

Further States

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

This section contains states observed by a single group or states poorly established that thus need confirmation.

QUANTUM NUMBERS, MASSES, WIDTHS, AND BRANCHING RATIOS

X(360) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^?+)$					
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$360 \pm 7 \pm 9$	64 ± 18	2.3k	¹ ABRAAMYAN 09	CNTR	$2.75 d C \rightarrow \gamma \gamma X$

¹ Not seen in $p C \rightarrow \gamma \gamma X$ at 5.5 GeV/c.

X(1070) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(0^{++})$					
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		<u>COMMENT</u>
1072 ± 1	3.5 ± 0.5		² VLADIMIRSK...08	40	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n + m \pi^0$

² Supersedes GRIGOR'EV 05.

X(1110) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(\text{even}^{++})$					
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1107 ± 4	$111 \pm 8 \pm 15$		DAFTARI	87	DBC $0. \bar{p} n \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

f₀(1200–1600) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$					
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1323 ± 8	237 ± 20		VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1480^{+100}_{-150}	1030^{+80}_{-170}		³ ANISOVICH	03	SPEC
1530^{+90}_{-250}	560 ± 40		⁴ ANISOVICH	03	SPEC

³ K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$, $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$, $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.

⁴ K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$, $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ at rest.

X(1420) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 2^+(0^{++})$					
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1420 ± 20	160 ± 10		FILIPPI	00	OBLX $0 \bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

X(1545) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{++})$					
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		<u>COMMENT</u>
1545 ± 3	6.0 ± 2.5		⁵ VLADIMIRSK...08	40	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n + m \pi^0$

⁵ Supersedes VLADIMIRSKII 00.

X(1575)		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(1^{--})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
1576^{+49+98}_{-55-91}	$818^{+22+64}_{-23-133}$	⁶ ABLIKIM	06S BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$		

⁶ A broad peak observed at $K^+ K^-$ invariant mass. Mass and width above are its pole position. The observed branching ratio is $B(J/\psi \rightarrow X \pi^0) B(X \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (8.5 \pm 0.6^{+2.7}_{-3.6}) \times 10^{-4}$.

X(1600)		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 2^+(2^{++})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
1600 ± 100	400 ± 200	⁷ ALBRECHT	91F ARG	$10.2 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$		

⁷ Our estimate.

X(1650)		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(??^-)$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1652 ± 7	<50	100	PROKOSHKIN 96	GAM2	$32,38 \pi p \rightarrow \omega \eta n$	

X(1730)		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(??^+)$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$1731.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 2.0$	$3.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 1.3$	58	VLADIMIRSK...07	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$	

X(1750)		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(1^{--})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
$1753.5 \pm 1.5 \pm 2.3$	$122.2 \pm 6.2 \pm 8.0$	LINK	02K FOCS	$20-160 \gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$		

$B(X(1750) \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^0 \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0) / B(X(1750) \rightarrow K^+ K^-)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
<0.065	90	LINK	02K FOCS

$B(X(1750) \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^\pm K^\mp \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0) / B(X(1750) \rightarrow K^+ K^-)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
<0.183	90	LINK	02K FOCS

f₂(1750)		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$				
MASS (MeV)	WIDTH (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1755 ± 10	67 ± 12	870	⁸ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
17 ± 5	870	⁹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.13±0.04	870	⁹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.3±1.0	870	⁹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.0±0.5	870	⁹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

⁸ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.

⁹ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV and using SU(3) relations.

X(1775) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(?^-+)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1763±20	192 ± 60	CONDO 91	SHF	$\gamma p \rightarrow (p\pi^+)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-)$
1787±18	118 ± 60	CONDO 91	SHF	$\gamma p \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$

X(1812) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(??^+)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1812 ⁺¹⁹ ₋₂₆ ± 18	105 ± 20 ± 28	¹⁰ ABLIKIM	06J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\phi$

¹⁰ Favors $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$. Not seen by LIU 09 in $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm\omega\phi$.

X(1850 - 3100) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(1^{--})$

<u>$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \cdot B(X \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ (eV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<120	90	¹¹ ANASHIN	11 KEDR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹¹ This limit is center-of-mass energy dependent. We quote the most stringent one.

