

μ $J = \frac{1}{2}$

μ MASS (atomic mass units u)

The muon's mass is obtained from the muon-electron mass ratio as determined from the measurement of Zeeman transition frequencies in muonium ($\mu^+ e^-$ atom). Since the electron's mass is most accurately known in u, the muon's mass is also most accurately known in u. The conversion factor to MeV has approximately the same relative uncertainty as the mass of the muon in u. In this datablock we give the result in u, and in the following datablock in MeV.

| VALUE (u) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----------------------|------|-------------------|
| 0.1134289256±0.0000000029 | MOHR 08 | RVUE | 2006 CODATA value |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | |
| 0.1134289264±0.0000000030 | MOHR 05 | RVUE | 2002 CODATA value |
| 0.1134289168±0.0000000034 | ¹ MOHR 99 | RVUE | 1998 CODATA value |
| 0.113428913 ±0.000000017 | ² COHEN 87 | RVUE | 1986 CODATA value |

¹ MOHR 99 make use of other 1998 CODATA entries below.

² COHEN 87 make use of other 1986 CODATA entries below.

μ MASS

2006 CODATA (MOHR 08) gives the conversion factor from u (atomic mass units, see the above datablock) to MeV as 931.494 028 (23). Earlier values use the then-current conversion factor. The conversion error contributes significantly to the uncertainty of the masses given below.

| VALUE (MeV) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|---|------------------------|--------|-----|-------------------|
| 105.6583668±0.0000038 | MOHR 08 | RVUE | | 2006 CODATA value |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | |
| 105.6583692±0.0000094 | MOHR 05 | RVUE | | 2002 CODATA value |
| 105.6583568±0.0000052 | MOHR 99 | RVUE | | 1998 CODATA value |
| 105.658353 ±0.000016 | ³ COHEN 87 | RVUE | | 1986 CODATA value |
| 105.658386 ±0.000044 | ⁴ MARIAM 82 | CNTR + | | |
| 105.65836 ±0.00026 | ⁵ CROWE 72 | CNTR | | |
| 105.65865 ±0.00044 | ⁶ CRANE 71 | CNTR | | |

³ Converted to MeV using the 1998 CODATA value of the conversion constant, 931.494013 ± 0.000037 MeV/u.

⁴ MARIAM 82 give $m_\mu/m_e = 206.768259(62)$.

⁵ CROWE 72 give $m_\mu/m_e = 206.7682(5)$.

⁶ CRANE 71 give $m_\mu/m_e = 206.76878(85)$.

μ MEAN LIFE τ

Measurements with an error $> 0.001 \times 10^{-6}$ s have been omitted.

| VALUE (10^{-6} s) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|--|-------------------------------------|------|------|------------------------------------|
| 2.197034 ± 0.000021 OUR AVERAGE | Error includes scale factor of 1.2. | | | |
| 2.197083 ± 0.000032 ± 0.000015 | BARCZYK | 08 | CNTR | + Muons from π^+ decay at rest |
| 2.197013 ± 0.000021 ± 0.000011 | CHITWOOD | 07 | CNTR | + Surface μ^+ at PSI |
| 2.197078 ± 0.000073 | BARDIN | 84 | CNTR | + |
| 2.197025 ± 0.000155 | BARDIN | 84 | CNTR | - |
| 2.19695 ± 0.00006 | GIOVANETTI | 84 | CNTR | + |
| 2.19711 ± 0.00008 | BALANDIN | 74 | CNTR | + |
| 2.1973 ± 0.0003 | DUCLOS | 73 | CNTR | + |

$\tau_{\mu^+}/\tau_{\mu^-}$ MEAN LIFE RATIO

A test of *CPT* invariance.

| VALUE | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-------------|------|------------------------------|
| 1.000024 ± 0.000078 | BARDIN | 84 | CNTR |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | |
| 1.0008 ± 0.0010 | BAILEY | 79 | CNTR Storage ring |
| 1.000 ± 0.001 | MEYER | 63 | CNTR Mean life μ^+/μ^- |

$(\tau_{\mu^+} - \tau_{\mu^-}) / \tau_{\text{average}}$

A test of *CPT* invariance. Calculated from the mean-life ratio, above.

| VALUE | DOCUMENT ID |
|---|-------------|
| (2 ± 8) × 10⁻⁵ OUR EVALUATION | |

μ/p MAGNETIC MOMENT RATIO

This ratio is used to obtain a precise value of the muon mass and to reduce experimental muon Larmor frequency measurements to the muon magnetic moment anomaly. Measurements with an error > 0.00001 have been omitted. By convention, the minus sign on this ratio is omitted. CODATA values were fitted using their selection of data, plus other data from multiparameter fits.

| VALUE | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|----------------------------------|-------------|------|------|-------------------|
| 3.183345137 ± 0.000000085 | MOHR | 08 | RVUE | 2006 CODATA value |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|----|--------|-------------------|
| 3.183345118 ± 0.000000089 | MOHR | 05 | RVUE | 2002 CODATA value |
| 3.18334513 ± 0.00000039 | LIU | 99 | CNTR + | HFS in muonium |
| 3.18334539 ± 0.00000010 | MOHR | 99 | RVUE | 1998 CODATA value |
| 3.18334547 ± 0.00000047 | COHEN | 87 | RVUE | 1986 CODATA value |
| 3.1833441 ± 0.0000017 | KLEMPPT | 82 | CNTR + | Precession strob |
| 3.1833461 ± 0.0000011 | MARIAM | 82 | CNTR + | HFS splitting |
| 3.1833448 ± 0.0000029 | CAMANI | 78 | CNTR + | See KLEMPPT 82 |
| 3.1833403 ± 0.0000044 | CASPERSON | 77 | CNTR + | HFS splitting |
| 3.1833402 ± 0.0000072 | COHEN | 73 | RVUE | 1973 CODATA value |
| 3.1833467 ± 0.0000082 | CROWE | 72 | CNTR + | Precession phase |

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

μ MAGNETIC MOMENT ANOMALY

The parity-violating decay of muons in a storage ring is observed. The difference frequency ω_a between the muon spin precession and the orbital angular frequency $(e/m_\mu c)\langle B \rangle$ is measured, as is the free proton NMR frequency ω_p , thus determining the ratio $R = \omega_a/\omega_p$. Given the magnetic moment ratio $\lambda = \mu_\mu/\mu_p$ (from hyperfine structure in muonium), $(g-2)/2 = R/(\lambda - R)$.

