

$a_2(1320)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$ **$a_2(1320)$ MASS**VALUE (MeV)DOCUMENT ID**1318.3±0.6 OUR AVERAGE** Includes data from the 4 datablocks that follow this one.
Error includes scale factor of 1.2. **3π MODE**


<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					

1318.9± 1.4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.

1326 ± 2	± 2	CHUNG	02	B852	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1317 ± 3		BARBERIS	98B		$450 pp \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_s$
1323 ± 4	± 3	ACCIARRI	97T	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1320 ± 7		ALBRECHT	97B	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1311.3 ± 1.6 ± 3.0	72.4k	AMELIN	96	VES	$36 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
1310 ± 5		ARMSTRONG	90	OMEG 0	$300.0 pp \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1323.8 ± 2.3	4022	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^\pm a_2^\mp$
1320.6 ± 3.1	3562	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2 0	$J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^0 a_2^0$
1317 ± 2	25k	¹ DAUM	80C	SPEC	—
1320 ± 10	1097	¹ BALTAY	78B	HBC	+0
1306 ± 8		FERRERSORIA	78	OMEG	—
1318 ± 7	1.6k	¹ EMMS	75	DBC 0	$4 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p(3\pi)^0$
1315 ± 5		¹ ANTIPOV	73C	CNTR	—
					$25.40 \pi^- p \rightarrow p \eta \pi^-$
1306 ± 9	1580	CHALOUPKA	73	HBC	—
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1300 ± 2	± 4	SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE 0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1305 ± 14		CONDО	93	SHF	$\gamma p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1310 ± 2		¹ EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	—
1343 ± 11	490	BALTAY	78B	HBC 0	$15 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta 3\pi$
1309 ± 5	5k	BINNIE	71	MMS	—
1299 ± 6	28k	BOWEN	71	MMS	—
1300 ± 6	24k	BOWEN	71	MMS	+
1309 ± 4	17k	BOWEN	71	MMS	—
1306 ± 4	941	ALSTON-...	70	HBC	+
					$7.0 \pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$

¹ From a fit to $J^P = 2^+$ $\rho\pi$ partial wave.

² From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.



KK MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	-----	---------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

1318.1± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE

1319 ± 5	4700	^{3,4} CLELAND	82B	SPEC	+	50 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ p$
1324 ± 6	5200	^{3,4} CLELAND	82B	SPEC	-	50 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$
1320 ± 2	4000	CHABAUD	80	SPEC	-	17 $\pi^- A \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- A$
1312 ± 4	11000	CHABAUD	78	SPEC	-	9.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
1316 ± 2	4730	CHABAUD	78	SPEC	-	18.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
1318 ± 1		^{3,5} MARTIN	78D	SPEC	-	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$
1320 ± 2	2724	MARGULIE	76	SPEC	-	23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
1313 ± 4	730	FOLEY	72	CNTR	-	20.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
1319 ± 3	1500	⁵ GRAYER	71	ASPK	-	17.2 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1304 ± 10	870	⁶ SCHEGELSKY	06A	RVUE	0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
1330 ± 11	1000	^{3,4} CLELAND	82B	SPEC	+	30 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ p$
1324 ± 5	350	HYAMS	78	ASPK	+	12.7 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K^+ K_S^0 p$

³ From a fit to $J^P = 2^+$ partial wave.

⁴ Number of events evaluated by us.

⁵ Systematic error in mass scale subtracted.

⁶ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.

$\eta\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					

1317.7±1.4 OUR AVERAGE

1308	± 9	BARBERIS	00H	450	$pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^0 p_s$	
1316	± 9	BARBERIS	00H	450	$pp \rightarrow \Delta_f^{++} \eta \pi^- p_s$	
1317	± 1	THOMPSON	97	MPS	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$	
1315	± 5	⁷ AMSLER	94D	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$	
1325.1±5.1		AOYAGI	93	BKEI	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$	
1317.7±1.4±2.0		BELADIDZE	93	VES	$37\pi^- N \rightarrow \eta \pi^- N$	
1323	± 8	1000	⁸ KEY	73	OSPK	$6 \pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi^- \eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1309	± 4	ANISOVICH	09	RVUE	$\bar{p}p, \pi N$	
1324	± 5	ARMSTRONG	93C	E760	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$	
1336.2±1.7	2561	DELFOSSE	81	SPEC	$+$ $\pi^\pm p \rightarrow p \pi^\pm \eta$	
1330.7±2.4	1653	DELFOSSE	81	SPEC	$- \pi^\pm p \rightarrow p \pi^\pm \eta$	
1324	± 8	6200	^{8,9} CONFORTO	73	OSPK	$6 \pi^- p \rightarrow p \text{MM}^-$

