

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

(A) Neutrino fluxes and event ratios

Events (observed/expected) from accelerator u_{μ} experiments.

Some neutrino oscillation experiments compare the flux in two or more detectors. This is usually quoted as the ratio of the event rate in the far detector to the expected rate based on an extrapolation from the near detector in the absence of oscillations.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
\bullet \bullet We do not use the following	wing data for ave	rages,	fits, lim	its, etc. • • •
$\begin{array}{c} 0.71 \!\pm\! 0.08 \\ 0.64 \!\pm\! 0.05 \end{array}$	¹ AHN ² MICHAEL			K2K to Super-K All charged current events
$0.71\substack{+0.08\\-0.09}$	³ ALIU	05	K2K	KEK to Super-K
$0.70^{+0.10}_{-0.11}$	⁴ AHN	03	K2K	KEK to Super-K

¹Based on the observation of 112 events when $158.1^{+9.2}_{-8.6}$ were expected without oscillations. Including not only the number of events but also the shape of the energy distribution, the evidence for oscillation is at the level of about 4.3 σ . Supersedes ALIU 05.

² This ratio is based on the observation of 215 events compared to an expectation of 336 ± 14 without oscillations. See also ADAMSON 08. ³ This ratio is based on the observation of 107 events at the far detector 250 km away

 5 This ratio is based on the observation of 107 events at the far detector 250 km away from KEK, and an expectation of $151^{+12}_{-10}.$

⁴ This ratio is based on the observation of 56 events with an expectation of 80.1 + 6.2

Events (observed/expected) from reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$ experiments.

The quoted values are the ratios of the measured reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$ event rate at the quoted distances, and the rate expected without oscillations. The expected rate is based on the experimental data for the most significant reactor fuels (²³⁵U, ²³⁹Pu, ²⁴¹Pu) and on calculations for ²³⁸U.

A recent re-evaluation of the spectral conversion of electron to $\overline{\nu}_e$ in MUELLER 11 results in an upward shift of the reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$ spectrum by 3% and, thus, might require revisions to the ratios listed in this table.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.944 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.003$	¹ AN	13	DAYA	DayaBay, LIng Ao/Ao II reactors
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the	e following data for	avera	ages, fits,	, limits, etc. • • •
$0.944 \!\pm\! 0.016 \!\pm\! 0.040$	² ABE	12	DCHZ	Chooz reactors
$0.920 \!\pm\! 0.009 \!\pm\! 0.014$	³ AHN	12	RENO	Yonggwang reactors
$0.940\!\pm\!0.011\!\pm\!0.004$	⁴ AN	12	DAYA	DayaBay, LIng Ao/Ao II reactors
$1.08\ \pm 0.21\ \pm 0.16$	⁵ DENIZ	10	TEXO	Kuo-Sheng reactor, 28 m
$0.658 \pm 0.044 \pm 0.047$	⁶ ARAKI	05	KLND	Japanese react. ~ 180 km
$0.611 \!\pm\! 0.085 \!\pm\! 0.041$	⁷ EGUCHI	03	KLND	Japanese react. ~ 180 km
$1.01 \ \pm 0.024 \pm 0.053$	⁸ BOEHM	01		Palo Verde react. 0.75–0.89 km
$1.01 \ \pm 0.028 \pm 0.027$	⁹ APOLLONIO	99	CHOZ	Chooz reactors 1 km
HTTP://PDG.LBL.G	GOV Pa	age 1		Created: 7/12/2013 14:52

$0.987 \!\pm\! 0.006 \!\pm\! 0.037$	¹⁰ GREENWOOD			Savannah River, 18.2 m
$0.988 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.05$	ACHKAR	95	CNTR	Bugey reactor, 15 m
$0.994 \!\pm\! 0.010 \!\pm\! 0.05$	ACHKAR	95	CNTR	Bugey reactor, 40 m
$0.915\!\pm\!0.132\!\pm\!0.05$	_	95		Bugey reactor, 95 m
$0.987 \!\pm\! 0.014 \!\pm\! 0.027$		94		Bugey reactor, 15 m
$0.985 \!\pm\! 0.018 \!\pm\! 0.034$		91	CNTR	Rovno reactor
$1.05 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.05$	VUILLEUMIER	82		Gösgen reactor
$0.955 \!\pm\! 0.035 \!\pm\! 0.110$		81		$\overline{\nu}_e p \rightarrow e^+ n$
$0.89 \ \pm 0.15$	¹² ВОЕНМ	80		$\overline{\nu}_e p \rightarrow e^+ n$

¹ AN 13 use six identical detectors, with three placed near the reactor cores (flux-weighted baselines of 470 and 576 m) and the remaining three at the far hall (at the flux averaged distance of 1648 m from all six reactor cores) to determine the mixing angle θ_{13} using the $\overline{\nu}_e$ observed interaction rate ratios. This rate-only analysis excludes the no-oscillation hypothesis at 7.7 standard deviations. The value of $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.32 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ was assumed in the analysis. This is an improved result (2.5 times increase in statistics) compared to AN 12.

² ABE 12 determine the $\overline{\nu}_e$ interaction rate in a single detector, located 1050 m from the cores of two reactors. The rate normalization is fixed by the results of the Bugey4 reactor experiment, thus avoiding any dependence on possible very short baseline oscillations.

- ³AHN 12 use two identical detectors, placed at flux weighted distances of 408.56 m and 1433.99m from six reactor cores, to determine the $\overline{\nu}_{\rho}$ interaction rate ratio.
- ⁴ AN 12 use six identical detectors with three placed near the reactor cores (flux-weighted baselines of 470 m and 576 m) and the remaining three at the far hall (at the flux averaged distance of 1648 m from all six reactor cores) to determine the $\overline{\nu}_e$ interaction rate ratios. Superseded by AN 13.
- ⁵ DENIZ 10 observe reactor $\overline{\nu}_e e$ scattering with recoil kinetic energies 3–8 MeV using CsI(TI) detectors. The observed rate is consistent with the Standard Model prediction, leading to a constraint on $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.251 \pm 0.031(\text{stat}) \pm 0.024(\text{sys})$.
- ⁶ Updated result of KamLAND, including the data used in EGUCHI 03. Note that the survival probabilities for different periods are not directly comparable because the effective baseline varies with power output of the reactor sources involved, and there were large variations in the reactor power production in Japan in 2003.
- $^7\,{\rm EGUCHI}$ 03 observe reactor neutrino disappearance at $\sim~180\,{\rm km}$ baseline to various Japanese nuclear power reactors.
- 8 BOEHM 01 search for neutrino oscillations at 0.75 and 0.89 km distance from the Palo Verde reactors.
- ⁹APOLLONIO 99, APOLLONIO 98 search for neutrino oscillations at 1.1 km fixed distance from Chooz reactors. They use $\overline{\nu}_e p \rightarrow e^+ n$ in Gd-loaded scintillator target. APOLLONIO 99 supersedes APOLLONIO 98. See also APOLLONIO 03 for detailed description.
- $^{10}\,\mathrm{GREENWOOD}$ 96 search for neutrino oscillations at 18 m and 24 m from the reactor at __ Savannah River.
- ¹¹DECLAIS 94 result based on integral measurement of neutrons only. Result is ratio of measured cross section to that expected in standard V-A theory. Replaced by ACHKAR 95.
- $^{12}\,\rm KWON$ 81 represents an analysis of a larger set of data from the same experiment as BOEHM 80.

— Atmospheric neutrinos —

Neutrinos and antineutrinos produced in the atmosphere induce μ -like and e-like events in underground detectors. The ratio of the numbers of the two kinds of events is defined as μ/e . It has the advantage that systematic effects, such as flux uncertainty, tend to cancel, for both experimental and theoretical values of the ratio. The "ratio of the ratios" of experimental to theoretical μ/e , $R(\mu/e)$, or that of experimental to theoretical $\mu/total$, $R(\mu/total)$ with total = $\mu+e$, is reported below. If the actual value is not unity, the value obtained in a given experiment may depend on the experimental conditions. In addition, the measured "up-down asymmetry" for μ ($N_{up}(\mu)/N_{down}(\mu)$) or e ($N_{up}(e)/N_{down}(e)$) is reported. The expected "up-down asymmetry" is nearly unity if there is no neutrino oscillation.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
\bullet \bullet We do not use the following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●
$0.658\!\pm\!0.016\!\pm\!0.035$	¹ ASHIE	05	SKAM	sub-GeV
$0.702^{+0.032}_{-0.030}{\pm}0.101$	² ASHIE	05	SKAM	multi-GeV
$0.69 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.06$	³ SANCHEZ ⁴ FUKUDA	03 96в		Calorimeter raw data Water Cherenkov
$1.00 \ \pm 0.15 \ \pm 0.08$	⁵ DAUM	95	FREJ	Calorimeter
$\begin{array}{ccc} 0.60 & +0.06 \\ -0.05 & \pm 0.05 \end{array}$	⁶ FUKUDA	94	KAMI	sub-GeV
$0.57 \begin{array}{c} +0.08 \\ -0.07 \end{array} \pm 0.07$	⁷ FUKUDA	94	KAMI	multi-Gev
	⁸ BECKER-SZ	92 B	IMB	Water Cherenkov

$R(\mu/e) = (Measured Ratio \mu/e) / (Ex$	pected Ratio μ/e)
---	------------------------

- ¹ASHIE 05 results are based on an exposure of 92 kton yr during the complete Super-Kamiokande I running period. The analyzed data sample consists of fully-contained single-ring e-like events with 0.1 GeV/c < p_e and μ -like events 0.2 GeV/c < p_{μ} , both having a visible energy < 1.33 GeV. These criteria match the definition used by FUKUDA 94.
- ² ASHIE 05 results are based on an exposure of 92 kton yr during the complete Super-Kamiokande I running period. The analyzed data sample consists of fully-contained single-ring events with visible energy > 1.33 GeV and partially-contained events. All partially-contained events are classified as μ -like.
- ³SANCHEZ 03 result is based on an exposure of 5.9 kton yr, and updates ALLISON 99 result. The analyzed data sample consists of fully-contained *e*-flavor and μ -flavor events having lepton momentum > 0.3 GeV/c.
- ⁴ FUKUDA 96B studied neutron background in the atmospheric neutrino sample observed in the Kamiokande detector. No evidence for the background contamination was found.
- ⁵ DAUM 95 results are based on an exposure of 2.0 kton yr which includes the data used by BERGER 90B. This ratio is for the contained and semicontained events. DAUM 95 also report $R(\mu/e) = 0.99 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.08$ for the total neutrino induced data sample which includes upward going stopping muons and horizontal muons in addition to the contained and semicontained events.
- ⁶ FUKUDA 94 result is based on an exposure of 7.7 kton yr and updates the HIRATA 92 result. The analyzed data sample consists of fully-contained *e*-like events with 0.1 < $p_e < 1.33 \text{ GeV}/c$ and fully-contained μ -like events with 0.2 < $p_{\mu} < 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c$.
- ⁷ FUKUDA 94 analyzed the data sample consisting of fully contained events with visible energy > 1.33 GeV and partially contained μ -like events.
- 8 BECKER-SZENDY 92B reports the fraction of nonshowering events (mostly muons from atmospheric neutrinos) as 0.36 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02, as compared with expected fraction 0.51 \pm

 0.01 ± 0.05 . After cutting the energy range to the Kamiokande limits, BEIER 92 finds $R(\mu/e)$ very close to the Kamiokande value.

$R(\nu_{\mu}) = (Measured Flux of \nu_{\mu}) / (Expected Flux of \nu_{\mu})$							
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT			
ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$							
0.84 ± 0.12	¹ ADAMSON	06	MINS	MINOS atmospheric			
$0.72\!\pm\!0.026\!\pm\!0.13$	² AMBROSIO	01	MCRO	upward through-going			
$0.57 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.15$	³ AMBROSIO	00	MCRO	upgoing partially contained			
$0.71 {\pm} 0.05 {\ \pm} 0.19$	⁴ AMBROSIO	00	MCRO	downgoing partially contained + upgoing stopping			
$0.74 \!\pm\! 0.036 \!\pm\! 0.046$	⁵ AMBROSIO	98	MCRO	Streamer tubes			
	⁶ CASPER	91	IMB	Water Cherenkov			
	⁷ AGLIETTA	89	NUSX				
0.95 ± 0.22	⁸ BOLIEV	81		Baksan			
$0.62\!\pm\!0.17$	CROUCH	78		Case Western/UCI			

¹ADAMSON 06 uses a measurement of 107 total neutrinos compared to an expected rate of 127 \pm 13 without oscillations.

 2 AMBROSIO 01 result is based on the upward through-going muon tracks with $E_{\mu} > 1$ GeV. The data came from three different detector configurations, but the statistics is largely dominated by the full detector run, from May 1994 to December 2000. The total live time, normalized to the full detector configuration, is 6.17 years. The first error is the statistical error, the second is the systematic error, dominated by the theoretical error in the predicted flux.

- 3 AMBROSIO 00 result is based on the upgoing partially contained event sample. It came from 4.1 live years of data taking with the full detector, from April 1994 to February 1999. The average energy of atmospheric muon neutrinos corresponding to this sample is 4 GeV. The first error is statistical, the second is the systematic error, dominated by the 25% theoretical error in the rate (20% in the flux and 15% in the cross section, added in quadrature). Within statistics, the observed deficit is uniform over the zenith angle.
- ⁴AMBROSIO 00 result is based on the combined samples of downgoing partially contained events and upgoing stopping events. These two subsamples could not be distinguished due to the lack of timing information. The result came from 4.1 live years of data taking with the full detector, from April 1994 to February 1999. The average energy of atmospheric muon neutrinos corresponding to this sample is 4 GeV. The first error is statistical, the second is the systematic error, dominated by the 25% theoretical error in the rate (20% in the flux and 15% in the cross section, added in quadrature). Within statistics, the observed deficit is uniform over the zenith angle.
- 5 AMBROSIO 98 result is for all nadir angles and updates AHLEN 95 result. The lower cutoff on the muon energy is 1 GeV. In addition to the statistical and systematic errors, there is a Monte Carlo flux error (theoretical error) of ± 0.13 . With a neutrino oscillation hypothesis, the fit either to the flux or zenith distribution independently yields $\sin^2 2\theta = 1.0$ and $\Delta(m^2) \sim a$ few times 10^{-3} eV^2 . However, the fit to the observed zenith distribution gives a maximum probability for χ^2 of only 5% for the best oscillation hypothesis.
- 6 CASPER 91 correlates showering/nonshowering signature of single-ring events with parent atmospheric-neutrino flavor. They find nonshowering (\approx ν_{μ} induced) fraction is 0.41 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02, as compared with expected 0.51 \pm 0.05 (syst).

⁷AGLIETTA 89 finds no evidence for any anomaly in the neutrino flux. They define $\rho = (\text{measured number of } \nu_e \text{'s})/(\text{measured number of } \nu_\mu \text{'s})$. They report ρ (measured)= ρ (expected) = 0.96 $\substack{+0.32\\-0.28}$

 $^8\,{\rm From}$ this data BOLIEV 81 obtain the limit $\Delta(m^2)~\leq~6\times 10^{-3}~{\rm eV}^2$ for maximal mixing, $\nu_{\mu} \not\rightarrow \nu_{\mu}$ type oscillation.