X(1855) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(??^?)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1856.6±5	20 ± 5	BRIDGES	86D SPEC	0. $\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi\pi N$

X(1870) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(2^{??})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1870±40	250 ± 30	ALDE	86D GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta X$

$a_3(1875)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(3^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1874±43±96	385 ± 121 ± 114	CHUNG	02 B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$

$B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow f_2(1270)\pi)/B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow \rho\pi)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.8 ± 0.2	¹² CHUNG	02	B852 $18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

¹² Using the observable fractions of 50.0% $\rho\pi$, 56.5% $f_2\pi$, and 11.8% $\rho_3\pi$.

$B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow \rho_3(1690)\pi)/B(a_3(1875) \rightarrow \rho\pi)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.9 ± 0.3	¹³ CHUNG	02	B852 $18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

¹³ Using the observable fractions of 50.0% $\rho\pi$, 56.5% $f_2\pi$, and 11.8% $\rho_3\pi$.

$a_1(1930) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1930^{+30}_{-70}	155 ± 45	ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC $2.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

$X(1935) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{-?})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1935 ± 20	215 ± 30	EVANGELIS...	79	OMEG $10,16 \pi^- p \rightarrow \bar{p}pn$

$\rho_2(1940) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(2^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1940 ± 40	155 ± 40	¹⁴ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC $0.6-1.9 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$

¹⁴ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$\omega_3(1945) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1945 ± 20	115 ± 22	¹⁵ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC $0.6-1.9 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹⁵ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$a_2(1950) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1950^{+30}_{-70}	180^{+30}_{-70}	¹⁶ ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC $1.96-2.41 \bar{p}p$

¹⁶ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

$\omega(1960) \quad I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1960 ± 25	195 ± 60	¹⁷ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC $0.6-1.9 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

¹⁷ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$b_1(1960)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^+ -)$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
1960 ± 35	230 ± 50	¹⁸ ANISOVICH	02 SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$	

¹⁸ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$h_1(1965)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^+ -)$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
1965 ± 45	345 ± 75	¹⁹ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$	

¹⁹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$f_1(1970)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^+ +)$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
1971 ± 15	240 ± 45	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC		

$X(1970)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
1970 ± 10	40 ± 20	CHLIAPNIK...	80 HBC	32 $K^+p \rightarrow 2K_S^0 2\pi X$	

$X(1975)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1973 ± 15	80	30	CASO	70 HBC	11.2 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \rho 2\pi$

$\omega_2(1975)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(2^- -)$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
1975 ± 20	175 ± 25	²⁰ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$	

²⁰ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$a_2(1990)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^+ +)$			
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2050 ± 10 ± 40	190 ± 22 ± 100	18k	²¹ SCHEGELSKY	06 RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
2003 ± 10 ± 19	249 ± 23 ± 32		LU	05 B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\pi^0 p$

²¹ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.11 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05$	18k	²² SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

²² From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

$\rho(2000)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2000 ± 30	260 ± 45	²³ BUGG	04C	RVUE Compilation
~ 1988	~ 244	HASAN	94	RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

²³ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$f_2(2000)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2001 ± 10	312 ± 32	ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC
~ 1996	~ 134	HASAN	94	RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$

$X(2000)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(??^+)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1964 ± 35	225 ± 50	²⁴ ARMSTRONG 93D	E760		$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~ 2100	~ 500	²⁴ ANTIPOV	77	CIBS	– 25 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \rho\pi^-\rho_3$
2214 ± 15	355 ± 21	²⁵ BALTAY	77	HBC	0 15 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \Delta^{++}3\pi$
2080 ± 40	340 ± 80	KALELKAR	75	HBC	+ 15 $\pi^+p \rightarrow \rho\pi^+\rho_3$

²⁴ Cannot determine spin to be 3.

²⁵ BALTAY 77 favors $J^P = ,3^+$.