⁷ The systematic error of 2 MeV corresponds to the spread of solutions.⁸ Error includes 5 MeV systematic mass-scale error.⁹ Missing mass with enriched MMS = $\eta \pi^-$, $\eta = 2\gamma$. **$\eta'\pi$ MODE**

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				

1322 ± 7 OUR AVERAGE

1318	± 8	⁺³ ₋₅	IVANOV	01	B852	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- p$
1327.0	± 10.7		BELADIDZE	93	VES	$37\pi^- N \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- N$

 $a_2(1320)$ WIDTH **3π MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
104.7± 1.9 OUR AVERAGE						
108	± 3	± 15	CHUNG	02	B852	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
120	± 10		BARBERIS	98B		$450 pp \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_s$
105	± 10	± 11	ACCIARRI	97T	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
120	± 10		ALBRECHT	97B	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
103.0± 6.0± 3.3	72.4k		AMELIN	96	VES	$36 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
120	± 10		ARMSTRONG	90	OMEG 0	$300.0 pp \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
107.0± 9.7	4022		AUGUSTIN	89	DM2 ±	$J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^\pm a_2^\mp$
118.5±12.5	3562		AUGUSTIN	89	DM2 0	$J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^0 a_2^0$
97	± 5		¹⁰ EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG -	$12 \pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$

96	± 9	25k	¹⁰ DAUM	80C	SPEC	—	$63.94 \pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$	
110	± 15	1097	¹⁰ BALTAY	78B	HBC	+0	$15 \pi^+ p \rightarrow p4\pi$	
112	± 18	1.6k	¹⁰ EMMS	75	DBC	0	$4 \pi^+ n \rightarrow p(3\pi)^0$	
122	± 14	1.2k	^{10,11} WAGNER	75	HBC	0	$7 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++}(3\pi)^0$	
115	± 15		¹⁰ ANTIPOV	73C	CNTR	—	$25.40 \pi^- p \rightarrow p\eta\pi^-$	
99	± 15	1580	CHALOUPKA	73	HBC	—	$3.9 \pi^- p$	
105	± 5	28k	BOWEN	71	MMS	—	$5 \pi^- p$	
99	± 5	24k	BOWEN	71	MMS	+	$5 \pi^+ p$	
103	± 5	17k	BOWEN	71	MMS	—	$7 \pi^- p$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •								
117	± 6	± 20	18k	¹² SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE	0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
120	± 40		CONDO	93	SHF		$\gamma p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	
115	± 14	490	BALTAY	78B	HBC	0	$15 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta 3\pi$	
72	± 16	5k	BINNIE	71	MMS	—	$\pi^- p$ near a_2 threshold	
79	± 12	941	ALSTON-...	70	HBC	+	$7.0 \pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$	

¹⁰ From a fit to $J^P = 2^+$ $\rho\pi$ partial wave.¹¹ Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.¹² From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

$K\bar{K}$ AND $\eta\pi$ MODES

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
--------------------	--------------------

107 ± 5 OUR ESTIMATE**110.4 ± 1.7 OUR AVERAGE** Includes data from the 2 datablocks that follow this one.

$K\bar{K}$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

109.8 ± 2.4 OUR AVERAGE

112	± 20	4700	^{13,14} CLELAND	82B	SPEC	+	$50 \pi^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ p$
120	± 25	5200	^{13,14} CLELAND	82B	SPEC	—	$50 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$
106	± 4	4000	CHABAUD	80	SPEC	—	$17 \pi^- A \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- A$
126	± 11	11000	CHABAUD	78	SPEC	—	$9.8 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
101	± 8	4730	CHABAUD	78	SPEC	—	$18.8 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
113	± 4		^{13,15} MARTIN	78D	SPEC	—	$10 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$
105	± 8	2724	¹⁵ MARGULIE	76	SPEC	—	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
113	± 19	730	FOLEY	72	CNTR	—	$20.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
123	± 13	1500	¹⁵ GRAYER	71	ASPK	—	$17.2 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