$R(\mu/total) = (Measured Ratio \mu/total) / (Expected Ratio \mu/total)$						
VALUE	DOCUMENT IL)	TECN	COMMENT		
\bullet \bullet We do not use the follow	wing data for averag	ges, fits,	limits,	etc. • • •		
$1.1^{+0.07}_{-0.12}{\pm}0.11$	¹ CLARK	97	IMB	multi-GeV		
1 CLARK 97 obtained this re	esult by an analysis	of fully	contain	ed and partially	conta	

¹ CLARK 97 obtained this result by an analysis of fully contained and partially contained events in the IMB water-Cherenkov detector with visible energy > 0.95 GeV.

$N_{ m up}(\mu)/N_{ m down}(\mu)$				
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following	data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
0.71 ± 0.06	¹ ADAMSON	12B	MINS	contained-vertex muons
$0.551^{+0.035}_{-0.033}{\pm}0.004$	² ASHIE	05	SKAM	multi-GeV

¹ ADAMSON 12B reports the atmospheric neutrino results obtained with MINOS far detector in 2,553 live days (an exposure of 37.9 kton·yr). This result is obtained with a sample of high resolution contained-vertex muons. The quoted error is statistical only.

 2 ASHIE 05 results are based on an exposure of 92 kton yr during the complete Super-Kamiokande I running period. The analyzed data sample consists of fully-contained single-ring μ -like events with visible energy > 1.33 GeV and partially-contained events. All partially-contained events are classified as μ -like. Upward-going events are those with $-1 < \cos(\text{zenith angle}) < -0.2$ and downward-going events are those with 0.2< $\cos(\text{zenith angle}) < 1$. The μ -like up-down ratio for the multi-GeV data deviates from 1 (the expectation for no atmospheric ν_{μ} oscillations) by more than 12 standard deviations.

$N_{\rm up}(e)/N_{\rm down}(e)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT IE)	TECN COMMENT	
\bullet \bullet We do not use the follow	ing data for averag	es, fits	, limits, etc. • • •	
$0.961^{+0.086}_{-0.079}{\pm}0.016$	¹ ASHIE	05	SKAM multi-GeV	

¹ASHIE 05 results are based on an exposure of 92 kton yr during the complete Super-Kamiokande I running period. The analyzed data sample consists of fully-contained single-ring *e*-like events with visible energy > 1.33 GeV. Upward-going events are those with $-1 < \cos(\text{zenith angle}) < -0.2$ and downward-going events are those with 0.2 $< \cos(\text{zenith angle}) < 1$. The *e*-like up-down ratio for the multi-GeV data is consistent with 1 (the expectation for no atmospheric ν_e oscillations).

$R(up/down; \mu) =$	(Measured up/down	ι; μ)	/ (Exp	pected up/down; μ)
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use	the following data for a	iverag	ges, fits,	limits, etc. • • •
$0.62\!\pm\!0.05\!\pm\!0.02$	¹ ADAMSON	12B	MINS	contained-vertex muons
$0.62^{+0.19}_{-0.14}{\pm}0.02$	² ADAMSON	06	MINS	atmospheric $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ with far detector

¹ ADAMSON 12B reports the atmospheric neutrino results obtained with MINOS far detector in 2,553 live days (an exposure of 37.9 kton·yr). This result is obtained with a sample of high resolution contained-vertex muons. The expected ratio is calculated with no neutrino oscillation.

² ADAMSON 06 result is obtained with the MINOS far detector with an exposure of 4.54 kton yr. The expected ratio is calculated with no neutrino oscillation.

$N(\mu^+)/N(\mu^-)$					
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the follow	ving data for average	s, fits,	limits, o	etc. ● ● ●	
$0.46 \substack{+0.05 \\ -0.04}$	1,2 ADAMSON	12 B	MINS	contained-vertex muons	
$0.63 \substack{+ \ 0.09 \\ - \ 0.08}$	1,3 ADAMSON	12 B	MINS	u-induced rock-muons	

¹ ADAMSON 12B reports the atmospheric neutrino results obtained with MINOS far detector in 2,553 live days (an exposure of 37.9 kton·yr). The muon charge ratio $N(\mu^+)/N(\mu^-)$ represents the $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}/\nu_{\mu}$ ratio.

 2 This result is obtained with a charge-separated sample of high resolution contained-vertex muons. The quoted error is statistical only.

³ This result is obtained with a charge-separated sample of high resolution neutrino-induced rock-muons. The quoted error is statistical only.

$R(\mu^+/\mu^-) = (Measure)$	ed N(μ^+)/N(μ^-))	/ (Expe	ected N(μ^+)/N(μ^-))
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$							
$0.93\!\pm\!0.09\!\pm\!0.09$	^{1,2} ADAMSON	12B	MINS	contained-vertex muons			
$1.29^{+0.19}_{-0.17}{\pm}0.16$	1,3 ADAMSON	12B	MINS	u-induced rock-muons			
$1.03\!\pm\!0.08\!\pm\!0.08$	^{1,4} ADAMSON	12B	MINS	contained			
$1.39^{+0.35}_{-0.46}{}^{+0.08}_{-0.14}$	⁵ ADAMSON	07	MINS	Upward and horizontal μ with far detector			
$0.96^{+0.38}_{-0.27}{\pm}0.15$	⁶ ADAMSON	06	MINS	atmospheric $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ with far detector			

¹ ADAMSON 12B reports the atmospheric neutrino results obtained with MINOS far detector in 2,553 live days (an exposure of 37.9 kton·yr). The muon charge ratio N(μ^+)/N(μ^-) represents the $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}/\nu_{\mu}$ ratio. As far as the same oscillation parameters are used for ν s and $\overline{\nu}$ s, the expected $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}/\nu_{\mu}$ ratio is almost entirely independent of any input oscillations.

- 2 This result is obtained with a charge-separated sample of high resolution contained-vertex muons.
- ³ This result is obtained with a charge-separated sample of high resolution neutrino-induced rock-muons.
- ⁴ The charge-separated samples of high resolution contained-vertex muons and neutrinoinduced rock-muons are combined to obtain this result which is consistent with unity.
- ⁵ ADAMSON 07 result is obtained with the MINOS far detector in 854.24 live days, based on neutrino-induced upward-going and horizontal muons. This result is consistent with *CPT* conservation.
- ⁶ ADAMSON 06 result is obtained with the MINOS far detector with an exposure of 4.54 kton yr, based on contained events. The expected ratio is calculated by assuming the same oscillation parameters for neutrinos and antineutrinos.

— Solar neutrinos —

Solar neutrinos are produced by thermonuclear fusion reactions in the Sun. Radiochemical experiments measure particular combinations of fluxes from various neutrino-producing reactions, whereas water-Cherenkov experiments mainly measure a flux of neutrinos from decay of ⁸B. Solar neutrino fluxes are composed of all active neutrino species, ν_e , ν_μ , and ν_τ . In addition, some other mechanisms may cause antineutrino components in solar neutrino fluxes. Each measurement method is sensitive to

a particular component or a combination of components of solar neutrino fluxes. For details, see Section 13.4 of Reviews, Tables, and Plots.

ν_e Capture Rates from Radiochemical Experiments

1 SNU (Solar Neutrino Unit) = 10^{-36} captures per atom per second.

VALUE (SNU)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT			
ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$							
$73.4 \begin{array}{r} +6.1 \\ -6.0 \end{array} \begin{array}{r} +3.7 \\ -4.1 \end{array}$	¹ KAETHER	10		GALX reanalysis			
$67.6 \pm 4.0 \pm 3.2$	² KAETHER	10		GNO+GALX reanalysis combined			
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	³ ABDURASHI	. 09	SAGE	$^{71}\text{Ga} \rightarrow ~^{71}\text{Ge}$			
62.9 $^{+5.5}_{-5.3}$ ± 2.5	⁴ ALTMANN			$^{71}\text{Ga} \rightarrow ~^{71}\text{Ge}$			
$69.3\ \pm 4.1\ \pm 3.6$	⁵ ALTMANN	05	GNO	GNO+GALX combined			
77.5 $\pm 6.2 \ +4.3 \ -4.7$	⁶ HAMPEL	99	GALX	$^{71}\text{Ga} \rightarrow ^{71}\text{Ge}$			
$2.56\!\pm\!0.16\!\pm\!0.16$	⁷ CLEVELAND	98	HOME	$^{37}CI \rightarrow ^{37}Ar$			

¹ KAETHER 10 reports the reanalysis results of a complete GALLEX data (GALLEX I+II+III+IV, reported in HAMPEL 99) based on the event selection with a new pulse shape analysis, which provides a better background reduction than the rise time analysis adopted in HAMPEL 99.

² Combined result of GALLEX I+II+III+IV reanalysis and GNO I+II+III (ALTMANN 05).

- ³ ABDURASHITOV 09 reports a combined analysis of 168 extractions of the SAGE solar neutrino experiment during the period January 1990 through December 2007, and updates the ABDURASHITOV 02 result. The data are consistent with the assumption that the solar neutrino production rate is constant in time. Note that a \sim 15% systematic uncertainty in the overall normalization may be added to the ABDURASHITOV 09 result, because calibration experiments for gallium solar neutrino measurements using intense 51 Cr (twice by GALLEX and once by SAGE) and 37 Ar (by SAGE) result in an average ratio of 0.87 \pm 0.05 of the observed to calculated rates.
- ⁴ALTMANN 05 reports the complete result from the GNO solar neutrino experiment (GNO I+II+II), which is the successor project of GALLEX. Experimental technique of GNO is essentially the same as that of GALLEX. The run data cover the period 20 May 1998 through 9 April 2003.
- ⁵ Combined result of GALLEX I+II+III+IV (HAMPEL 99) and GNO I+II+III.
- 6 HAMPEL 99 report the combined result for GALLEX I+II+III+IV (65 runs in total), which update the HAMPEL 96 result. The GALLEX IV result (12 runs) is 118.4 \pm 17.8 \pm 6.6 SNU. (HAMPEL 99 discuss the consistency of partial results with the mean.) The GALLEX experimental program has been completed with these runs. The total run data cover the period 14 May 1991 through 23 January 1997. A total of 300 71 Ge events were observed. Note that a \sim 15% systematic uncertainty in the overall normalization may be added to the HAMPEL 99 result, because calibration experiments for gallium solar neutrino measurements using intense 51 Cr (twice by GALLEX and once by SAGE) and 37 Ar (by SAGE) result in an average ratio of 0.87 \pm 0.05 of the observed to calculated rates.
- ⁷ CLEVELAND 98 is a detailed report of the ³⁷Cl experiment at the Homestake Mine. The average solar neutrino-induced ³⁷Ar production rate from 108 runs between 1970 and 1994 updates the DAVIS 89 result.

φ_{ES} (⁸B)

 ^{8}B solar-neutrino flux measured via $\nu\,e$ elastic scattering. This process is sensitive to all active neutrino flavors, but with reduced sensitivity to ν_{μ} , ν_{τ} due to the cross-section difference, $\sigma(\nu_{\,\mu,\tau}\,e)\sim 0.16\sigma(\nu_{e}\,e)$. If the ^{8}B solar-neutrino flux involves nonelectron flavor active neutrinos, their contribution to the flux is ~ 0.16 times of ν_{e} .

$V\!ALUE(10^{6}~{ m cm}^{-2}{ m s}^{-1})$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	ne following data fo	or aver	ages, fits	s, limits, etc. ● ● ●
$2.32\!\pm\!0.04\!\pm\!0.05$	¹ ABE	11	SKAM	SK-III average flux
$2.41 {\pm} 0.05 {+} {0.16 \atop -} 0.15$	² ABE	11	SKAM	SK-II average flux
$2.38\!\pm\!0.02\!\pm\!0.08$	³ ABE	11	SKAM	SK-I average flux
$2.77 \!\pm\! 0.26 \!\pm\! 0.32$	⁴ ABE	11B	KLND	average flux
$2.4 \ \pm 0.4 \ \pm 0.1$	⁵ BELLINI	10A	BORX	average flux
$1.77^{+0.24}_{-0.21}_{-0.10}^{+0.09}_{-0.10}$	⁶ AHARMIM	08	SNO	Phase III
$2.38 \!\pm\! 0.05 \!+\! 0.16 \!-\! 0.15$	⁷ CRAVENS	08	SKAM	average flux
$2.35\!\pm\!0.02\!\pm\!0.08$	⁸ HOSAKA	06	SKAM	average flux
$2.35\!\pm\!0.22\!\pm\!0.15$	⁹ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	Salty D ₂ O; ⁸ B shape not con- strained
$2.34 \!\pm\! 0.23 \!+\! 0.15 \!-\! 0.14$	⁹ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	Salty D_2O ; ⁸ B shape constrained
$2.39^{+0.24}_{-0.23}{\pm}0.12$	¹⁰ AHMAD	02	SNO	average flux
$2.39 \!\pm\! 0.34 \! \substack{+0.16 \\ -0.14}$	¹¹ AHMAD	01	SNO	average flux
$2.80\!\pm\!0.19\!\pm\!0.33$	¹² FUKUDA	96	KAMI	average flux
2.70 ± 0.27	¹² FUKUDA	96	KAMI	day flux
$2.87 \substack{+0.27 \\ -0.26}$	¹² FUKUDA	96	KAMI	night flux

¹ ABE 11 reports the Super-Kamiokande-III results for 548 live days from August 4, 2006 to August 18, 2008. The analysis threshold is 5.0 MeV, but the event sample in the 5.0–6.5 MeV total electron range has a total live time of 298 days.

²ABE 11 recalculated the Super-Kamiokande-II results using ⁸B spectrum of WIN-TER 06A.

³ABE 11 recalculated the Super-Kamiokande-I results using ⁸B spectrum of WINTER 06A.

⁴ABE 11B use a 123 kton·day exposure of the KamLAND liquid scintillation detector to measure the ⁸B solar neutrino flux. They utilize $\nu - e$ elastic scattering above a reconstructed-energy threshold of 5.5 MeV, corresponding to 5 MeV electron recoil energy. 299 electron recoil candidate events are reported, of which 157 ± 23.6 are assigned to background.

⁵ BELLINI 10A reports the Borexino result with 3 MeV energy threshold for scattered electrons. The data correspond to 345.3 live days with a target mass of 100 t, between July 15, 2007 and August 23, 2009.

⁶ AHARMIM 08 reports the results from SNO Phase III measurement using an array of ³He proportional counters to measure the rate of NC interactions in heavy water, over the period between November 27, 2004 and November 28, 2006, corresponding to 385.17 live days. A simultaneous fit was made for the number of NC events detected by the proportional counters and the numbers of NC, CC, and ES events detected by the PMTs, where the spectral distributions of the ES and CC events were not constrained to the ⁸B shape.

⁷ CRAVENS 08 reports the Super-Kamiokande-II results for 791 live days from December 2002 to October 2005. The photocathode coverage of the detector is 19% (reduced from

40% of that of Super-Kamiokande-I due to an accident in 2001). The analysis threshold for the average flux is 7 MeV.

