

$\Delta(1232) P_{33}$  $I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$  Status: \*\*\*\*

Most of the results published before 1975 were last included in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982). Some further obsolete results published before 1984 were last included in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

 **$\Delta(1232)$  BREIT-WIGNER MASSES****MIXED CHARGES**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1231 to 1233 (<math>\approx 1232</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1233.4 $\pm$ 0.4	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1231 $\pm$ 1	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1232 $\pm$ 3	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1233 $\pm$ 2	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1232.9 $\pm$ 1.2	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1228 $\pm$ 1	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel
1234 $\pm$ 5	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
1233	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$

 **$\Delta(1232)^{++}$  MASS**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1230.55 $\pm$ 0.20	GRIDNEV	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1231.88 $\pm$ 0.29	BERNICHIA	96	Fit to PEDRONI 78
1230.5 $\pm$ 0.2	ABAEV	95	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1230.9 $\pm$ 0.3	KOCH	80B	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1231.1 $\pm$ 0.2	PEDRONI	78	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ 70–370 MeV

 **$\Delta(1232)^+$  MASS**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●		
1234.9 $\pm$ 1.4	MIROSHNIC... 79	Fit photoproduction

 **$\Delta(1232)^0$  MASS**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1231.3 $\pm$ 0.6	BREITSCHOP..06	CNTR	Using new CHEX data
1233.40 $\pm$ 0.22	GRIDNEV	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1234.35 $\pm$ 0.75	BERNICHIA	96	Fit to PEDRONI 78
1233.1 $\pm$ 0.3	ABAEV	95	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1233.6 $\pm$ 0.5	KOCH	80B	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1233.8 $\pm$ 0.2	PEDRONI	78	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ 70–370 MeV

### $m_{\Delta^0} - m_{\Delta^{++}}$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
2.86 ± 0.30	GRIDNEV	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
2.25 ± 0.68	BERNICHA	96	Fit to PEDRONI 78
2.6 ± 0.4	ABAEV	95	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
2.7 ± 0.3	<sup>1</sup> PEDRONI	78	See the masses
<sup>1</sup> Using $\pi^\pm d$ as well, PEDRONI 78 determine $(M^- - M^{++}) + (M^0 - M^+)/3 = 4.6 \pm 0.2$ MeV.			

## $\Delta(1232)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTHS

### MIXED CHARGES

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>116 to 120 (<math>\approx 118</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
118.7 ± 0.6	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
118 ± 4	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
120 ± 5	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
116 ± 5	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
118.0 ± 2.2	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
106 ± 1	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel
112 ± 18	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
114	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$

### $\Delta(1232)^{++}$ WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
112.2 ± 0.7	GRIDNEV	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
109.07 ± 0.48	BERNICHA	96	Fit to PEDRONI 78
111.0 ± 1.0	KOCH	80B	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
111.3 ± 0.5	PEDRONI	78	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ 70–370 MeV

### $\Delta(1232)^+$ WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●		
131.1 ± 2.4	MIROSHNIC... 79	Fit photoproduction

### $\Delta(1232)^0$ WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
112.5 ± 1.9	BREITSCHOP..06	CNTR	Using new CHEX data
116.9 ± 0.7	GRIDNEV	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
117.58 ± 1.16	BERNICHA	96	Fit to PEDRONI 78
113.0 ± 1.5	KOCH	80B	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
117.9 ± 0.9	PEDRONI	78	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ 70–370 MeV

## $\Delta^0$ - $\Delta^{++}$ WIDTH DIFFERENCE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
4.66±1.0	GRIDNEV	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
8.45±1.11	BERNICH	96	Fit to PEDRONI 78
5.1 ±1.0	ABAEV	95	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
6.6 ±1.0	PEDRONI	78	See the widths

## $\Delta(1232)$ POLE POSITIONS

### REAL PART, MIXED CHARGES

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1209 to 1211 (<math>\approx</math> 1210) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1211	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1209	<sup>2</sup> HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1210±1	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1210	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1217	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
1211	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1210	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

### -2×IMAGINARY PART, MIXED CHARGES

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>98 to 102 (<math>\approx</math> 100) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
99	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
100	<sup>2</sup> HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
100±2	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
100	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
96	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
100	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
100	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

### REAL PART, $\Delta(1232)^{++}$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●		
1212.50±0.24	BERNICH	96 Fit to PEDRONI 78

### -2×IMAGINARY PART, $\Delta(1232)^{++}$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●		
97.37±0.42	BERNICH	96 Fit to PEDRONI 78

### REAL PART, $\Delta(1232)^+$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1211 ±1 to 1212 ± 1	HANSTEIN	96	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1206.9±0.9 to 1210.5 ± 1.8	MIROSHNIC...	79	Fit photoproduction

