

$\Lambda_c(2880)^+$ $I(J^P) = 0(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ Status: ***

A narrow peak seen in $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ and in $p D^0$. It is not seen in $p D^+$, and therefore it is probably a Λ_c^+ and not a Σ_c . The evidence for spin 5/2 comes from the $\Sigma_c(2455)\pi$ decay angular distribution, and the evidence for parity + comes from agreement of the $\Sigma_c(2520)/\Sigma_c(2455)$ branching ratio with a prediction of heavy quark symmetry (see MIZUK 07).

 $\Lambda_c(2880)^+ \text{ MASS}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2881.53 ± 0.35 OUR FIT				
2881.50 ± 0.35 OUR AVERAGE				
2881.9 ± 0.1 ± 0.5	2.8k ± 190	AUBERT	07	BABR in $p D^0$
2881.2 ± 0.2 ± 0.4	690 ± 50	MIZUK	07	BELL in $\Sigma_c(2455)^0, \pi^\pm$

 $\Lambda_c(2880)^+ - \Lambda_c^+ \text{ MASS DIFFERENCE}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
595.1 ± 0.4 OUR FIT				
596 ± 1 ± 2	350^{+57}_{-55}	ARTUSO	01	CLE2 in $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

 $\Lambda_c(2880)^+ \text{ WIDTH}$

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.8 ± 1.1 OUR AVERAGE					
5.8 $\pm 1.5 \pm 1.1$		2.8k ± 190	AUBERT	07	BABR in $p D^0$
5.8 $\pm 0.7 \pm 1.1$		690 ± 50	MIZUK	07	BELL in $\Sigma_c(2455)^0, \pi^\pm$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<8	90		ARTUSO	01	CLEO in $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

 $\Lambda_c(2880)^+ \text{ DECAY MODES}$

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \quad \Sigma_c(2455)^0, \pi^\pm$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \quad \Sigma_c(2520)^0, \pi^\pm$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \quad p D^0$	seen

$\Lambda_c(2880)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^0,++\pi^\pm)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-)$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_2/Γ_1
0.392 ± 0.031 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.3.	
$0.404 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.014$		MIZUK	07	BELL in $\Sigma_c(2455)^0,++\pi^\pm$	
$0.31 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03$	96	ARTUSO	01	CLE2 $e^+e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$	

$$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2520)^0,++\pi^\pm)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-)$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_3/Γ_1
$0.091 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.010$		MIZUK	07	BELL in $\Sigma_c(2455)^0,++\pi^\pm$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.11	90	ARTUSO	01	CLE2 $e^+e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$	

$$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2520)^0,++\pi^\pm)/\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^0,++\pi^\pm)$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_3/Γ_2
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.225 \pm 0.062 \pm 0.025$	¹ MIZUK	07	BELL in $\Sigma_c(2455)^0,++\pi^\pm$	

¹ This MIZUK 07 ratio is redundant with MIZUK 07 ratios given above.

 $\Lambda_c(2880)^+$ REFERENCES

AUBERT MIZUK ARTUSO	07 07 01	PRL 98 012001 PRL 98 262001 PRL 86 4479	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i> R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i> M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.) (BELLE Collab.) (CLEO Collab.)
---------------------------	----------------	---	--	--