

$\omega(1650)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$ **$\omega(1650)$ MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1670 ± 30 OUR ESTIMATE				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1667 \pm 13 \pm 6		AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1645 \pm 8	13	AUBERT	06D BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \eta \gamma$
1660 \pm 10 \pm 2		AUBERT,B	04N BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$
1770 \pm 50 \pm 60	1.2M	¹ ACHASOV	03D RVUE	$0.44\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1619 \pm 5		² HENNER	02 RVUE	$1.2\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho \pi, \omega \pi \pi$
1700 \pm 20		EUGENIO	01 SPEC	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \eta n$
1705 \pm 26	612	³ AKHMETSHIN	00D CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$
1820^{+190}_{-150}		⁴ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1840^{+100}_{-70}		⁵ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$
1780^{+170}_{-300}		⁶ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
~ 2100		⁷ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1606 \pm 9		8 CLEGG	94 RVUE	
1662 \pm 13	750	⁹ ANTONELLI	92 DM2	$1.34\text{--}2.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho \pi, \omega \pi \pi$
1670 \pm 20		ATKINSON	83B OMEG	$20\text{--}70 \gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi X$
1657 \pm 13		CORDIER	81 DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega 2\pi$
1679 \pm 34	21	ESPOSITO	80 FRAM	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3\pi$
1652 \pm 17		COSME	79 OSPK	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3\pi$

¹ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

² Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

³ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho \pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

⁴ Using data from BARKOV 87, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.

⁵ Using the data from ANTONELLI 92.

⁶ Using the data from IVANOV 81 and BISELLO 88B.

⁷ Using the data from BISELLO 91C.

⁸ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

⁹ From the combined fit of the $\rho \pi$ and $\omega \pi \pi$ final states.

 $\omega(1650)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
315 ± 35 OUR ESTIMATE				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$222 \pm 25 \pm 20$		AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
114 ± 14	13	AUBERT	06D BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \eta \gamma$
$230 \pm 30 \pm 20$		AUBERT,B	04N BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$
$490^{+200}_{-150} \pm 130$	1.2M	¹⁰ ACHASOV	03D RVUE	$0.44-2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
250 ± 14		¹¹ HENNER	02 RVUE	$1.2-2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho \pi, \omega \pi \pi$
250 ± 50		EUGENIO	01 SPEC	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \eta n$
370 ± 25	612	¹² AKHMETSHIN	00D CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$
113 ± 20		¹³ CLEGG	94 RVUE	
280 ± 24	750	¹⁴ ANTONELLI	92 DM2	$1.34-2.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho \pi, \omega \pi \pi$
160 ± 20		ATKINSON	83B OMEG	$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi X$
136 ± 46		CORDIER	81 DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega 2\pi$
99 ± 49	21	ESPOSITO	80 FRAM	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3\pi$
42 ± 17		COSME	79 OSPK	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3\pi$

¹⁰ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

¹¹ Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

¹² Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho \pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

¹³ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

¹⁴ From the combined fit of the $\rho \pi$ and $\omega \pi \pi$ final states.

$\omega(1650)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \rho \pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \omega \pi \pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \omega \eta$	seen
$\Gamma_4 e^+ e^-$	seen

$\omega(1650) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma^2(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\rho \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_4/\Gamma$			
VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.3 ± 0.1 ± 0.1		AUBERT,B	04N BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$
1.2 $^{+0.4}_{-0.1}$ ± 0.8 1.2M	^{15,16} ACHASOV		03D RVUE	$0.44-2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
0.921 ± 0.230		^{17,18} CLEGG	94 RVUE	
0.479 ± 0.050	750	^{19,20} ANTONELLI	92 DM2	$1.34-2.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho \pi, \omega \pi \pi$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_2/\Gamma \times \Gamma_4/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-7})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
7.0 ± 0.5	AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$	
4.1 ± 0.9 ± 1.3 1.2M	15,16 ACHASOV	03D RVUE	$0.44\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	
5.40 ± 0.95	21 AKHMETSHIN	00D CMD2	$1.2\text{--}1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$	
3.18 ± 0.80	17,18 CLEGG	94 RVUE		
6.07 ± 0.61	750 19,20 ANTONELLI	92 DM2	$1.34\text{--}2.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$	

 $\Gamma(\omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_3/\Gamma \times \Gamma_4/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-6})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.57 ± 0.06	13	AUBERT	06D BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta\gamma$	
<6	90	22 AKHMETSHIN	03B CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$	

15 Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.

16 From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

17 From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

18 From the partial and leptonic width given by the authors.

19 From the combined fit of the $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ final states.

20 From the product of the leptonic width and partial branching ratio given by the authors.

21 Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.22 $\omega(1650)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. **$\omega(1650)$ BRANCHING RATIOS** $\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 0.35	1.2M	23 ACHASOV	03D RVUE	$0.44\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.620 ± 0.014		24 HENNER	02 RVUE	$1.2\text{--}2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

 $\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 0.65	1.2M	23 ACHASOV	03D RVUE	$0.44\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.380 ± 0.014		24 HENNER	02 RVUE	$1.2\text{--}2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-7})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 18	1.2M	24,25	ACHASOV	03D RVUE $0.44\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
32 ± 1		24	HENNER	02 RVUE $1.2\text{--}2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$
23 From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.				
24 Assuming that the $\omega(1650)$ decays into $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ only.				
25 Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.				

 $\omega(1650)$ REFERENCES

AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	06D	PR D73 052003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT,B	04N	PR D70 072004	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ACHASOV	03D	PR D68 052006	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	02E	PR D66 032001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
HENNER	02	EPJ C26 3	V.K. Henner <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV	01E	PR D63 072002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
EUGENIO	01	PL B497 190	P. Eugenio <i>et al.</i>	
AKHMETSHIN	00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	99E	PL B462 365	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BISELLO	91C	ZPHY C52 227	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BISELLO	88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 46 132.		
ATKINSON	83B	PL 127B 132	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
CORDIER	81	PL 106B 155	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
IVANOV	81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
ESPOSITO	80	LNC 28 195	B. Esposito <i>et al.</i>	(FRAS, NAPL, PADO+)
COSME	79	NP B152 215	G. Cosme <i>et al.</i>	(IPN)