$$\mu_\mu/(e\hbar/2m_\mu) - 1 = (g_\mu - 2)/2$$

| VALUE (units 10^{-10}) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|------|------|-----------------------------|
| 11659208.9 ± 5.4 ± 3.3 | ⁷ BENNETT | 06 | MUG2 | Average μ^+ and μ^- |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

| | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------|----|--------|-----------------------------|
| 11659208 ± 6 | BENNETT | 04 | MUG2 | Average μ^+ and μ^- |
| 11659214 ± 8 ± 3 | BENNETT | 04 | MUG2 | Storage ring |
| 11659203 ± 6 ± 5 | BENNETT | 04 | MUG2 | Storage ring |
| 11659204 ± 7 ± 5 | BENNETT | 02 | MUG2 | Storage ring |
| 11659202 ± 14 ± 6 | BROWN | 01 | MUG2 | Storage ring |
| 11659191 ± 59 | BROWN | 00 | MUG2 | Storage ring |
| 11659100 ± 110 | ⁸ BAILEY | 79 | CNTR + | Storage ring |
| 11659360 ± 120 | ⁸ BAILEY | 79 | CNTR - | Storage ring |
| 11659230 ± 85 | ⁸ BAILEY | 79 | CNTR ± | Storage ring |
| 11620000 ± 5000 | CHARPAK | 62 | CNTR + | |

⁷ BENNETT 06 reports $(g_\mu - 2)/2 = (11659208.0 \pm 5.4 \pm 3.3) \times 10^{-10}$. We rescaled this value using μ/p magnetic moment ratio of 3.183345137(85) from MOHR 08.

⁸ BAILEY 79 values recalculated by HUGHES 99 using the COHEN 87 μ/p magnetic moment. The improved MOHR 99 value does not change the result.

$$(g_{\mu^+} - g_{\mu^-}) / g_{\text{average}}$$

A test of CPT invariance.

| VALUE (units 10^{-8}) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN |
|--------------------------|-------------|---------|
| -0.11 ± 0.12 | BENNETT | 04 MUG2 |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-2.6 ±1.6

BAILEY 79 CNTR

 μ ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENT (d)A nonzero value is forbidden by both T invariance and P invariance.

| VALUE (10^{-19} ecm) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|--|----------------------|------|------|----------------|
| -0.1±0.9 | ⁹ BENNETT | 09 | MUG2 | ± Storage ring |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | |
| -0.1±1.0 | BENNETT | 09 | MUG2 | + |
| -0.1±0.7 | BENNETT | 09 | MUG2 | - |
| -3.7±3.4 | ¹⁰ BAILEY | 78 | CNTR | ± Storage ring |
| 8.6±4.5 | BAILEY | 78 | CNTR | + |
| 0.8±4.3 | BAILEY | 78 | CNTR | - |

⁹ This is the combination of the two BENNETT 09 results quoted here separately for μ^+ and μ^- . BENNETT 09 uses the convention $d = 1/2 \cdot (d_{\mu^+} - d_{\mu^-})$.

¹⁰ This is the combination of the two BAILEY 78 results quoted here separately for μ^+ and μ^- . BAILEY 78 uses the convention $d = 1/2 \cdot (d_{\mu^+} + d_{\mu^-})$ and reports 3.7 ± 3.4 . We convert their result to use the same convention as BENNETT 09.

MUON-ELECTRON CHARGE RATIO ANOMALY $q_{\mu^+}/q_{e^-} + 1$

| VALUE | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|------|------|---------|
| (1.1±2.1) × 10⁻⁹ | ¹¹ MEYER | 00 | CNTR | + |

¹¹ MEYER 00 measure the 1s–2s muonium interval, and then interpret the result in terms of muon-electron charge ratio q_{μ^+}/q_{e^-} .

 μ^- DECAY MODES μ^+ modes are charge conjugates of the modes below.

| Mode | Fraction (Γ_i/Γ) | Confidence level |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------|
| $\Gamma_1 e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$ | ≈ 100% | |
| $\Gamma_2 e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$ | [a] (1.4±0.4) % | |
| $\Gamma_3 e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu e^+ e^-$ | [b] (3.4±0.4) × 10 ⁻⁵ | |

Lepton Family number (*LF*) violating modes

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----|
| $\Gamma_4 e^- \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu$ | <i>LF</i> | [c] < 1.2 | % | 90% |
| $\Gamma_5 e^- \gamma$ | <i>LF</i> | < 1.2 | × 10 ⁻¹¹ | 90% |
| $\Gamma_6 e^- e^+ e^-$ | <i>LF</i> | < 1.0 | × 10 ⁻¹² | 90% |
| $\Gamma_7 e^- 2\gamma$ | <i>LF</i> | < 7.2 | × 10 ⁻¹¹ | 90% |

- [a] This only includes events with the γ energy > 10 MeV. Since the $e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$ and $e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$ modes cannot be clearly separated, we regard the latter mode as a subset of the former.
- [b] See the Particle Listings below for the energy limits used in this measurement.
- [c] A test of additive vs. multiplicative lepton family number conservation.

μ^- BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_2/Γ

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|---------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 0.014 ± 0.004 | | CRITTENDEN 61 | CNTR | γ KE > 10 MeV |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | |
| 862 | BOGART | 67 | CNTR | γ KE > 14.5 MeV |
| 0.0033 ± 0.0013 | CRITTENDEN 61 | CNTR | γ KE > 20 MeV | |
| 27 | ASHKIN | 59 | CNTR | |

$\Gamma(e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_3/Γ

| VALUE (units 10^{-5}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|--|------|------------------|------|------|-----------------------|
| 3.4 ± 0.2 ± 0.3 | 7443 | 12 BERTL | 85 | SPEC | + SINDRUM |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| 2.2 ± 1.5 | 7 | 13 CRITTENDEN 61 | HLBC | + | $E(e^+ e^-) > 10$ MeV |
| 2 | 1 | 14 GUREVICH | 60 | EMUL | + |
| 1.5 ± 1.0 | 3 | 15 LEE | 59 | HBC | + |
| 12 BERTL 85 has transverse momentum cut $p_T > 17$ MeV/c. Systematic error was increased by us. | | | | | |
| 13 CRITTENDEN 61 count only those decays where total energy of either (e^+ , e^-) combination is > 10 MeV. | | | | | |
| 14 GUREVICH 60 interpret their event as either virtual or real photon conversion. e^+ and e^- energies not measured. | | | | | |
| 15 In the three LEE 59 events, the sum of energies $E(e^+) + E(e^-) + E(e^+)$ was 51 MeV, 55 MeV, and 33 MeV. | | | | | |