120	± 15	870	¹⁶ SCHEGELSKY	06A	RVUE	0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
121	± 51	1000	^{13,14} CLELAND	82B	SPEC	+	$30 \pi^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ p$
110	± 18	350	HYAMS	78	ASPK	+	$12.7 \pi^+ p \rightarrow K^+ K_S^0 p$

¹³ From a fit to $J^P = 2^+$ partial wave.¹⁴ Number of events evaluated by us.¹⁵ Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.¹⁶ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.

$\eta\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					

111.1± 2.4 OUR AVERAGE

115 ± 20	BARBERIS	00H	450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^0 p_s$
112 ± 14	BARBERIS	00H	450 $p p \rightarrow \Delta_f^{++} \eta \pi^- p_s$
112 ± 3 ± 2	¹⁷ AMSLER	94D CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
103 ± 6 ± 3	BELADIDZE	93 VES	$37\pi^- N \rightarrow \eta \pi^- N$
112.2 ± 5.7	2561	DELFOSSE	$\pi^\pm p \rightarrow p \pi^\pm \eta$
116.6 ± 7.7	1653	DELFOSSE	$\pi^\pm p \rightarrow p \pi^\pm \eta$
108 ± 9	1000	KEY	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi^- \eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

110 ± 4	ANISOVICH	09 RVUE	$\bar{p} p, \pi N$
127 ± 2 ± 2	¹⁸ THOMPSON	97 MPS	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
118 ± 10	ARMSTRONG	93C E760 0	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
104 ± 9	6200	¹⁹ CONFORTO 73 OSPK	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p MMS^-$

¹⁷ The systematic error of 2 MeV corresponds to the spread of solutions.

¹⁸ Resolution is not unfolded.

¹⁹ Missing mass with enriched MMS = $\eta \pi^-$, $\eta = 2\gamma$.

 $\eta'\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
119±25 OUR AVERAGE			
140±35±20	IVANOV	01 B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- p$
106±32	BELADIDZE	93 VES	$37\pi^- N \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- N$

 $a_2(1320)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1 3π	(70.1 ± 2.7) %	S=1.2
Γ_2 $\rho(770)\pi$		
Γ_3 $f_2(1270)\pi$		
Γ_4 $\rho(1450)\pi$		
Γ_5 $\eta\pi$	(14.5 ± 1.2) %	
Γ_6 $\omega\pi\pi$	(10.6 ± 3.2) %	S=1.3
Γ_7 $K\bar{K}$	(4.9 ± 0.8) %	
Γ_8 $\eta'(958)\pi$	(5.3 ± 0.9) × 10 ⁻³	
Γ_9 $\pi^\pm\gamma$	(2.68 ± 0.31) × 10 ⁻³	
Γ_{10} $\gamma\gamma$	(9.4 ± 0.7) × 10 ⁻⁶	
Γ_{11} $e^+ e^-$	< 5 × 10 ⁻⁹	CL=90%

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 5 branching ratios uses 18 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 9.3$ for 15 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_5	10				
x_6	-89	-46			
x_7	-1	-2	-24		
	x_1	x_5	x_6		

$a_2(1320)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\eta\pi)$

Γ_5

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
18.5 \pm 3.0	870	²⁰ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
20	From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $\Gamma(a_2(1320) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 0.91$ keV and SU(3) relations.				

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

Γ_7

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
7.0 $^{+2.0}_{-1.5}$	870	²¹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
21	From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $\Gamma(a_2(1320) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 0.91$ keV and SU(3) relations.				