### –2×IMAGINARY PART, $\Delta(1232)^+$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
102 ±2 to 99 ± 2	<sup>3</sup> HANSTEIN 96	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
111.2±2.0 to 116.6 ± 2.2	MIROSHNIC... 79		Fit photoproduction

### REAL PART, $\Delta(1232)^0$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
1213.20±0.66	BERNICHA 96	Fit to PEDRONI 78

### –2×IMAGINARY PART, $\Delta(1232)^0$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
104.10±1.01	BERNICHA 96	Fit to PEDRONI 78

<sup>2</sup> See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of  $N$  and  $\Delta$  resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of  $\pi N$  elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

<sup>3</sup> The second (lower) value of HANSTEIN 96 here goes with the second (higher) value of the real part in the preceding data block.

## $\Delta(1232)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUES

### ABSOLUTE VALUE, MIXED CHARGES

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
52	ARNDT 06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
50	HOEHLER 93	ARGD	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
53±2	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
53	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
38	<sup>4</sup> ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
52	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

### PHASE, MIXED CHARGES

<u>VALUE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
–47	ARNDT 06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
–48	HOEHLER 93	ARGD	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
–47±1	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
–47	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
–22	<sup>4</sup> ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
–31	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

<sup>4</sup> This ARNDT 95 value is in error, as pointed out by HOHLER 01. The corrected value is in line with the ARNDT 91 value (R.A. Arndt, private communication).

## $\Delta(1232)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $N\pi$	100 %
$\Gamma_2$ $N\gamma$	0.52–0.60 %
$\Gamma_3$ $N\gamma$ , helicity=1/2	0.11–0.13 %
$\Gamma_4$ $N\gamma$ , helicity=3/2	0.41–0.47 %

## $\Delta(1232)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
<i>VALUE</i>				
<b>1.0 OUR ESTIMATE</b>				
1.00	ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1.0	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \ \& \ N\pi\pi$
1.0	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1.0	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1.000	ARNDT	04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1.00	PENNER	02C	DPWA	Multichannel
1.00 $\pm 0.01$	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
1.0	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$

## $\Delta(1232)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

Papers on  $\gamma N$  amplitudes predating 1981 may be found in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

### $\Delta(1232) \rightarrow N\gamma$ , helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

<i>VALUE (GeV<sup>-1/2</sup>)</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>
<b>−0.135 <math>\pm</math> 0.006 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
−0.139 $\pm$ 0.004	DUGGER	07	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.137 $\pm$ 0.005	AHRENS	04A	DPWA $\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} \rightarrow N\pi$
−0.129 $\pm$ 0.001	ARNDT	02	DPWA $\gamma p \rightarrow N\pi$
−0.1357 $\pm$ 0.0013 $\pm$ 0.0037	BLANPIED	01	LEGS $\gamma p \rightarrow p\gamma, p\pi^0, n\pi^+$
−0.131 $\pm$ 0.001	BECK	00	IPWA $\vec{\gamma}p \rightarrow p\pi^0, n\pi^+$
−0.140 $\pm$ 0.005	KAMALOV	99	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.1294 $\pm$ 0.0013	HANSTEIN	98	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.135 $\pm$ 0.005	ARNDT	97	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.1278 $\pm$ 0.0012	DAVIDSON	97	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.141 $\pm$ 0.005	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.135 $\pm$ 0.016	DAVIDSON	91B	FIT $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.145 $\pm$ 0.015	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.138 $\pm$ 0.004	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-0.140	DRECHSEL	07	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.128	PENNER	02D	DPWA	Multichannel
-0.1312	HANSTEIN	98	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.143 $\pm 0.004$	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.140 $\pm 0.007$	DAVIDSON	90	FIT	See DAVIDSON 91B

### $\Delta(1232) \rightarrow N\gamma$ , helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE (GeV <sup>-1/2</sup> )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>-0.250 <math>\pm 0.008</math> OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.258 $\pm 0.005$	DUGGER	07	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.256 $\pm 0.003$	AHRENS	04A	DPWA $\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} \rightarrow N\pi$
-0.243 $\pm 0.001$	ARNDT	02	DPWA $\gamma p \rightarrow N\pi$
-0.2669 $\pm 0.0016 \pm 0.0078$	BLANPIED	01	LEGS $\gamma p \rightarrow p\gamma, p\pi^0, n\pi^+$
-0.251 $\pm 0.001$	BECK	00	IPWA $\vec{\gamma}p \rightarrow p\pi^0, n\pi^+$
-0.258 $\pm 0.006$	KAMALOV	99	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.2466 $\pm 0.0013$	HANSTEIN	98	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.250 $\pm 0.008$	ARNDT	97	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.2524 $\pm 0.0013$	DAVIDSON	97	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.261 $\pm 0.005$	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.251 $\pm 0.033$	DAVIDSON	91B	FIT $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.263 $\pm 0.026$	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.259 $\pm 0.006$	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-0.265	DRECHSEL	07	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.247	PENNER	02D	DPWA	Multichannel
-0.2522	HANSTEIN	98	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.262 $\pm 0.004$	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.254 $\pm 0.011$	DAVIDSON	90	FIT	See DAVIDSON 91B

