

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$

See the Review on “ $\psi(2S)$ and χ_c branching ratios” before the $\chi_{c0}(1P)$ Listings.

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3510.66 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.		
3510.30 ± 0.14 ± 0.16		ABLIKIM 05G	BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
3510.719 ± 0.051 ± 0.019		ANDREOTTI 05A	E835	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$
3509.4 ± 0.9		BAI 99B	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
3510.60 ± 0.087 ± 0.019	513	¹ ARMSTRONG 92	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$
3511.3 ± 0.4 ± 0.4	30	BAGLIN 86B	SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+ e^- X$
3512.3 ± 0.3 ± 4.0		² GAISER 86	CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
3507.4 ± 1.7	91	³ LEMOIGNE 82	GOLI	$185 \pi^- Be \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- A$
3510.4 ± 0.6		OREGLIA 82	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi 2\gamma$
3510.1 ± 1.1	254	⁴ HIMEL 80	MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi 2\gamma$
3509 ± 11	21	BRANDELIK 79B	DASP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi 2\gamma$
3507 ± 3		⁴ BARTEL 78B	CNTR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi 2\gamma$
3505.0 ± 4 ± 4		^{4,5} TANENBAUM 78	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$
3513 ± 7	367	⁴ BIDDICK 77	CNTR	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3500 ± 10	40	TANENBAUM 75	MRK1	Hadrons γ


¹ Recalculated by ANDREOTTI 05A, using the value of $\psi(2S)$ mass from AULCHENKO 03.

² Using mass of $\psi(2S) = 3686.0$ MeV.

³ $J/\psi(1S)$ mass constrained to 3097 MeV.

⁴ Mass value shifted by us by amount appropriate for $\psi(2S)$ mass = 3686 MeV and $J/\psi(1S)$ mass = 3097 MeV.

⁵ From a simultaneous fit to radiative and hadronic decay channels.



$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ mass (MeV)

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.86 ±0.05 OUR FIT					
0.88 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE					
1.39 +0.40 -0.38	+0.26 -0.77		ABLIKIM	05G	BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
0.876±0.045±0.026			ANDREOTTI	05A	E835 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$
0.87 ±0.11 ±0.08		513	⁶ ARMSTRONG	92	E760 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<1.3	95		BAGLIN	86B	SPEC $\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+ e^- X$
<3.8	90		GAISER	86	CBAL $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

⁶ Recalculated by ANDREOTTI 05A.

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Hadronic decays		
Γ_1 $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$(5.8 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.2
Γ_2 $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$(7.6 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_3 $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$	$(1.26 \pm 0.17)\%$	
Γ_4 $\rho^+\pi^-\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.53 \pm 0.26)\%$	
Γ_5 $\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.9 \pm 3.5) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_6 $4\pi^0$	$(5.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_7 $\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$	$(4.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_8 $K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0$	$(1.18 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_9 $K^+\pi^-K^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(9.0 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{10} $\rho^+K^-K^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(5.3 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{11} $K^*(892)^0K^0\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-K^0\pi^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(2.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{12} $K^+K^-\eta\pi^0$	$(1.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{13} $\pi^+\pi^-K_S^0K_S^0$	$(7.2 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{14} $K^+K^-\eta$	$(3.3 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{15} $K^0K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(7.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{16} $K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{17} $K^*(892)^+K^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(1.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{18} $K_J^*(1430)^0\bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$< 8 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{19} $K_J^*(1430)^+K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$< 2.3 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{20} $K^+K^-\pi^0$	$(1.91 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{21} $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$(5.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{22} $a_0(980)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{23} $f_2(1270)\eta$	$(2.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{24} $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{25} $K^+\bar{K}^*(892)^0\pi^- + \text{c.c.}$	$(3.2 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{26} $K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^*(892)^0$	$(1.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{27} $K^+K^-K_S^0K_S^0$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{28} $K^+K^-K^+K^-$	$(5.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{29} $K^+K^-\phi$	$(4.3 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{30} $p\bar{p}$	$(7.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{31} $p\bar{p}\pi^0$	$(1.64 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{32} $p\bar{p}\eta$	$(1.53 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{33} $p\bar{p}\omega$	$(2.24 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{34} $\pi^+\pi^-p\bar{p}$	$(5.0 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{35} $\pi^0\pi^0p\bar{p}$		
Γ_{36} $K_S^0K_S^0p\bar{p}$	$< 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%