$\Gamma(e^- \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_4/Γ

Forbidden by the additive conservation law for lepton family number. A multiplicative law predicts this branching ratio to be 1/2. For a review see NEMETHY 81.

| VALUE | CL% | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|---|-----|-------------|------|------|---|
| < 0.012 | 90 | 16 FREEDMAN | 93 | CNTR | + ν oscillation search |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| < 0.018 | 90 | KRAKAUER | 91B | CALO | + |
| < 0.05 | 90 | 17 BERGSMA | 83 | CALO | $\bar{\nu}_\mu e \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_e$ |
| < 0.09 | 90 | JONKER | 80 | CALO | See BERGSMA 83 |
| -0.001 ± 0.061 | | WILLIS | 80 | CNTR | + |
| 0.13 ± 0.15 | | BLIETSCHAU | 78 | HLBC | ± Avg. of 4 values |
| < 0.25 | 90 | EICHTEN | 73 | HLBC | + |

16 FREEDMAN 93 limit on $\bar{\nu}_e$ observation is here interpreted as a limit on lepton family number violation.

17 BERGSMA 83 gives a limit on the inverse muon decay cross-section ratio $\sigma(\bar{\nu}_\mu e^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_e)/\sigma(\nu_\mu e^- \rightarrow \mu^- \nu_e)$, which is essentially equivalent to $\Gamma(e^- \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ for small values like that quoted.

$\Gamma(e^- \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

| <u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-11}) | <u>CL%</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>CHG</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| < 1.2 | 90 | BROOKS | 99 | SPEC | + |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| < 1.2 | 90 | AHMED | 02 | SPEC | + |
| < 4.9 | 90 | BOLTON | 88 | CBOX | + |
| <100 | 90 | AZUELOS | 83 | CNTR | + |
| < 17 | 90 | KINNISON | 82 | SPEC | + |
| <100 | 90 | SCHAAF | 80 | ELEC | + |
| | | | | | SIN |

 Γ_5/Γ $\Gamma(e^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

| <u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-12}) | <u>CL%</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>CHG</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| < 1.0 | 90 | 18 BELLGARDT | 88 | SPEC | + |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| < 36 | 90 | BARANOV | 91 | SPEC | + |
| < 35 | 90 | BOLTON | 88 | CBOX | + |
| < 2.4 | 90 | 18 BERTL | 85 | SPEC | + |
| <160 | 90 | 18 BERTL | 84 | SPEC | + |
| <130 | 90 | 18 BOLTON | 84 | CNTR | LAMPF |

 Γ_6/Γ

18 These experiments assume a constant matrix element.

 $\Gamma(e^- 2\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

| <u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-11}) | <u>CL%</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>CHG</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|
| < 7.2 | 90 | BOLTON | 88 | CBOX | + |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| < 840 | 90 | 19 AZUELOS | 83 | CNTR | + |
| <5000 | 90 | 20 BOWMAN | 78 | CNTR | DEPOMMIER 77 data |

 Γ_7/Γ

19 AZUELOS 83 uses the phase space distribution of BOWMAN 78.

20 BOWMAN 78 assumes an interaction Lagrangian local on the scale of the inverse μ mass.**LIMIT ON $\mu^- \rightarrow e^-$ CONVERSION**

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

 $\sigma(\mu^- {}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow e^- {}^{32}\text{S}) / \sigma(\mu^- {}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow \nu_\mu {}^{32}\text{P}^*)$

| <u>VALUE</u> | <u>CL%</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|
| $< 7 \times 10^{-11}$ | 90 | BADERT... | 80 | STRC SIN |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | |
| $< 4 \times 10^{-10}$ | 90 | BADERT... | 77 | STRC SIN |

 $\sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow e^- \text{Cu}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow \text{capture})$

| <u>VALUE</u> | <u>CL%</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> |
|---|------------|--------------------|-------------|
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | |
| $< 1.6 \times 10^{-8}$ | 90 | BRYMAN | 72 |

$\sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow e^- \text{Ti}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow \text{capture})$

| VALUE | CL% | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----|-------------|------|-----------------|
| $<4.3 \times 10^{-12}$ | 90 | 21 DOHMHEN | 93 | SPEC SINDRUM II |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | |
| $<4.6 \times 10^{-12}$ | 90 | AHMAD | 88 | TPC TRIUMF |
| $<1.6 \times 10^{-11}$ | 90 | BRYMAN | 85 | TPC TRIUMF |

²¹ DOHMHEN 93 assumes $\mu^- \rightarrow e^-$ conversion leaves the nucleus in its ground state, a process enhanced by coherence and expected to dominate.

 $\sigma(\mu^- \text{Pb} \rightarrow e^- \text{Pb}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Pb} \rightarrow \text{capture})$

| VALUE | CL% | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----|-------------|------|-----------------|
| $<4.6 \times 10^{-11}$ | 90 | HONECKER | 96 | SPEC SINDRUM II |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | |
| $<4.9 \times 10^{-10}$ | 90 | AHMAD | 88 | TPC TRIUMF |

 $\sigma(\mu^- \text{Au} \rightarrow e^- \text{Au}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Au} \rightarrow \text{capture})$

| VALUE | CL% | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|----------------------|-----|-------------|------|------|--------------|
| $<7 \times 10^{-13}$ | 90 | BERTL | 06 | SPEC | — SINDRUM II |

LIMIT ON $\mu^- \rightarrow e^+$ CONVERSION

Forbidden by total lepton number conservation.

 $\sigma(\mu^- {}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow e^+ {}^{32}\text{Si}^*) / \sigma(\mu^- {}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow \nu_\mu {}^{32}\text{P}^*)$

| VALUE | CL% | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----|-------------|------|----------|
| $<9 \times 10^{-10}$ | 90 | BADERT... | 80 | STRC SIN |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | |
| $<1.5 \times 10^{-9}$ | 90 | BADERT... | 78 | STRC SIN |

 $\sigma(\mu^- {}^{127}\text{I} \rightarrow e^+ {}^{127}\text{Sb}^*) / \sigma(\mu^- {}^{127}\text{I} \rightarrow \text{anything})$

| VALUE | CL% | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|----------------------|-----|-------------|------|--------------------------|
| $<3 \times 10^{-10}$ | 90 | 22 ABELA | 80 | CNTR Radiochemical tech. |

²² ABELA 80 is upper limit for $\mu^- e^+$ conversion leading to particle-stable states of ${}^{127}\text{Sb}$. Limit for total conversion rate is higher by a factor less than 4 (G. Backenstoss, private communication).