- ⁸ HOSAKA 06 reports the final results for 1496 live days with Super-Kamiokande-I between May 31, 1996 and July 15, 2001, and replace FUKUDA 02 results. The analysis threshold is 5 MeV except for the first 280 live days (6.5 MeV).
- ⁹ AHARMIM 05A measurements were made with dissolved NaCl (0.195% by weight) in heavy water over the period between July 26, 2001 and August 28, 2003, corresponding to 391.4 live days, and update AHMED 04A. The *CC*, *ES*, and *NC* events were statistically separated. In one method, the ⁸B energy spectrum was not constrained. In the other method, the constraint of an undistorted ⁸B energy spectrum was added for comparison with AHMAD 02 results.
- with AHMAD 02 results. ¹⁰ AHMAD 02 reports the ⁸B solar-neutrino flux measured via νe elastic scattering above the kinetic energy threshold of 5 MeV. The data correspond to 306.4 live days with SNO between November 2, 1999 and May 28, 2001, and updates AHMAD 01 results.
- 11 AHMAD 01 reports the 8B solar-neutrino flux measured via νe elastic scattering above the kinetic energy threshold of 6.75 MeV. The data correspond to 241 live days with SNO between November 2, 1999 and January 15, 2001.
- 12 FUKUDA 96 results are for a total of 2079 live days with Kamiokande II and III from January 1987 through February 1995, covering the entire solar cycle 22, with threshold $\rm E_e > 9.3~MeV$ (first 449 days), > 7.5~MeV (middle 794 days), and > 7.0~MeV (last 836 days). These results update the HIRATA 90 result for the average $^8\rm B$ solar-neutrino flux and HIRATA 91 result for the day-night variation in the $^8\rm B$ solar-neutrino flux. The total data sample was also analyzed for short-term variations: within experimental errors, no strong correlation of the solar-neutrino flux with the sunspot numbers was found.

φ_{CC} (⁸B)

 ^{8}B solar-neutrino flux measured with charged-current reaction which is sensitive exclusively to $\nu_{e}.$

<u>VALUE (10⁶ cm^{-2}s^{-1})</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •								
$1.67 \substack{+ 0.05 + 0.07 \\ - 0.04 - 0.08}$	¹ AHARMIM	08	SNO	Phase III				
$1.68\!\pm\!0.06\!+\!0.08\\-\!0.09$	² AHARMIM		SNO	Salty D ₂ O; ⁸ B shape				
$1.72\!\pm\!0.05\!\pm\!0.11$	² AHARMIM	05A	SNO	not const. Salty D ₂ O; ⁸ B shape constrained				
$1.76^{+0.06}_{-0.05}{\pm}0.09$	³ AHMAD	02	SNO	average flux				
$1.75 \pm 0.07 {+0.12 \atop -0.11} \pm 0.05$	⁴ AHMAD	01	SNO	average flux				

¹ AHARMIM 08 reports the results from SNO Phase III measurement using an array of ³He proportional counters to measure the rate of NC interactions in heavy water, over the period between November 27, 2004 and November 28, 2006, corresponding to 385.17 live days. A simultaneous fit was made for the number of NC events detected by the proportional counters and the numbers of NC, CC, and ES events detected by the PMTs, where the spectral distributions of the ES and CC events were not constrained to the ⁸B shape.

² AHARMIM 05A measurements were made with dissolved NaCl (0.195% by weight) in heavy water over the period between July 26, 2001 and August 28, 2003, corresponding to 391.4 live days, and update AHMED 04A. The *CC*, *ES*, and *NC* events were statistically separated. In one method, the ⁸B energy spectrum was not constrained. In the other method, the constraint of an undistorted ⁸B energy spectrum was added for comparison with AHMAD 02 results.

³ AHMAD 02 reports the SNO result of the ⁸B solar-neutrino flux measured with chargedcurrent reaction on deuterium, $\nu_e d \rightarrow p p e^-$, above the kinetic energy threshold of 5 MeV. The data correspond to 306.4 live days with SNO between November 2, 1999 and May 28, 2001, and updates AHMAD 01 results. The complete description of the SNO Phase I data set is given in AHARMIM 07.

⁴ AHMAD 01 reports the first SNO result of the ⁸B solar-neutrino flux measured with the charged-current reaction on deuterium, $\nu_e d \rightarrow ppe^-$, above the kinetic energy threshold of 6.75 MeV. The data correspond to 241 live days with SNO between November 2, 1999 and January 15, 2001.

φ_{NC} (⁸B)

⁸B solar neutrino flux measured with neutral-current reaction, which is equally sensitive to ν_e , ν_μ , and ν_τ .

$VALUE (10^{6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT				
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$								
$5.140 \substack{+0.160 + 0.132 \\ -0.158 - 0.117}$	¹ AHARMIM	10	SNO	Phase I+II, low threshold				
$5.54 \begin{array}{c} +0.33 \\ -0.31 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} +0.36 \\ -0.34 \end{array}$	² AHARMIM	08	SNO	Phase III, prop. counter $+ PMT$				
$\begin{array}{rrr} 4.94 & \pm 0.21 & +0.38 \\ & -0.34 \end{array}$	³ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	Salty D ₂ O; ⁸ B shape not const.				
$\begin{array}{rrr} 4.81 \ \pm 0.19 \ \begin{array}{r} +0.28 \\ -0.27 \end{array}$	³ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	Salty D_2O ; ⁸ B shape constrained				
$5.09 \begin{array}{c} +0.44 \\ -0.43 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} +0.46 \\ -0.43 \end{array}$	⁴ AHMAD	02	SNO	average flux; ⁸ B shape const.				
$6.42 \ \pm 1.57 \ \begin{array}{c} +0.55 \\ -0.58 \end{array}$	⁴ AHMAD	02	SNO	average flux; ⁸ B shape not const.				

¹ AHARMIM 10 reports this result from a joint analysis of SNO Phase I+II data with the "effective electron kinetic energy" threshold of 3.5 MeV. This result is obtained with a "binned-histogram unconstrained fit" where binned probability distribution functions of the neutrino signal observables were used without any model constraints on the shape of the neutrino spectrum.

- 2 AHARMIM 08 reports the results from SNO Phase III measurement using an array of 3 He proportional counters to measure the rate of NC interactions in heavy water, over the period between November 27, 2004 and November 28, 2006, corresponding to 385.17 live days. A simultaneous fit was made for the number of NC events detected by the proportional counters and the numbers of NC, CC, and ES events detected by the PMTs, where the spectral distributions of the ES and CC events were not constrained to the $^8{\rm B}$ shape.
- ³AHARMIM 05A measurements were made with dissolved NaCl (0.195% by weight) in heavy water over the period between July 26, 2001 and August 28, 2003, corresponding to 391.4 live days, and update AHMED 04A. The *CC*, *ES*, and *NC* events were statistically separated. In one method, the ⁸B energy spectrum was not constrained. In the other method, the constraint of an undistorted ⁸B energy spectrum was added for comparison with AHMAD 02 results.

⁴ AHMAD 02 reports the first SNO result of the ⁸B solar-neutrino flux measured with the neutral-current reaction on deuterium, $\nu_{\ell}d \rightarrow np\nu_{\ell}$, above the neutral-current reaction threshold of 2.2 MeV. The data correspond to 306.4 live days with SNO between November 2, 1999 and May 28, 2001. The complete description of the SNO Phase I data set is given in AHARMIM 07.

$$\phi_{
u_{\mu}+
u_{ au}}$$
 (⁸B)

Nonelectron-flavor active neutrino component (ν_{μ} and $\nu_{\tau})$ in the $^{8}{\rm B}$ solar-neutrino flux.

$VALUE (10^{6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT				
ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$								
$3.26 {\pm} 0.25 {+} 0.40 \\ {-} 0.35$	¹ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	From ϕ_{NC} , ϕ_{CC} , and ϕ_{ES} ; ⁸ B shape not const.				
$3.09 {\pm} 0.22 {+} 0.30 \\ -0.27$	¹ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	From ϕ_{NC} , ϕ_{CC} , and ϕ_{ES} ; ⁸ B shape constrained				
$3.41 \pm 0.45 {+0.48 \atop -0.45}$	² AHMAD	02	SNO	From ϕ_{NC} , ϕ_{CC} , and ϕ_{ES}				
3.69 ± 1.13	³ AHMAD	01		Derived from SNO+SuperKam, water Cherenkov				

¹ AHARMIM 05A measurements were made with dissolved NaCl (0.195% by weight) in heavy water over the period between July 26, 2001 and August 28, 2003, corresponding to 391.4 live days, and update AHMED 04A. The *CC*, *ES*, and *NC* events were statistically separated. In one method, the ⁸B energy spectrum was not constrained. In the other method, the constraint of an undistorted ⁸B energy spectrum was added for comparison with AHMAD 02 results.

 2 AHMAD 02 deduced the nonelectron-flavor active neutrino component (ν_{μ} and $\nu_{\tau})$

in the ^{8}B solar-neutrino flux, by combining the charged-current result, the $\nu\,e$ elastic-scattering result and the neutral-current result. The complete description of the SNO Phase I data set is given in AHARMIM 07.

 3 AHMAD 01 deduced the nonelectron-flavor active neutrino component (ν_μ and $\nu_\tau)$ in

the ⁸B solar-neutrino flux, by combining the SNO charged-current result (AHMAD 01) and the Super-Kamiokande νe elastic-scattering result (FUKUDA 01).

Total Flux of Active ⁸B Solar Neutrinos

Total flux of active neutrinos (ν_{e} , ν_{μ} , and ν_{τ}).

$VALUE (10^{6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT			
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$							
$5.046\substack{+0.159 + 0.107 \\ -0.152 - 0.123}$	¹ AHARMIM	10	SNO	From ϕ_{NC} in Phase III			
$5.54 \begin{array}{c} +0.33 \\ -0.31 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} +0.36 \\ -0.34 \end{array}$	² AHARMIM	08	SNO	ϕ_{NC} in Phase III			
$\begin{array}{rrr} 4.94 \ \pm 0.21 \ \begin{array}{r} + 0.38 \\ - 0.34 \end{array}$	³ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	From ϕ_{NC} ; ⁸ B shape not const.			
$\begin{array}{r} \text{4.81} \ \pm 0.19 \ \begin{array}{c} + 0.28 \\ - 0.27 \end{array}$	³ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	From ϕ_{NC} ; ⁸ B shape constrained			
$5.09 \begin{array}{c} +0.44 \\ -0.43 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} +0.46 \\ -0.43 \end{array}$	⁴ AHMAD	02	SNO	Direct measurement from $\phi_{\it NC}$			
5.44 ±0.99	⁵ AHMAD	01		Derived from SNO+SuperKam, water Cherenkov			

¹AHARMIM 10 reports this result from a joint analysis of SNO Phase I+II data with the "effective electron kinetic energy" threshold of 3.5 MeV. This result is obtained with the assumption of unitarity, which relates the NC, CC, and ES rates. The data were fit with the free parameters directly describing the total ⁸B neutrino flux and the energy-dependent ν_e survival probability.

 2 AHARMIM 08 reports the results from SNO Phase III measurement using an array of 3 He proportional counters to measure the rate of NC interactions in heavy water, over

the period between November 27, 2004 and November 28, 2006, corresponding to 385.17 live days. A simultaneous fit was made for the number of NC events detected by the proportional counters and the numbers of NC, CC, and ES events detected by the PMTs, where the spectral distributions of the ES and CC events were not constrained to the ${}^{8}\text{B}$ shape.

- 3 AHARMIM 05A measurements were made with dissolved NaCl (0.195% by weight) in heavy water over the period between July 26, 2001 and August 28, 2003, corresponding to 391.4 live days, and update AHMED 04A. The CC, ES, and NC events were statistically separated. In one method, the ⁸B energy spectrum was not constrained. In the other method, the constraint of an undistorted ⁸B energy spectrum was added for comparison with AHMAD 02 results.
- ⁴AHMAD 02 determined the total flux of active ⁸B solar neutrinos by directly measuring the neutral-current reaction, $\nu_{\ell} d \rightarrow n p \nu_{\ell}$, which is equally sensitive to ν_{e} , ν_{μ} , and ν_{τ} . The complete description of the SNO Phase I data set is given in AHARMIM 07.
- 5 AHMAD 01 deduced the total flux of active 8 B solar neutrinos by combining the SNO charged-current result (AHMAD 01) and the Super-Kamiokande νe elastic-scattering result (FUKUDA 01).

Day-Night Asymmetry (⁸B) $A = (\phi_{night} - \phi_{day}) / \phi_{average}$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID			TECN	COMMENT				
ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$								
$0.063\!\pm\!0.042\!\pm\!0.037$	¹ CRAVENS	08	SKAM	Based on ϕ_{ES}				
$0.021\!\pm\!0.020\!+\!0.012\\-0.013$	² HOSAKA	06	SKAM	Based on ϕ_{ES}				
$0.017 {\pm} 0.016 {+} 0.012 {-} 0.013$	³ HOSAKA	06	SKAM	Fitted in the LMA region				
$-0.056\!\pm\!0.074\!\pm\!0.053$	⁴ AHARMIM			From salty SNO ϕ_{CC}				
$-0.037 \pm 0.063 \pm 0.032$	⁴ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	From salty SNO ϕ_{CC}^{-} ; const. of no ϕ_{NC}^{-} asymmetry				
$0.14 \ \pm 0.063 {+0.015 \atop -0.014}$	⁵ AHMAD	0 2B	SNO	Derived from SNO ϕ_{CC}				
$0.07 \hspace{.1in} \pm 0.049 {+0.013 \atop -0.012}$	⁶ AHMAD	0 2B	SNO	Const. of no $\phi_{\it NC}$ asymmetry				

¹CRAVENS 08 reports the Super-Kamiokande-II results for 791 live days from December 2002 to October 2005. The photocathode coverage of the detector is 19% (reduced from 40% of that of Super-Kamiokande-I due to an accident in 2001). The analysis threshold for the day and night fluxes is 7.5 MeV.

- 2 HOSAKA 06 reports the final results for 1496 live days with Super-Kamiokande-I between May 31, 1996 and July 15, 2001, and replace FUKUDA 02 results. The analysis threshold is 5 MeV except for the first 280 live days (6.5 MeV).
- 3 This result with reduced statistical uncertainty is obtained by assuming two-neutrino oscillations within the LMA (large mixing angle) region and by fitting the time variation of the solar neutrino flux measured via ν_e elastic scattering to the variations expected from neutrino oscillations. For details, see SMY 04. There is an additional small systematic error of ± 0.0004 coming from uncertainty of oscillation parameters.
- ⁴AHARMIM 05A measurements were made with dissolved NaCl (0.195% by weight) in heavy water over the period between July 26, 2001 and August 28, 2003, with 176.5 days of the live time recorded during the day and 214.9 days during the night. This result is obtained with the spectral distribution of the CC events not constrained to the ⁸B shape.
- 5 AHMAD 02B results are based on the charged-current interactions recorded between November 2, 1999 and May 28, 2001, with the day and night live times of 128.5 and 177.9 days, respectively. The complete description of the SNO Phase I data set is given in AHARMIM 07.

⁶ AHMAD 02B results are derived from the charged-current interactions, neutral-current interactions, and νe elastic scattering, with the total flux of active neutrinos constrained to have no asymmetry. The data were recorded between November 2, 1999 and May 28, 2001, with the day and night live times of 128.5 and 177.9 days, respectively. The complete description of the SNO Phase I data set is given in AHARMIM 07.

φ_{ES} (⁷Be)

 $^7\mathrm{Be}$ solar-neutrino flux measured via ν_e elastic scattering. This process is sensitive to all active neutrino flavors, but with reduced sensitivity to ν_{μ} , ν_{τ} due to the cross-section difference, $\sigma(\nu_{\mu,\tau}\,e)\sim 0.2~\sigma(\nu_e\,e)$. If the $^7\mathrm{Be}$ solar-neutrino flux involves nonelectron flavor active neutrinos, their contribution to the flux is ~ 0.2 times that of ν_e .

