

$\Upsilon(4S)$
or $\Upsilon(10580)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

$\Upsilon(4S)$ MASS

VALUE (GeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.5794 ± 0.0012 OUR AVERAGE			
10.5793 ± 0.0004 ± 0.0012	AUBERT	05Q BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
10.5800 ± 0.0035	¹ BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
10.5774 ± 0.0010	² LOVELOCK	85 CUSB	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
¹ Reanalysis of BESSON 85. ² No systematic error given.			

$\Upsilon(4S)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
20.5 ± 2.5 OUR AVERAGE			
20.7 ± 1.6 ± 2.5	AUBERT	05Q BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
20 ± 2 ± 4	BESSON	85 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
25 ± 2.5	LOVELOCK	85 CUSB	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Upsilon(4S)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 B\bar{B}$	> 96 %	95%
$\Gamma_2 B^+ B^-$	(51.6 ± 0.6) %	
$\Gamma_3 D_s^+$ anything + c.c.	(17.8 ± 2.6) %	
$\Gamma_4 B^0\bar{B}^0$	(48.4 ± 0.6) %	
$\Gamma_5 J/\psi K_S^0 (J/\psi, \eta_c) K_S^0$	< 4 × 10 ⁻⁷	90%
Γ_6 non- $B\bar{B}$	< 4 %	95%
$\Gamma_7 e^+ e^-$	(1.57 ± 0.08) × 10 ⁻⁵	
$\Gamma_8 \rho^+ \rho^-$	< 5.7 × 10 ⁻⁶	90%
$\Gamma_9 J/\psi(1S)$ anything	< 1.9 × 10 ⁻⁴	95%
$\Gamma_{10} D^{*+}$ anything + c.c.	< 7.4 %	90%
$\Gamma_{11} \phi$ anything	(7.1 ± 0.6) %	
$\Gamma_{12} \phi\eta$	< 1.8 × 10 ⁻⁶	90%
$\Gamma_{13} \phi\eta'$	< 4.3 × 10 ⁻⁶	90%
$\Gamma_{14} \rho\eta$	< 1.3 × 10 ⁻⁶	90%
$\Gamma_{15} \rho\eta'$	< 2.5 × 10 ⁻⁶	90%

Γ_{16}	$\gamma(1S)$ anything	$< 4 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{17}	$\gamma(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	$(8.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{18}	$\gamma(1S)\eta$	$(1.96 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{19}	$\gamma(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	$(8.6 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{20}	\bar{d} anything	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90%


$\gamma(4S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$

Γ_7

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.272 ± 0.029 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.		
0.321 ± 0.017 ± 0.029	AUBERT 05Q	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.28 ± 0.05 ± 0.01	³ ALBRECHT 95E	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.192 ± 0.007 ± 0.038	BESSON 85	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.283 ± 0.037	LOVELOCK 85	CUSB	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

³ Using LEYAOUANC 77 parametrization of $\Gamma(s)$.



$\gamma(4S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$B\bar{B}$ DECAYS

The ratio of branching fraction to charged and neutral B mesons is often derived assuming isospin invariance in the decays, and relies on the knowledge of the B^+/B^0 lifetime ratio. "OUR EVALUATION" is obtained based on averages of rescaled data listed below. The average and

rescaling were performed by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFAG) and are described at <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag/>. The averaging/rescaling procedure takes into account the common dependence of the measurement on the value of the lifetime ratio.

$\Gamma(B^+ B^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
0.516±0.006 OUR EVALUATION	Assuming $B(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}) = 1$

Γ_2/Γ

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.178±0.021±0.016	⁴ ARTUSO	05B	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_x X$

⁴ ARTUSO 05B reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow D_s^+ \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+)] = (8.0 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (4.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(B^0 \bar{B}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.484±0.006 OUR EVALUATION	Assuming $B(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}) = 1$		

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.487 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.008$	⁵ AUBERT,B	05H	BABR	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \bar{B}B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu_\ell$
-----------------------------	-----------------------	-----	------	---

⁵ Direct measurement. This value is averaged with the value extracted from the $\Gamma(B^+ B^-)/\Gamma(B^0 \bar{B}^0)$ measurements.

$\Gamma(B^+ B^-)/\Gamma(B^0 \bar{B}^0)$

Γ_2/Γ_4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.065±0.026 OUR EVALUATION			
$1.006 \pm 0.036 \pm 0.031$	⁶ AUBERT	04F	BABR
$1.01 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.09$	⁶ HASTINGS	03	BELL
$1.058 \pm 0.084 \pm 0.136$	⁷ ATHAR	02	CLEO
$1.10 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.05$	⁸ AUBERT	02	BABR
$1.04 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.04$	⁹ ALEXANDER	01	CLEO

⁶ HASTINGS 03 and AUBERT 04F assume $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B^0) = 1.083 \pm 0.017$.

⁷ ATHAR 02 assumes $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B^0) = 1.074 \pm 0.028$. Supersedes BARISH 95.

⁸ AUBERT 02 assumes $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B^0) = 1.062 \pm 0.029$.

⁹ ALEXANDER 01 assumes $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B^0) = 1.066 \pm 0.024$.

$\Gamma(J/\psi K_S^0 (J/\psi, \eta_c) K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	¹⁰ TAJIMA	07A	BELL

¹⁰ $\Upsilon(4S)$ with $CP = +1$ decays to the final state with $CP = -1$.

non- $B\bar{B}$ DECAYS

$\Gamma(\text{non-}B\bar{B})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.04	95	BARISH	96B	CLEO

$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.57 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE			

$1.55 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.07$	AUBERT	05Q	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
$2.77 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.49$	11 ALBRECHT	95E	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹¹ Using LEYAOUANC 77 parametrization of $\Gamma(s)$.

