)$	<sup>4</sup> KAMINSKI 99 RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \sigma\sigma$		
$(1300 \pm 20) - i(120 \pm 20)$	ANISOVICH 98B RVUE Compilation		
$(1290 \pm 15) - i(145 \pm 15)$	BARBERIS 97B OMEG $450 pp \rightarrow pp 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$		
$(1548 \pm 40) - i(560 \pm 40)$	BERTIN 97C OBLX $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		
$(1380 \pm 40) - i(180 \pm 25)$	ABELE 96B CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 K_L^0 K_L^0$		
$(1300 \pm 15) - i(115 \pm 8)$	BUGG 96 RVUE		
$(1330 \pm 50) - i(150 \pm 40)$	<sup>5</sup> AMSLER 95B CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$		
$(1360 \pm 35) - i(150–300)$	<sup>5</sup> AMSLER 95C CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$		
$(1390 \pm 30) - i(190 \pm 40)$	<sup>6</sup> AMSLER 95D CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$		
$1346 - i249$	<sup>7,8</sup> JANSEN 95 RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$		
$1214 - i168$	<sup>8,9</sup> TORNQVIST 95 RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$		
$1364 - i139$	AMSLER 94D CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$		
$(1365^{+20}_{-55}) - i(134 \pm 35)$	ANISOVICH 94 CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta$		
$(1340 \pm 40) - i(127^{+30}_{-20})$	<sup>10</sup> BUGG 94 RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta\eta\pi^0, \eta\pi^0 \pi^0$		
$(1430 \pm 5) - i(73 \pm 13)$	<sup>11</sup> KAMINSKI 94 RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$		
$1420 - i220$	<sup>12</sup> AU 87 RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$		

<sup>1</sup> Another pole is found at  $(1510 \pm 130) - i(800^{+100}_{-150})$  MeV.<sup>2</sup> Coupled channel analysis of  $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ ,  $K^+ K^- \pi^0$ , and  $K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$ .<sup>3</sup> Average between  $\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$  and  $2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ .<sup>4</sup> T-matrix pole on sheet ——.<sup>5</sup> Supersedes ANISOVICH 94.<sup>6</sup> Coupled-channel analysis of  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta$ , and  $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$  on sheet IV. Demonstrates explicitly that  $f_0(600)$  and  $f_0(1370)$  are two different poles.<sup>7</sup> Analysis of data from FALVARD 88.<sup>8</sup> The pole is on Sheet III. Demonstrates explicitly that  $f_0(600)$  and  $f_0(1370)$  are two different poles.

- <sup>9</sup> Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.  
<sup>10</sup> Reanalysis of ANISOVICH 94 data.  
<sup>11</sup> T-matrix pole on sheet III.  
<sup>12</sup> Analysis of data from OCHS 73, GRAYER 74, BECKER 79, and CASON 83.

## **$f_0(1370)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS OR K-MATRIX POLE PARAMETER**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
<b>1200 to 1500 OUR ESTIMATE</b>	

### **$\pi\pi$ MODE**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
1400 $\pm$ 40		13 AUBERT	09L BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
1470 $^{+ 6 + 72}_{- 7 - 255}$		14 UEHARA	08A BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
1259 $\pm$ 55	2.6k	15 BONVICINI	07 CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
1309 $\pm$ 1 $\pm$ 15		15 BUGG	07A RVUE	$0.0 p\bar{p} \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
1449 $\pm$ 13	4286	16 GARMASH	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1350 $\pm$ 50		ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
1265 $\pm$ 30 $^{+ 20}_{- 35}$		ABLIKIM	05Q BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
1434 $\pm$ 18 $\pm$ 9	848	AITALA	01A E791	$D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
1308 $\pm$ 10		BARBERIS	99B OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
1315 $\pm$ 50		BELLAZZINI	99 GAM4	$450 pp \rightarrow p p \pi^0 \pi^0$
1315 $\pm$ 30		ALDE	98 GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
1280 $\pm$ 55		BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1186	17,18	TORNQVIST	95 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
1472 $\pm$ 12		ARMSTRONG	91 OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow p p \pi\pi, p p K\bar{K}$
1275 $\pm$ 20		BREAKSTONE	90 SFM	$62 pp \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^-$
1420 $\pm$ 20		AKESSON	86 SPEC	$63 pp \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^-$
1256		FROGGATT	77 RVUE	$\pi^+ \pi^-$ channel

13 Breit-Wigner mass.

14 Breit-Wigner mass. May also be the  $f_0(1500)$ .

15 Reanalysis of ABELE 96C data.

16 Also observed by GARMASH 07 in  $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$  decays. Supersedes GARMASH 05.