$VALUE (10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following	g data for averages, fits	, limits, e	etc. • • •
3.10 ± 0.15	¹ BELLINI 11A	BORX	average flux

¹ BELLINI 11A reports the ⁷Be solar neutrino flux measured via $\nu - e$ elastic scattering. The data correspond to 740.7 live days between May 16, 2007 and May 8, 2010, and also correspond to 153.6 ton-year fiducial exposure. BELLINI 11A measured the 862 keV ⁷Be solar neutrino flux, which is an 89.6% branch of the ⁷Be solar neutrino flux, to be $(2.78 \pm 0.13) \times 10^9$ cm⁻² s⁻¹. Supercedes ARPESELLA 08A.

$\phi_{ES} (pep)$

pep solar-neutrino flux measured via ν_e elastic scattering. This process is sensitive to all active neutrino flavors, but with reduced sensitivity to ν_{μ} , ν_{τ} due to the cross section difference, $\sigma(\nu_{\mu,\tau}\ e)\sim~0.2\ \sigma(\nu_e e).$ If the pep solar-neutrino flux involves non-electron flavor active neutrinos, their contribution to the flux is $\sim~0.2$ times that of $\nu_e.$

VALUE ($10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$)DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • ••• 1.0 ± 0.2 1BELLINI12ABORX average flux

¹ BELLINI 12A reports 1.44 MeV *pep* solar-neutrino flux measured via ν_e elastic scattering. The data were collected between January 13, 2008 and May 9, 2010, corresponding to 20,4009 ton·day fiducial exposure. The listed flux value is calculated from the observed rate of *pep* solar neutrino interactions in Borexino (3.1 ± 0.6 ± 0.3 counts/(day·100 ton)) and the corresponding rate expected for no neutrino flavor oscillations (4.47 ± 0.05 counts/(day·100 ton)), using the SSM prediction for the *pep* solar neutrino flux of (1.441 ± 0.012) × 10⁸ cm⁻²s⁻¹.

ϕ_{ES} (CNO)

CNO solar-neutrino flux measured via ν_e elastic scattering. This process is sensitive to all active neutrino flavors, but with reduced sensitivity to ν_{μ} , ν_{τ} due to the cross section difference, $\sigma(\nu_{\mu,\tau} \ e) \sim 0.2 \ \sigma(\nu_e \ e)$. If the CNO solar-neutrino flux involves non-electron flavor active neutrinos, their contribution to the flux is ~ 0.2 times that of ν_e .

<u>VALUE (10⁸ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$</u>	<u>)</u> <u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not	use the follow	wing data for ave	rages,	fits, lim	nits, etc. ● ● ●	
<7.7	90	¹ BELLINI	12A	BORX	MSW-LMA solution assumed	
¹ BELLINI 12A reports an upper limit of the CNO solar neutrino flux measured via ν_e elastic scattering. The data were collected between January 13, 2008 and May 9, 2010, corresponding to 20,409 ton·day fiducial exposure.						

 $\phi_{CC}(pp)$

pp solar-neutrino flux measured with charged-current reaction which is sensitive exclusively to ν_{ρ} .

VALUE $(10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the follow	wing data for averages, fit	s, limits,	etc. • • •	
3.38±0.47	¹ ABDURASHI 09	FIT	Fit existing solar- $ u$ data	

 1 ABDURASHITOV 09 reports the pp solar-neutrino flux derived from the Ga solar neutrino capture rate by subtracting contributions from ⁸B, ⁷Be, *pep* and CNO solar neutrino fluxes determined by other solar neutrino experiments as well as neutrino oscillation parameters determined from available world neutrino oscillation data.

ϕ_{ES} (hep)

hep solar-neutrino flux measured via νe elastic scattering. This process is sensitive to all active neutrino flavors, but with reduced sensitivity to $\nu_{\mu},\,\nu_{\tau}$ due to the crosssection difference, $\sigma(\nu_{\mu,\tau} e) \sim 0.16 \sigma(\nu_e e)$. If the hep solar-neutrino flux involves nonelectron flavor active neutrinos, their contribution to the flux is \sim 0.16 times of ν_{ρ} .

$V\!ALUE (10^3 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, etc. \bullet \bullet
<73	90	¹ HOSAKA	06	SKAM

 1 HOSAKA 06 result is obtained from the recoil electron energy window of 18–21 MeV, and updates FUKUDA 01 result.

$\phi_{\overline{\nu}_e}$ (⁸B)

Searches are made for electron antineutrino flux from the Sun. Flux limits listed here are derived relative to the BS05(OP) Standard Solar Model ⁸B solar neutrino flux $(5.69 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$, with an assumption that solar $\overline{\nu}_{e}$ s follow an unoscillated ⁸B neutrino spectrum.

VALUE (%)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use t	he followir	ng data for average	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
<0.013	90	BELLINI	11	BORX	$E_{\overline{ u}_{e}} > 1.8 \; MeV$
<1.9	90	¹ BALATA	06	CNTR	$1.8 < E_{\overline{ u}_{e}} < 20.0 \; MeV$
<0.72	90	AHARMIM			$4.0 < E_{\overline{\nu}_{e}} < 14.8 \text{ MeV}$
<0.022	90	EGUCHI	04	KLND	$8.3 < E_{\overline{ u}_{ m e}} < 14.8 \; { m MeV}$
<0.7	90	GANDO	03	SKAM	$8.0 < E_{\overline{ u}_{ m e}} < 20.0 { m MeV}$
<1.7	90	AGLIETTA	96	LSD	$7 < E_{\overline{ u}_e} < 17 \; MeV$

 1 BALATA 06 obtained this result from the search for $\overline{
u}_e$ interactions with Counting Test Facility (the prototype of the Borexino detector).

(B) Three-neutrino mixing parameters

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

Citation: J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), PR D86, 010001 (2012) and 2013 partial update for the 2014 edition (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

sin ² (20 ₁₂) /ALUE	DOCUMENT ID)	<u>TECN</u>	COMMENT
).857 ^{+0.023} -0.025	¹ GANDO	11	FIT	KamLAND + solar: 3ν
• • We do not	use the following data for	average	es, fits,	limits, etc. • • •
0.85 ± 0.02	² ABE	11	FIT	KamLAND + global solar: 2
$0.84 \begin{array}{c} +0.03 \\ -0.02 \end{array}$	³ ABE	11	FIT	global solar: 2ν
$0.85 \begin{array}{c} +0.04 \\ -0.03 \end{array}$	⁴ ABE	11	FIT	KamLAND + global solar: 3
$0.85 \begin{array}{c} +0.04 \\ -0.05 \end{array}$	⁵ ABE	11	FIT	global solar: $3 u$
$0.861 \substack{+\ 0.022 \\ -\ 0.018}$	⁶ BELLINI	11A	FIT	KamLAND + global solar: 2
$0.869 \substack{+\ 0.024 \\ -\ 0.022}$	⁷ BELLINI	11A	FIT	global solar: 2ν
$0.846 \substack{+ 0.064 \\ - 0.073}$	⁸ GANDO	11	FIT	KamLAND: 3ν
$0.861 \substack{+\ 0.026 \\ -\ 0.022}$	^{9,10} AHARMIM	10	FIT	KamLAND + global solar: 2
$0.861 \substack{+\ 0.024 \\ -\ 0.031}$	^{9,11} AHARMIM	10	FIT	global solar: 2ν
$0.869 \substack{+\ 0.026 \\ -\ 0.024}$	^{9,12} AHARMIM	10	FIT	KamLAND + global solar: 3
$0.869 \substack{+\ 0.031 \\ -\ 0.037}$	^{9,13} AHARMIM	10	FIT	global solar: 3ν
0.92 ± 0.05	¹⁴ ABE	08A	FIT	KamLAND
0.87 ± 0.04	¹⁵ ABE	08A	FIT	KamLAND + global fit
0.87 ± 0.03	¹⁶ AHARMIM	08	FIT	KamLAND + global solar
$0.85 \begin{array}{c} +0.04 \\ -0.06 \end{array}$	¹⁷ HOSAKA	06	FIT	KamLAND + global solar
$0.85 \begin{array}{c} +0.06 \\ -0.05 \end{array}$	¹⁸ HOSAKA	06	FIT	SKAM+SNO+KamLAND
$0.86 \begin{array}{c} +0.05 \\ -0.07 \end{array}$	¹⁹ HOSAKA	06	FIT	SKAM+SNO
$0.86 \begin{array}{c} +0.03 \\ -0.04 \end{array}$	²⁰ AHARMIM	05A	FIT	KamLAND + global solar
).75–0.95	²¹ AHARMIM	05A	FIT	global solar
0.82 ± 0.05	²² ARAKI	05	FIT	\tilde{K} amLAND + global solar
0.82 ± 0.04	²³ AHMED	04A	FIT	KamLAND + global solar
0.71–0.93	²⁴ AHMED	04A	FIT	global solar
$0.85 \begin{array}{c} +0.05 \\ -0.07 \end{array}$	²⁵ SMY	04	FIT	KamLAND + global solar
$0.83 \begin{array}{c} +0.06 \\ -0.08 \end{array}$	²⁶ SMY	04	FIT	global solar
$0.87 \begin{array}{c} +0.07 \\ -0.08 \end{array}$	²⁷ SMY	04	FIT	SKAM + SNO
0.62–0.88	²⁸ AHMAD	0 2B	FIT	global solar
).62–0.95	²⁹ FUKUDA	02	FIT	global solar

 1 GANDO 11 obtain this result with three-neutrino fit using the KamLAND + solar data. Supersedes ABE 08A.

 $^2 ABE$ 11 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, GALLEX/GNO, SAGE, and KamLAND data. CPT invariance is assumed.

 $^3\mathsf{ABE}$ 11 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, GALLEX/GNO, and SAGE data.

- ⁴ ABE 11 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{32}^2 fixed to $2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, GALLEX/GNO, SAGE, and KamLAND data. The normal neutrino mass hierarchy and CPT invariance are assumed.
- ⁵ABE 11 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{32}^2 fixed to 2.4 × 10⁻³ eV², using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, and GALLEX/GNO data. The normal neutrino mass hierarchy is assumed.
- ⁶ BELLINI 11A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using KamLAND, Homestake, SAGE, Gallex, GNO, Kamiokande, Super-Kamiokande, SNO, and Borexino (BELLINI 11A) data and the SSM flux prediction in SERENELLI 11 (Astrophysical Journal **743** 24 (2011)) with the exception that the ⁸B flux was left free. CPT invariance is assumed.
- ⁷ BELLINI 11A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using Homestake, SAGE, Gallex, GNO, Kamiokande, Super-Kamiokande, SNO, and Borexino (BELLINI 11A) data and the SSM flux prediction in SERENELLI 11 (Astrophysical Journal **743** 24 (2011)) with the exception that the ⁸B flux was left free.
- ⁸GANDO 11 obtain this result with three-neutrino fit using the KamLAND data only. Supersedes ABE 08A.
- ⁹ AHARMIM 10 global solar neutrino data include SNO's low-energy-threshold analysis survival probability day/night curves, SNO Phase III integral rates (AHARMIM 08), CI (CLEVELAND 98), SAGE (ABDURASHITOV 09), Gallex/GNO (HAMPEL 99, ALT-MANN 05), Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), SK-I zenith (HOSAKA 06), and SK-II day/night spectra (CRAVENS 08).
- ¹⁰ AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using global solar neutrino data and KamLAND data (ABE 08A). *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- 11 AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using global solar neutrino data.
- ¹² AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{31}^2 fixed to 2.3×10^{-3} eV², using global solar neutrino data and KamLAND data (ABE 08A). *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- ¹³AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{31}^2 fixed to 2.3 × 10⁻³ eV², using global solar neutrino data.
- ¹⁴ ABE 08A obtained this result by a rate + shape + time combined geoneutrino and reactor two-neutrino fit for Δm_{21}^2 and tan² θ_{12} , using KamLAND data only.
- ¹⁵ ABE 08A obtained this result by means of a two-neutrino fit using KamLAND, Homestake, SAGE, GALLEX, GNO, SK (zenith angle and E-spectrum), the SNO χ^2 -map, and solar flux data. *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- flux data. *CPT* invariance is assumed. ¹⁶ The result given by AHARMIM 08 is $\theta = (34.4^{+1.3}_{-1.2})^{\circ}$. This result is obtained by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino data including those of Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A) and Super-Kamiokande-I (HOSAKA 06), and KamLAND data (ABE 08A). *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- ¹⁷ HOSAKA 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using SK ν_e data, CC data from other solar neutrino experiments, and KamLAND data (ARAKI 05). CPT invariance is assumed.
- ¹⁸ HOSAKA 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from Super-Kamiokande, SNO (AHMAD 02 and AHMAD 02B), and KamLAND (ARAKI 05) experiments. *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- ¹⁹ HOSAKA 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the Super-Kamiokande and SNO (AHMAD 02 and AHMAD 02B) solar neutrino data.
- ²⁰ The result given by AHARMIM 05A is $\theta = (33.9 \pm 1.6)^{\circ}$. This result is obtained by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using SNO pure deuteron and salt phase data, SK ν_{ρ} data, Cl and Ga CC data, and KamLAND data (ARAKI 05). *CPT* invariance is

assumed. AHARMIM 05A also quotes $\theta = (33.9^{+2.4}_{-2.2})^{\circ}$ as the error enveloping the 68% CL two-dimensional region. This translates into $\sin^2 2 \theta = 0.86^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$.

- ²¹ AHARMIM 05A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in figure 35a of AHARMIM 05A. AHARMIM 05A also quotes $\tan^2\theta = 0.45 \substack{+0.09 \\ -0.08}$ as the error enveloping the 68% CL two-dimensional region. This translates into $\sin^2 2\theta = 0.86 \substack{+0.05 \\ -0.07}$.
- ²² ARAKI 05 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using KamLAND and solar neutrino data. *CPT* invariance is assumed. The 1σ error shown here is translated from the number provided by the KamLAND collaboration, $\tan^2\theta = 0.40 + 0.07 0.05$. The corresponding number quoted in ARAKI 05 is $\tan^2\theta = 0.40 + 0.10 0.07$ ($\sin^2 2 \theta = 0.82 \pm$

0.07), which envelops the 68% CL two-dimensional region.

²³ The result given by AHMED 04A is $\theta = (32.5^{+1.7}_{-1.6})^{\circ}$. This result is obtained by a twoneutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino and KamLAND data (EGUCHI 03). *CPT* invariance is assumed. AHMED 04A also quotes $\theta = (32.5^{+2.4}_{-2.3})^{\circ}$ as the error enveloping

the 68% CL two-dimensional region. This translates into $\sin^2 2 \theta = 0.82 \pm 0.06$.