$X(2000)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(4^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$1998 \pm 3 \pm 5$	<15	VLADIMIRSK...03	SPEC	$\pi^-p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 M M$

$\pi_2(2005)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$1974 \pm 14 \pm 83$	$341 \pm 61 \pm 139$	145k	LU	05	B852 18 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\pi^0\rho$
2005 ± 15	200 ± 40		ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC 2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

$\eta(2010)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2010^{+35}_{-60}	270 ± 60	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC

$\pi_1(2015)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^-+)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2014±20±16	230 ± 32 ± 73	145k	LU	05	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
2001±30±92	333 ± 52 ± 49	69k	KUHN	04	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

$a_0(2020)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2025±30	330 ± 75	ANISOVICH	99C SPEC

$X(2020)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2015±3	10 ± 4	FERRER	99	RVUE $\pi p \rightarrow p p \bar{p} \pi(\pi)$

$h_3(2025)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{+-})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2025±20	145 ± 30	²⁶ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p \bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \eta, \omega \pi^0 \pi^0$

²⁶ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$b_3(2030)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(3^{+-})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2032±12	117 ± 11	²⁷ ANISOVICH	02 SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p \bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \pi^0, \omega \eta \pi^0, \pi^+ \pi^-$

²⁷ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$a_2(2030)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2030±20	205 ± 30	²⁸ ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	1.96–2.41 $\bar{p} p$

²⁸ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

$a_3(2030)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(3^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2031±12	150 ± 18	²⁹ ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	1.96–2.41 $\bar{p} p$

²⁹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

$\eta_2(2030)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2030±5±15	205 ± 10 ± 15	ANISOVICH	00E SPEC

$B(a_2\pi)_{L=0}/B(a_2\pi)_{L=2}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.05 ± 0.03	³⁰ ANISOVICH 11	SPEC	$0.9-1.94 p\bar{p}$

³⁰ Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

$B(a_0\pi)/B(a_2\pi)_{L=2}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.10 ± 0.08	³¹ ANISOVICH 11	SPEC	$0.9-1.94 p\bar{p}$

³¹ Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

$B(f_2\eta)/B(a_2\pi)_{L=2}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.13 ± 0.06	³² ANISOVICH 11	SPEC	$0.9-1.94 p\bar{p}$

³² Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

$f_3(2050)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(3^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2048 ± 8	213 ± 34	ANISOVICH 00J	SPEC	$2.0 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$

$f_0(2060)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
~ 2050	~ 120	³³ OAKDEN 94	RVUE	$0.36-1.55 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2060	~ 50	³³ OAKDEN 94	RVUE	$0.36-1.55 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi\pi$

³³ See SEMENOV 99 and KLOET 96.

$\pi(2070)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2070 ± 35	310^{+100}_{-50}	ANISOVICH 01F	SPEC	$2.0 p\bar{p} \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

$X(2075)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$2075 \pm 12 \pm 5$	$90 \pm 35 \pm 9$	³⁴ ABLIKIM 04J	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^- p\bar{\Lambda}$

³⁴ From a fit in the region $M_{p\bar{\Lambda}} - M_p - M_{\Lambda} < 150$ MeV. *S*-wave in the $p\bar{\Lambda}$ system preferred.

A similar near-threshold enhancement in the $p\bar{\Lambda}$ system is observed in $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{\Lambda}\bar{D}^0$ by CHEN 11F.

$X(2080)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2080 ± 10	110 ± 20	KREYMER 80	STRC	$13 \pi^- d \rightarrow p\bar{p}n(n_s)$

X(2080) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(3^{-?})$		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>			
2080±10	190 ± 15	ROZANSKA 80	SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\bar{p}n$

a₁(2095) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$		<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>				
2096±17±121	451 ± 41 ± 81	69k	KUHN 04	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$

B(a₁(2095) → f₁(1285)π) / B(a₁(2095) → a₁(1260))		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>			
3.18±0.64	69k	KUHN 04	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$

η(2100) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>		
2103±50	187 ± 75	586	³⁵ BISELLO 89B	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$

³⁵ ASTON 81B sees no peak, has 850 events in Ajinenko+Barth bins. ARESTOV 80 sees no peak.