17 Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.

18 Also observed by ASNER 00 in  $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$  decays

### **$K\bar{K}$ MODE**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
1440 $\pm$ 6	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1391 $\pm$ 10	TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	$40.0 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
1440 $\pm$ 50	BOLONKIN 88	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1463 $\pm$ 9	ETKIN 82B	MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$
1425 $\pm$ 15	WICKLUND 80	SPEC	$6 \pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$
$\sim 1300$	POLYCHRO... 79	STRC	$7 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$

**$4\pi$  MODE  $2(\pi\pi)_S + \rho\rho$** 

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
1395 $\pm$ 40		ABELE 01	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
1374 $\pm$ 38		AMSLER 94	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
1345 $\pm$ 12		ADAMO 93	OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1386 $\pm$ 30		GASPERO 93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
$\sim 1410$	5751	<sup>19</sup> BETTINI 66	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
$19 \rho\rho$ dominant.				

 **$\eta\eta$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
1430	AMSLER 92	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$
1220 $\pm$ 40	ALDE 86D	GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2\eta$

**COUPLED CHANNEL MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
1306 $\pm$ 20	<sup>20</sup> ANISOVICH 03	RVUE	
20 K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$ , $\pi^- p \rightarrow K\bar{K}n$ , $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ , $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ , $\pi^0 \eta\eta$ , $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ , $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ , $K^+ K^- \pi^0$ , $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$ , $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$ , $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$ , $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.			

 **$f_0(1370)$  BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
<b>200 to 500 OUR ESTIMATE</b>	

 **$\pi\pi$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
300 $\pm$ 80		<sup>21</sup> AUBERT 09L	BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
$90^+ \quad 2^+ \quad 50$ $90^- \quad 1^- \quad 22$		<sup>22</sup> UEHARA 08A	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
298 $\pm$ 21	2.6k	BONVICINI 07	CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
126 $\pm$ 25	4286	<sup>23</sup> GARMASH 06	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
265 $\pm$ 40		ABLIKIM 05	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
$350 \pm 100$ $+105$ $-60$		ABLIKIM 05Q	BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
173 $\pm$ 32 $\pm$ 6	848	AITALA 01A	E791	$D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
222 $\pm$ 20		BARBERIS 99B	OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow p_S p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
255 $\pm$ 60		BELLAZZINI 99	GAM4	$450 pp \rightarrow pp \pi^0 \pi^0$
190 $\pm$ 50		ALDE 98	GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
323 $\pm$ 13		BERTIN 98	OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
350	<sup>24,25</sup> TORNQVIST 95	RVUE		$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
195 $\pm$ 33	ARMSTRONG 91	OMEG		$300 pp \rightarrow pp\pi\pi, ppK\bar{K}$
285 $\pm$ 60	BREAKSTONE 90	SFM		$62 pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+ \pi^-$
460 $\pm$ 50	AKESSON 86	SPEC		$63 pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+ \pi^-$
$\sim 400$	<sup>26</sup> FROGGATT 77	RVUE		$\pi^+ \pi^-$ channel

- 21 The systematic errors are not reported.  
 22 Breit-Wigner width. May also be the  $f_0(1500)$ .  
 23 Also observed by GARMASH 07 in  $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$  decays. Supersedes GARMASH 05.  
 24 Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.  
 25 Also observed by ASNER 00 in  $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$  decays  
 26 Width defined as distance between 45 and 135° phase shift.

 **$K\bar{K}$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
121 ± 15	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
55 ± 26	TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	$40.0 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
250 ± 80	BOLONKin 88	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
$118^{+138}_{-16}$	ETKIN 82B	MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$
160 ± 30	WICKLUND 80	SPEC	$6 \pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$
~ 150	POLYCHRO... 79	STRC	$7 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$

 **$4\pi$  MODE  $2(\pi\pi)_S + \rho\rho$** 

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
275 ± 55		ABELE 01	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
375 ± 61		AMSLER 94	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
398 ± 26		ADAMO 93	OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
310 ± 50		GASPERO 93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
~ 90	5751	27 BETTINI 66	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
27 $\rho\rho$ dominant.				

