

N(2220) H₁₉ $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{9}{2}^+)$ Status: ***

Most of the results published before 1975 were last included in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982). Some further obsolete results published before 1980 were last included in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

N(2220) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2200 to 2300 (\approx 2250) OUR ESTIMATE			
2316.3 \pm 2.9	ARNDT 06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
2230 \pm 80	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
2205 \pm 10	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
2300 \pm 100	HENDRY 78	MPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2270 \pm 11	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
2258	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$

N(2220) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
350 to 500 (\approx 400) OUR ESTIMATE			
633 \pm 17	ARNDT 06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
500 \pm 150	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
365 \pm 30	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
450 \pm 150	HENDRY 78	MPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
366 \pm 42	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
334	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$

N(2220) POLE POSITION**REAL PART**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2130 to 2200 (\approx 2170) OUR ESTIMATE			
2199	ARNDT 06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
2135	¹ HOEHLER 93	ARGD	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
2160 \pm 80	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2209	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
2203	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
2253	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

-2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
400 to 560 (≈ 480) OUR ESTIMATE			
372	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
400	² HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
480 ± 100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
564	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
536	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
640	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

N(2220) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
33	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
40	HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
45 ± 20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
96	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
68	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
85	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
−33	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
−50	HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$−45 \pm 25$	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
−71	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
−43	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
−62	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

N(2220) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 N\pi$	10–20 %
$\Gamma_2 N\eta$	
$\Gamma_3 \Lambda K$	

N(2220) BRANCHING RATIOS **$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_1/Γ
0.1 to 0.2 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.246 \pm 0.001	ARNDT 06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$	
0.15 \pm 0.03	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
0.18 \pm 0.015	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
0.12 \pm 0.04	HENDRY 78	MPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.200 \pm 0.006	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$	
0.26	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$	

 $(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(2220) \rightarrow \Lambda K$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
not required	BELL 83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$	
not seen	SAXON 80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$	

N(2220) FOOTNOTES

¹ See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

² See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

N(2220) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

ARNDT	06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
PDG	06	JPG 33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ARNDT	04	PR C69 035213	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU, TRIU)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, BRCO)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, TELE) IJP
BELL	83	NP B222 389	K.W. Bell <i>et al.</i>	(RL) IJP
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	D.H. Saxon <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
HENDRY	78	PRL 41 222	A.W. Hendry	(IND, LBL) IJP
Also		ANP 136 1	A.W. Hendry	(IND)