$\Upsilon(2S)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

$\Upsilon(2S)$ MASS

VALUE (GeV)		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.02326±0.00031 OUR AVERAGE					
10.0235 ±0.0005	[1]	ARTAMONOV	00	MD1	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
10.0231 ±0.0004		BARBER	84	REDE	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
10.0236 ±0.0005	[2,3]	BARU	86B	REDE	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

[1] Reanalysis of BARU 86B using new electron mass (COHEN 87).
[2] Reanalysis of ARTAMONOV 84.
[3] Superseded by ARTAMONOV 00.

$m_{\Upsilon(3S)} - m_{\Upsilon(2S)}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
331.50±0.02±0.13	LEES	11C	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- X$

$\Upsilon(2S)$ WIDTH

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID
31.98±2.63 OUR EVALUATION	See the Note on "Width Determinations of the Υ States"

$\Upsilon(2S)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	(17.92± 0.26) %	
Γ_2	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0$	(8.6 ± 0.4) %	
Γ_3	$\tau^+\tau^-$	(2.00± 0.21) %	
Γ_4	$\mu^+\mu^-$	(1.93± 0.17) %	S=2.2
Γ_5	e^+e^-	(1.91± 0.16) %	
Γ_6	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0$	< 1.8 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_7	$\Upsilon(1S)\eta$	(2.34± 0.31) $\times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_8	$J/\psi(1S)$ anything	< 6 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_9	$\overline{d}$ anything	(3.4 ± 0.6) $\times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{10}	hadrons	(94 ±11) %	
Γ_{11}	ggg	(58.8 ± 1.2) %	
Γ_{12}	γgg	(8.8 ± 1.1) %	

Radiative decays

Γ_{13}	$\gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)$		(6.9 ± 0.4) %		
Γ_{14}	$\gamma\chi_{b2}(1P)$		(7.15± 0.35) %		
Γ_{15}	$\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)$		(3.8 ± 0.4) %		
Γ_{16}	$\gamma f_0(1710)$		< 5.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{17}	$\gamma f'_2(1525)$		< 5.3	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{18}	$\gamma f_2(1270)$		< 2.41	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{19}	$\gamma f_J(2220)$				
Γ_{20}	$\gamma\eta_c(1S)$		< 2.7	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{21}	$\gamma\chi_{c0}$		< 1.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{22}	$\gamma\chi_{c1}$		< 3.6	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{23}	$\gamma\chi_{c2}$		< 1.5	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{24}	$\gamma X(3872) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$		< 8	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{25}	$\gamma X(3872) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 J/\psi$		< 2.4	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{26}	$\gamma X(3915) \to \omega J/\psi$		< 2.8	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{27}	$\gamma X(4140) \to \phi J/\psi$		< 1.2	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{28}	$\gamma X(4350) \to \phi J/\psi$		< 1.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{29}	$\gamma\eta_b(1S)$		(3.9 ± 1.5)	$\times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{30}	$\gamma X \to \gamma + \geq 4$ prongs	[a]	< 1.95	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=95%
Γ_{31}	$\gamma A^0 \to \gamma$ hadrons		< 8	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%

Lepton Family number (*LF*) violating modes

Γ_{32}	$e^\pm\tau^\mp$	*LF*	< 3.2	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{33}	$\mu^\pm\tau^\mp$	*LF*	< 3.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%

[a] 1.5 GeV $< m_X <$ 5.0 GeV

$\Upsilon(2S)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_4\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.5±1.5±1.0	KOBEL	92	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_1\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
105.4±1.0±4.2	11.8K	[4] AUBERT	08BP	BABR	10.58 $e^+e^- \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$

[4] Using B($\Upsilon(1S) \to e^+e^-$) = (2.38 ± 0.11)% and B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (2.48 ± 0.05)%.

$\Gamma(\text{hadrons}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{10}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.577±0.009 OUR AVERAGE				
0.581±0.004±0.009	[5] ROSNER	06	CLEO	10.0 $e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.552±0.031±0.017	[5] BARU	96	MD1	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.54 ±0.04 ±0.02	[5] JAKUBOWSKI	88	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.58 ±0.03 ±0.04	[6] GILES	84B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

0.60 ±0.12 ±0.07	[6] ALBRECHT	82	DASP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.54 ±0.07 $^{+0.09}_{-0.05}$	[6] NICZYPORUK	81C	LENA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.41 ±0.18	[6] BOCK	80	CNTR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

[5] Radiative corrections evaluated following KURAEV 85.
[6] Radiative corrections reevaluated by BUCHMUELLER 88 following KURAEV 85.

