

$a_2(1700)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

 $a_2(1700)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1732 ± 16 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.9.			
$1737 \pm 5 \pm 7$		ABE 04	BELL		$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
1698 ± 44		¹ AMSLER 02	CBAR		$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
1660 ± 40		ABELE 99B	CBAR		$1.94 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1675 ± 25		ANISOVICH 09	RVUE		$0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$
$1722 \pm 9 \pm 15$	18k	² SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE 0		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1702 ± 7	80k	³ UMAN 06	E835		$5.2 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
$1721 \pm 13 \pm 44$	145k	LU 05	B852		$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
1767 ± 14	221	⁴ ACCIARRI 01H	L3		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0, E_{cm}^{ee} = 91, 183-209 \text{ GeV}$
~ 1775		⁵ GRYGOREV 99	SPEC		$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
$1752 \pm 21 \pm 4$		ACCIARRI 97T	L3		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ T-matrix pole.² From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.³ Statistical error only.⁴ Spin 2 dominant, isospin not determined, could also be $J=1$.⁵ Possibly two $J^P = 2^+$ resonances with isospins 0 and 1. **$a_2(1700)$ WIDTH**


VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
194 ± 40 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.			
$151 \pm 22 \pm 24$		ABE 04	BELL		$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
265 ± 55		⁶ AMSLER 02	CBAR		$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
280 ± 70		ABELE 99B	CBAR		$1.94 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
270^{+50}_{-20}		ANISOVICH 09	RVUE		$0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$
$336 \pm 20 \pm 20$	18k	⁷ SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE 0		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
417 ± 19	80k	⁸ UMAN 06	E835		$5.2 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
$279 \pm 49 \pm 66$	145k	LU 05	B852		$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
187 ± 60	221	⁹ ACCIARRI 01H	L3		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0, E_{cm}^{ee} = 91, 183-209 \text{ GeV}$
$150 \pm 110 \pm 34$		ACCIARRI 97T	L3		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

⁶ T-matrix pole.

⁷ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

⁸ Statistical error only.

⁹ Spin 2 dominant, isospin not determined, could also be $J=1$.



$a_2(1700)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \eta\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \gamma\gamma$	
$\Gamma_3 \rho\pi$	
$\Gamma_4 f_2(1270)\pi$	
$\Gamma_5 K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \omega\pi^-\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_7 \omega\rho$	seen

$a_2(1700)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\eta\pi)$

Γ_1

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

9.5 ± 2.0 870 10 SCHEGELSKY 06A RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.30±0.05	870	¹⁰ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

 Γ_2 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5.0±3.0	870	¹⁰ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
10 From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $a_2(1700)$ mass of 1730 MeV and width of 340 MeV, and SU(3) relations.				

 Γ_5 $a_2(1700) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$[\Gamma(\rho\pi) + \Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)] \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4)\Gamma_2/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.29±0.04±0.02		ACCIARRI 97T	L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.37 ^{+0.12} _{-0.08} ±0.10	18k	¹¹ SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_5\Gamma_2/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
20.6±4.2±4.6	¹² ABE 04	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
49 ± 11 ± 13	¹³ ACCIARRI 01H	L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0, E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91, 183\text{--}209 \text{ GeV}$

11 From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

12 Assuming spin 2.

13 Spin 2 dominant, isospin not determined, could also be $I=1$. $a_2(1700) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$	Γ_3/Γ_4			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3.4±0.4±0.1	18k	¹⁴ SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

14 From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

 $a_2(1700) \text{ REFERENCES}$

ANISOVICH 09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev
SCHEGELSKY 06	EPJ A27 199	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>
SCHEGELSKY 06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>
UMAN 06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>
LU 05	PRL 94 032002	M. Lu <i>et al.</i>
ABE 04	EPJ C32 323	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>
AMSLER 02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>
ACCIARRI 01H	PL B501 173	M. Acciari <i>et al.</i>
ABELE 99B	EPJ C8 67	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>
GRYGOREV 99	PAN 62 470 Translated from YAF 62 513.	V.K. Grygorev <i>et al.</i>
ACCIARRI 97T	PL B413 147	M. Acciari <i>et al.</i>