Γ_{37}	$\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$	$(1.18 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{38}	$\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{39}	$K^+\bar{p}\Lambda$	$(3.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{40}	$\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$	$< 4 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{41}	$\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-$	$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{42}	$\Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0$	$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{43}	$\Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+$	$(8.4 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{44}	$\pi^+\pi^- + K^+K^-$	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{45}	$K_S^0 K_S^0$	$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%

Radiative decays

Γ_{46}	$\gamma J/\psi(1S)$	$(34.4 \pm 1.5) \%$	
Γ_{47}	$\gamma\rho^0$	$(2.29 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{48}	$\gamma\omega$	$(7.8 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{49}	$\gamma\phi$	$< 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{50}	$\gamma\gamma$		

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

A multiparticle fit to $\chi_{c1}(1P)$, $\chi_{c0}(1P)$, $\chi_{c2}(1P)$, and $\psi(2S)$ with 4 total widths, a partial width, 24 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 83 branching ratios uses 218 measurements to determine 48 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 307.7$ for 170 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

x_{28}	8				
x_{30}	-9	-4			
x_{37}	11	5	-5		
x_{46}	36	16	-32	20	
Γ	-13	-5	-59	-7	-30
	x_{15}	x_{28}	x_{30}	x_{37}	x_{46}

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

— $\chi_{c1}(1P) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma(\text{total})$ —

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}) \times \Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{30}\Gamma_{46}/\Gamma$
<i>VALUE (eV)</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>
21.7 ± 0.8 OUR FIT	
21.4 ± 0.9 OUR AVERAGE	
21.5 $\pm 0.5 \pm 0.8$	⁷ ANDREOTTI 05A E835 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$
21.4 $\pm 1.5 \pm 2.2$	^{7,8} ARMSTRONG 92 E760 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$
$19.9^{+4.4}_{-4.0}$	⁷ BAGLIN 86B SPEC $\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+ e^- X$

⁷ Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.0593 \pm 0.0010$.⁸ Recalculated by ANDREOTTI 05A.

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

HADRONIC DECAYS

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_1/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.8±1.4 OUR EVALUATION			Error includes scale factor of 1.2. Treating systematic error as correlated.

5.8±1.1 OUR AVERAGE

$5.4 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.9$	⁹ BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$
$16.0 \pm 5.9 \pm 0.8$	⁹ TANENBAUM 78	MRK1	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$
⁹ Rescaled by us using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (8.8 \pm 0.4)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.6 \pm 0.5)\%$.			

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.6±2.6 OUR EVALUATION			Treating systematic error as correlated.

8 ±4 OUR AVERAGE

$4.6 \pm 2.1 \pm 2.6$	¹⁰ BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$
$12.5 \pm 4.2 \pm 0.6$	¹⁰ TANENBAUM 78	MRK1	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$
¹⁰ Rescaled by us using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (8.8 \pm 0.4)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.6 \pm 0.5)\%$.			

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_3/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.26±0.16±0.05	604.7	11 HE	08B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+h^-h^0h^0$

¹¹ HE 08B reports $1.28 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.08\%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\rho^+\pi^-\pi^0+c.c.)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.53±0.25±0.06	712.3	12,13 HE	08B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+h^-h^0h^0$

¹² HE 08B reports $1.56 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.10\%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \rho^+\pi^-\pi^0 + c.c.)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

¹³ Calculated by us. We have added the values from HE 08B for $\rho^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\rho^-\pi^+\pi^0$ decays assuming uncorrelated statistical and fully correlated systematic uncertainties.