- ²⁴ AHMED 04A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in Fig. 5(a) of AHMED 04A. The best-fit point is $\Delta(m^2) = 6.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$, $\tan^2 \theta = 0.40 (\sin^2 2 \theta = 0.82)$.
- ²⁵ The result given by SMY 04 is $\tan^2 \theta = 0.44 \pm 0.08$. This result is obtained by a twoneutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino and KamLAND data (IANNI 03). *CPT* or invariance is assumed.
- 26 SMY 04 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The 1 σ errors are read from Fig. 6(a) of SMY 04.
- 27 SMY 04 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the Super-Kamiokande and SNO (AHMAD 02 and AHMAD 02B) solar neutrino data. The 1σ errors are read from Fig. 6(a) of SMY 04.
- ²⁸ AHMAD 02B obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in Fig. 4(b) of AHMAD 02B. The best fit point is $\Delta(m^2) = 5.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ and $\tan \theta = 0.34 (\sin^2 2 \theta = 0.76)$.
- ²⁹ FUKUDA 02 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in Fig. 4 of FUKUDA 02. The best fit point is $\Delta(m^2) = 6.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ and $\tan^2\theta = 0.38$ ($\sin^2 2 \theta = 0.80$).

Δm_{21}^2

$VALUE (10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2)$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$7.50^{+0.19}_{-0.20}$	¹ GANDO	11	FIT	KamLAND + solar: 3ν
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use	the following data	for a	verages,	fits, limits, etc. \bullet \bullet
7.6 ± 0.2	² ABE	11	FIT	$KamLAND+global\;solar:\;2 u$
$6.2 \ +1.1 \\ -1.9$	³ ABE	11	FIT	global solar: 2ν
7.7 ±0.3	⁴ ABE	11	FIT	${\sf KamLAND}+{\sf global}$ solar: $3 u$
$6.0 \begin{array}{c} +2.2 \\ -2.5 \end{array}$	⁵ ABE	11	FIT	global solar: $3 u$
$7.50^{+0.16}_{-0.24}$	6 _{BELLINI}	11A	FIT	${\sf KamLAND}+{\sf global}$ solar: 2 $ u$
$5.2 \begin{array}{c} +1.5 \\ -0.9 \end{array}$	⁷ BELLINI	11A	FIT	global solar: $2 u$
HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV			e 17	Created: 7/12/2013 14:52

7.49 ± 0.20	⁸ GANDO	11	FIT	KamLAND: 3ν
$7.59 \substack{+0.20 \\ -0.21}$	^{9,10} AHARMIM	10	FIT	$KamLAND+global\;solar:\;2 u$
$5.89^{+2.13}_{-2.16}$	^{9,11} AHARMIM	10	FIT	global solar: $2 u$
7.59 ± 0.21	^{9,12} AHARMIM	10	FIT	KamLAND $+$ global solar: $3 u$
$6.31^{+2.49}_{-2.58}$	^{9,13} AHARMIM	10	FIT	global solar: $3 u$
$7.58^{+0.14}_{-0.13}{\pm}0.15$	¹⁴ ABE	08A	FIT	KamLAND
7.59 ± 0.21	¹⁵ ABE	08A	FIT	KamLAND + global solar
$7.59^{+0.19}_{-0.21}$	¹⁶ AHARMIM	08	FIT	KamLAND + global solar
$8.0\ \pm 0.3$	¹⁷ HOSAKA	06	FIT	KamLAND + global solar
8.0 ± 0.3	¹⁸ HOSAKA	06	FIT	SKAM + SNO + KamLAND
$6.3 \begin{array}{c} +3.7 \\ -1.5 \end{array}$	¹⁹ HOSAKA	06	FIT	SKAM+SNO
5–12	²⁰ HOSAKA	06	FIT	SKAM day/night in the LMA region
$8.0 \begin{array}{c} +0.4 \\ -0.3 \end{array}$	²¹ AHARMIM	05A	FIT	KamLAND + global solar LMA
3.3–14.4	²² AHARMIM	05A	FIT	global solar
$7.9 \ \begin{array}{c} +0.4 \\ -0.3 \end{array}$	²³ ARAKI	05	FIT	KamLAND + global solar
$7.1 \ \begin{array}{c} +1.0 \\ -0.3 \end{array}$	²⁴ AHMED	04A	FIT	KamLAND + global solar
3.2-13.7	²⁵ AHMED	04A	FIT	global solar
$7.1 \begin{array}{c} +0.6 \\ -0.5 \end{array}$	²⁶ SMY	04	FIT	KamLAND + global solar
$6.0 \begin{array}{c} +1.7 \\ -1.6 \end{array}$	²⁷ SMY	04	FIT	global solar
$6.0 \ +2.5 \ -1.6$	²⁸ SMY	04	FIT	SKAM + SNO
2.8–12.0	²⁹ AHMAD	0 2B	FIT	global solar
3.2–19.1	³⁰ FUKUDA	02	FIT	global solar

 $^1\,{\sf GANDO}$ 11 obtain this result with three-neutrino fit using the KamLAND + solar data. Supersedes ABE 08A.

- ² ABE 11 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, GALLEX/GNO, SAGE, and KamLAND data. CPT invariance is assumed.
- ³ABE 11 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, GALLEX/GNO, and SAGE data.
- ⁴ ABE 11 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm^2_{32} fixed to $2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, GALLEX/GNO, SAGE, and KamLAND data. The normal neutrino mass hierarchy and CPT invariance are assumed.
- ⁵ ABE 11 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{32}^2 fixed to 2.4 × 10⁻³ eV², using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, and GALLEX/GNO data. The normal neutrino mass hierarchy is assumed.
- ⁶ BELLINI 11A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using KamLAND, Homestake, SAGE, Gallex, GNO, Kamiokande, Super-Kamiokande, SNO, and Borexino (BELLINI 11A) data and the SSM flux prediction in SERENELLI 11 (Astrophysical Journal **743** 24 (2011)) with the exception that the ⁸B flux was left free. CPT invariance is assumed.

- ⁷ BELLINI 11A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using Homestake, SAGE, Gallex, GNO, Kamiokande, Super-Kamiokande, SNO, and Borexino (BELLINI 11A) data and the SSM flux prediction in SERENELLI 11 (Astrophysical Journal **743** 24 (2011)) with the exception that the ⁸B flux was left free.
- ⁸GANDO 11 obtain this result with three-neutrino fit using the KamLAND data only. Supersedes ABE 08A.
- ⁹ AHARMIM 10 global solar neutrino data include SNO's low-energy-threshold analysis survival probability day/night curves, SNO Phase III integral rates (AHARMIM 08), CI (CLEVELAND 98), SAGE (ABDURASHITOV 09), Gallex/GNO (HAMPEL 99, ALT-MANN 05), Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), SK-I zenith (HOSAKA 06), and SK-II day/night spectra (CRAVENS 08).
- ¹⁰ AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using global solar neutrino data and KamLAND data (ABE 08A). CPT invariance is assumed.
- $^{11}\,\rm AHARMIM$ 10 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using global solar neutrino data.
- ¹² AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{31}^2 fixed to 2.3×10^{-3} eV², using global solar neutrino data and KamLAND data (ABE 08A). *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- ¹³AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{31}^2 fixed to 2.3 × 10⁻³ eV², using global solar neutrino data.
- ¹⁴ ABE 08A obtained this result by a rate + shape + time combined geoneutrino and reactor two-neutrino fit for Δm_{21}^2 and tan² θ_{12} , using KamLAND data only.
- ¹⁵ ABE 08A obtained this result by means of a two-neutrino fit using KamLAND, Homestake, SAGE, GALLEX, GNO, SK (zenith angle and E-spectrum), the SNO χ^2 -map, and solar flux data. *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- ¹⁶ AHARMIM 08 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using all solar neutrino data including those of Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A) and Super-Kamiokande-I (HOSAKA 06), and KamLAND data (ABE 08A). CPT invariance is assumed.
- ¹⁷ HOSAKA 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino and KamLAND data (ARAKI 05). CPT invariance is assumed.
- ¹⁸ HOSAKA 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from Super-Kamiokande, SNO (AHMAD 02 and AHMAD 02B), and KamLAND (ARAKI 05) experiments. *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- ¹⁹ HOSAKA 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the Super-Kamiokande and SNO (AHMAD 02 and AHMAD 02B) solar neutrino data.
- ²⁰ HOSAKA 06 obtained this result from the consistency between the observed and expected day-night flux asymmetry amplitude. The listed 68% CL range is derived from the 1σ boundary of the amplitude fit to the data. Oscillation parameters are constrained to be in the LMA region. The mixing angle is fixed at $\tan^2\theta = 0.44$ because the fit depends only very weekly on it.
- ²¹ AHARMIM 05A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino and KamLAND data (ARAKI 05). *CPT* invariance is assumed. AHARMIM 05A also quotes $\Delta(m^2) = (8.0^{+0.6}_{-0.4}) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ as the error enveloping the 68% CL two-dimensional region.
- 22 AHARMIM 05A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in figure 35a of AHARMIM 05A. AHARMIM 05A also quotes $\Delta(m^2) = (6.5^{+4.4}_{-2.3}) \times 10^{-5} \ {\rm eV}^2$ as the error enveloping the 68% CL two-dimensional region.
- ²³ ARAKI 05 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using KamLAND and solar neutrino data. *CPT* invariance is assumed. The 1 σ error shown here is provided by the KamLAND collaboration. The error quoted in ARAKI 05, $\Delta(m^2) = (7.9^{+0.6}_{-0.5}) \times 10^{-5}$, envelops the 68% CL two-dimensional region.

- 24 AHMED 04A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino and KamLAND data (EGUCHI 03). *CPT* invariance is assumed. AHMED 04A also quotes $\Delta(m^2) = (7.1^{+1.2}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-5} \ {\rm eV}^2$ as the error enveloping the 68% CL two-dimensional region.
- ²⁵ AHMED 04A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in Fig. 5(a) of AHMED 04A. The best-fit point is $\Delta(m^2) = 6.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$, $\tan^2 \theta = 0.40 (\sin^2 2 \theta = 0.82)$.
- ²⁶ SMY 04 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino and KamLAND data (IANNI 03). CPT invariance is assumed.
- 27 SMY 04 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The 1 σ errors are read from Fig. 6(a) of SMY 04.
- $^{28}\,\rm SMY$ 04 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the Super-Kamiokande and SNO (AHMAD 02 and AHMAD 02B) solar neutrino data. The 1σ errors are read from Fig. 6(a) of SMY 04.
- ²⁹ AHMAD 02B obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in Fig. 4(b) of AHMAD 02B. The best fit point is $\Delta(m^2) = 5.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ and $\tan \theta = 0.34$ ($\sin^2 2 \theta = 0.76$). ³⁰ FUKUDA 02 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data
- ³⁰ FUKUDA 02 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in Fig. 4 of FUKUDA 02. The best fit point is $\Delta(m^2) = 6.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ and $\tan^2\theta = 0.38$ ($\sin^2 2 \theta = 0.80$).

$\sin^2(2\theta_{23})$

The ranges below correspond to the projection onto the $\sin^2(2\theta_{23})$ axis of the 90% CL contours in the $\sin^2(2\theta_{23}) - \Delta m_{32}^2$ plane presented by the authors. If uncertainties are reported with the value, they correspond to one standard deviation uncertainty.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>0.95	¹ ABE 11		SKAM	Super-Kamiokande
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not	s, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.84 - 1.0	² ABE	12A	T2K	off-axis beam
>0.75	³ ADAMSON	12	MINS	$\overline{ u}$ beam
>0.815	^{4,5} ADAMSON	12B	MINS	MINOS atmospheric
>0.78	^{4,6} ADAMSON	12B	MINS	MINOS pure atmospheric $ u$
>0.67	^{4,6} ADAMSON	12B	MINS	MINOS pure atmospheric $\overline{ u}$
>0.51	⁷ ADRIAN-MAR	12	ANTR	atmospheric $ u$ with deep see telescope
>0.90	ADAMSON	11	MINS	2 u oscillation; maximal mixing
$0.86 \begin{array}{c} +0.11 \\ -0.12 \end{array}$	⁸ ADAMSON	11B	MINS	$\overline{ u}$ beam
>0.965	⁹ WENDELL	10	SKAM	$3 u$ oscillation with solar terms; $ heta_{13}{=}0$
>0.95	¹⁰ WENDELL	10		3ν oscillation; normal mass hierarchy
>0.93	¹¹ WENDELL	10	SKAM	3 u oscillation; inverted mass hierarchy
>0.85	ADAMSON	08A	MINS	MINOS
>0.2	¹² ADAMSON	06	MINS	atmospheric $ u$ with far detector
>0.59	¹³ AHN	06A	K2K	KEK to Super-K
>0.7	¹⁴ MICHAEL	06	MINS	MINOS
>0.58	¹⁵ ALIU	05	K2K	KEK to Super-K
>0.6	¹⁶ ALLISON	05	SOU2	

>0.92 >0.80 >0.90 >0.30 >0.45 >0.77 >0.50 >0.80 >0.82 >0.45 >0.70 >0.30 >0.82 >0.30 >0.82 >0.30 >0.82 >0.30 >0.82 >0.30 >0.82 >0.30 >0.82 >0.30 >0.50 >0.50 >0.50 >0.50 >0.50 >0.50 >0.50 >0.50 >0.50 >0.50 >0.50 >0.50 >0.80 >0.82 >0.70 >0.50 >0.50 >0.50 >0.70 >0.50 >0.70 >0.50 >0.50 >0.70 >0.50 >0.70 >0.50 >0.80 >0.82 >0.70 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.80 >0.82 >0.80 >0.80 >0.73 >0.73	 17 ASHIE 18 AMBROSIO 19 ASHIE 20 AHN 21 AMBROSIO 22 AMBROSIO 23 SANCHEZ 24 AMBROSIO 25 AMBROSIO 26 FUKUDA 27 FUKUDA 28 FUKUDA 29 FUKUDA 30 HATAKEYAMA 31 HATAKEYAMA 	\9 8	MCRO SKAM K2K MCRO SOU2 MCRO SCAM SKAM SKAM SKAM SKAM SKAM KAMI KAMI	upward μ upward μ stop μ / through Super-Kamiokande Kamiokande Kamiokande
>0.65	³² FUKUDA	94	KAMI	Kamiokande

¹ABE 11C obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the Super-Kamiokande-I+II+III atmospheric neutrino data. ABE 11C also reported results under a two-neutrino disappearance model with separate mixing parameters between ν and $\overline{\nu}$, and obtained $\sin^2 2\theta > 0.03$ for ν and $\sin^2 2\theta > 0.83$ for $\overline{\nu}$ at 00% C l

- and obtained $\sin^2 2\theta > 0.93$ for ν and $\sin^2 2\theta > 0.83$ for $\overline{\nu}$ at 90% C.L. ² ABE 12A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis. The best-fit point is $\sin^2(2\theta_{23}) = 0.98$.
- ³ADAMSON 12 is a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using antineutrinos. The best fit value is $\sin^2(2\theta_{23}) = 0.95 \substack{+0.10 \\ -0.11} \pm 0.01$.
- ⁴ ADAMSON 12B obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis of the L/E distribution using 37.9 kton·yr atmospheric neutrino data with the MINOS far detector.
- ⁵ The best fit point is $\Delta m^2 = 0.0019 \text{ eV}^2$ and $\sin^2 2\theta = 0.99$. The 90% single-parameter confidence interval at the best fit point is $\sin^2 2\theta > 0.86$.
- ⁶ The data are separated into pure samples of ν s and $\overline{\nu}$ s, and separate oscillation parameters for ν s and $\overline{\nu}$ s are fit to the data. The best fit point is $(\Delta m^2, \sin^2 2\theta) = (0.0022 \text{ eV}^2, 0.99)$ and $(\Delta \overline{m}^2, \sin^2 2\overline{\theta}) = (0.0016 \text{ eV}^2, 1.00)$. The quoted result is taken from the 90% C.L. contour in the $(\Delta m^2, \sin^2 2\theta)$ plane obtained by minimizing the four parameter log-likelihood function with respect to the other oscillation parameters.
- ⁷ ADRIAN-MARTINEZ 12 measured the oscillation parameters of atmospheric neutrinos with the ANTARES deep sea neutrino telescope using the data taken from 2007 to 2010 (863 days of total live time).
- ⁸ ADAMSON 11B obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis of antineutrinos in an antineutrino enhanced beam with 1.71×10^{20} protons on target. This results is consistent with the neutrino measurements of ADAMSON 11 at 2% C.L.
- ⁹WENDELL 10 obtained this result (sin² $\theta_{23} = 0.407-0.583$) by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis using the Super-Kamiokande-I+II+III atmospheric neutrino data, assuming $\theta_{13} = 0$ but including the solar oscillation parameters Δm_{21}^2 and sin² θ_{12} in the fit.
- ¹⁰ WENDELL 10 obtained this result (sin² $\theta_{23} = 0.43-0.61$) by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with one mass scale dominance ($\Delta m_{21}^2 = 0$) using the Super-Kamiokande-I+II+III atmospheric neutrino data, and updates the HOSAKA 06A result.
- ¹¹WENDELL 10 obtained this result (sin² $\theta_{23} = 0.44-0.63$) by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with one mass scale dominance ($\Delta m_{21}^2 = 0$) using the Super-Kamiokande-I+II+III atmospheric neutrino data, and updates the HOSAKA 06A result.
- 12 ADAMSON 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis of the L/E distribution using 4.54 kton yr atmospheric neutrino data with the MINOS far detector. 13 Supercedes ALIU 05.