 $\sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow e^+ \text{Co}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow \nu_\mu \text{Ni})$

| VALUE | CL% | DOCUMENT ID | TECN |
|---|-----|-------------|------|
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | |
| $<2.6 \times 10^{-8}$ | 90 | BRYMAN | 72 |
| $<2.2 \times 10^{-7}$ | 90 | CONFORTO | 62 |

 $\sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow e^+ \text{Ca}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow \text{capture})$

| VALUE | CL% | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|------------------------|-----|------|---------------|------|------|--------------|
| $<3.6 \times 10^{-11}$ | 90 | 1 | 23,24 KAULARD | 98 | SPEC | — SINDRUM II |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|---|---------------|----|------|---|------------|
| $<1.7 \times 10^{-12}$ | 90 | 1 | 24,25 KAULARD | 98 | SPEC | — | SINDRUM II |
| $<4.3 \times 10^{-12}$ | 90 | | 25 DOHMHEN | 93 | SPEC | | SINDRUM II |
| $<8.9 \times 10^{-11}$ | 90 | | 23 DOHMHEN | 93 | SPEC | | SINDRUM II |
| $<1.7 \times 10^{-10}$ | 90 | | 26 AHMAD | 88 | TPC | | TRIUMF |

23 This limit assumes a giant resonance excitation of the daughter Ca nucleus (mean energy and width both 20 MeV).

24 KAULARD 98 obtained these same limits using the unified classical analysis of FELDMAN 98.

25 This limit assumes the daughter Ca nucleus is left in the ground state. However, the probability of this is unknown.

26 Assuming a giant-resonance-excitation model.

LIMIT ON MUONIUM → ANTIMUONIUM CONVERSION

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

$$R_g = G_C / G_F$$

The effective Lagrangian for the $\mu^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^- e^+$ conversion is assumed to be

$$\mathcal{L} = 2^{-1/2} G_C [\bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma_\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_e] [\bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma_\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_e] + \text{h.c.}$$

The experimental result is then an upper limit on G_C/G_F , where G_F is the Fermi coupling constant.

| VALUE | CL% | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|---|-----|------|-------------|------|------|-----------------------|
| < 0.0030 | 90 | 1 | 27 WILLMANN | 99 | SPEC | + μ^+ at 26 GeV/c |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | | |
| < 0.14 | 90 | 1 | 28 GORDEEV | 97 | SPEC | + JINR phasotron |
| < 0.018 | 90 | 0 | 29 ABELA | 96 | SPEC | + μ^+ at 24 MeV |
| < 6.9 | 90 | | NI | 93 | CBOX | LAMPF |
| < 0.16 | 90 | | MATTHIAS | 91 | SPEC | LAMPF |
| < 0.29 | 90 | | HUBER | 90B | CNTR | TRIUMF |
| < 20 | 95 | | BEER | 86 | CNTR | TRIUMF |
| < 42 | 95 | | MARSHALL | 82 | CNTR | |

27 WILLMANN 99 quote both probability $P_{MM} < 8.3 \times 10^{-11}$ at 90%CL in a 0.1 T field and $R_g = G_C/G_F$.

28 GORDEEV 97 quote limits on both $f = G_{MM}/G_F$ and the probability $W_{MM} < 4.7 \times 10^{-7}$ (90% CL).

29 ABELA 96 quote both probability $P_{MM} < 8 \times 10^{-9}$ at 90% CL and $R_g = G_C/G_F$.

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

μ DECAY PARAMETERS

ρ PARAMETER

(V-A) theory predicts $\rho = 0.75$.

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|------------------------------------|------|----------------------|------|-----------------|---------|
| 0.7503 ± 0.0004 OUR AVERAGE | | | | | |
| 0.75014 ± 0.00017 ± 0.00045 | | 30 MACDONALD 08 TWST | + | Surface μ^+ | |
| 0.75080 ± 0.00032 ± 0.00100 | 6G | 31 MUSSER 05 TWST | + | Surface μ^+ | |
| 0.7518 ± 0.0026 | | DERENZO 69 RVUE | | | |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

| | | | | | |
|--------|--------------|------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| 0.72 | ± 0.06 | ± 0.08 | AMORUSO | 04 ICAR | Liquid Ar TPC |
| 0.762 | ± 0.008 | 170k | 32 FRYBERGER | 68 ASPK + | 25–53 MeV e ⁺ |
| 0.760 | ± 0.009 | 280k | 32 SHERWOOD | 67 ASPK + | 25–53 MeV e ⁺ |
| 0.7503 | ± 0.0026 | 800k | 32 PEOPLES | 66 ASPK + | 20–53 MeV e ⁺ |

30 The quoted systematic error includes a contribution of 0.00011 (added in quadrature) from the dependence on the Michel parameter η .

31 The quoted systematic error includes a contribution of 0.00023 (added in quadrature) from the dependence on the Michel parameter η .

32 η constrained = 0. These values incorporated into a two parameter fit to ρ and η by DERENZO 69.

η PARAMETER

(V-A) theory predicts $\eta = 0$.

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|---|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| 0.057 ±0.034 OUR AVERAGE | | | | | |
| 0.071 ± 0.037 | ± 0.005 | 30M | DANNEBERG | 05 CNTR + | 7–53 MeV e ⁺ |
| 0.011 ± 0.081 | ± 0.026 | 5.3M | 33 BURKARD | 85BCNTR + | 9–53 MeV e ⁺ |
| -0.12 ± 0.21 | | 6346 | DERENZO | 69 HBC + | 1.6–6.8 MeV e ⁺ |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| -0.0021 $\pm 0.0070 \pm 0.0010$ | 30M | 34 DANNEBERG | 05 CNTR + | 7–53 MeV e ⁺ | |
| -0.012 $\pm 0.015 \pm 0.003$ | 5.3M | 34 BURKARD | 85BCNTR + | 9–53 MeV e ⁺ | |
| -0.007 ± 0.013 | 5.3M | 35 BURKARD | 85BFIT + | 9–53 MeV e ⁺ | |
| -0.7 ± 0.5 | 170k | 36 FRYBERGER | 68 ASPK + | 25–53 MeV e ⁺ | |
| -0.7 ± 0.6 | 280k | 36 SHERWOOD | 67 ASPK + | 25–53 MeV e ⁺ | |
| 0.05 ± 0.5 | 800k | 36 PEOPLES | 66 ASPK + | 20–53 MeV e ⁺ | |
| -2.0 ± 0.9 | 9213 | 37 PLANO | 60 HBC + | Whole spectrum | |

33 Previously we used the global fit result from BURKARD 85B in OUR AVERAGE, we now only include their actual measurement.