X(2100) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(0^{??})$		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>			
2100±40	250 ± 40	ALDE	86D	GAM4 100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta X$

X(2110) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(3^{-?})$		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>			
2110±10	330 ± 20	EVANGELIS...	79	OMEG 10,16 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \bar{p}pn$

f₂(2140) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>		
2141±12	49 ± 28	389	GREEN 86	MPSF 400 $pA \rightarrow 4KX$

X(2150) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(2^{+?})$		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>			
2150±10	260 ± 10	ROZANSKA 80	SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\bar{p}n$

a₂(2175) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>			
2175±40	310 ⁺⁹⁰ ₋₄₅	ANISOVICH 01F	SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

$\eta(2190)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2190 ± 50	850 ± 100	BUGG	99	BES

$\omega_2(2195)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(2^{--})$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2195 ± 30	225 ± 40	³⁶ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$
³⁶ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.				

$\omega(2205)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2205 ± 30	350 ± 90	³⁷ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$
³⁷ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.				

$X(2210)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2210 ⁺⁷⁹ ₋₂₁	203 ⁺⁴³⁷ ₋₈₇	EVANGELIS...	79B	OMEG 10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$

$X(2210)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ??(???)$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2207 ± 22	130	CASO	70	HBC 11.2 $\pi^- p$

$h_1(2215)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{+-})$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2215 ± 40	325 ± 55	³⁸ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$
³⁸ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.				

$\rho_2(2225)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(2^{--})$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2225 ± 35	335 ⁺¹⁰⁰ ₋₅₀	³⁹ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$
³⁹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.				

$\rho_4(2230)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(4^{--})$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2230 ± 25	210 ± 30	⁴⁰ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$

⁴⁰ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$b_1(2240)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{+-})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2240 ± 35	320 ± 85	⁴¹ ANISOVICH	02	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$

⁴¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$f_2(2240)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2240 ± 15	241 ± 30	⁴² ANISOVICH	00J	SPEC 1.92–2.41 $p\bar{p}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 2226 ~ 226 HASAN 94 RVUE $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi\pi$

⁴² From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99F, ANISOVICH 99J, ANISOVICH 99K, and ANISOVICH 00B. See also ANISOVICH 12.

$b_3(2245)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(3^{+-})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2245 ± 50	320 ± 70	⁴³ BUGG	04C RVUE

⁴³ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$\eta_2(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2248 ± 20	280 ± 20	ANISOVICH	00I SPEC
2267 ± 14	290 ± 50	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC

$\pi_4(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(4^{-+})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2250 ± 15	215 ± 25	ANISOVICH	01F	SPEC 2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

$\omega_4(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(4^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2250 ± 30	150 ± 50	⁴⁴ ANISOVICH	02B	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

⁴⁴ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$\omega_5(2250)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(5^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2250 ± 70	320 ± 95	⁴⁵ BUGG	04 RVUE

⁴⁵ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$\omega_3(2255)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2255 ± 15	175 ± 30	⁴⁶ ANISOVICH 02B	SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

⁴⁶ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$a_4(2255)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(4^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2237 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE				
2237 ± 5	291 ± 12	UMAN	06 E835	5.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
2255 ± 40	330 ⁺¹¹⁰ ₋₅₀	⁴⁷ ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

⁴⁷ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, and ANISOVICH 01F.

$a_2(2255)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2255 ± 20	230 ± 15	⁴⁸ ANISOVICH	01G SPEC	1.96–2.41 $\bar{p}p$

⁴⁸ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, ANISOVICH 01F, and ANISOVICH 01G.

$X(2260)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(4^{+?})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2260 ± 20	400 ± 100	EVANGELIS...	79 OMEG	10,16 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \bar{p}pn$

$\rho(2270)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2265 ± 40	325 ± 80	⁴⁹ ANISOVICH	02 SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$
2280 ± 50	440 ± 110	ATKINSON	85 OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p\omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

⁴⁹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

$a_1(2270)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2270 ⁺⁵⁵ ₋₄₀	305 ⁺⁷⁰ ₋₄₀	ANISOVICH	01F SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

$h_3(2275)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{+-})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2275 ± 25	190 ± 45	⁵⁰ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$

⁵⁰ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$a_3(2275)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(3^{++})$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>		
2275 ± 35	350 ⁺¹⁰⁰ ₋₅₀	⁵¹ ANISOVICH	01G SPEC	1.96–2.41	$p\bar{p}$	

⁵¹ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99E, ANISOVICH 01F, and ANISOVICH 01G.