$\Upsilon(2S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_5

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID
0.612±0.011 OUR EVALUATION	

$\Upsilon(2S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_1/Γ

Abbreviation MM in the *COMMENT* field below stands for missing mass.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
17.92±0.26 OUR AVERAGE					
16.8 ±1.1 ±1.3	906k	[7] LEES	11C	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- X$
17.80$\pm0.05\pm0.37$	170k	[8] LEES	11L	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$
18.02$\pm0.02\pm0.61$	851k	[9] BHARI	09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ MM
17.22$\pm0.17\pm0.75$	11.8K	[10] AUBERT	08BP	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
19.2 ±0.2 ±1.0	52.6k	[11] ALEXANDER	98	CLE2	$\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-$ MM
18.1 ±0.5 ±1.0	11.6k	ALBRECHT	87	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ MM
16.9 ±4.0		GELPHMAN	85	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
19.1 ±1.2 ±0.6		BESSON	84	CLEO	$\pi^+\pi^-$ MM
18.9 ±2.6		FONSECA	84	CUSB	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\pi^+\pi^-$
21 ±7	7	NICZYPORUK	81B	LENA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\pi^+\pi^-$

[7] LEES 11C reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\text{anything})] = (1.78 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\text{anything}) = (10.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
[8] Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.
[9] A weighted average of the inclusive and exclusive results.
[10] Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (1.91 \pm 0.16)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17)\%$ and, $\Gamma_{ee}(\Upsilon(2S)) = 0.612 \pm 0.011$ keV.
[11] Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.52 \pm 0.17)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.07)\%$.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.6 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE					
8.43$\pm0.16\pm0.42$	38k	[12] BHARI	09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
9.2 ±0.6 ±0.8	275	[13] ALEXANDER	98	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
9.5 ±1.9 ±1.9	25	ALBRECHT	87	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
8.0 ±1.5		GELPHMAN	85	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
10.3 ±2.3		FONSECA	84	CUSB	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$

[12] Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 4.96\%$.
[13] Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.52 \pm 0.17)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.07)\%$.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.462 ± 0.037	[14] BHARI	09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)$

[14] Not independent of other values reported by BHARI 09.

$\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.00±0.21 OUR AVERAGE					
$2.00\pm0.12\pm0.18$	22k	[15] BESSON	07	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$
$1.7\ \pm1.5\ \pm0.6$		HAAS	84B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$

[15] BESSON 07 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma_{total}]$ / $[B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)] = 1.04 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0193±0.0017 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.						
$0.0203\pm0.0003\pm0.0008$		120k	ADAMS	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
$0.0122\pm0.0028\pm0.0019$			[16] KOBEL	92	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
$0.0138\pm0.0025\pm0.0015$			KAARSBERG	89	CSB2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
$0.009\ \pm0.006\ \pm0.006$			[17] ALBRECHT	85	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
$0.018\ \pm0.008\ \pm0.005$			HAAS	84B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.038	90		NICZYPORUK	81C	LENA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

[16] Taking into account interference between the resonance and continuum.
[17] Re-evaluated using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 0.026$.



$\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ — Γ_3/Γ_4

VALUE	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1.04±0.04±0.05	22k	BESSON	07	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)$

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<0.18	90	[18] HE	08A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<1.1	90	ALEXANDER	98	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
<8	90	LURZ	87	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$

[18] Authors assume B($\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-$) + B($\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$) = 4.96%.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	*CL%*	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
2.34±0.31 OUR AVERAGE						
2.39±0.31±0.14		112	[19] LEES	11L	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\eta$
2.1 $^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$ ±0.3		14	[20] HE	08A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
< 9	90		[19,21] AUBERT	08BP	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
< 28	90		ALEXANDER	98	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\eta$
< 50	90		ALBRECHT	87	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$ MM
< 70	90		LURZ	87	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-(\gamma\gamma,\ 3\pi^0)$
< 100	90		BESSON	84	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$ MM
< 20	90		FONSECA	84	CUSB	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-(\gamma\gamma,\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

[19] Using B($\Upsilon(1S) \to e^+ e^-$) = (2.38 ± 0.11)% and B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+ \mu^-$) = (2.48 ± 0.05)%.
[20] Authors assume B($\Upsilon(1S) \to e^+ e^-$) + B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+ \mu^-$) = 4.96%.
[21] Using $\Gamma_{ee}(\Upsilon(2S))$ = 0.612 ± 0.011 keV.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.35±0.17±0.08		[22] LEES	11L	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \to (\pi^+\pi^-)(\gamma\gamma)\mu^+\mu^-$
<5.2	90	[23] AUBERT	08BP	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-(\pi^0)\ell^+\ell^-$

[22] Not independent of other values reported by LEES 11L.
[23] Not independent of other values reported by AUBERT 08BP.