$\Gamma(\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
39±35	14 TANENBAUM 78	MRK1	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
¹⁴ Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.087$. The errors do not contain the uncertainty in the $\psi(2S)$ decay.			

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.57±0.03±0.08	608	15 ABLIKIM	11A BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
¹⁵ ABLIKIM 11A reports $(0.57 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow 4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$.				

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.5±1.0 OUR EVALUATION			Treating systematic error as correlated.
4.5±0.9 OUR AVERAGE			
¹⁶ Rescaled by us using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}) = (8.8 \pm 0.4)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.6 \pm 0.5)\%$.			

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.118±0.029±0.005	45.1	17 HE	08B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$
¹⁷ HE 08B reports $0.12 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01\%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

$\Gamma(K^+ \pi^- K^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.90±0.14±0.03	141.3	18 HE	08B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$
¹⁸ HE 08B reports $0.92 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.06\%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- K^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

$\Gamma(\rho^+ K^- K^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.53±0.13±0.02	141.3	19 HE	08B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$
¹⁹ HE 08B reports $0.54 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03\%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \rho^+ K^- K^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- K^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.25±0.07±0.01	141.3	20 HE	08B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

²⁰ HE 08B reports $0.25 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 K^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- K^0 \pi^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \eta \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.118±0.036±0.005	141.3	21 HE	08B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0 h^0$

²¹ HE 08B reports $0.12 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01$ % from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.2±3.1±0.3	19.8 ± 7.7	22 ABLIKIM	050 BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma$

²² ABLIKIM 050 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))] = (0.67 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.33±0.10±0.01	23 ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

²³ ATHAR 07 reports $(0.34 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.0907 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0054$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(K^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID
7.3±0.6 OUR FIT	

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.03±0.38±0.04	22	24 ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

²⁴ ABLIKIM 06R reports $(1.1 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$1.5 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.1$	27	25 ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

²⁵ ABLIKIM 06R reports $(1.6 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))] \text{ assuming } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(K_J^*(1430)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.8	90	26 ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

²⁶ ABLIKIM 06R reports $< 0.9 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K_J^*(1430)^0 \bar{K}^0 + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))] \text{ assuming } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.2 \times 10^{-2}$.

 $\Gamma(K_J^*(1430)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<2.3	90	27 ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

²⁷ ABLIKIM 06R reports $< 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K_J^*(1430)^+ K^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))] \text{ assuming } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.2 \times 10^{-2}$.

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$1.91 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.07$	28 ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

²⁸ ATHAR 07 reports $(1.95 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))] \text{ assuming } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.0907 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0054$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
5.0 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE				

$4.9 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.2$		29 ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$
$5.5 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.2$	222	30 ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

²⁹ ATHAR 07 reports $(5.0 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))] \text{ assuming } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.0907 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0054$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³⁰ ABLIKIM 06R reports $(5.9 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))] \text{ assuming } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) =$

$(8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(a_0(980)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.9±0.7±0.1	58	31 ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

³¹ ABLIKIM 06R reports $(2.0 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow a_0(980)^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.} \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.8±0.8±0.1	53	32 ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

³² ABLIKIM 06R reports $(3.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow f_2(1270)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.4±0.5±0.1	33 ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

³³ ATHAR 07 reports $(2.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.0907 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0054$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(K^+\bar{K}^*(892)^0\pi^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
32±21	34 TANENBAUM	78 MRK1	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

³⁴ Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.087$. The errors do not contain the uncertainty in the $\psi(2S)$ decay.

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.5±0.4±0.1	28.4 ± 5.5	35,36 ABLIKIM	04H BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

³⁵ ABLIKIM 04H reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] = (1.40 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³⁶ Assumes $B(K^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+) = 2/3$.