$\pi_2(2285)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{-+})$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>		
2285 ± 20 ± 25	250 ± 20 ± 25	⁵² ANISOVICH	11 SPEC	0.9–1.94	$p\bar{p}$	

⁵² Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

$\omega_3(2285)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(3^{--})$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>		
2278 ± 28	224 ± 50	⁵³ BUGG	04A RVUE			
2285 ± 60	230 ± 40	⁵⁴ ANISOVICH	02B SPEC	0.6–1.9	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\eta, \omega\pi^0\pi^0$	

⁵³ Partial wave analysis of the data on $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Lambda$ from BARNES 00.

⁵⁴ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00D, ANISOVICH 01C, and ANISOVICH 02B.

$\omega(2290)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>		
2290 ± 20	275 ± 35	⁵⁵ BUGG	04A RVUE			

⁵⁵ Partial wave analysis of the data on $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Lambda$ from BARNES 00.

$f_2(2295)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>		
2293 ± 13	216 ± 37	⁵⁶ ANISOVICH	00J SPEC	1.92–2.41	$p\bar{p}$	

⁵⁶ From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 99C, ANISOVICH 99F, ANISOVICH 99J, ANISOVICH 99K, and ANISOVICH 00B. See also ANISOVICH 12.

$f_3(2300)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(3^{++})$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>		
2334 ± 25	200 ± 20	⁵⁷ BUGG	04A RVUE			

⁵⁷ Partial wave analysis of the data on $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\Lambda$ from BARNES 00.

$f_1(2310)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$				
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>		
2310 ± 60	255 ± 70	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC			

$\eta(2320)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$	
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2320 ± 15	230 ± 35	⁵⁸ ANISOVICH	00M SPEC

⁵⁸ From the combined analysis of $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\eta$ from ANISOVICH 00M and $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ from ANISOVICH 00J.

$\eta_4(2330)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(4^{-+})$	
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2328 ± 38	240 ± 90	ANISOVICH	00J SPEC

2.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$

$\omega(2330)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$	
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2330 ± 30	435 ± 75	ATKINSON	88 OMEG

25-50 $\gamma p \rightarrow \rho^\pm \rho^0 \pi^\mp$

$X(2340)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$	
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
2340 ± 20	180 ± 60	126	⁵⁹ BALTAY

75 HBC 15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p5\pi$

⁵⁹ Dominant decay into $\rho^0 \rho^0 \pi^+$. BALTAY 78 finds confirmation in $2\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$ events which contain $\rho^+ \rho^0 \pi^0$ and $2\rho^+ \pi^-$.

$\pi(2360)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{-+})$	
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2360 ± 25	300^{+100}_{-50}	ANISOVICH	01F SPEC

2.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta'$

$X(2360)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(4^{+?})$	
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2360 ± 10	430 ± 30	ROZANSKA	80 SPRK

18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\bar{p}n$

$X(2440)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(5^{-?})$	
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2440 ± 10	310 ± 20	ROZANSKA	80 SPRK

18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\bar{p}n$

$X(2632)$		$I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$	
<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
2635.2 ± 3.3		⁶⁰ EVDOKIMOV	04 SELX
2631.6 ± 2.1	< 17	⁶¹ EVDOKIMOV	04 SELX

$X(2632) \rightarrow D^+ \eta$

$X(2632) \rightarrow D_S^0 K^+$

⁶⁰ From a mass difference to D_S^+ of 666.9 ± 3.3 MeV.

⁶¹ From a mass difference to D_S^0 of 767.0 ± 2.0 MeV.

$B(X(2632) \rightarrow D^0 K^+)/B(X(2632) \rightarrow D_s^+ \eta)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
0.14 ± 0.06	⁶² EVDOKIMOV 04	SELX

⁶² Possible interpretation of this decay pattern is discussed by YASUI 07.