34 $\alpha = \alpha' = 0$ assumed.

35 Global fit to all measured parameters. The fit correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

36 ρ constrained = 0.75.

37 Two parameter fit to ρ and η ; PLANO 60 discounts value for η .

δ PARAMETER

(V-A) theory predicts $\delta = 0.75$.

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|---|------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| 0.7504 ±0.0006 OUR AVERAGE | | | | | |
| 0.75067 $\pm 0.00030 \pm 0.00067$ | | MACDONALD | 08 TWST + | Surface μ^+ | |
| 0.74964 $\pm 0.00066 \pm 0.00112$ | 6G | GAPONENKO | 05 TWST + | surface μ^+ | |
| 0.7486 $\pm 0.0026 \pm 0.0028$ | | 38 BALKE | 88 SPEC + | Surface μ^+ 's | |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| | | 39 VOSSLER | 69 | | |
| 0.752 ± 0.009 | 490k | FRYBERGER | 68 ASPK + | 25–53 MeV e ⁺ | |
| 0.782 ± 0.031 | | KRUGER | 61 | | |
| 0.78 ± 0.05 | 8354 | PLANO | 60 HBC + | Whole spectrum | |

38 BALKE 88 uses $\rho = 0.752 \pm 0.003$.

39 VOSSLER 69 has measured the asymmetry below 10 MeV. See comments about radiative corrections in VOSSLER 69.

|(ξ PARAMETER) $\times(\mu$ LONGITUDINAL POLARIZATION)|

($V-A$) theory predicts $\xi = 1$, longitudinal polarization = 1.

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|---|------|-------------|------|------|-----------------------------------|
| 1.0007±0.0035 OUR AVERAGE | | | | | |
| 1.0003±0.0006±0.0038 | | JAMIESON | 06 | TWST | + surface μ^+ beam |
| 1.0027±0.0079±0.0030 | | BELTRAMI | 87 | CNTR | SIN, π decay in flight |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| 1.0013±0.0030±0.0053 | 40 | IMAZATO | 92 | SPEC | + $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ |
| 0.975 ± 0.015 | | AKHMANOV | 68 | EMUL | 140 kG |
| 0.975 ± 0.030 | 66k | GUREVICH | 64 | EMUL | See AKHMANOV 68 |
| 0.903 ± 0.027 | 41 | ALI-ZADE | 61 | EMUL | + 27 kG |
| 0.93 ± 0.06 | 8354 | PLANO | 60 | HBC | + 8.8 kG |
| 0.97 ± 0.05 | 9k | BARDON | 59 | CNTR | Bromoform target |

40 The corresponding 90% confidence limit from IMAZATO 92 is $|\xi P_\mu| > 0.990$. This measurement is of K^+ decay, not π^+ decay, so we do not include it in an average, nor do we yet set up a separate data block for K results.

41 Depolarization by medium not known sufficiently well.

$\xi \times (\mu$ LONGITUDINAL POLARIZATION) $\times \delta / \rho$

| VALUE | CL% | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|---|-----|-------------|------|------|------------------------|
| >0.99682 | 90 | 42 JODIDIO | 86 | SPEC | + TRIUMF |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| >0.9966 | 90 | 43 STOKER | 85 | SPEC | + μ -spin rotation |
| >0.9959 | 90 | CARR | 83 | SPEC | + 11 kG |

42 JODIDIO 86 includes data from CARR 83 and STOKER 85. The value here is from the erratum.

43 STOKER 85 find $(\xi P_\mu \delta/\rho) > 0.9955$ and > 0.9966 , where the first limit is from new μ spin-rotation data and the second is from combination with CARR 83 data. In $V-A$ theory, $(\delta/\rho) = 1.0$.

ξ' = LONGITUDINAL POLARIZATION OF e^+

($V-A$) theory predicts the longitudinal polarization = ± 1 for e^\pm , respectively. We have flipped the sign for e^- so our programs can average.

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|-------------------------------|------|-------------|------|------|---------------------|
| 1.00 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE | | | | | |
| 0.998±0.045 | 1M | BURKARD | 85 | CNTR | + Bhabha + annihil |
| 0.89 ± 0.28 | 29k | SCHWARTZ | 67 | OSPK | - Moller scattering |
| 0.94 ± 0.38 | | BLOOM | 64 | CNTR | + Brems. transmiss. |
| 1.04 ± 0.18 | | DUCLOS | 64 | CNTR | + Bhabha scattering |
| 1.05 ± 0.30 | | BUHLER | 63 | CNTR | + Annihilation |

ξ'' PARAMETER

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|------------------|------|-------------|------|------|--------------------|
| 0.65±0.36 | 326k | 44 BURKARD | 85 | CNTR | + Bhabha + annihil |

44 BURKARD 85 measure $(\xi'' - \xi \xi')/\xi$ and ξ' and set $\xi = 1$.

TRANSVERSE e^+ POLARIZATION IN PLANE OF μ SPIN, e^+ MOMENTUM

| VALUE (units 10^{-3}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|---|------|--------------|------|-----|------------------|
| 7 \pm 8 OUR AVERAGE | | | | | |
| 6.3 \pm 7.7 \pm 3.4 | 30M | DANNEBERG 05 | CNTR | + | 7–53 MeV e^+ |
| 16 \pm 21 \pm 10 | 5.3M | BURKARD 85B | CNTR | + | Annihil 9–53 MeV |

TRANSVERSE e^+ POLARIZATION NORMAL TO PLANE OF μ SPIN, e^+ MOMENTUM

Zero if T invariance holds.