 **$\eta\eta$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
250	AMSLER 92	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$
320 ± 40	ALDE 86D	GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2\eta$

**COUPLED CHANNEL MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
$147^{+30}_{-50}$	28 ANISOVICH 03	RVUE
28 K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$ , $\pi^- p \rightarrow K\bar{K} n$ , $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ , $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ , $\pi^0 \eta\eta$ , $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ , $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ , $K^+ K^- \pi^0$ , $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$ , $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$ , $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$ , $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.		

## $f_0(1370)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 \pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 4\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3 4\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_4 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_5 \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \rho\rho$	dominant
$\Gamma_7 2(\pi\pi)_S$ -wave	seen
$\Gamma_8 \pi(1300)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_9 a_1(1260)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{10} \eta\eta$	seen
$\Gamma_{11} K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_{12} K\bar{K}n\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_{13} 6\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_{14} \omega\omega$	not seen
$\Gamma_{15} \gamma\gamma$	seen
$\Gamma_{16} e^+ e^-$	not seen

## $f_0(1370)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$

See  $\gamma\gamma$  widths under  $f_0(600)$  and MORGAN 90.

$\Gamma_{15}$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$

<u>VALUE</u> (eV)	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<20	90	VOROBYEV	88	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$

$\Gamma_{16}$

## $f_0(1370)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
0.26±0.09	BUGG	96	RVUE
<0.15	<sup>29</sup> AMSLER	94	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
<0.06	GASPERO	93	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

$\Gamma(4\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
>0.72	GASPERO	93	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)/\Gamma$

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_2$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
seen	ABELE	96	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi^0$
$0.068 \pm 0.005$	30 GASPERO	93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow$ hadrons
30 Model-dependent evaluation.				

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_4/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_4/(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$0.420 \pm 0.014$	31 GASPERO	93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
31 Model-dependent evaluation.				

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_5/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_5/(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$0.512 \pm 0.019$	32 GASPERO	93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow$ hadrons
32 Model-dependent evaluation.				

 $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_6/\Gamma_2$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$0.26 \pm 0.07$	ABELE	01B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$

 $\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_S\text{-wave})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_1$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$5.6 \pm 2.6$	33 ABELE	01	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
33 From the combined data of ABELE 96 and ABELE 96C.				

 $\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_S\text{-wave})/\Gamma(4\pi)$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_2$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$0.51 \pm 0.09$	ABELE	01B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$

 $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_S\text{-wave})$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_6/\Gamma_7$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
large	BARBERIS	00C		$450 pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
$1.6 \pm 0.2$	AMSLER	94	CBAR	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
$\sim 0.65$	GASPERO	93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow$ hadrons

 $\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_8/\Gamma_2$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$0.17 \pm 0.06$	ABELE	01B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$

## $\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ $\Gamma_9/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
0.06 $\pm$ 0.02	ABELE	01B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$

## $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_{10}/(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
$(28 \pm 11) \times 10^{-3}$	<sup>34</sup> ANISOVICH	02D SPEC	Combined fit
$(4.7 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-3}$	BARBERIS	00E	$450 pp \rightarrow p_f \eta\eta p_s$
<sup>34</sup> From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel ( $0. p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$ , $\pi^0\eta\eta$ , $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$ ), GAMS ( $\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n$ , $\eta\eta n$ , $\eta\eta' n$ ), and BNL ( $\pi p \rightarrow K\bar{K}n$ ) data.			

## $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
0.35 $\pm$ 0.13	BUGG	96 RVUE	

## $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
0.08 $\pm$ 0.08	ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-$ , $\phi K^+K^-$
0.91 $\pm$ 0.20	<sup>35</sup> BARGIOTTI	03 OBLX	$\bar{p}p$
0.12 $\pm$ 0.06	<sup>36</sup> ANISOVICH	02D SPEC	Combined fit
0.46 $\pm$ 0.15 $\pm$ 0.11	BARBERIS	99D OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow K^+K^-$ , $\pi^+\pi^-$
<sup>35</sup> Coupled channel analysis of $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ , $K^+K^-\pi^0$ , and $K^\pm K_S^0\pi^\mp$ .			
<sup>36</sup> From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel ( $0. p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$ , $\pi^0\eta\eta$ , $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$ ), GAMS ( $\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n$ , $\eta\eta n$ , $\eta\eta' n$ ), and BNL ( $\pi p \rightarrow K\bar{K}n$ ) data.			