X(2680) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2676 ± 27	150	CASO	70 HBC	$11.2 \pi^- p \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$

X(2710) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(6^{+?})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2710 ± 20	170 ± 40	ROZANSKA	80 SPRK	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \rho \bar{p} n$

X(2750) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(7^{-?})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2747 ± 32	195 ± 75	DENNEY	83 LASS	$10 \pi^+ p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ p$

f₆(3100) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(6^{++})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3100 ± 100	700 ± 130	BINON	05 GAMS	$33 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta n$

X(3250) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$ 3-Body Decays

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$3250 \pm 8 \pm 20$	45 ± 18	ALEEV	93 BIS2	$X(3250) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} K^+$
$3265 \pm 7 \pm 20$	40 ± 18	ALEEV	93 BIS2	$X(3250) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} p K^-$

X(3250) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$ 4-Body Decays

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$3245 \pm 8 \pm 20$	25 ± 11	ALEEV	93 BIS2	$X(3250) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} K^+ \pi^\pm$
$3250 \pm 9 \pm 20$	50 ± 20	ALEEV	93 BIS2	$X(3250) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} p K^- \pi^\mp$
$3270 \pm 8 \pm 20$	25 ± 11	ALEEV	93 BIS2	$X(3250) \rightarrow K_S^0 p \bar{p} K^\pm$

X(3350) $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(?^{??})$

<u>MASS (MeV)</u>	<u>WIDTH (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$3350^{+10}_{-20} \pm 20$	$70^{+40}_{-30} \pm 40$	50 ± 10	⁶³ GABYSHEV 06A	BELL	$B^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \bar{p} \pi^-$

⁶³ A similar enhancement in the $\Lambda_c^+ \bar{p}$ final state is also reported by BABAR collaboration in AUBERT 10H.

REFERENCES for Further States

- ANISOVICH 12 PR D85 014001 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANASHIN 11 PL B703 543 V.V. Anashin *et al.* (KEDR Collab.)
 ANISOVICH 11 EPJ C71 1511 A.V. Anisovich *et al.* (LOQM, RAL, PNPI)
 CHEN 11F PR D84 071501 P. Chen *et al.* (BELLE Collab.)
 AUBERT 10H PR D82 031102R B. AUBERT *et al.* (BABAR Collab.)
 ABRAAMYAN 09 PR C80 034001 Kh.U. Abraamyan *et al.*
 LIU 09 PR D79 071102R C. Liu *et al.* (BELLE Collab.)
 VLADIMIRSK... 08 PAN 71 2129 V.V. Vladimirsky *et al.* (ITEP)
 Translated from YAF 71 2166.
 VLADIMIRSK... 07 PAN 70 1706 V. Vladimirsky *et al.*
 Translated from YAF 70 1751.
 YASUI 07 PR D76 034009 S. Yasui, M. Oka
 ABLIKIM 06J PRL 96 162002 M. Ablikim *et al.* (BES Collab.)
 ABLIKIM 06S PRL 97 142002 M. Ablikim *et al.* (BES Collab.)
 GABYSHEV 06A PRL 97 242001 N. Gabyshev *et al.* (BELLE Collab.)
 SCHEGELSKY 06 EPJ A27 199 V.A. Schegelsky *et al.*
 SCHEGELSKY 06A EPJ A27 207 V.A. Schegelsky *et al.*
 UMAN 06 PR D73 052009 I. Uman *et al.* (FNAL E835)
 VLADIMIRSK... 06 PAN 69 493 V.V. Vladimirsky *et al.* (ITEP, Moscow)
 Translated from YAF 69 515.
 BINON 05 PAN 68 960 F. Binon *et al.*
 Translated from YAF 68 998.
 GRIGOR'EV 05 PAN 68 1271 V.K. Grigor'ev *et al.* (ITEP)
 Translated from YAF 68 1324.
 LU 05 PRL 94 032002 M. Lu *et al.* (BNL E852 Collab.)
 ABLIKIM 04J PRL 93 112002 M. Ablikim *et al.* (BES Collab.)
 BUGG 04 PL B595 556 (erratum) D.V. Bugg
 BUGG 04A EPJ C36 161 D.V. Bugg
 BUGG 04C PRPL 397 257 D.V. Bugg
 EVDOKIMOV 04 PRL 93 242001 A.V. Evdokimov *et al.* (SELEX Collab.)
 KUHN 04 PL B595 109 J. Kuhn *et al.* (BNL E852 Collab.)
 ANISOVICH 03 EPJ A16 229 V.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 VLADIMIRSK... 03 PAN 66 700 V.V. Vladimirsky *et al.*
 Translated from YAF 66 729.
 ANISOVICH 02 PL B542 8 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANISOVICH 02B PL B542 19 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 CHUNG 02 PR D65 072001 S.U. Chung *et al.* (BNL E852 Collab.)
 LINK 02K PL B545 50 J.M. Link *et al.* (FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
 ANISOVICH 01C PL B507 23 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANISOVICH 01D PL B508 6 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANISOVICH 01E PL B513 281 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANISOVICH 01F PL B517 261 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANISOVICH 01G PL B517 273 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANISOVICH 00B NP A662 319 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANISOVICH 00D PL B476 15 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANISOVICH 00E PL B477 19 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANISOVICH 00I PL B491 40 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANISOVICH 00J PL B491 47 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANISOVICH 00M PL B496 145 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 BARNES 00 PR C62 055203 P.D. Barnes *et al.*
 FILIPPI 00 PL B495 284 A. Filippi *et al.* (OBELIX Experiment)
 VLADIMIRSKII 00 JETPL 72 486 V.V. Vladimirskii *et al.*
 Translated from ZETFP 72 698.
 ANISOVICH 99C PL B452 173 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANISOVICH 99E PL B452 187 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANISOVICH 99F NP A651 253 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANISOVICH 99J PL B471 271 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 ANISOVICH 99K PL B468 309 A.V. Anisovich *et al.*
 BUGG 99 PL B458 511 D.V. Bugg *et al.*
 FERRER 99 EPJ C10 249 A. Ferrer *et al.*
 SEMENOV 99 SPU 42 847 S.V. Semenov
 Translated from UFN 42 937.
 ADOMEIT 96 ZPHY C71 227 J. Adomeit *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
 KLOET 96 PR D53 6120 W.M. Kloet, F. Myhrer (RUTG, NORD)
 PROKOSHKIN 96 SPD 41 247 Y.D. Prokoshkin, V.D. Samoilenko (SERP)
 Translated from DANS 348 481.
 HASAN 94 PL B334 215 A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg (LOQM)
 OAKDEN 94 NP A574 731 M.N. Oakden, M.R. Pennington (DURH)
 ALEEV 93 PAN 56 1358 A.N. Alev *et al.* (BIS-2 Collab.)
 Translated from YAF 56 100.