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5	90	3.2 ± 2.4	37 ABLIKIM	050 BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma$
$^{37} \text{ABLIKIM } 050 \text{ reports } [\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))] < 4.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ which we divide by our best value } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.2 \times 10^{-2}.$					

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID
0.56±0.12 OUR FIT	

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.43±0.16±0.02	17	38 ABLIKIM	06T BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma 2K^+ 2K^-$
$^{38} \text{ABLIKIM } 06T \text{ reports } (0.46 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3} \text{ from a measurement of } [\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))] \text{ assuming } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}, \text{ which we rescale to our best value } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}. \text{ Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.}$				

$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID
0.73±0.04 OUR FIT	

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.164±0.020 OUR AVERAGE			
$^{39} \text{ONYISI } 10 \text{ reports } (1.75 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4} \text{ from a measurement of } [\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))] \text{ assuming } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}, \text{ which we rescale to our best value } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}. \text{ Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.}$			
$^{40} \text{ATHAR } 07 \text{ reports } (1.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-4} \text{ from a measurement of } [\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P))] \text{ assuming } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}, \text{ which we rescale to our best value } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}. \text{ Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.}$			

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.153±0.026±0.006	41	ONYISI	10	CLE3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}X$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet \text{ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. } \bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.16 90 42 ATHAR 07 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$				

⁴¹ ONYISI 10 reports $(1.56 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁴² ATHAR 07 reports $< 0.16 \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.2 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{33}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.224±0.032±0.009	43 ONYISI 10	CLE3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}X$

⁴³ ONYISI 10 reports $(2.28 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{34}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.50±0.19 OUR EVALUATION			Treating systematic error as correlated.

0.50±0.19 OUR AVERAGE

$0.46 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.15$	44 BAI 99B	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$
$1.08 \pm 0.77 \pm 0.05$	44 TANENBAUM 78	MRK1	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

⁴⁴ Rescaled by us using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (8.8 \pm 0.4)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.6 \pm 0.5)\%$.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{35}/Γ

VALUE (%)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.05	90	45 HE 08B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma h^+h^-h^0h^0$

⁴⁵ HE 08B reports $< 0.05\%$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.2 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(K_S^0K_S^0p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{36}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.5	90	46 ABLIKIM 06D	BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

⁴⁶ Using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma) = (9.1 \pm 0.6)\%$.

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{37}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID
(1.18±0.19) OUR FIT	

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{38}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.5	90	47 ABLIKIM 06D	BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

⁴⁷ Using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma) = (9.1 \pm 0.6)\%$.

$\Gamma(K^+\bar{p}\Lambda)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{39}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.32±0.09±0.01	48 ATTHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma h^+ h^- h^0$

48 ATTHAR 07 reports $(0.33 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+\bar{p}\Lambda)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] \text{ assuming } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.0907 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0054$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{40}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.4	90	3.8 ± 2.5	49 NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$

49 NAIK 08 reports $< 0.44 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] \text{ assuming } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.2 \times 10^{-2}$.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{41}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.6	90	4.3 ± 2.3	50 NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-$

50 NAIK 08 reports $< 0.65 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] \text{ assuming } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.2 \times 10^{-2}$.

 $\Gamma(\Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{42}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.6	90	1.7 ± 2.4	51 NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0$

51 NAIK 08 reports $< 0.60 \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] \text{ assuming } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.2 \times 10^{-2}$.

 $\Gamma(\Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{43}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.84±0.22±0.03	16.4 ± 4.3	52 NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 3.4 90 53 ABLIKIM 06D BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

52 NAIK 08 reports $(0.86 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))] \text{ assuming } B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

53 Using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma)$ (9.1 ± 0.6)%.

$[\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-) + \Gamma(K^+K^-)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{44}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<21	54	FELDMAN	77	MRK1 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<38	90	54	BRANDELIK	79B DASP $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$
54 Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.087$. The errors do not contain the uncertainty in the $\psi(2S)$ decay.				

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{45}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.6	90	55	ABLIKIM	050 BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma$
55 ABLIKIM 050 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$				
$< 0.6 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.2 \times 10^{-2}$.				