| VALUE (units 10^{-3}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|--|------|--------------|------|-----|------------------|
| -2 \pm 8 OUR AVERAGE | | | | | |
| -3.7 \pm 7.7 \pm 3.4 | 30M | DANNEBERG 05 | CNTR | + | 7–53 MeV e^+ |
| 7 \pm 22 \pm 7 | 5.3M | BURKARD 85B | CNTR | + | Annihil 9–53 MeV |

α/A

| VALUE (units 10^{-3}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|---------------------------------|------|-------------|------|-----|---------|
| 0.4 \pm 4.3 | | | | | |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

15 \pm 50 \pm 14 5.3M BURKARD 85B CNTR + 9–53 MeV e^+

45 Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

α'/A

Zero if T invariance holds.

| VALUE (units 10^{-3}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|--|------|-------------|------|-----|---------|
| -10 \pm 20 OUR AVERAGE | | | | | |

- 3.4 \pm 21.3 \pm 4.9 30M DANNEBERG 05 CNTR + 7–53 MeV e^+

-47 \pm 50 \pm 14 5.3M BURKARD 85B CNTR + 9–53 MeV e^+

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

- 0.2 \pm 4.3 BURKARD 85B FIT

46 Previously we used the global fit result from BURKARD 85B in OUR AVERAGE, we now only include their actual measurement. BURKARD 85B measure e^+ polarizations P_{T_1} and P_{T_2} versus e^+ energy.

47 Global fit to all measured parameters. The fit correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

β/A

| VALUE (units 10^{-3}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | CHG | COMMENT |
|---------------------------------|------|-------------|------|-----|---------|
| 3.9 \pm 6.2 | | | | | |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2 \pm 17 \pm 6 5.3M BURKARD 85B CNTR + 9–53 MeV e^+

48 Global fit to all measured parameters. The fit correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

β'/A

Zero if T invariance holds.

| <u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>CHG</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|-------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| 2 ± 7 OUR AVERAGE | | | | | |
| - 0.5 ± 7.8 ± 1.8 | 30M | DANNEBERG 05 | CNTR | + | 7–53 MeV e^+ |
| 17 ± 17 ± 6 | 5.3M | 49 BURKARD 85B | CNTR | + | 9–53 MeV e^+ |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| - 1.3 ± 3.5 ± 0.6 | 30M | 50 DANNEBERG 05 | CNTR | + | 7–53 MeV e^+ |
| 1.5 ± 6.3 | | 51 BURKARD 85B | FIT | | |

49 Previously we used the global fit result from BURKARD 85B in OUR AVERAGE, we now only include their actual measurement. BURKARD 85B measure e^+ polarizations P_{T_1} and P_{T_2} versus e^+ energy.

50 $\alpha = \alpha' = 0$ assumed.

51 Global fit to all measured parameters. The fit correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

a/A

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the "Note on Muon Decay Parameters" above).

| <u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u> | <u>CL%</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> |
|---|------------|--------------------|-------------|
|---|------------|--------------------|-------------|

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<15.9 90 52 BURKARD 85B FIT

52 Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

a'/A

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the "Note on Muon Decay Parameters" above).

| <u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> |
|---|--------------------|-------------|
|---|--------------------|-------------|

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.3 ± 4.1 53 BURKARD 85B FIT

53 Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

$(b'+b)/A$

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the "Note on Muon Decay Parameters" above).

| <u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u> | <u>CL%</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> |
|---|------------|--------------------|-------------|
|---|------------|--------------------|-------------|

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.04 90 54 BURKARD 85B FIT

54 Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

c/A

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the "Note on Muon Decay Parameters" above).

| <u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u> | <u>CL%</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> |
|---|------------|--------------------|-------------|
|---|------------|--------------------|-------------|

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6.4 90 55 BURKARD 85B FIT

55 Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

c'/A

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the "Note on Muon Decay Parameters" above).

| <u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> |
|---|--------------------|-------------|
|---|--------------------|-------------|

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.5 ± 2.0 56 BURKARD 85B FIT

56 Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

 $\bar{\eta}$ PARAMETER

($V-A$) theory predicts $\bar{\eta} = 0$. $\bar{\eta}$ affects spectrum of radiative muon decay.

| <u>VALUE</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>CHG</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|--------------------|-------------|------------|---------------------|
| 0.02 ±0.08 OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| -0.014±0.090 | EICHENBER... 84 | ELEC | + | ρ free |
| +0.09 ±0.14 | BOGART 67 | CNTR | + | |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | |
| -0.035±0.098 | EICHENBER... 84 | ELEC | + | $\rho=0.75$ assumed |

 μ REFERENCES

| | | | | |
|-----------|----|----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| BENNETT | 09 | PR D80 052008 | G.W. Bennett <i>et al.</i> | (MUG-2 Collab.) |
| BARCZYK | 08 | PL B663 172 | A. Barczyk <i>et al.</i> | (FAST Collab.) |
| MACDONALD | 08 | PR D78 032010 | R.P. MacDonald <i>et al.</i> | (TWIST Collab.) |
| MOHR | 08 | RMP 80 633 | P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor, D.B. Newell | (NIST) |
| CHITWOOD | 07 | PRL 99 032001 | D.B. Chitwood <i>et al.</i> | (MULAN Collab.) |
| BENNETT | 06 | PR D73 072003 | G.W. Bennett <i>et al.</i> | (MUG-2 Collab.) |
| BERTL | 06 | EPJ C47 337 | W. Bertl <i>et al.</i> | (SINDRUM II Collab.) |
| JAMIESON | 06 | PR D74 072007 | B. Jamieson <i>et al.</i> | (TWIST Collab.) |
| DANNEBERG | 05 | PRL 94 021802 | N. Danneberg <i>et al.</i> | (ETH, JAGL, PSI+) |
| GAPONENKO | 05 | PR D71 071101R | A. Gaponenko <i>et al.</i> | (TWIST Collab.) |
| MOHR | 05 | RMP 77 1 | P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor | (NIST) |
| MUSSER | 05 | PRL 94 101805 | J.R. Musser <i>et al.</i> | (TWIST Collab.) |
| AMORUSO | 04 | EPJ C33 233 | S. Amoruso <i>et al.</i> | (ICARUS Collab.) |
| BENNETT | 04 | PRL 92 161802 | G.W. Bennett <i>et al.</i> | (Muon(g-2) Collab.) |
| AHMED | 02 | PR D65 112002 | M. Ahmed <i>et al.</i> | (MEGA Collab.) |
| BENNETT | 02 | PRL 89 101804 | G.W. Bennett <i>et al.</i> | (Muon(g-2) Collab.) |
| BROWN | 01 | PRL 86 2227 | H.N. Brown <i>et al.</i> | (Muon(g-2) Collab.) |
| BROWN | 00 | PR D62 091101R | H.N. Brown <i>et al.</i> | (BNL/G-2 Collab.) |
| MEYER | 00 | PRL 84 1136 | V. Meyer <i>et al.</i> | |
| BROOKS | 99 | PRL 83 1521 | M.L. Brooks <i>et al.</i> | (MEGA/LAMPF Collab.) |
| HUGHES | 99 | RMP 71 S133 | V.W. Hughes, T. Kinoshita | |
| LIU | 99 | PRL 82 711 | W. Liu <i>et al.</i> | (LAMPF Collab.) |
| MOHR | 99 | JPCRD 28 1713 | P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor | (NIST) |
| Also | | RMP 72 351 | P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor | (NIST) |
| WILLMANN | 99 | PRL 82 49 | L. Willmann <i>et al.</i> | (NIST) |
| FELDMAN | 98 | PR D57 3873 | G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins | |
| KAULARD | 98 | PL B422 334 | J. Kaulard <i>et al.</i> | (SINDRUM-II Collab.) |
| GORDEEV | 97 | PAN 60 1164 | V.A. Gordeev <i>et al.</i> | (PNPI) |