- ¹⁴ MICHAEL 06 best fit is for maximal mixing. See also ADAMSON 08.
- ¹⁵ The best fit is for maximal mixing.
- ¹⁶ ALLISON 05 result is based upon atmospheric neutrino interactions including upwardstopping muons, with an exposure of 5.9 kton yr. From a two-flavor oscillation analysis the best-fit point is $\Delta m^2 = 0.0017 \text{ eV}^2$ and $\sin^2(2\theta) = 0.97$.
- ¹⁷ASHIE 05 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using 92 kton yr atmospheric neutrino data from the complete Super-Kamiokande I running period.
- 18 AMBROSIO 04 obtained this result, without using the absolute normalization of the neutrino flux, by combining the angular distribution of upward through-going muon tracks with $E_{\mu} > 1~{\rm GeV},~{\rm N}_{low}$ and ${\rm N}_{high}$, and the numbers of InDown + UpStop and InUp events. Here, ${\rm N}_{low}$ and ${\rm N}_{high}$ are the number of events with reconstructed neutrino energies < 30 GeV and > 130 GeV, respectively. InDown and InUp represent events with downward and upward-going tracks starting inside the detector due to neutrino interactions, while UpStop represents entering upward-going tracks which stop in the detector. The best fit is for maximal mixing.
- $^{19}\,{\rm ASHIE}$ 04 obtained this result from the L(flight length)/E(estimated neutrino energy) distribution of ν_{μ} disappearance probability, using the Super-Kamiokande-I 1489 live-day atmospheric neutrino data.
- ²⁰ There are several islands of allowed region from this K2K analysis, extending to high values of Δm^2 . We only include the one that overlaps atmospheric neutrino analyses. The best fit is for maximal mixing.
- $^{21}\,\text{AMBROSIO}$ 03 obtained this result on the basis of the ratio R = N_{low}/N_{high}, where N_{low} and N_{high} are the number of upward through-going muon events with reconstructed neutrino energy < 30 GeV and > 130 GeV, respectively. The data came from the full detector run started in 1994. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the limits.
- ²² AMBROSIO 03 obtained this result by using the ratio R and the angular distribution of the upward through-going muons. R is given in the previous note and the angular distribution is reported in AMBROSIO 01. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the limits. The best fit is to maximal mixing.
- ²³SANCHEZ 03 is based on an exposure of 5.9 kton yr. The result is obtained using a likelihood analysis of the neutrino L/E distribution for a selection μ flavor sample while the *e*-flavor sample provides flux normalization. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the allowed region. The best fit is $\sin^2(2\theta) = 0.97$.
- 24 AMBROSIO 01 result is based on the angular distribution of upward through-going muon tracks with $E_{\mu} > 1$ GeV. The data came from three different detector configurations, but the statistics is largely dominated by the full detector run, from May 1994 to December 2000. The total live time, normalized to the full detector configuration is 6.17 years. The best fit is obtained outside the physical region. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the limits. The best fit is for maximal mixing.
- ²⁵ AMBROSIO 01 result is based on the angular distribution and normalization of upward through-going muon tracks with $E_{\mu} > 1$ GeV. See the previous footnote.
- ²⁶ FUKUDA 99C obtained this result from a total of 537 live days of upward through-going muon data in Super-Kamiokande between April 1996 to January 1998. With a threshold of $E_{\mu} > 1.6$ GeV, the observed flux is $(1.74 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-13}$ cm⁻²s⁻¹sr⁻¹. The best fit is sin²(2 θ) = 0.95.
- ²⁷ FUKUDA 99D obtained this result from a simultaneous fitting to zenith angle distributions of upward-stopping and through-going muons. The flux of upward-stopping muons of minimum energy of 1.6 GeV measured between April 1996 and January 1998 is $(0.39 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$. This is compared to the expected flux of $(0.73 \pm 0.16 \text{ (theoretical error)}) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$. The best fit is to maximal mixing.
- ²⁸ FUKUDA 99D obtained this result from the zenith dependence of the upwardstopping/through-going flux ratio. The best fit is to maximal mixing.

²⁹ FUKUDA 98C obtained this result by an analysis of 33.0 kton yr atmospheric neutrino data. The best fit is for maximal mixing.

- 30 HATAKEYAMA 98 obtained this result from a total of 2456 live days of upward-going muon data in Kamiokande between December 1985 and May 1995. With a threshold of $E_{\mu} > 1.6$ GeV, the observed flux of upward through-going muons is $(1.94\pm0.10^{+0.07}_{-0.06})\times 10^{-13} \rm \ cm^{-2} s^{-1} sr^{-1}$. This is compared to the expected flux of (2.46±0.54 (theoretical error)) $\times 10^{-13} \rm \ cm^{-2} s^{-1} sr^{-1}$. The best fit is for maximal mixing.
- ³¹ HATAKEYAMA 98 obtained this result from a combined analysis of Kamiokande contained events (FUKUDA 94) and upward going muon events. The best fit is $\sin^2(2\theta) = 0.95$.
- ³² FUKUDA 94 obtained the result by a combined analysis of sub- and multi-GeV atmospheric neutrino events in Kamiokande. The best fit is for maximal mixing.

Δm_{32}^2

The sign of Δm_{32}^2 is not known at this time. Only the absolute value is quoted below. Unless otherwise specified, the ranges below correspond to the projection onto the Δm_{32}^2 axis of the 90% CL contours in the sin²($2\theta_{23}$) – Δm_{32}^2 plane presented by the authors. If uncertainties are reported with the value, they correspond to one standard deviation uncertainty.

VALUE (10^{-3} eV^2)	_	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$2.32 \substack{+0.12 \\ -0.08}$		ADAMSON	11	MINS	2 u oscillation; maximal mixing
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use	the follo	owing data for a	iverag	ges, fits,	limits, etc. • • •
2.2–3.1	1	ABE	12A	T2K	off-axis beam
$2.62^{+0.31}_{-0.28}{\pm}0.09$	2	ADAMSON	12	MINS	$\overline{ u}$ beam
1.35-2.55	3,4	ADAMSON	12B	MINS	MINOS atmospheric
1.4-5.6	3,5	ADAMSON	12B	MINS	MINOS pure atmospheric $ u$
0.9–2.5		ADAMSON	12B		MINOS pure atmospheric $\overline{ u}$
1.8-5.0		ADRIAN-MAR.	.12	ANTR	atm. $ u$ with deep see telescope
1.3-4.0	7	ABE	11C	SKAM	atmospheric $\overline{ u}$
$3.36^{+0.46}_{-0.40}$	8	ADAMSON	11 B	MINS	$\overline{ u}$ beam
<3.37	9	ADAMSON	11C	MINS	MINOS
1.9-2.6	10	WENDELL	10	SKAM	3 u osc.; normal mass hierarchy
1.7–2.7	10	WENDELL	10	SKAM	3ν osc.; inverted mass hierarchy
2.43 ± 0.13		ADAMSON	08A	MINS	MINOS
0.07-50	11	ADAMSON	06	MINS	atmospheric $ u$ with far detector
1.9-4.0	12,13		06A	K2K	KEK to Super-K
2.2-3.8	14	MICHAEL	06	MINS	MINOS
1.9–3.6	12	ALIU	05	K2K	KEK to Super-K
0.3–12	15	ALLISON	05	SOU2	
1.5–3.4	16	ASHIE	05	SKAM	atmospheric neutrino
0.6-8.0	17	AMBROSIO	04		MACRO
1.9 to 3.0	18	ASHIE	04	SKAM	L/E distribution
1.5–3.9		AHN	03	K2K	KEK to Super-K
0.25–9.0		AMBROSIO	03		MACRO
0.6-7.0		AMBROSIO	03		MACRO
0.15–15	22	SANCHEZ	03	SOU2	Soudan-2 Atmospheric

0.6–15	²³ AMBROSIO	01	MCRO	upward μ
1.0-6.0	²⁴ AMBROSIO	01		upward μ
1.0-50	²⁵ FUKUDA	99 C		upward μ
1.5-15.0	²⁶ FUKUDA	99 D	SKAM	upward μ
0.7–18	²⁷ FUKUDA	99 D	SKAM	stop μ / through
0.5–6.0				Super-Kamiokande
0.55–50	²⁹ HATAKEYAMA			
4–23	³⁰ HATAKEYAMA	\9 8	KAMI	Kamiokande
5–25	³¹ FUKUDA	94	KAMI	Kamiokande

¹ABE 12A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis. The best-fit point is $\Delta m_{22}^2 = 2.65 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.

²ADAMSON 12 is a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using antineutrinos.

 3 ADAMSON 12B obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis of the L/E distribution using 37.9 kton yr atmospheric neutrino data with the MINOS far detector. 4 The 90% single-parameter confidence interval at the best fit point is $\Delta {
m m}^2 =$ 0.0019 \pm

- 0.0004 eV². ⁵ The data are separated into pure samples of ν s and $\overline{\nu}$ s, and separate oscillation parameters for ν s and $\overline{\nu}$ s are fit to the data. The best fit point is $(\Delta m^2, \sin^2 2\theta) = (0.0022 \text{ eV}^2, \sin^2 2\theta)$ 0.99) and $(\Delta \overline{m}^2, \sin^2 2\overline{\theta}) = (0.0016 \text{ eV}^2, 1.00)$. The quoted result is taken from the 90% C.L. contour in the (Δm^2 , sin²2 θ) plane obtained by minimizing the four parameter log-likelihood function with respect to the other oscillation parameters.

⁶ADRIAN-MARTINEZ 12 measured the oscillation parameters of atmospheric neutrinos with the ANTARES deep sea neutrino telescope using the data taken from 2007 to 2010 (863 days of total live time).

- ⁷ÅBE 11C obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis with separate mixing parameters between neutrinos and antineutrinos, using the Super-Kamiokande-I+II+III atmospheric neutrino data. The corresponding 90% CL neutrino oscillation parameter range obtained from this analysis is $\Delta m^2 = 1.7 - 3.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- ⁸ ADAMSON 11B obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis of antineutrinos in an antineutrino enhanced beam with 1.71×10^{20} protons on target. This results is consistent with the neutrino measurements of ADAMSON 11 at 2% C.L. ⁹ ADAMSON 11C obtains this result based on a study of antineutrinos in a neutrino beam

and assumes maximal mixing in the two-flavor approximation.

- 10 WENDELL 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with one mass scale dominance ($\Delta m^2_{21} = 0$) using the Super-Kamiokande-I+II+III atmospheric neutrino data, and updates the HOSAKA 06A result.
- 11 ADAMSON 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis of the L/E distribution using 4.54 kton yr atmospheric neutrino data with the MINOS far detector.
- ¹² The best fit in the physical region is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- ¹³ Supercedes ALIU 05.
- ¹⁴ MICHAEL 06 best fit is 2.74×10^{-3} eV². See also ADAMSON 08.
- 15 ALLISON 05 result is based on an atmospheric neutrino observation with an exposure of 5.9 kton yr. From a two-flavor oscillation analysis the best-fit point is $\Delta m^2 = 0.0017$ eV^2 and $sin^2 2 \theta = 0.97$.
- ¹⁶ ASHIE 05 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using 92 kton yr atmospheric neutrino data from the complete Super-Kamiokande I running period. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- 17 AMBROSIO 04 obtained this result, without using the absolute normalization of the neutrino flux, by combining the angular distribution of upward through-going muon tracks with E_{μ} > 1 GeV, N_{low} and N_{high} , and the numbers of InDown + UpStop and InUp events. Here, N_{low} and N_{high} are the number of events with reconstructed neutrino energies < 30 GeV and > 130 GeV, respectively. InDown and InUp represent events with downward and upward-going tracks starting inside the detector due to neutrino

interactions, while UpStop represents entering upward-going tracks which stop in the detector. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.3 \times 10^{-3} \ {\rm eV}^2$. ¹⁸ ASHIE 04 obtained this result from the L(flight length)/E(estimated neutrino energy)

- ¹⁸ ASHIE 04 obtained this result from the L(flight length)/E(estimated neutrino energy) distribution of ν_{μ} disappearance probability, using the Super-Kamiokande-I 1489 live-day atmospheric neutrino data. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- ¹⁹ There are several islands of allowed region from this K2K analysis, extending to high values of Δm^2 . We only include the one that overlaps atmospheric neutrino analyses. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- ²⁰ AMBROSIO 03 obtained this result on the basis of the ratio $R = N_{low}/N_{high}$, where N_{low} and N_{high} are the number of upward through-going muon events with reconstructed neutrino energy < 30 GeV and > 130 GeV, respectively. The data came from the full detector run started in 1994. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the limits. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- ²¹ AMBROSIO 03 obtained this result by using the ratio R and the angular distribution of the upward through-going muons. R is given in the previous note and the angular distribution is reported in AMBROSIO 01. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the limits. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- ²²SANCHEZ 03 is based on an exposure of 5.9 kton yr. The result is obtained using a likelihood analysis of the neutrino L/E distribution for a selection μ flavor sample while the e-flavor sample provides flux normalization. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the allowed region. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 5.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- 23 AMBROSIO 01 result is based on the angular distribution of upward through-going muon tracks with $E_{\mu} > 1$ GeV. The data came from three different detector configurations, but the statistics is largely dominated by the full detector run, from May 1994 to December 2000. The total live time, normalized to the full detector configuration is 6.17 years. The best fit is obtained outside the physical region. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the limits.
- ²⁴ AMBROSIO 01 result is based on the angular distribution and normalization of upward through-going muon tracks with $E_{tt} > 1$ GeV. See the previous footnote.
- ²⁵ FUKUDA 99C obtained this result from a total of 537 live days of upward through-going muon data in Super-Kamiokande between April 1996 to January 1998. With a threshold of $E_{\mu} > 1.6$ GeV, the observed flux is $(1.74 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-13}$ cm⁻²s⁻¹sr⁻¹. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 5.9 \times 10^{-3}$ eV².
- ²⁶ FUKUDA 99D obtained this result from a simultaneous fitting to zenith angle distributions of upward-stopping and through-going muons. The flux of upward-stopping muons of minimum energy of 1.6 GeV measured between April 1996 and January 1998 is $(0.39 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\text{sr}^{-1}$. This is compared to the expected flux of $(0.73 \pm 0.16 \text{ (theoretical error)}) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\text{sr}^{-1}$. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 3.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- ²⁷ FUKUDA 99D obtained this result from the zenith dependence of the upwardstopping/through-going flux ratio. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 3.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- ²⁸ FUKUDA 98C obtained this result by an analysis of 33.0 kton yr atmospheric neutrino data. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- ²⁹ HATAKEYAMA 98 obtained this result from a total of 2456 live days of upward-going muon data in Kamiokande between December 1985 and May 1995. With a threshold of $E_{\mu} > 1.6 \text{ GeV}$, the observed flux of upward through-going muons is $(1.94 \pm 0.10 + 0.07) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$. This is compared to the expected flux of $(2.46 \pm 0.54 \text{ (theoretical error)}) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- ³⁰ HATAKEYAMA 98 obtained this result from a combined analysis of Kamiokande contained events (FUKUDA 94) and upward going muon events. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 13 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- ³¹ FUKUDA 94 obtained the result by a combined analysis of sub- and multi-GeV atmospheric neutrino events in Kamiokande. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 16 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.