RADIATIVE DECAYS

$\Gamma(\gamma J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{46}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.344 ± 0.015 OUR FIT			
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.379 $\pm 0.008 \pm 0.021$	56 ADAM	05A CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$
56 Uses $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi)$ from ADAM 05A and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1})$ from ATHAR 04.			

$\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{47}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$229 \pm 25 \pm 9$	186 ± 15	57 BENNETT	08A CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\rho^0$
57 BENNETT 08A reports $(243 \pm 19 \pm 22) \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

$\Gamma(\gamma\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{48}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$78 \pm 18 \pm 3$	39.2 ± 7.1	58 BENNETT	08A CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\omega$
58 BENNETT 08A reports $(83 \pm 15 \pm 12) \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

$\Gamma(\gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{49}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<24	90	5.2 ± 3.1	59 BENNETT	08A CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi$
59 BENNETT 08A reports $< 26 \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 9.2 \times 10^{-2}$.					

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{50}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 3.5	90	ECKLUND	08A	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1} \rightarrow 3\gamma$
<150	90	YAMADA	77	DASP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3\gamma$
⁶⁰ Estimated using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P)) = 0.087$. The errors do not contain the uncertainty in the $\psi(2S)$ decay.				

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ CROSS-PARTICLE BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{30}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{110}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{11}^{\psi(2S)}$$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
(2.02±0.16) OUR FIT			
1.1±1.0	61 BAI	98I BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

⁶¹ Calculated by us. The value for $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow p\bar{p})$ reported in BAI 98I is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (8.7 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{37}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{110}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{11}^{\psi(2S)}$$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
10.9±1.7 OUR FIT				
10.5±1.6±0.6	46 ± 7	62 NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$

⁶² Calculated by us. NAIK 08 reports $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}) = (11.6 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54)\%$.

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{37}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{110}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{11}^{\psi(2S)}$$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
(3.3±0.5) OUR FIT				
7.1^{+2.8}_{-2.4}±1.3	$9.0^{+3.5}_{-3.1}$	63 BAI	03E BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$

⁶³ BAI 03E reports [$B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}) B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}) / B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$] \times [$B^2(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) / B(J/\psi \rightarrow p\bar{p})$] = $(1.33^{+0.52}_{-0.46} \pm 0.25)\%$. We calculate from this measurement the presented value using $B(\Lambda \rightarrow \pi^- p) = (63.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow p\bar{p}) = (2.17 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$.

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{46}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{110}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma_{11}^{\psi(2S)}$$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.18±0.08 OUR FIT				
2.70±0.13 OUR AVERAGE				

2.81±0.05±0.23	13k	BAI	04I	BES2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma\gamma$
2.56±0.12±0.20		GAISER	86	CBAL $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
2.78±0.30		OREGLIA	82	CBAL $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}$

2.2 \pm 0.5	65	BRANDELIK	79B	DASP	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
2.9 \pm 0.5	65	BARTEL	78B	CNTR	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
5.0 \pm 1.5	66	BIDDICK	77	CNTR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
2.8 \pm 0.9	64	WHITAKER	76	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.56 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.12	24.9k	67	MENDEZ	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
3.44 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.13	3.7k	68	ADAM	05A	CLEO	Repl. by MENDEZ 08

64 Recalculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = 0.1181 \pm 0.0020$.

65 Recalculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 0.0588 \pm 0.0010$.

66 Assumes isotropic gamma distribution.

67 Not independent from other measurements of MENDEZ 08.

68 Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A.