Translated from YAF 60 1291.

| | | | | |
|--------------|-----|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| ABELA | 96 | PRL 77 1950 | R. Abela <i>et al.</i> | (PSI, ZURI, HEIDH, TBIL+) |
| HONECKER | 96 | PRL 76 200 | W. Honecker <i>et al.</i> | (SINDRUM II Collab.) |
| DOHMHEN | 93 | PL B317 631 | C. Dohmen <i>et al.</i> | (PSI SINDRUM-II Collab.) |
| FREEDMAN | 93 | PR D47 811 | S.J. Freedman <i>et al.</i> | (LAMPF E645 Collab.) |
| NI | 93 | PR D48 1976 | B. Ni <i>et al.</i> | (LAMPF Crystal-Box Collab.) |
| IMAZATO | 92 | PRL 69 877 | J. Imazato <i>et al.</i> | (KEK, INUS, TOKY+) |
| BARANOV | 91 | SJNP 53 802 | V.A. Baranov <i>et al.</i> | (JINR) |
| | | Translated from YAF 53 | 1302. | |
| KRAKAUER | 91B | PL B263 534 | D.A. Krakauer <i>et al.</i> | (UMD, UCI, LANL) |
| MATTHIAS | 91 | PRL 66 2716 | B.E. Matthias <i>et al.</i> | (YALE, HEIDP, WILL+) |
| Also | | PRL 67 932 (erratum) | B.E. Matthias <i>et al.</i> | (YALE, HEIDP, WILL+) |
| HUBER | 90B | PR D41 2709 | T.M. Huber <i>et al.</i> | (WYOM, VICT, ARIZ+) |
| AHMAD | 88 | PR D38 2102 | S. Ahmad <i>et al.</i> | (TRIU, VICT, VPI, BRCO+) |
| Also | | PRL 59 970 | S. Ahmad <i>et al.</i> | (TRIU, VPI, VICT, BRCO+) |
| BALKE | 88 | PR D37 587 | B. Balke <i>et al.</i> | (LBL, UCB, COLO, NWES+) |
| BELLGARDT | 88 | NP B299 1 | U. Bellgardt <i>et al.</i> | (SINDRUM Collab.) |
| BOLTON | 88 | PR D38 2077 | R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i> | (LANL, STAN, CHIC+) |
| Also | | PRL 56 2461 | R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i> | (LANL, STAN, CHIC+) |
| Also | | PRL 57 3241 | D. Grosnick <i>et al.</i> | (CHIC, LANL, STAN+) |
| BELTRAMI | 87 | PL B194 326 | I. Beltrami <i>et al.</i> | (ETH, SIN, MANZ) |
| COHEN | 87 | RMP 59 1121 | E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor | (RISC, NBS) |
| BEER | 86 | PRL 57 671 | G.A. Beer <i>et al.</i> | (VICT, TRIU, WYOM) |
| JODIDIO | 86 | PR D34 1967 | A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i> | (LBL, NWES, TRIU) |
| Also | | PR D37 237 (erratum) | A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i> | (LBL, NWES, TRIU) |
| BERTL | 85 | NP B260 1 | W. Bertl <i>et al.</i> | (SINDRUM Collab.) |
| BRYMAN | 85 | PRL 55 465 | D.A. Bryman <i>et al.</i> | (TRIU, CNRC, BRCO+) |
| BURKARD | 85 | PL 150B 242 | H. Burkhardt <i>et al.</i> | (ETH, SIN, MANZ) |
| BURKARD | 85B | PL 160B 343 | H. Burkhardt <i>et al.</i> | (ETH, SIN, MANZ) |
| Also | | PR D24 2004 | F. Corriveau <i>et al.</i> | (ETH, SIN, MANZ) |
| Also | | PL 129B 260 | F. Corriveau <i>et al.</i> | (ETH, SIN, MANZ) |
| STOKER | 85 | PRL 54 1887 | D.P. Stoker <i>et al.</i> | (LBL, NWES, TRIU) |
| BARDIN | 84 | PL 137B 135 | G. Bardin <i>et al.</i> | (SACL, CERN, BGNA, FIRZ) |
| BERTL | 84 | PL 140B 299 | W. Bertl <i>et al.</i> | (SINDRUM Collab.) |
| BOLTON | 84 | PRL 53 1415 | R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i> | (LANL, CHIC, STAN+) |
| EICHENBER... | 84 | NP A412 523 | W. Eichenberger, R. Engfer, A. van der Schaff | |
| GIOVANETTI | 84 | PR D29 343 | K.L. Giovanetti <i>et al.</i> | (WILL) |
| AZUELOS | 83 | PRL 51 164 | G. Azuelos <i>et al.</i> | (MONT, TRIU, BRCO) |
| Also | | PRL 39 1113 | P. Depommier <i>et al.</i> | (MONT, BRCO, TRIU+) |
| BERGSMA | 83 | PL 122B 465 | F. Bergsma <i>et al.</i> | (CHARM Collab.) |
| CARR | 83 | PRL 51 627 | J. Carr <i>et al.</i> | (LBL, NWES, TRIU) |
| KINNISON | 82 | PR D25 2846 | W.W. Kinnison <i>et al.</i> | (EFI, STAN, LANL) |
| Also | | PRL 42 556 | J.D. Bowman <i>et al.</i> | (LASL, EFI, STAN) |
| KLEMPPT | 82 | PR D25 652 | E. Klempert <i>et al.</i> | (MANZ, ETH) |
| MARIAM | 82 | PRL 49 993 | F.G. Mariam <i>et al.</i> | (YALE, HEIDH, BERN) |
| MARSHALL | 82 | PR D25 1174 | G.M. Marshall <i>et al.</i> | (BRCO) |
| NEMETHY | 81 | CNPP 10 147 | P. Nemethy, V.W. Hughes | (LBL, YALE) |
| ABELA | 80 | PL 95B 318 | R. Abela <i>et al.</i> | (BASL, KARLK, KARLE) |
| BADERT... | 80 | LNC 28 401 | A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i> | (BERN) |
| Also | | NP A377 406 | A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i> | (BERN) |
| JONKER | 80 | PL 93B 203 | M. Jonker <i>et al.</i> | (CHARM Collab.) |
| SCHAAF | 80 | NP A340 249 | A. van der Schaaf <i>et al.</i> | (ZURI, ETH+) |
| Also | | PL 72B 183 | H.P. Povel <i>et al.</i> | (ZURI, ETH, SIN) |
| WILLIS | 80 | PRL 44 522 | S.E. Willis <i>et al.</i> | (YALE, LBL, LASL+) |
| Also | | PRL 45 1370 | S.E. Willis <i>et al.</i> | (YALE, LBL, LASL+) |
| BAILEY | 79 | NP B150 1 | J.M. Bailey | (CERN, DARE, MANZ) |
| BADERT... | 78 | PL 79B 371 | A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i> | (BERN) |
| BAILEY | 78 | JPG 4 345 | J.M. Bailey | (DARE, BERN, SHEF, MANZ, RMCS+) |
| Also | | NP B150 1 | J.M. Bailey | (CERN, DARE, MANZ) |
| BLIETSCHAU | 78 | NP B133 205 | J. Blietschau <i>et al.</i> | (Gargamelle Collab.) |
| BOWMAN | 78 | PRL 41 442 | J.D. Bowman <i>et al.</i> | (LASL, IAS, CMU+) |
| CAMANI | 78 | PL 77B 326 | M. Camani <i>et al.</i> | (ETH, MANZ) |
| BADERT... | 77 | PRL 39 1385 | A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i> | (BERN) |
| CASPERSON | 77 | PRL 38 956 | D.E. Casperson <i>et al.</i> | (BERN, HEIDH, LASL+) |
| DEPOMMIER | 77 | PRL 39 1113 | P. Depommier <i>et al.</i> | (MONT, BRCO, TRIU+) |
| BALANDIN | 74 | JETP 40 811 | M.P. Balandin <i>et al.</i> | (JINR) |
| | | Translated from ZETF 67 | 1631. | |
| COHEN | 73 | JPCRD 2 664 | E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor | (RISC, NBS) |
| DUCLOS | 73 | PL 47B 491 | J. Duclos, A. Magnon, J. Picard | (SACL) |
| EICHTEN | 73 | PL 46B 281 | T. Eichten <i>et al.</i> | (Gargamelle Collab.) |
| BRYMAN | 72 | PRL 28 1469 | D.A. Bryman <i>et al.</i> | (VPI) |
| CROWE | 72 | PR D5 2145 | K.M. Crowe <i>et al.</i> | (LBL, WASH) |