Citation: J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), PR D86, 010001 (2012) and 2013 partial update for the 2014 edition (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

$\sin^2(2\theta_{13})$

At present time direct measurements of $\sin^2(2\,\theta_{13})$ are derived from the reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$ disappearance at distances corresponding to the Δm^2_{32} value, i.e. L $\sim~$ 1km. Alternatively, limits can also be obtained from the analysis of the solar neutrino data and accelerator-based $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow~\nu_e$ experiments.

VALUE	<u>CL%</u>		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.095 ± 0.010 OUR /						-
$0.089 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.005$			AN	13	DAYA	DayaBay, LIng Ao/Ao II reactors
$0.109 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.025$			ABE	12B	DCHZ	Chooz reactors
$0.113 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.019$			AHN	12	RENO	Yonggwang reactors
• • • We do not us	e the f			avera	ges, fits,	limits, etc. ● ● ●
$0.086 \pm 0.041 \pm 0.030$)		ABE	12	DCHZ	Chooz reactors
$0.092 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.005$	5	5	AN	12	DAYA	DayaBay, LIng Ao/Ao II reactors
$0.098 \substack{+\ 0.067 \\ -\ 0.062}$	68	6	ABE	11	FIT	KamLAND + global solar
< 0.23	95		ABE	11	FIT	Global solar
0.05 - 0.21	68		ABE	11A	T2K	Normal mass hierarchy
0.06 - 0.25	68		ABE	11A	T2K	Inverted mass hierarchy
0.01 - 0.09	68		ADAMSON	11D	MINS	Normal mass hierarchy
0.03 - 0.15	68	11	ADAMSON	11D	MINS	Inverted mass hierarchy
$0.08 \hspace{0.1in} \pm 0.03$	68		FOGLI	11	FIT	Global neutrino data
0.078 ± 0.062	68		GANDO	11	FIT	$KamLAND + solar: \ 3 u$
0.124 ± 0.133	68	14	GANDO	11	FIT	KamLAND: 3ν
$0.03 \begin{array}{c} +0.09 \\ -0.07 \end{array}$	90	15	ADAMSON	10A	MINS	Normal mass hierarchy
$0.06 \begin{array}{c} +0.14 \\ -0.06 \end{array}$	90	16	ADAMSON	10A	MINS	Inverted mass hierarchy
$0.08 \begin{array}{c} +0.08 \\ -0.07 \end{array}$	17	' ,18	AHARMIM	10	FIT	$KamLAND+global\;solar:\;3 u$
< 0.30	95 ¹⁷	' ,19	AHARMIM	10	FIT	global solar: 3ν
< 0.15	90		WENDELL	10	SKAM	3ν osc.; normal <i>m</i> hierarchy
< 0.33	90	20	WENDELL	10	SKAM	3ν osc.; inverted <i>m</i> hierarchy
$0.11 \begin{array}{c} +0.11 \\ -0.08 \end{array}$		21	ADAMSON	09	MINS	Normal mass hierarchy
$0.18 \begin{array}{c} +0.15 \\ -0.11 \end{array}$		22	ADAMSON	09	MINS	Inverted mass hierarchy
0.06 ± 0.04		23	FOGLI	08	FIT	Global neutrino data
0.08 ± 0.07		24	FOGLI	80	FIT	Solar + KamLAND data
$0.05 \ \pm 0.05$			FOGLI	80	FIT	Atmospheric+LBL+CHOOZ
< 0.36	90		YAMAMOTO	06	K2K	Accelerator experiment
< 0.48	90		AHN	04	K2K	Accelerator experiment
< 0.36	90	28	BOEHM	01		Palo Verde react.
< 0.45	90		BOEHM	00		Palo Verde react.
< 0.15	90	30	APOLLONIO	99	CHOZ	Reactor Experiment

¹ AN 13 use six identical detectors, with three placed near the reactor cores (flux-weighted baselines of 498 and 555 m) and the remaining three at the far hall (at the flux averaged distance of 1628 m from all six reactor cores) to determine the $\overline{\nu}_e$ interaction rate ratios. This is an improved result (2.5 times increase in statistics) compared to AN 12.

² ABE 12B determine the neutrino mixing angle θ_{13} using a single detector, located 1050 m from the cores of two reactors. This result is based on a spectral shape and rate analysis. ³ AHN 12 use two identical detectors, placed at flux weighted distances of 408.56 m and

1433.99 m from six reactor cores, to determine the mixing angle $\theta_{13}.$ This rate-only

analysis excludes the no-oscillation hypothesis at 4.9 standard deviations. The value of $\Delta m^2_{31} = (2.32 \substack{+0.12\\-0.08}) \times 10^{-3} \ \text{eV}^2$ was assumed in the analysis.

- ⁴ ABE 12 determine the $\overline{\nu}_e$ interaction rate in a single detector, located 1050 m from the cores of two reactors. The rate normalization is fixed by the results of the Bugey4 reactor experiment, thus avoiding any dependence on possible very short baseline oscillations. The value of $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ is used in the analysis. Superseded by ABE 12B.
- ⁵ AN 12 use six identical detectors with three placed near the reactor cores (flux-weighted baselines of 498 m and 555 m) and the remaining three at the far hall (at the flux averaged distance of 1628 m from all six reactor cores) to determine the mixing angle θ_{13} using the $\overline{\nu}_e$ observed interaction rate ratios. This rate-only analysis excludes the no-oscillation hypothesis at 5.2 standard deviations. The value of $\Delta m_{31}^2 = (2.32 + 0.12) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ was assumed in the analysis. Superseded by AN 13.
- ⁶ABE 11 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{32}^2 fixed to $2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, GALLEX/GNO, SAGE, and KamLAND data. This result implies an upper bound of $\sin^2\theta_{13} < 0.059$ (95% CL) or $\sin^22\theta_{13} < 0.22$ (95% CL). The normal neutrino mass hierarchy and CPT invariance are assumed.
- ⁷ ABE 11 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{32}^2 fixed to $2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, and GALLEX/GNO data. The normal neutrino mass hierarchy is assumed.
- neutrino mass hierarchy is assumed. ⁸ The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\theta_{23} = \pi/2$, $\delta = 0$, and the normal mass hierarchy. For other values of δ , the 68% region spans from 0.03 to 0.25, and the 90% region from 0.02 to 0.32.
- ⁹ The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\theta_{23} = \pi/2$, $\delta = 0$, and the inverted mass hierarchy. For other values of δ , the 68% region spans from 0.04 to 0.30, and the 90% region from 0.02 to 0.39.
- ¹⁰ The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.32 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\theta_{23} = \pi/2$, $\delta = 0$, and the normal mass hierarchy. For other values of δ , the 68% region spans from 0.02 to 0.12, and the 90% region from 0 to 0.16.
- ¹¹ The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.32 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\theta_{23} = \pi/2$, $\delta = 0$, and the inverted mass hierarchy. For other values of δ , the 68% region spans from 0.02 to 0.16, and the 90% region from 0 to 0.21.
- 12 FOGLI 11 obtained this result from an analysis using the atmospheric, accelerator long baseline, CHOOZ, solar, and KamLAND data. Recently, MUELLER 11 suggested an average increase of about 3.5% in normalization of the reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$ fluxess, and using these fluxes, the fitted result becomes 0.10 \pm 0.03.
- ¹³ GANDO 11 report $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.020 \pm 0.016$. This result was obtained with three-neutrino fit using the KamLAND + solar data.
- ¹⁴ GANDO 11 report $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.032 \pm 0.037$. This result was obtained with three-neutrino fit using the KamLAND data only.
- ¹⁵ This result corresponds to the limit of <0.12 at 90% CL for $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.43 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\theta_{23} = \pi/2$, and $\delta = 0$. For other values of δ , the 90% CL region spans from 0 to 0.16.
- ¹⁶ This result corresponds to the limit of <0.20 at 90% CL for $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.43 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\theta_{23} = \pi/2$, and $\delta = 0$. For other values of δ , the 90% CL region spans from 0 to 0.21.
- ¹⁷ AHARMIM 10 global solar neutrino data include SNO's low-energy-threshold analysis survival probability day/night curves, SNO Phase III integral rates (AHARMIM 08), CI (CLEVELAND 98), SAGE (ABDURASHITOV 09), Gallex/GNO (HAMPEL 99, ALT-MANN 05), Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), SK-I zenith (HOSAKA 06), and SK-II day/night spectra (CRAVENS 08).
- ¹⁸ AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{31}^2 fixed to 2.3 × 10⁻³ eV², using global solar neutrino data and KamLAND data

(ABE 08A). CPT invariance is assumed. This result implies an upper bound of $\sin^2\theta_{13} <$ 0.057 (95% CL) or $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} < 0.22$ (95% CL).

- 19 AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm^2_{31} fixed to 2.3×10^{-3} eV², using global solar neutrino data.
- ²⁰ WENDELL 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with one mass scale dominance ($\Delta m_{21}^2 = 0$) using the Super-Kamiokande-I+II+III atmospheric neutrino data, and updates the HOSAKA 06A result. ²¹ The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.43 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\theta_{23} = \pi/2$, and $\delta = 0$. For other
- values of δ , the 68% CL region spans from 0.02 to 0.26. ²² The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.43 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\theta_{23} = \pi/2$, and $\delta = 0$. For other values of δ , the 68% CL region spans from 0.04 to 0.34.
- ²³ FOGLI 08 obtained this result from a global analysis of all neutrino oscillation data, that is, solar + KamLAND + atmospheric + accelerator long baseline + CHOOZ.
- 24 FOGLI 08 obtained this result from an analysis using the solar and KamLAND neutrino oscillation data.
- ²⁵ FOGLI 08 obtained this result from an analysis using the atmospheric, accelerator long baseline, and CHOOZ neutrino oscillation data.
- 26 YAMAMOTO 06 searched for ν_{μ} \rightarrow $~\nu_{e}$ appearance. Assumes 2 $\sin^{2}(2\theta_{\mu\,e})$ = $\sin^2(2\theta_{13})$. The quoted limit is for $\Delta m^2_{32} = 1.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$. That value of Δm^2_{32} is the one- σ low value for AHN 06A. For the AHN 06A best fit value of 2.8 \times 10⁻³ eV², the sin² $(2\theta_{13})$ limit is < 0.26. Supersedes AHN 04.
- ²⁷ AHN 04 searched for $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ appearance. Assuming 2 sin²(2 $\theta_{\mu_{o}}$) = sin²(2 θ_{13}), a limit on sin²(2 θ_{μ_e}) is converted to a limit on sin²(2 θ_{13}). The quoted limit is for Δm_{32}^2 $= 1.9 imes 10^{-3}$ eV 2 . That value of Δm^2_{32} is the one- σ low value for ALIU 05. For the ALIU 05 best fit value of 2.8×10^{-3} eV², the sin²(2 θ_{13}) limit is < 0.30.
- 28 The quoted limit is for $\Delta m^2_{32} = 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$ eV². That value of Δm^2_{32} is the 1- σ low value for ALIU 05. For the ALIU 05 best fit value of 2.8×10^{-3} eV², the sin²2 θ_{13} limit is < 0.19. In this range, the θ_{13} limit is larger for lower values of Δm_{32}^2 , and smaller
- for higher values of Δm_{32}^2 . ²⁹ The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 1.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$. That value of Δm_{32}^2 is the 1- σ low value for ALIU 05. For the ALIU 05 best fit value of $2.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, the sin²2 θ_{13} limit is < 0.23. ³⁰ The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.43 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$. That value of Δm_{32}^2 is the central
- value for ADAMSON 08. For the ADAMSON 08 1- σ low value of 2.30 \times 10⁻³ eV², the sin²2 θ_{13} limit is < 0.16. See also APOLLONIO 03 for a detailed description of the experiment.

(C) Other neutrino mixing results

The LSND collaboration reported in AGUILAR 01 a signal which is consistent with $\overline{
u}_{\mu}
ightarrow \overline{
u}_{e}$ oscillations. In a three neutrino framework, this would be a measurement of θ_{12} and Δm^2_{21} . This does not appear to be consistent with the interpretation of other neutrino data. The MiniBooNE experiment, reported in AGUILAR-AREVALO 07, does a two-neutrino analysis which, assuming CPT conservation, rules out AGUILAR 01. The following listings include results which might be relevant towards understanding these observations. They include searches for $\nu_{\mu} \to ~\nu_{e}, ~\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \to ~\overline{\nu}_{e},$ sterile neutrino oscillations, and CPT violation.

$\Delta(m^2)$ for sin ² (2 $ heta$) = 1 $(u_{\mu} \rightarrow u_{e})$							
VALUE (eV ²)	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the	following d	ata for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●		
<0.34	90 1	MAHN	12	мвоо	MiniBooNE/SciBooNE		
<0.034	90	AGUILAR-AR	.07	MBOO	MiniBooNE		
<0.0008	90	AHN	04	K2K	Water Cherenkov		
<0.4	90	ASTIER	03	NOMD	CERN SPS		
<2.4	90	AVVAKUMOV	02	NTEV	NUTEV FNAL		
	2	AGUILAR	01	LSND	$ \nu \mu \rightarrow \nu_{e} \text{ osc.prob.} $		
0.03 to 0.3	95 3	ATHANASSO	.98	LSND	$\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$		
<2.3	90 4	LOVERRE	96		CHARM/CDHS		
<0.9	90	VILAIN	94C	CHM2	CERN SPS		
<0.09	90	ANGELINI	86	HLBC	BEBC CERN PS		
					-		

¹MAHN 12 is a combined spectral fit of MiniBooNE and SciBooNE neutrino data with the range of Δm^2 up to 25 eV². The best limit is 0.04 at 7 eV².