### $\Delta(1232) \rightarrow N\gamma$ , $E_2/M_1$ ratio

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>-0.025 <math>\pm 0.005</math> OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.0274 $\pm 0.0003 \pm 0.0030$	AHRENS	04A	DPWA $\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} \rightarrow N\pi$
-0.020 $\pm 0.002$	ARNDT	02	DPWA $\gamma p \rightarrow N\pi$
-0.0307 $\pm 0.0026 \pm 0.0024$	BLANPIED	01	LEGS $\gamma p \rightarrow p\gamma, p\pi^0, n\pi^+$
-0.016 $\pm 0.004 \pm 0.002$	GALLER	01	DPWA $\gamma p \rightarrow \gamma p$
-0.025 $\pm 0.001 \pm 0.002$	BECK	00	IPWA $\vec{\gamma}p \rightarrow p\pi^0, n\pi^+$
-0.0233 $\pm 0.0017$	HANSTEIN	98	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.015 $\pm 0.005$	<sup>5</sup> ARNDT	97	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.0319 $\pm 0.0024$	DAVIDSON	97	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-0.022	DRECHSEL	07	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.026	PENNER	02D	DPWA	Multichannel
-0.0254 $\pm 0.0010$	HANSTEIN	98	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.025 $\pm 0.002 \pm 0.002$	BECK	97	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.030 $\pm 0.003 \pm 0.002$	BLANPIED	97	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N, \gamma N$
-0.027 $\pm 0.003 \pm 0.001$	KHANDAKER	95	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$-0.015 \pm 0.005$	WORKMAN	92	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$-0.0157 \pm 0.0072$	DAVIDSON	91B	FIT	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$-0.0107 \pm 0.0037$	DAVIDSON	90	FIT	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$-0.015 \pm 0.002$	DAVIDSON	86	FIT	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$+0.037 \pm 0.004$	TANABE	85	FIT	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

### $\Delta(1232) \rightarrow N\gamma$ , absolute value of $E_2/M_1$ ratio at pole

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.065 \pm 0.007$	ARNDT	97	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.058	HANSTEIN	96	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

### $\Delta(1232) \rightarrow N\gamma$ , phase of $E_2/M_1$ ratio at pole

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$-122 \pm 5$	ARNDT	97	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$-127.2$	HANSTEIN	96	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

<sup>5</sup>This ARNDT 97 value is very sensitive to the database being fitted. The result is from a fit to the full pion photoproduction database, apart from the BLANPIED 97 cross-section measurements.

## $\Delta(1232)$ MAGNETIC MOMENTS

### $\Delta(1232)^{++}$ MAGNETIC MOMENT

The values are extracted from UCLA and SIN data on  $\pi^+ p$  bremsstrahlung using a variety of different theoretical approximations and methods. Our estimate is *only* a rough guess of the range we expect the moment to lie within.

<u>VALUE (<math>\mu_N</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-----------------------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

#### 3.7 to 7.5 OUR ESTIMATE

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$6.14 \pm 0.51$	LOPEZCAST... 01	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \gamma$
$4.52 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.45$	BOSSHARD	91	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \gamma$ (SIN data)
3.7 to 4.2	LIN	91B	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \gamma$ (from UCLA data)
4.6 to 4.9	LIN	91B	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \gamma$ (from SIN data)
5.6 to 7.5	WITTMAN	88	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \gamma$ (from UCLA data)
6.9 to 9.8	HELLER	87	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \gamma$ (from UCLA data)
4.7 to 6.7	NEFKENS	78	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \gamma$ (UCLA data)

### $\Delta(1232)^+$ MAGNETIC MOMENT

<u>VALUE (<math>\mu_N</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-----------------------------------	--------------------	----------------

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

$2.7_{-1.3}^{+1.0} \pm 1.5 \pm 3$	<sup>6</sup> KOTULLA	02	$\gamma p \rightarrow p \pi^0 \gamma'$
-----------------------------------	----------------------	----	--

<sup>6</sup>The second error is systematic, the third is an estimate of theoretical uncertainties.

