

$\Lambda(1520) \ 3/2^-$

$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{3}{2}^-)$ Status: * * * *

Discovered by FERRO-LUZZI 62; the elaboration in WATSON 63 is the classic paper on the Breit-Wigner analysis of a multichannel resonance.

The measurements of the mass, width, and elasticity published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They were last listed in our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

Production and formation experiments agree quite well, so they are listed together here.

$\Lambda(1520)$ MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1519.5 ±1.0				OUR ESTIMATE
1519.53±0.19				OUR AVERAGE
1520.4 ±0.6 ±1.5		¹ QIANG	10	SPEC $ep \rightarrow e'K^+X$ (fit to X)
1517.3 ±1.5	300	BARBER	80D	SPEC $\gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)K^+$
1517.8 ±1.2	5k	BARLAG	79	HBC K^-p 4.2 GeV/c
1520.0 ±0.5		ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1519.7 ±0.3	4k	CAMERON	77	HBC K^-p 0.96–1.36 GeV/c
1519 ±1		GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1519.4 ±0.3	2000	CORDEN	75	DBC K^-d 1.4–1.8 GeV/c

¹QIANG 10 gets 1518.8 MeV for the pole mass (no errors given).

$\Lambda(1520)$ WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
15.6 ±1.0				OUR ESTIMATE
15.64±0.29				OUR AVERAGE
				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
18.6 ±1.9 ±1.0		² QIANG	10	SPEC $ep \rightarrow e'K^+X$ (fit to X)
16.3 ±3.3	300	BARBER	80D	SPEC $\gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)K^+$
16 ±1		GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
14 ±3	677	³ BARLAG	79	HBC K^-p 4.2 GeV/c
15.4 ±0.5		ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
16.3 ±0.5	4k	CAMERON	77	HBC K^-p 0.96–1.36 GeV/c
15.0 ±0.5		GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
15.5 ±1.6	2000	CORDEN	75	DBC K^-d 1.4–1.8 GeV/c

²QIANG 10 gets 17.2 MeV for the pole width (no errors given).

³From the best-resolution sample of $\Lambda\pi\pi$ events only.

$\Lambda(1520)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $N\bar{K}$	$45 \pm 1\%$
Γ_2 $\Sigma\pi$	$42 \pm 1\%$
Γ_3 $\Lambda\pi\pi$	$10 \pm 1\%$
Γ_4 $\Sigma(1385)\pi$	
Γ_5 $\Sigma(1385)\pi(\rightarrow\Lambda\pi\pi)$	
Γ_6 $\Lambda(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}$	
Γ_7 $\Sigma\pi\pi$	$0.9 \pm 0.1\%$
Γ_8 $\Lambda\gamma$	$0.85 \pm 0.15\%$
Γ_9 $\Sigma^0\gamma$	

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 9 branching ratios uses 26 measurements and one constraint to determine 6 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 17.6$ for 21 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle\delta x_i\delta x_j\rangle/(\delta x_i\delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	-64				
x_3	-32	-34			
x_7	-4	-3	-1		
x_8	-8	-7	-3	0	
x_9	-24	-21	-10	-1	-1
	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_7	x_8

$\Lambda(1520)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.45 ± 0.01 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.447 ± 0.007 OUR FIT			Error includes scale factor of 1.2.	
0.455 ± 0.011 OUR AVERAGE				
0.47 ± 0.02	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$	
0.45 ± 0.03	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$	
0.448 ± 0.014	CORDEN	75	DBC K^-d 1.4–1.8 GeV/c	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.47 ± 0.01	GOPAL	77	DPWA See GOPAL 80	
0.42	MAST	76	HBC $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0n$	


$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.42 ± 0.01 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.420 ± 0.007 OUR FIT			Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
0.423 ± 0.011 OUR AVERAGE			
0.426 ± 0.014	CORDEN	75	DBC $K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c
0.418 ± 0.017	BARBARO-...	69B	HBC $K^- p$ 0.28–0.45 GeV/c
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.46	KIM	71	DPWA K-matrix analysis