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \text{anything}) = \frac{\Gamma_{46}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{110}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_9^{\psi(2S)}}{\Gamma_{46}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{110}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_9^{\psi(2S)} + \Gamma_{11}^{\psi(2S)} + \Gamma_{12}^{\psi(2S)} + \Gamma_{13}^{\psi(2S)} + 0.344 \Gamma_{110}^{\psi(2S)} + 0.195 \Gamma_{111}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.34 \pm 0.12 OUR FIT				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.70 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.15	24.9k	69	MENDEZ	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
5.77 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.12	3.7k		ADAM	05A	CLEO	Repl. by MENDEZ 08

69 Not independent from other measurements of MENDEZ 08.

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = \frac{\Gamma_{46}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{110}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{11}^{\psi(2S)}}{\Gamma_{46}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{110}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{11}^{\psi(2S)} + \Gamma_{11}^{\psi(2S)}}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.46 \pm 0.23 OUR FIT				

10.15 \pm 0.28 OUR AVERAGE

10.17 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.27	24.9k		MENDEZ	08	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$
12.6 \pm 0.3 \pm 3.8	3k	70	ABLIKIM	04B	BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$
8.5 \pm 2.1		71	HIMEL	80	MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

10.24 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.23	3.7k	72	ADAM	05A	CLEO	Repl. by MENDEZ 08
-----------------------------	------	----	------	-----	------	--------------------

70 From a fit to the J/ψ recoil mass spectra.

71 The value for $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}) \times B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S))$ quoted in HIMEL 80 is derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (33 \pm 3)\%$ and $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = 0.138 \pm 0.018$. Calculated by us using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = 0.1181 \pm 0.0020$.

72 Not independent from other values reported by ADAM 05A.

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^0 K^+ \pi^- + c.c.) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{15} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{110}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-------------	------	---------

(6.8±0.5) OUR FIT

(7.2±0.6) OUR AVERAGE

$7.3 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5$	73 ATHAR	07 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-$
$7.0 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.9$	74 ABLIKIM	06R BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$

⁷³ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow K^0 K^+ \pi^- + c.c.)$ reported by ATHAR 07 was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54)\%$.

⁷⁴ Calculated by us. ABLIKIM 06R reports $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-) = (4.0 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$. We use $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}) = (8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$.

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^0 K^+ \pi^- + c.c.) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma_{15} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{110}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{11}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-------------	------	---------

20.1±1.6 OUR FIT

13.2±2.4±3.2	75 BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-$
---------------------	--------	---------	---

⁷⁵ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-)$ reported by BAI 99B was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+ K^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma_{28} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{110}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{11}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

0.52±0.11 OUR FIT

0.61±0.11±0.08	54	76 ABLIKIM	06T BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^+ K^- K^-$
-----------------------	----	------------	----------	---

⁷⁶ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow 2K^+ 2K^-)$ reported by ABLIKIM 06T was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.8)\%$.

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+ K^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma_{28} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{110}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{11}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-------------	------	---------

(1.54±0.31) OUR FIT

1.13±0.40±0.29	77 BAI	99B BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^+ K^- K^-$
-----------------------	--------	---------	---

⁷⁷ Calculated by us. The value of $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow 2K^+ 2K^-)$ reported by BAI 99B was derived using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) = (8.7 \pm 0.8)\%$ and $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = (32.4 \pm 2.6)\%$ [BAI 98D].

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow p\bar{p}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{30} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{110}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma_{11}^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

(6.8±0.5) OUR FIT

(7.5±1.4) OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.0.

$8.2 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.4$	141 ± 13	78 NAIK	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
$4.8^{+1.4}_{-1.3} \pm 0.6$	$18.2^{+5.5}_{-4.9}$	BAI	04F BES	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \bar{p}p$


⁷⁸ Calculated by us. NAIK 08 reports $B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow p\bar{p}) = (9.0 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$ using $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}) = (9.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.54)\%$.