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)$ anything$)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_8/Γ

VALUE	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<0.006	90	MASCHMANN	90	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\overline{d}$ anything$)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
3.37±0.50±0.25	58	ASNER	07	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \overline{d}X$

$\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
58.8±1.2	6M	[24] BESSON	06A	CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \to$ hadrons

[24] Calculated using the value $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg)$ = (3.18 ± 0.04 ± 0.22 ± 0.41)% from BESSON 06A and PDG 08 values of B($\pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S)$) = (18.1 ± 0.4)%, B($\pi^0\pi^0\Upsilon(1S)$) = (8.6 ± 0.4)%, B($\mu^+\mu^-$) = (1.93 ± 0.17)%, and $R_{hadrons}$ = 3.51. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with that of $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{total}$ measurement of BESSON 06A.

$\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
8.79±1.05	100k	[25] BESSON	06A	CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma +$ hadrons

[25] Calculated using BESSON 06A values of $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg)$ = (3.18 ± 0.04 ± 0.22 ± 0.41)% and $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{total}$. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with that of $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{total}$ measurement of BESSON 06A.

$\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg)$ — Γ_{12}/Γ_{11}

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
3.18±0.04±0.47	6M	BESSON	06A	CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \to (\gamma +)$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.069 ±0.004 OUR AVERAGE					
0.0693±0.0012±0.0041	407k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
0.069 ±0.005 ±0.009		EDWARDS	99	CLE2	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\chi(1P)$
0.091 ±0.018 ±0.022		ALBRECHT	85E	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$conv. X
0.065 ±0.007 ±0.012		NERNST	85	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$X
0.080 ±0.017 ±0.016		HAAS	84	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$conv. X
0.059 ±0.014		KLOPFEN...	83	CUSB	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$X

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0715±0.0035 OUR AVERAGE					
0.0724±0.0011±0.0040	410k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
0.074 ±0.005 ±0.008		EDWARDS	99	CLE2	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\chi(1P)$
0.098 ±0.021 ±0.024		ALBRECHT	85E	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$conv. X
0.058 ±0.007 ±0.010		NERNST	85	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$X
0.102 ±0.018 ±0.021		HAAS	84	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$conv. X
0.061 ±0.014		KLOPFEN...	83	CUSB	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$X

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.038 ±0.004 OUR AVERAGE					
0.0375±0.0012±0.0047	198k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
0.034 ±0.005 ±0.006		EDWARDS	99	CLE2	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\chi(1P)$
0.064 ±0.014 ±0.016		ALBRECHT	85E	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$conv. X
0.036 ±0.008 ±0.009		NERNST	85	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$X
0.044 ±0.023 ±0.009		HAAS	84	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$conv. X
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.035 ±0.014		KLOPFEN...	83	CUSB	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$X

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<59	90	[26] ALBRECHT	89	ARG	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma K^+K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 5.9	90	[27] ALBRECHT	89	ARG	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

[26] Re-evaluated assuming B($f_0(1710) \to K^+K^-$) = 0.19.
[27] Includes unknown branching ratio of $f_0(1710) \to \pi^+\pi^-$.

$\Gamma(\gamma f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<53	90	[28] ALBRECHT	89	ARG	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma K^+K^-$

[28] Re-evaluated assuming B($f'_2(1525) \to K\overline{K}$) = 0.71.

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<24.1	90	[29] ALBRECHT	89	ARG	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

[29] Using B($f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi$) = 0.84.

$\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<6.8	90	[30] ALBRECHT	89	ARG	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma K^+ K^-$

[30] Includes unknown branching ratio of $f_J(2220) \to K^+ K^-$.

$\Gamma(\gamma \eta_b(1S))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$3.9 \pm 1.1^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$		13 ± 5k	[31] AUBERT	09AQ	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<21	90		LEES	11J	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \to X \gamma$
< 8.4	90		[31] BONVICINI	10	CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$
< 5.1	90		[32] ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \to \gamma X$

[31] Assuming $\Gamma_{\eta_b(1S)} = 10$ MeV.

[32] Superseded by BONVICINI 10.

$\Gamma(\gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{c0})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<3.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{c2})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma X(3872) \to \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<0.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma X(3872) \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 J/\psi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.4 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma X(3915) \to \omega J/\psi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma X(4140) \to \phi J/\psi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma X(4350) \to \phi J/\psi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
$<1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma X \to \gamma + \geq 4\ \text{prongs})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{30}/Γ

(1.5 GeV $< m_X <$ 5.0 GeV)

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<1.95	95	ROSNER	07A	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \to \gamma\,\text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{31}/Γ

(0.3 GeV $< m_{A^0} <$ 7 GeV)

VALUE	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
$<8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[33] LEES	11H	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma$ hadrons

[33] For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar A^0, excluding known resonances, with mass in the range 0.3–7 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of m_{A^0} range from 1×10^{-6} to 8×10^{-5}.