$\Gamma(\pi^\pm\gamma)$

Γ_9

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
287 \pm 30 OUR AVERAGE					
284 \pm 25	25 \pm 25	7100	MOLCHANOV 01	SELX	$600 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$
295 \pm 60		CIHANGIR 82	SPEC	+	200 $\pi^+ A$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
461 \pm 110		²² MAY	77	SPEC	\pm 9.7 γA

22 Assuming one-pion exchange.

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_{10}
1.00±0.06 OUR AVERAGE						
0.98±0.05±0.09		ACCIARRI	97T	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
0.96±0.03±0.13		ALBRECHT	97B	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
1.26±0.26±0.18	36	BARU	90	MD1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
1.00±0.07±0.15	415	BEHREND	90C	CELL 0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
1.03±0.13±0.21		BUTLER	90	MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
1.01±0.14±0.22	85	OEST	90	JADE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \eta$	
0.90±0.27±0.15	56	23 ALTHOFF	86	TASS 0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 3\pi$	
1.14±0.20±0.26		24 ANTREASYAN	86	CBAL 0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \eta$	
1.06±0.18±0.19		BERGER	84C	PLUT 0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 3\pi$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
0.81±0.19 ^{+0.42} _{-0.11}	35	23 BEHREND	83B	CELL 0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 3\pi$	
0.77±0.18±0.27	22	24 EDWARDS	82F	CBAL 0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \eta$	

²³ From $\rho\pi$ decay mode.
²⁴ From $\eta\pi^0$ decay mode.

 $\Gamma(e^+ e^-)$

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_{11}
< 0.56	90	ACHASOV	00K	SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<25	90	VOROBYEV	88	ND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta$

$$a_2(1320) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$$

 $\Gamma(3\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.65±0.02±0.02	18k	25 SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

²⁵ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

 $\Gamma(\eta\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_5 \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.145 ^{+0.097} _{-0.034}	26 UEHARA	09A BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta\pi^0$	

²⁶ From the D_2 -wave. The fraction of the D_0 -wave is $3.4^{+2.3}_{-1.1}\%$. |


$\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_7\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
0.126±0.007±0.028	27 ALBRECHT 90G ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K^+K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.081±0.006±0.027	28 ALBRECHT 90G ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K^+K^-$
27 Using an incoherent background.	
28 Using a coherent background.	

 $a_2(1320)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$[\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi) + \Gamma(\rho(1450)\pi)]/\Gamma(\rho(770)\pi)$	$(\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4)/\Gamma_2$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>
<0.12	90
ABRAMOVI...	70B HBC
	—
	3.93 $\pi^- p$
$\Gamma(\eta\pi)/\Gamma(3\pi)$	Γ_5/Γ_1
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>
0.207±0.018 OUR FIT	
0.213±0.020 OUR AVERAGE	
0.18 ± 0.05	FORINO 76
0.22 ± 0.05	ANTIPOV 52
0.211±0.044	CHALOUPKA 149
0.246±0.042	ALSTON-... 167
0.25 ± 0.09	BOECKMANN 15
0.23 ± 0.08	ASCOLI 22
0.12 ± 0.08	CHUNG 68
0.22 ± 0.09	CONTE 67
	HBC
	—
	11 $\pi^- p$
	40 $\pi^- p$
	3.9 $\pi^- p$
	7.0 $\pi^+ p$
	5.0 $\pi^+ p$
	5 $\pi^- p$
	3.2 $\pi^- p$
	11.0 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(3\pi)$	Γ_6/Γ_1
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>
0.15±0.05 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.
0.15±0.05 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.
0.28±0.09	60 DIAZ 74 DBC 0 6 $\pi^+ n$
0.18±0.08	29 KARSHON 74 HBC Avg. of above two
0.10±0.05	279 CHALOUPKA 73 HBC — 3.9 $\pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.29±0.08	140 29 KARSHON 74 HBC 0 4.9 $\pi^+ p$
0.10±0.04	60 29 KARSHON 74 HBC + 4.9 $\pi^+ p$
0.19±0.08	DEFOIX 73 HBC 0 0.7 $\bar{p}p$

²⁹ KARSHON 74 suggest an additional $I = 0$ state strongly coupled to $\omega\pi\pi$ which could explain discrepancies in branching ratios and masses. We use a central value and a systematic spread.