**$\Delta(1232)$  REFERENCES**For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

DRECHSEL	07	EPJ A34 69	D. Drechsel, S.S. Kamalov, L. Tiator	(MAINZ, JINR)
DUGGER	07	PR C76 025211	M. Dugger <i>et al.</i>	(Jefferson Lab CLAS Collab.)
ARNDT	06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
BREITSCHOP...	06	PL B639 424	J. Breitschopf <i>et al.</i>	(TUBIN, HEBR, CSUS)
GRIDNEV	06	PAN 69 1542	A.B. Gridnev <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI, BONN, GWU)
PDG	06	JPG 33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
AHRENS	04A	EPJ A21 323	J. Ahrens <i>et al.</i>	(Mainz GDH, A2 Collab.)
ARNDT	04	PR C69 035213	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU, TRIU)
ARNDT	02	PR C66 055213	R. A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
KOTULLA	02	PRL 89 272001	M. Kotulla <i>et al.</i>	(MAMI TAPS Collab.)
PENNER	02C	PR C66 055211	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
PENNER	02D	PR C66 055212	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
BLANPIED	01	PR C64 025203	G. Blanpied <i>et al.</i>	(BNL LEGS Collab.)
GALLER	01	PL B503 245	G. Galler <i>et al.</i>	(Mainz LARA Collab.)
HOHLER	01	NSTAR 2001 185	G. Hohler	(KARL)
LOPEZCAST...	01	PL B517 339	G. Lopez Castro, A. Mariano	
Also		NP A697 440	G. Lopez Castro, A. Mariano	
BECK	00	PR C61 035204	R. Beck <i>et al.</i>	(Mainz Microtron DAPHNE Col.)
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT+)
KAMALOV	99	PRL 83 4494	S.S. Kamalov, S.N. Yang	(Taiwan U.)
HANSTEIN	98	NP A632 561	O. Hanstein, D. Drechsel, L. Tiator	
ARNDT	97	PR C56 577	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)
BECK	97	PRL 78 606	R. Beck <i>et al.</i>	(MANZ, SACL, PAVI, GLAS)
Also		PRL 79 4510	R.L. Beck, H.P. Krahn	(MANZ)
Also		PRL 79 4512	R.L. Beck, H.P. Krahn	(MANZ)
Also		PRL 79 4515 (erratum)	R.L. Beck <i>et al.</i>	(MANZ, SACL, PAVI, GLAS)
BLANPIED	97	PRL 79 4337	G.S. Blanpied <i>et al.</i>	(LEGS Collab.)
DAVIDSON	97	PRL 79 4509	R.M. Davidson, N.C.A. Mukhopadhyay	(RPI)
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)
BERNICHIA	96	NP A597 623	A. Bernicha, G. Lopez Castro, J. Pestieau	(LOUV+)
HANSTEIN	96	PL B385 45	O. Hanstein, D. Drechsel, L. Tiator	(MANZ)
ABAEV	95	ZPHY A352 85	V.V. Abaev, S.P. Kruglov	(PNPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, BRCO)
KHANDAKER	95	PR D51 3966	M. Khandaker, A.M. Sandorfi	(BNL, VPI)
HOEHLER	93	$\pi N$ Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also		PR D30 904	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
WORKMAN	92	PR C46 1546	R.L. Workman, R.A. Arndt, Z.J. Li	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, TELE) IJP
BOSSHARD	91	PR D44 1962	A. Bosshard <i>et al.</i>	(ZURI, LBL, VILL+)
Also		PRL 64 2619	A. Bosshard <i>et al.</i>	(CATH, LAUS, LBL+)
DAVIDSON	91B	PR D43 71	R.M. Davidson, N.C. Mukhopadhyay, R.S. Wittman	
LIN	91B	PR C44 1819	D.H. Lin, M.K. Liou, Z.M. Ding	(CUNY, CSOK)
Also		PR C43 R930	D. Lin, M.K. Liou	(CUNY)
DAVIDSON	90	PR D42 20	R.M. Davidson, N.C. Mukhopadhyay	(RPI)
WITTMAN	88	PR C37 2075	R. Wittman	(TRIU)
HELLER	87	PR C35 718	L. Heller <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, MIT, ILL)
DAVIDSON	86	PRL 56 804	R.M. Davidson, N.C. Mukhopadhyay, R. Wittman	(RPI)
TANABE	85	PR C31 1876	H. Tanabe, K. Ohta	(KOMAB)
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELSE, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also		NP B197 365	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL)
KOCH	80B	NP A336 331	R. Koch, E. Pietarinen	(KARLT) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
MIROSHNIC...	79	SJNP 29 94	I.I. Miroshnichenko <i>et al.</i>	(KFTI) IJP
		Translated from YAF 29 188.		
NEFKENS	78	PR D18 3911	B.M.K. Nefkens <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA, CATH) IJP
PEDRONI	78	NP A300 321	E. Pedroni <i>et al.</i>	(SIN, ISNG, KARLE+) IJP