 $\Gamma(\rho^+ \rho^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>
$<5.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
AUBERT	08BO	BABR

 Γ_7/Γ  $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.9	95	12 ABE	02D	BELL

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<4.7	90	12 AUBERT	01C	BABR
				$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- X$

¹² Uses $B(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.0593 \pm 0.0010$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 0.0588 \pm 0.0010$.

 $\Gamma(D^{*+} \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.074	90	13 ALEXANDER	90C	CLEO

¹³ For $x > 0.473$.

 $\Gamma(\phi \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$7.1 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.6$		HUANG	07	CLEO

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.23	90	14 ALEXANDER	90C	CLEO
				$e^+ e^-$

¹⁴ For $x > 0.52$.

 $\Gamma(\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.8	90	15 BELOUS	09	BELL

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<2.5	90	AUBERT,BE	06F	BABR
				$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta$

¹⁵ Using all intermediate branching fraction values from PDG 08.

 $\Gamma(\phi\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<4.3	90	16 BELOUS	09	BELL

¹⁶ Using all intermediate branching fraction values from PDG 08.

 $\Gamma(\rho\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.3	90	17 BELOUS	09	BELL

¹⁷ Using all intermediate branching fraction values from PDG 08.

$\Gamma(\rho\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-6})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_{15}/Γ
<2.5	90	18 BELOUS	09 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho\eta'$	

18 Using all intermediate branching fraction values from PDG 08.

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S) \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_{16}/Γ
<0.004	90	ALEXANDER	90C CLEO	$e^+ e^-$	

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-5})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_{17}/Γ
---------------------------------	------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------	----------------------

8.1 ±0.6 OUR AVERAGE

$8.5 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.2$	113 ± 16	¹⁹ SOKOLOV 09	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$		Γ_{17}/Γ
$8.00 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.27$	430	²⁰ AUBERT 08BP BABR	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$			

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$17.8 \pm 4.0 \pm 0.3$	$21,22$	SOKOLOV 07	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$		Γ_{17}/Γ
$9.0 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.2$	167 ± 19	²³ AUBERT 06R BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$			
<12	90	GLENN	99 CLE2	$e^+ e^-$		

¹⁹ SOKOLOV 09 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)] = (0.211 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.014) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

²⁰ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

²¹ SOKOLOV 07 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)] = (4.42 \pm 0.81 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

²² According to the authors, systematic errors were underestimated.

²³ Superseded by AUBERT 08BP. AUBERT 06R reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)] = (2.23 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_{18}/Γ
1.96±0.06±0.09	56	²⁴ AUBERT 08BP BABR	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$		

²⁴ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{18}/Γ_{17}

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_{18}/Γ_{17}
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------	---------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.41 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.12$	56	²⁵ AUBERT 08BP BABR	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- (\pi^0) \ell^+ \ell^-$		
--------------------------	----	--------------------------------	--	--	--

²⁵ Not independent of other values reported by AUBERT 08BP.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.86±0.11±0.07	220	26	AUBERT	08BP BABR	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.88±0.17±0.08	97 ± 15	27	AUBERT	06R BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$
<3.9	90		GLENN	99 CLE2	e^+e^-

26 Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (1.91 \pm 0.16)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17)\%$.

27 Superseded by AUBERT 08BP. AUBERT 06R reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)] = (1.69 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{19}/Γ_{17}

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.16±0.16±0.14	220	28	AUBERT	08BP BABR	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
----------------	-----	----	--------	-----------	---

28 Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (1.91 \pm 0.16)\%$, and $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17)\%$.

Not independent of other values reported by AUBERT 08BP.

 $\Gamma(\bar{d} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-5})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.3	90	ASNER	07	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{d}X$

 $\Upsilon(4S)$ REFERENCES

BELOUS	09	PL B681 400	K. Belous <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SOKOLOV	09	PR D79 051103R	A. Sokolov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	08BO	PR D78 071103	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	08BP	PR D78 112002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ASNER	07	PR D75 012009	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
HUANG	07	PR D75 012002	G.S. Huang <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
SOKOLOV	07	PR D75 071103R	A. Sokolov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
TAJIMA	07A	PRL 99 211601	O. Tajima <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	06R	PRL 96 232001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT,BE	06F	PR D74 111103R	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ARTUSO	05B	PRL 95 261801	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	05Q	PR D72 032005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT,B	05H	PRL 95 042001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	04F	PR D69 071101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
HASTINGS	03	PR D67 052004	N.C. Hastings <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE	02D	PRL 88 052001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ATHAR	02	PR D66 052003	S.B. Athar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	02	PR D65 032001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BaBar Collab.)
ALEXANDER	01	PRL 86 2737	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	01C	PRL 87 162002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BaBar Collab.)
GLENN	99	PR D59 052003	S. Glenn <i>et al.</i>	
BARISH	96B	PRL 76 1570	B.C. Barish <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	95E	ZPHY C65 619	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BARISH	95	PR D51 1014	B.C. Barish <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALEXANDER	90C	PRL 64 2226	J. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BEBEK	87	PR D36 1289	C. Bebek <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BESSON	85	PRL 54 381	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LOVELOCK	85	PRL 54 377	D.M.J. Lovelock <i>et al.</i>	(CUSP Collab.)
LEYAOUANC	77	PL B71 397	A. Le Yaouanc <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)