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)/\Gamma(N\bar{K})$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.940 ± 0.026 OUR FIT			Error includes scale factor of 1.3.
0.95 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE			Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.
0.98 ± 0.03	⁴ GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.82 ± 0.08	BURKHARDT	69	HBC $K^- p$ 0.8–1.2 GeV/c
1.06 ± 0.14	SCHEUER	68	DBC $K^- N$ 3 GeV/c
0.96 ± 0.20	DAHL	67	HBC $\pi^- p$ 1.6–4 GeV/c
0.73 ± 0.11	DAUBER	67	HBC $K^- p$ 2 GeV/c
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1.06 ± 0.12	BERTHON	74	HBC Quasi-2-body σ
1.72 ± 0.78	MUSGRAVE	65	HBC

⁴ The $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$ amplitude at resonance is $+0.46 \pm 0.01$.



$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.10 ± 0.01 OUR ESTIMATE

0.095 ± 0.005 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

0.096 ± 0.008 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.6.

0.091 ± 0.006 CORDEN 75 DBC $K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c

0.11 ± 0.01 ⁵ MAST 73B IPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi\pi$

⁵ Assumes $\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 0.46 \pm 0.02$.

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)/\Gamma(N\bar{K})$ Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.213 ± 0.012 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

0.202 ± 0.021 OUR AVERAGE

0.22 ± 0.03 BURKHARDT 69 HBC $K^- p$ 0.8–1.2 GeV/c

0.19 ± 0.04 SCHEUER 68 DBC $K^- N$ 3 GeV/c

0.17 ± 0.05 DAHL 67 HBC $\pi^- p$ 1.6–4 GeV/c

0.21 ± 0.18 DAUBER 67 HBC $K^- p$ 2 GeV/c

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.27 ± 0.13 BERTHON 74 HBC Quasi-2-body σ

0.2 KIM 71 DPWA K-matrix analysis

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_3

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

4.42 ± 0.25 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

3.9 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE

3.9 ± 1.0 UHLIG 67 HBC $K^- p$ 0.9–1.0 GeV/c

3.3 ± 1.1 BIRMINGHAM 66 HBC $K^- p$ 3.5 GeV/c

4.5 ± 1.0 ARMENTEROS65C HBC

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.041 ± 0.005 CHAN 72 HBC $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi(\rightarrow\Lambda\pi\pi))/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_3

The $\Lambda\pi\pi$ mode is largely due to $\Sigma(1385)\pi$. Only the values of $(\Sigma(1385)\pi) / (\Lambda\pi\pi)$ given by MAST 73B and CORDEN 75 are based on real 3-body partial-wave analyses.

The discrepancy between the two results is essentially due to the different hypotheses made concerning the shape of the $(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}$ state.

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.58 ± 0.22 CORDEN 75 DBC $K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c

0.82 ± 0.10 ⁶ MAST 73B IPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.44 90 WIELAND 11 SPHR $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ \Lambda(1520)$

0.39 ± 0.10 ⁷ BURKHARDT 71 HBC $K^- p \rightarrow (\Lambda\pi\pi)\pi$

⁶ Both $\Sigma(1385)\pi DS_{03}$ and $\Sigma(\pi\pi) DP_{03}$ contribute.

⁷ The central bin (1514–1524 MeV) gives 0.74 ± 0.10 ; other bins are lower by 2-to-5 standard deviations.

$\Gamma(\Lambda(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)$

Γ_6/Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.20 ± 0.08	CORDEN	75	DBC $K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.009 ± 0.001 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.0086 ± 0.0005 OUR FIT			
0.0086 ± 0.0005 OUR AVERAGE			
0.007 ± 0.002	⁸ CORDEN	75	DBC $K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c
0.0085 ± 0.0006	⁹ MAST	73	MPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi\pi$
0.010 ± 0.0015	BARBARO-...	69B	HBC $K^- p$ 0.28–0.45 GeV/c

⁸ Much of the $\Sigma\pi\pi$ decay proceeds via $\Sigma(1385)\pi$.

⁹ Assumes $\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 0.46$.