$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(3\pi)$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	Γ_7/Γ_1
0.070±0.012 OUR FIT						
0.078±0.017		CHABAUD	78	RVUE		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
0.011±0.003	30	BERTIN	98B	OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\pm K_s \pi^\mp$	
0.056±0.014	50	31 CHALOUPKA	73	HBC	—	3.9 $\pi^- p$
0.097±0.018	113	31 ALSTON-...	71	HBC	+	7.0 $\pi^+ p$
0.06 ± 0.03	31	ABRAMOVI...	70B	HBC	—	3.93 $\pi^- p$
0.054±0.022	31	CHUNG	68	HBC	—	3.2 $\pi^- p$

30 Using 4π data from BERTIN 97D.

31 Included in CHABAUD 78 review.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\eta\pi)$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_7/Γ_5
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.08±0.02	32	BERTIN	98B	OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\pm K_s \pi^\mp$

32 Using $\eta\pi\pi$ data from AMSLER 94D.

$\Gamma(\eta\pi)/[\Gamma(3\pi) + \Gamma(\eta\pi) + \Gamma(K\bar{K})]$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	$\Gamma_5/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_5+\Gamma_7)$
0.162±0.012 OUR FIT						
0.140±0.028 OUR AVERAGE						
0.13 ± 0.04		ESPIGAT	72	HBC	±	0.0 $\bar{p}p$
0.15 ± 0.04	34	BARNHAM	71	HBC	+	3.7 $\pi^+ p$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\left[\Gamma(3\pi) + \Gamma(\eta\pi) + \Gamma(K\bar{K})\right]$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

0.054±0.009 OUR FIT**0.048±0.012 OUR AVERAGE**

0.05 ± 0.02		TOET	73	HBC	+	5 $\pi^+ p$
0.09 ± 0.04		TOET	73	HBC	0	5 $\pi^+ p$
0.03 ± 0.02	8	DAMERI	72	HBC	-	11 $\pi^- p$
0.06 ± 0.03	17	BARNHAM	71	HBC	+	3.7 $\pi^+ p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.020±0.004 ³³ESPIGAT 72 HBC ± 0.0 $\bar{p}p$ 33 Not averaged because of discrepancy between masses from $K\bar{K}$ and $\rho\pi$ modes.
 $\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_8/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.006	95	ALDE	92B	GAM2	38,100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^0 n$
<0.02	97	BARNHAM	71	HBC	+

0.004±0.004 BOESEBECK 68 HBC + 8 $\pi^+ p$
 $\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi)/\Gamma(3\pi)$
 Γ_8/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.011	90	EISENSTEIN	73	HBC	- 5 $\pi^- p$
<0.04		ALSTON...	71	HBC	+
0.04 ^{+0.03} _{-0.04}		BOECKMANN	70	HBC	0 5.0 $\pi^+ p$

 $\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi)$
 Γ_8/Γ_5

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

0.037±0.006 OUR AVERAGE

0.032±0.009	ABELE	97C	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta'$
0.047±0.010±0.004	34 BELADIDZE	93	VES	37 $\pi^- N \rightarrow a_2^- N$
0.034±0.008±0.005	BELADIDZE	92	VES	36 $\pi^- C \rightarrow a_2^- C$

34 Using $B(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) = 0.441$, $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 0.389$ and $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = 0.236$.
 $\Gamma(\pi^\pm\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_9/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.005 ^{+0.005}
 _{-0.003} ³⁵EISENBERG 72 HBC 4.3,5.25,7.5 γp

35 Pion-exchange model used in this estimation.

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_{11}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-9})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6 90 ACHASOV 00K SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$