LEPTON FAMILY NUMBER (*LF*) VIOLATING MODES

$\Gamma(e^{\pm}\tau^{\mp})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<3.2	90	LEES	10B	BABR	$e^+ e^- \to e^{\pm}\tau^{\mp}$

$\Gamma(\mu^{\pm}\tau^{\mp})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{33}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
< 3.3	90	LEES	10B	BABR	$e^+ e^- \to \mu^{\pm}\tau^{\mp}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<14.4	95	LOVE	08A	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \to \mu^{\pm}\tau^{\mp}$

$\Upsilon(2S)$ Cross-Particle Branching Ratios

$B(\Upsilon(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \to \Upsilon(2S)X)$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1.78±0.02±0.11	906k	LEES	11C	BABR	$e^+ e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- X$

$\Upsilon(2S)$ REFERENCES

LEES	11C	PR D84 011104	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11H	PRL 107 221803	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11J	PR D84 072002	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11L	PR D84 092003	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
WANG	11B	PR D84 071107	X.L. Wang *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
BONVICINI	10	PR D81 031104R	G. Bonvicini *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
LEES	10B	PRL 104 151802	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09AQ	PRL 103 161801	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
BHARI	09	PR D79 011103	S.R. Bhari *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	08BP	PR D78 112002	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
HE	08A	PRL 101 192001	Q. He *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
LOVE	08A	PRL 101 201601	W. Love *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)
ASNER	07	PR D75 012009	D.M. Asner *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
BESSON	07	PRL 98 052002	D. Besson *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)

ROSNER	07A	PR D76 117102	J.L. Rosner *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
BESSON	06A	PR D74 012003	D. Besson *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ROSNER	06	PRL 96 092003	J.L. Rosner *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ADAMS	05	PRL 94 012001	G.S. Adams *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTUSO	05	PRL 94 032001	M. Artuso *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTAMONOV	00	PL B474 427	A.S. Artamonov *et al.*	
EDWARDS	99	PR D59 032003	K.W. Edwards *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ALEXANDER	98	PR D58 052004	J.P. Alexander *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
BARU	96	PRPL 267 71	S.E. Baru *et al.*	(NOVO)
KOBEL	92	ZPHY C53 193	M. Kobel *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
MASCHMANN	90	ZPHY C46 555	W.S. Maschmann *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ALBRECHT	89	ZPHY C42 349	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)
KAARSBERG	89	PRL 62 2077	T.M. Kaarsberg *et al.*	(CUSB Collab.)
BUCHMUEL...	88	HE e^+e^- Physics 412	W. Buchmueller, S. Cooper	(HANN, DESY, MIT)
Editors: A. Ali and P. Soeding, World Scientific, Singapore				
JAKUBOWSKI	88	ZPHY C40 49	Z. Jakubowski *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.) IGJPC
ALBRECHT	87	ZPHY C35 283	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)
LURZ	87	ZPHY C36 383	B. Lurz *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BARU	86B	ZPHY C32 622 (erratum)	S.E. Baru *et al.*	(NOVO)
ALBRECHT	85	ZPHY C28 45	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	85E	PL 160B 331	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)
GELPHMAN	85	PR D32 2893	D. Gelphman *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
KURAEV	85	SJNP 41 466	E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 41 733.		
NERNST	85	PRL 54 2195	R. Nernst *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ARTAMONOV	84	PL 137B 272	A.S. Artamonov *et al.*	(NOVO)
BARBER	84	PL 135B 498	D.P. Barber *et al.*	(DESY, ARGUS Collab.+)
BESSON	84	PR D30 1433	D. Besson *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
FONSECA	84	NP B242 31	V. Fonseca *et al.*	(CUSB Collab.)
GILES	84B	PR D29 1285	R. Giles *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
HAAS	84	PRL 52 799	J. Haas *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
HAAS	84B	PR D30 1996	J. Haas *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
KLOPFEN...	83	PRL 51 160	C. Klopfenstein *et al.*	(CUSB Collab.)
ALBRECHT	82	PL 116B 383	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(DESY, DORT, HEIDH+)
NICZYPORUK	81B	PL 100B 95	B. Niczyporuk *et al.*	(LENA Collab.)
NICZYPORUK	81C	PL 99B 169	B. Niczyporuk *et al.*	(LENA Collab.)
BOCK	80	ZPHY C6 125	P. Bock *et al.*	(HEIDP, MPIM, DESY, HAMB)