| | | | | |
|------------|----|------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| CRANE | 71 | PRL 27 474 | T. Crane <i>et al.</i> | (YALE) |
| DERENZO | 69 | PR 181 1854 | S.E. Derenzo | (EFI) |
| VOSSLER | 69 | NC 63A 423 | C. Vossler | (EFI) |
| AKHMANOV | 68 | SJNP 6 230 | V.V. Akhmanov <i>et al.</i> | (KIAE) |
| | | Translated from YAF 6 316. | | |
| FRYBERGER | 68 | PR 166 1379 | D. Fryberger | (EFI) |
| BOGART | 67 | PR 156 1405 | E. Bogart <i>et al.</i> | (COLU) |
| SCHWARTZ | 67 | PR 162 1306 | D.M. Schwartz | (EFI) |
| SHERWOOD | 67 | PR 156 1475 | B.A. Sherwood | (EFI) |
| PEOPLES | 66 | Nevis 147 unpub. | J. Peoples | (COLU) |
| BLOOM | 64 | PL 8 87 | S. Bloom <i>et al.</i> | (CERN) |
| DUCLOS | 64 | PL 9 62 | J. Duclos <i>et al.</i> | (CERN) |
| GUREVICH | 64 | PL 11 185 | I.I. Gurevich <i>et al.</i> | (KIAE) |
| BUHLER | 63 | PL 7 368 | A. Buhler-Broglin <i>et al.</i> | (CERN) |
| MEYER | 63 | PR 132 2693 | S.L. Meyer <i>et al.</i> | (COLU) |
| CHARPAK | 62 | PL 1 16 | G. Charpak <i>et al.</i> | (CERN) |
| CONFORTO | 62 | NC 26 261 | G. Conforto <i>et al.</i> | (INFN, ROMA, CERN) |
| ALI-ZADE | 61 | JETP 13 313 | S.A. Ali-Zade, I.I. Gurevich, B.A. Nikolsky | |
| | | Translated from ZETF 40 452. | | |
| CRITTENDEN | 61 | PR 121 1823 | R.R. Crittenden, W.D. Walker, J. Ballam | (WISC+) |
| KRUGER | 61 | UCRL 9322 unpub. | H. Kruger | (LRL) |
| GUREVICH | 60 | JETP 10 225 | I.I. Gurevich, B.A. Nikolsky, L.V. Surkova | (ITEP) |
| | | Translated from ZETF 37 318. | | |
| PLANO | 60 | PR 119 1400 | R.J. Plano | (COLU) |
| ASHKIN | 59 | NC 14 1266 | J. Ashkin <i>et al.</i> | (CERN) |
| BARDON | 59 | PRL 2 56 | M. Bardon, D. Berley, L.M. Lederman | (COLU) |
| LEE | 59 | PRL 3 55 | J. Lee, N.P. Samios | (COLU) |