$a_2(1320)$ REFERENCES

ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
UEHARA	09A	PR D80 032001	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SCHEGELSKY	06	EPJ A27 199	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
SCHEGELSKY	06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
CHUNG	02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
IVANOV	01	PRL 86 3977	E.I. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
MOLCHANOV	01	PL B521 171	V.V. Molchanov <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL SELEX Collab.)
ACHASOV	00K	PL B492 8	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
BARBERIS	00H	PL B488 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	98B	PL B422 399	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BERTIN	98B	PL B434 180	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	97C	PL B404 179	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97T	PL B413 147	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	97B	ZPHY C74 469	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
THOMPSON	97	PRL 79 1630	D.R. Thompson <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
AMELIN	96	ZPHY C70 71	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AOYAGI	93	PL B314 246	H. Aoyagi <i>et al.</i>	(BKEI Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
BELADIDZE	93	PL B313 276	G.M. Beladidze <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
CONDO	93	PR D48 3045	G.T. Condo <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC Hybrid Collab.)
ALDE	92B	ZPHY C54 549	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)
BELADIDZE	92	ZPHY C54 235	G.M. Beladidze <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90G	ZPHY C48 183	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	90	ZPHY C48 213	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun, W. Beusch	(WA76 Coll.)
BARU	90	ZPHY C48 581	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(MD-1 Collab.)
BEHREND	90C	ZPHY C46 583	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
BUTLER	90	PR D42 1368	F. Butler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
OEST	90	ZPHY C47 343	T. Oest <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
AUGUSTIN	89	NP B320 1	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)
VOROBIEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
Translated from YAF 48 436.				
ALTHOFF	86	ZPHY C31 537	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
ANTREASYAN	86	PR D33 1847	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BERGER	84C	PL 149B 427	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)
BEHREND	83B	PL 125B 518 (erratum)	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
CIHANGIR	82	PL 117B 123	S. Cihangir <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, MINN, ROCH)
CLELAND	82B	NP B208 228	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
EDWARDS	82F	PL 110B 82	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)
DELFOSSÉ	81	NP B183 349	A. Delfosse <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, LAUS)
EVANGELIS...	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
CHABAUD	80	NP B175 189	V. Chabaud <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM, AMST)
DAUM	80C	PL 89B 276	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+) JP
BALTAY	78B	PR D17 62	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BING)
CHABAUD	78	NP B145 349	V. Chabaud <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
FERRERSORIA	78	PL 74B 287	A. Ferrer Soria <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY, CERN, CDEF+) JP
HYAMS	78	NP B146 303	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM, ATEN)
MARTIN	78D	PL 74B 417	A.D. Martin <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA) JP
MAY	77	PR D16 1983	E.N. May <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, CORN)
FORINO	76	NC 35A 465	A. Forino <i>et al.</i>	(BGNA, FIRZ, GENO, MILA+)
MARGULIE	76	PR D14 667	M. Margulies <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)
EMMS	75	PL 58B 117	M.J. Emms <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, DURH, RHEL) JP
WAGNER	75	PL 58B 201	F. Wagner, M. Tabak, D.M. Chew	(LBL) JP
DIAZ	74	PRL 32 260	J. Diaz <i>et al.</i>	(CASE, CMU)
KARSHON	74	PRL 32 852	U. Karshon <i>et al.</i>	(REHO)
ANTIPOV	73	NP B63 175	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, SERP) JP
ANTIPOV	73C	NP B63 153	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, SERP) JP
CHALOUPKA	73	PL 44B 211	V. Chaloupka <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
CONFORTO	73	PL 45B 154	G. Conforto <i>et al.</i>	(EFI, FNAL, TNTO+)
DEFOIX	73	PL 43B 141	C. Defoix <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF)
EISENSTEIN	73	PR D7 278	L. Eisenstein <i>et al.</i>	(ILL)
KEY	73	PRL 30 503	A.W. Key <i>et al.</i>	(TNTO, EFI, FNAL, WISC)
TOET	73	NP B63 248	D.Z. Toet <i>et al.</i>	(NIJM, BONN, DURH, TORI)
DAMERI	72	NC 9A 1	M. Dameri <i>et al.</i>	(GENO, MILA, SACL)
EISENBERG	72	PR D5 15	Y. Eisenberg <i>et al.</i>	(REHO, SLAC, TELA)
ESPIGAT	72	NP B36 93	P. Espigat <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF)
FOLEY	72	PR D6 747	K.J. Foley <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)
ALSTON-...	71	PL 34B 156	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(RLR)

BARNHAM	71	PRL 26 1494	K.W.J. Barnham <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
BINNIE	71	PL 36B 257	D.M. Binnie <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)
BOWEN	71	PRL 26 1663	D.R. Bowen <i>et al.</i>	(NEAS, STON)
GRAYER	71	PL 34B 333	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
ABRAMOVI...	70B	NP B23 466	M. Abramovich <i>et al.</i>	(CERN) JP
ALSTON-...	70	PL 33B 607	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
BOECKMANN	70	NP B16 221	K. Boeckmann <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, DURH, NIJM+)
ASCOLI	68	PRL 20 1321	G. Ascoli <i>et al.</i>	(ILL) JP
BOESEBECK	68	NP B4 501	K. Boesebeck <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN)
CHUNG	68	PR 165 1491	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
CONTE	67	NC 51A 175	F. Conte <i>et al.</i>	(GENO, HAMB, MILA, SACL)