ARMSTRONG	93D	PL B307 399	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
ALBRECHT	91F	ZPHY C50 1	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
CONDO	91	PR D43 2787	G.T. Condo <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC Hybrid Collab.)
BISELLO	89B	PR D39 701	G. Busetto <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
ATKINSON	88	ZPHY C38 535	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
DAFTARI	87	PRL 58 859	I.K. Daftari <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA)
ALDE	86D	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)
BRIDGES	86D	PL B180 313	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA, BNL, CASE+)
GREEN	86	PRL 56 1639	D.R. Green <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, ARIZ, FSU+)
ATKINSON	85	ZPHY C29 333	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
DENNEY	83	PR D28 2726	D.L. Denney <i>et al.</i>	(IOWA, MICH)
ASTON	81B	NP B189 205	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS+)
ARESTOV	80	IHEP 80-165	Y.I. Arestov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
CHLIAPNIK...	80	ZPHY C3 285	P.V. Chliapnikov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BRUX, MONS)
KREYMER	80	PR D22 36	A.E. Kreymer <i>et al.</i>	(IND, PURD, SLAC+)
ROZANSKA	80	NP B162 505	M. Rozanska <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN)
EVANGELIS...	79	NP B153 253	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
EVANGELIS...	79B	NP B154 381	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
BALTAY	78	PR D17 52	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BING)
ANTIPOV	77	NP B119 45	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, GEVA)
BALTAY	77	PRL 39 591	C. Baltay, C.V. Cautis, M. Kalelkar	(COLU)
BALTAY	75	PRL 35 891	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BING)
KALELKHAR	75	Thesis Nevis 207	M.S. Kalelkar	(COLU)
CASO	70	LNC 3 707	C. Caso <i>et al.</i>	(GENO, HAMB, MILA, SACL)