MULTIPOLE AMPLITUDES IN $\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$

$$a_2 = M2/\sqrt{E1^2 + M2^2} \text{ Magnetic quadrupole fractional transition amplitude}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-5.4 ± 1.2 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 2.4. See the ideogram below.
-6.26 $\pm 0.63 \pm 0.24$	39k	ARTUSO 09	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
0.2 $\pm 3.2 \pm 0.4$	2090	AMBROGIANI 02	E835	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$
-0.2 ± 0.8	921	OREGLIA 82	CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma\gamma$

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
-5.4+1.2-1.5 (Error scaled by 2.4)



$$a_2 = M2/\sqrt{E1^2 + M2^2} \text{ (units } 10^{-2})$$

MULTIPOLE AMPLITUDES IN $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1S)$ RADIATIVE DECAY

$$b_2 = M2/\sqrt{E1^2 + M2^2} \text{ Magnetic quadrupole fractional transition amplitude}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.9 ± 0.8 OUR AVERAGE				
2.76 $\pm 0.73 \pm 0.23$	39k	ARTUSO 09	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
7.7 ± 5.0	921	OREGLIA 82	CBAL	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

MULTIPOLE AMPLITUDE RATIOS IN RADIATIVE DECAYS

$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(1S)$ and $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$

a_2/b_2 Magnetic quadrupole transition amplitude ratio

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-2.27^{+0.57}_{-0.99}$	39k	79 ARTUSO	09	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

79 Statistical and systematic errors combined. Not independent of $a_2(\chi_{c1})$ and $b_2(\chi_{c1})$ values from ARTUSO 09.

$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	11A	PR D83 012006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ONYISI	10	PR D82 011103R	P.U.E. Onyisi <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTUSO	09	PR D80 112003	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BENNETT	08A	PRL 101 151801	J.V. Bennett <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ECKLUND	08A	PR D78 091501R	K.M. Ecklund <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
HE	08B	PR D78 092004	Q. He <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
MENDEZ	08	PR D78 011102R	H. Mendez <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
NAIK	08	PR D78 031101R	P. Naik <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ATHAR	07	PR D75 032002	S.B. Athar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06D	PR D73 052006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06R	PR D74 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06T	PL B642 197	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	05G	PR D71 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	05O	PL B630 21	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ADAM	05A	PRL 94 232002	N.E. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ANDREOTTI	05A	NP B717 34	M. Andreotti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	04B	PR D70 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	04H	PR D70 092003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ATHAR	04	PR D70 112002	S.B. Athar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BAI	04F	PR D69 092001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BAI	04I	PR D70 012006	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AULCHENKO	03	PL B573 63	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
BAI	03E	PR D67 112001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AMBROGIANI	02	PR D65 052002	M. Ambrogiani <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)
BAI	99B	PR D60 072001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BAI	98D	PR D58 092006	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BAI	98I	PRL 81 3091	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	92	NP B373 35	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
Also		PRL 68 1468	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
BAGLIN	86B	PL B172 455	C. Baglin	(LAPP, CERN, GENO, LYON, OSLO+)
GAISER	86	PR D34 711	J. Gaiser <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
LEMOIGNE	82	PL 113B 509	Y. Lemoigne <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, LOIC, SHMP+)
OREGLIA	82	PR D25 2259	M.J. Oreglia <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CIT, HARV+)
Also		Private Comm.	M.J. Oreglia	(EFI)
HIMEL	80	PRL 44 920	T. Himel <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
Also		Private Comm.	G. Trilling	(LBL, UCB)
BRANDELIK	79B	NP B160 426	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)
BARTEL	78B	PL 79B 492	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, HEIDP)
TANENBAUM	78	PR D17 1731	W.M. Tanenbaum <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)
Also		Private Comm.	G. Trilling	(LBL, UCB)
BIDDICK	77	PRL 38 1324	C.J. Biddick <i>et al.</i>	(UCSD, UMD, PAVI+)
FELDMAN	77	PRPL 33C 285	G.J. Feldman, M.L. Perl	(LBL, SLAC)
YAMADA	77	Hamburg Conf. 69	S. Yamada	(DASP Collab.)
WHITAKER	76	PRL 37 1596	J.S. Whitaker <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)
TANENBAUM	75	PRL 35 1323	W.M. Tanenbaum <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)