## $\Gamma(K\bar{K}n\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
<0.03	GASPERO	93 DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow$ hadrons

## $\Gamma(6\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
<0.22	GASPERO	93 DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow$ hadrons

## $\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
<0.13	GASPERO	93 DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow$ hadrons

## $f_0(1370)$ REFERENCES

ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
AUBERT	09L	PR D79 072006	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
UEHARA	08A	PR D78 052004	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BONVICINI	07	PR D76 012001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUGG	07A	JPG 34 151	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	
GARMASH	07	PR D75 012006	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
GARMASH	06	PRL 96 251803	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PDG	06	JPG 33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
VLADIMIRSK...	06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirsy <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)
		Translated from YAF 69 515.		
ABLIKIM	05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	05Q	PR D72 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
GARMASH	05	PR D71 092003	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ANISOVICH	03	EPJ A16 229	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BARGIOTTI	03	EPJ C26 371	M. Bargiotti <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
TIKHOMIROV	03	PAN 66 828	G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 66 860.		
ANISOVICH	02D	PAN 65 1545	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 65 1583.		
ABELE	01	EPJ C19 667	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AITALA	01A	PRL 86 765	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
ASNER	00	PR D61 012002	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	99B	PL B453 316	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)
BARBERIS	99D	PL B462 462	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)
BELLAZZINI	99	PL B467 296	R. Bellazzini <i>et al.</i>	
KAMINSKI	99	EPJ C9 141	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau	(CRAC, PARIN)
ALDE	98	EPJ A3 361	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM4 Collab.)
Also		PAN 62 405	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 62 446.		
ANISOVICH	98B	SPU 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from UFN 168 481.		
BERTIN	98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BARBERIS	97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	96	PL B380 453	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	96B	PL B385 425	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	96C	NP A609 562	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BUGG	96	NP B471 59	D.V. Bugg, A.V. Sarantsev, B.S. Zou	(LOQM, PNPI)
AMSLER	95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
JANSSEN	95	PR D52 2690	G. Janssen <i>et al.</i>	(STON, ADLD, JULI)
TORNQVIST	95	ZPHY C68 647	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)
AMSLER	94	PL B322 431	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.) JPC
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH	94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.) JPC
BUGG	94	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
KAMINSKI	94	PR D50 3145	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, J.P. Maillet	(CRAC+)
ADAMO	93	NP A558 13C	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.) JPC
GASPERO	93	NP A562 407	M. Gaspero	(ROMAI) JPC
AMSLER	92	PL B291 347	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	91	ZPHY C51 351	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BREAKSTONE	90	ZPHY C48 569	A.M. Breakstone <i>et al.</i>	(ISU, BGNA, CERN+)
MORGAN	90	ZPHY C48 623	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL, DURH)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
BOLONKIN	88	NP B309 426	B.V. Bolonkin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, SERP)
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LAZO+)
VOROBIEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 48 436.		
AU	87	PR D35 1633	K.L. Au, D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(DURH, RAL)
AKESSON	86	NP B264 154	T. Akesson <i>et al.</i>	(Axial Field Spec. Collab.)
ALDE	86D	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)
CASON	83	PR D28 1586	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
WICKLUND	80	PRL 45 1469	A.B. Wicklund <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)

BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)
POLYCHRO...	79	PR D19 1317	V.A. Polychronakos <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
FROGGATT	77	NP B129 89	C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen	(GLAS, NORD)
ROSSELET	77	PR D15 574	L. Rosselet <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, SACL)
GRAYER	74	NP B75 189	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
OCHS	73	Thesis	W. Ochs	(MPIM, MUNI)
BEIER	72B	PRL 29 511	E.W. Beier <i>et al.</i>	(PENN)
BETTINI	66	NC 42A 695	A. Bettini <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, PISA)

---