$\Gamma(\Lambda\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.5 ± 1.5 OUR ESTIMATE				
8.8 ± 1.1 OUR FIT				
8.8 ± 1.1 OUR AVERAGE				
10.7 ± 2.9 ^{+1.5} _{-0.4}	32	TAYLOR	05	CLAS $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ \Lambda\gamma$
10.2 ± 2.1 ± 1.5	290	ANTIPOV	04A	SPNX $pN(C) \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)K^+N(C)$
8.0 ± 1.4	238	MAST	68B	HBC Using $\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 0.45$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0195 ± 0.0034 OUR FIT			
0.02 ± 0.0035	¹⁰ MAST	68B	HBC Not measured; see note

¹⁰ Calculated from $\Gamma(\Lambda\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$, assuming SU(3). Needed to constrain the sum of all the branching ratios to be unity.

$\Lambda(1520)$ REFERENCES

WIELAND	11	EPJ A47 47	F. Wieland <i>et al.</i>	(ELSA SAPHIR Collab.)
QIANG	10	PL B694 123	Y. Qiang <i>et al.</i>	(DUKE, JEFF, PNPI, GWU+)
TAYLOR	05	PR C71 054609	S. Taylor <i>et al.</i>	(JLab CLAS Collab.)
Also		PR C72 039902 (errat.)	S. Taylor <i>et al.</i>	(JLab CLAS Collab.)
ANTIPOV	04A	PL B604 22	Yu.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(IHEP SPHINX Collab.)
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HEL5, CIT, CERN)
BARBER	80D	ZPHY C7 17	D.P. Barber <i>et al.</i>	(DARE, LANC, SHEF)
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL) IJP
BARLAG	79	NP B149 220	S.J.M. Barlag <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, NIJM+)
ALSTON-...	78	PR D18 182	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
Also		PRL 38 1007	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
CAMERON	77	NP B131 399	W. Cameron <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOIC) IJP
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
MAST	76	PR D14 13	T.S. Mast <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
CORDEN	75	NP B84 306	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM)
BERTHON	74	NC 21A 146	A. Berthon <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, RHEL, SACL+)
MAST	73	PR D7 3212	T.S. Mast <i>et al.</i>	(LBL) IJP
MAST	73B	PR D7 5	T.S. Mast <i>et al.</i>	(LBL) IJP
CHAN	72	PRL 28 256	S.B. Chan <i>et al.</i>	(MASA, YALE)

BURKHARDT	71	NP B27 64	E. Burkhardt <i>et al.</i>	(HEID, CERN, SACL)
KIM	71	PRL 27 356	J.K. Kim	(HARV) IJP
Also		Duke Conf. 161	J.K. Kim	(HARV) IJP
Hyperon Resonances,		1970		
BARBARO-...	69B	Lund Conf. 352	A. Barbaro-Galtieri <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
Also		Duke Conf. 95	R.D. Tripp	(LRL)
Hyperon Resonances		1970		
BURKHARDT	69	NP B14 106	E. Burkhardt <i>et al.</i>	(HEID, EFI, CERN+)
MAST	68B	PRL 21 1715	T.S. Mast <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
SCHEUER	68	NP B8 503	J.C. Scheuer <i>et al.</i>	(SABRE Collab.)
DAHL	67	PR 163 1377	O.I. Dahl <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
DAUBER	67	PL 24B 525	P.M. Dauber <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA)
UHLIG	67	PR 155 1448	R.P. Uhlig <i>et al.</i>	(UMD, NRL)
BIRMINGHAM	66	PR 152 1148	M. Haque <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, GLAS, LOIC, OXF+)
ARMENTEROS	65C	PL 19 338	R. Armenteros <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEID, SACL)
MUSGRAVE	65	NC 35 735	B. Musgrave <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, EPOL+)
WATSON	63	PR 131 2248	M.B. Watson, M. Ferro-Luzzi, R.D. Tripp	(LRL) IJP
FERRO-LUZZI	62	PRL 8 28	M. Ferro-Luzzi, R.D. Tripp, M.B. Watson	(LRL) IJP