² AGUILAR 01 is the final analysis of the LSND full data set. Search is made for the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ oscillations using ν_{μ} from π^{+} decay in flight by observing beam-on electron events from $\nu_{e} C \rightarrow e^{-} X$. Present analysis results in $8.1 \pm 12.2 \pm 1.7$ excess events in the $60 < E_{e} < 200$ MeV energy range, corresponding to oscillation probability of $0.10 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.04\%$. This is consistent, though less significant, with the previous result of ATHANASSOPOULOS 98, which it supersedes. The present analysis uses selection criteria developed for the decay at rest region, and is less effective in removing the background above 60 MeV than ATHANASSOPOULOS 98.

³ATHANASSOPOULOS 98 is a search for the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ oscillations using ν_{μ} from π^{+} decay in flight. The 40 observed beam-on electron events are consistent with $\nu_{e} C \rightarrow e^{-} X$; the expected background is 21.9 ± 2.1 . Authors interpret this excess as evidence for an oscillation signal corresponding to oscillations with probability $(0.26 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.05)$ %. Although the significance is only 2.3σ , this measurement is an important and consistent cross check of ATHANASSOPOULOS 96 who reported evidence for $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e}$ oscillations

from μ^+ decay at rest. See also ATHANASSOPOULOS 98B.

⁴LOVERRE 96 uses the charged-current to neutral-current ratio from the combined CHARM (ALLABY 86) and CDHS (ABRAMOWICZ 86) data from 1986.

3			(°µ ° °e)				
VAL	UE (units 10^{-3})	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
• •	• We do not use	the following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •	
<10	00	90		12	MBOO	MiniBooNE/SciBooNE	
<	1.8	90	² AGUILAR-AR	. 07	MBOO	MiniBooNE	
$<\!1$	10	90	³ AHN	04	K2K	Water Cherenkov	
<	1.4	90	ASTIER	03	NOMD	CERN SPS	
<	1.6	90	AVVAKUMOV	02	NTEV	NUTEV FNAL	
			⁴ AGUILAR	01	LSND	$\nu \mu \rightarrow \nu_e \text{ osc.prob.}$	
	0.5 to 30	95	⁵ ATHANASSO	.98	LSND	$\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$	
<	3.0	90	⁶ LOVERRE	96		CHARM/CDHS	
<	9.4	90	VILAIN	94C	CHM2	CERN SPS	
<	5.6	90	⁷ VILAIN	94C	CHM2	CERN SPS	

$\sin^2(2\theta)$ for "Large" $\Delta(m^2)$ $(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e})$

- ¹MAHN 12 is a combined fit of MiniBooNE and SciBooNE neutrino data. ²The limit is $\sin^2 2\theta < 0.9 \times 10^{-3}$ at $\Delta m^2 = 2 \text{ eV}^2$. That value of Δm^2 corresponds to the smallest mixing angle consistent with the reported signal from LSND in AGUILAR 01. ³The limit becomes $\sin^2 2\theta < 0.15$ at $\Delta m^2 = 2.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, the bets-fit value of the
- u_{μ} disappearance analysis in K2K.
- ⁴AGUILAR 01 is the final analysis of the LSND full data set of the search for the $u_{\mu}
 ightarrow$ ν_{ρ} oscillations. See footnote in preceding table for further details.
- 5 ATHANASSOPOULOS 98 report (0.26 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.05)% for the oscillation probability; the value of $\sin^2 2\theta$ for large Δm^2 is deduced from this probability. See footnote in preceding table for further details, and see the paper for a plot showing allowed regions. If effect is due to oscillation, it is most likely to be intermediate $\sin^2 2\theta$ and Δm^2 . See also ATHANASSOPOULOS 98B.
- ⁶LOVERRE 96 uses the charged-current to neutral-current ratio from the combined CHARM (ALLABY 86) and CDHS (ABRAMOWICZ 86) data from 1986.

 $^7\,{\rm VILAIN}$ 94C limit derived by combining the ν_μ and $\overline{\nu}_\mu$ data assuming CP conservation.

VALUE (eV ²)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
• • • We do not use	the followin	g data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$
<0.16	90	¹ CHENG 12 MBOO MiniBooNE/SciBooNE
0.03-0.09	90	² AGUILAR-AR10 MBOO E _{ν} > 475 MeV
0.03-0.07	90	³ AGUILAR-AR10 MBOO $E_{\nu} > 200$ MeV
<0.06	90	AGUILAR-AR09B MBOO MiniBooNE
<0.055	90	⁴ ARMBRUSTER02 KAR2 Liquid Sci. calor.
<2.6	90	AVVAKUMOV 02 NTEV NUTEV FNAL
0.03–0.05		⁵ AGUILAR 01 LSND LAMPF
0.05-0.08	90	⁶ ATHANASSO96 LSND LAMPF
0.048-0.090	80	⁷ ATHANASSO95
<0.07	90	⁸ HILL 95
<0.9	90	VILAIN 94c CHM2 CERN SPS
<0.14	90	⁹ FREEDMAN 93 CNTR LAMPF

$\Delta(m^2)$ for $\sin^2(2\theta) = 1$ $(\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_e)$

¹CHENG 12 is a combined fit of MiniBooNE and SciBooNE antineutrino data.

 2 This value is for a two neutrino oscillation analysis for excess antineutrino events with $E_{
m
u}$ > 475 MeV. The best fit is at 0.07. The allowed region is consistent with LSND reported by AGUILAR 01. Supercedes AGUILAR-AREVALO 09B.

- 3 This value is for a two neutrino oscillation analysis for excess antineutrino events with ${\rm E}_\nu>200$ MeV with subtraction of the expected 12 events low energy excess seen in the
- neutrino component of the beam. The best fit value is 0.007 for $\Delta(m^2) = 4.4 \text{ eV}^2$. ⁴ARMBRUSTER 02 is the final analysis of the KARMEN 2 data for 17.7 m distance from the ISIS stopped pion and muon neutrino source. It is a search for $\overline{\nu}_{\rho}$, detected by the inverse β -decay reaction on protons and ¹²C. 15 candidate events are observed, and 15.8 ± 0.5 background events are expected, hence no oscillation signal is detected. The results exclude large regions of the parameter area favored by the LSND experiment.
- 5 AGUILAR 01 is the final analysis of the LSND full data set. It is a search for $\overline{\nu}_e$ 30 m from LAMPF beam stop. Neutrinos originate mainly for π^+ decay at rest. $\overline{\nu}_e$ are detected through $\overline{\nu}_e p \rightarrow e^+ n \ (20 < E_{e^+} < 60 \text{ MeV})$ in delayed coincidence with $np \rightarrow d\gamma$. Authors observe $87.9 \pm 22.4 \pm 6.0$ total excess events. The observation is attributed to $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_e$ oscillations with the oscillation probability of $0.264 \pm 0.067 \pm 0.045\%$, consistent with the previously published result. Taking into account all constraints, the most favored allowed region of oscillation parameters is a band of $\Delta(m^2)$ from

0.2–2.0 eV². Supersedes ATHANASSOPOULOS 95, ATHANASSOPOULOS 96, and ATHANASSOPOULOS 98.

⁶ATHANASSOPOULOS 96 is a search for $\overline{\nu}_e$ 30 m from LAMPF beam stop. Neutrinos originate mainly from π^+ decay at rest. $\overline{\nu}_e$ could come from either $\overline{\nu}_\mu \to ~\overline{\nu}_e$ or $\nu_e \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_e$; our entry assumes the first interpretation. They are detected through $\overline{\nu}_e \stackrel{\nu}{p} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_e$ $e^+ n$ (20 MeV $< E_{e^+}$ <60 MeV) in delayed coincidence with $np \rightarrow d\gamma$. Authors observe 51 \pm 20 $\stackrel{-}{\pm}$ 8 total excess events over an estimated background 12.5 \pm 2.9. ATHANASSOPOULOS 96B is a shorter version of this paper.

- 7 ATHANASSOPOULOS 95 error corresponds to the 1.6 σ band in the plot. The expected background is 2.7 \pm 0.4 events. Corresponds to an oscillation probability of $(0.34^{+0.20}_{-0.18}\pm 0.07)\%$. For a different interpretation, see HILL 95. Replaced by ATHANASSOPOULOS 96.
- ⁸ HILL 95 is a report by one member of the LSND Collaboration, reporting a different conclusion from the analysis of the data of this experiment (see ATHANASSOPOULOS 95). Contrary to the rest of the LSND Collaboration, Hill finds no evidence for the neutrino oscillation $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow ~\overline{\nu}_{e}$ and obtains only upper limits.
- $^9\,{\sf FREEDMAN}$ 93 is a search at LAMPF for $\overline{
 u}_e$ generated from any of the three neutrino types ν_{μ} , $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$, and ν_{e} which come from the beam stop. The $\overline{\nu}_{e}$'s would be detected by the reaction $\overline{\nu}_{\rho} p \rightarrow e^+ n$. FREEDMAN 93 replaces DURKIN 88.

sin	$-(2\theta)$ for "Large" λ	∆(<i>m</i> ~)	$(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e})$				
VALU	UE (units 10^{-3})	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
• •	• We do not use the	following	data for averages,	fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●	
< 1!	50	90		12		MiniBooNE/SciBooNE	
0.	.4–9.0	99	² AGUILAR-AR1	10	MBOO	$E_{ u} >$ 475 MeV	
0.	.4–9.0	99	³ AGUILAR-AR1	10	MBOO	$E_{\nu} > 200 \text{ MeV}$	
<	3.3	90	⁴ AGUILAR-AR(MBOO	MiniBooNE	
<	1.7	90	⁵ ARMBRUSTER	02	KAR2	Liquid Sci. calor.	
<	1.1	90	AVVAKUMOV (02	NTEV	NUTEV FNAL	
	$5.3\!\pm\!1.3\!\pm\!9.0$			01	-	LAMPF	
	$6.2\!\pm\!2.4\!\pm\!1.0$		⁷ ATHANASSO9	96	LSND	LAMPF	
3-12	2	80	⁸ ATHANASSO9	95			
<	6	90	⁹ HILL 9	95			

$\sin^2(2\theta)$ for "large" $\Lambda(m^2)$ 1-

¹CHENG 12 is a combined fit of MiniBooNE and SciBooNE antineutrino data.

 2 This value is for a two neutrino oscillation analysis for excess antineutrino events with E_{ν} > 475 MeV. At 90% CL there is no solution at high $\Delta(m^2)$. The best fit is at maximal mixing. The allowed region is consistent with LSND reported by AGUILAR 01. Supercedes AGUILAR-AREVALO 09B.

- 3 This value is for a two neutrino oscillation analysis for excess antineutrino events with $E_{\nu} > 200$ MeV with subtraction of the expected 12 events low energy excess seen in the neutrino component of the beam. At 90% CL there is no solution at high $\Delta(m^2)$. The best fit value is 0.007 for $\Delta(m^2) = 4.4 \text{ eV}^2$.
- 4 This result is inconclusive with respect to small amplitude mixing suggested by LSND.
- ⁵ARMBRUSTER 02 is the final analysis of the KARMEN 2 data. See footnote in the preceding table for further details, and the paper for the exclusion plot.
- ⁶AGUILAR 01 is the final analysis of the LSND full data set. The deduced oscillation probability is $0.264 \pm 0.067 \pm 0.045\%$; the value of $\sin^2 2\theta$ for large $\Delta(m^2)$ is twice this probability (although these values are excluded by other constraints). See footnote in preceding table for further details, and the paper for a plot showing allowed regions. Supersedes ATHANASSOPOULOS 95, ATHANASSOPOULOS 96, and ATHANASSOPOULOS 98.

⁷ ATHANASSOPOULOS 96 reports $(0.31 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05)\%$ for the oscillation probability; the value of sin²2 θ for large $\Delta(m^2)$ should be twice this probability. See footnote in preceding table for further details, and see the paper for a plot showing allowed regions.

- ⁸ATHANASSOPOULOS 95 error corresponds to the 1.6σ band in the plot. The expected background is 2.7 ± 0.4 events. Corresponds to an oscillation probability of $(0.34^{+}_{-}0.18 \pm 0.07)\%$. For a different interpretation, see HILL 95. Replaced by ATHANASSOPOULOS 96.
- ⁹ HILL 95 is a report by one member of the LSND Collaboration, reporting a different conclusion from the analysis of the data of this experiment (see ATHANASSOPOULOS 95). Contrary to the rest of the LSND Collaboration, Hill finds no evidence for the neutrino oscillation $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e}$ and obtains only upper limits.

$\Delta(m^2) \text{ for } \sin^2(2 heta) = 1 \quad (u_\mu(\overline{ u}_\mu) ightarrow \ u_e(\overline{ u}_e))$

VALUE (eV ²)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.075	90	BORODOV	92	CNTR	BNL E776
• • • We do not use t	he following	data for averages,	fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
<1.6	90	1 ROMOSAN	97	CCFR	FNAL
1					

¹ ROMOSAN 97 uses wideband beam with a 0.5 km decay region.

$\sin^2(2 heta)$ for "Large" $\Delta(m^2) \quad (u_\mu(\overline{ u}_\mu) \rightarrow \nu_e(\overline{ u}_e))$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.8	90	¹ ROMOSAN	97	CCFR	FNAL
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages,	, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●
<3.8	90	² MCFARLAND	95	CCFR	FNAL
<3	90	BORODOV	92	CNTR	BNL E776

 1 ROMOSAN 97 uses wideband beam with a 0.5 km decay region.

 2 MCFARLAND 95 state that "This result is the most stringent to date for 250< $\Delta(m^2)$ <450 eV² and also excludes at 90%CL much of the high $\Delta(m^2)$ region favored by the recent LSND observation." See ATHANASSOPOULOS 95 and ATHANASSOPOULOS 96.

$\Delta(m^2)$ for $\sin^2(2\theta) = 1$ ($\overline{\nu}_e \not\rightarrow \overline{\nu}_e$)

VALUE (eV ²)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
<0.01		¹ ACHKAR	95	CNTR	Bugey reactor
1					

¹ACHKAR 95 bound is for L=15, 40, and 95 m.

$sin^{2}(2\theta)$ for "Large" $\Delta(m^{2})$ ($\overline{\nu}_{e} \not\rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e}$) VALUE $CL_{2}^{(m^{2})}$ ($\overline{\nu}_{e} \not\rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e}$)

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

<0.02 90 ¹ ACHKAR 95 CNTR For $\Delta(m^2) = 0.6 \text{ eV}^2$ ¹ ACHKAR 95 bound is from data for *L*=15, 40, and 95 m distance from the Bugey reactor.

Sterile neutrino limits from atmospheric neutrino studies –

$\Delta(m^2) \text{ for } \sin^2(2\theta) = 1 \ (\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s})$ $\nu_{s} \text{ means } \nu_{\tau} \text{ or any sterile (noninteracting) } \nu.$							
$VALUE (10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		
• • • We do not us	● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ●						
<3000 (or <550)	90	¹ OYAMA	89	KAMI	Water Cherenkov		
< 4.2 or $>$ 54.	90	BIONTA	88	IMB	Flux has $ u_{\mu}, \overline{ u}_{\mu}, \nu_{e}, {\rm and} \overline{ u}_{e}$		

¹ OYAMA 89 gives a range of limits, depending on assumptions in their analysis. They argue that the region $\Delta(m^2) = (100-1000) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ is not ruled out by any data for large mixing.

Search for $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do no	t use the following data for a	avera	ges, fits,	limits, etc. • • •
	¹ AMBROSIO			
	² FUKUDA	00	SKAM	neutral currents + matter ef-
				fects
¹ AMBROSIO	01 tested the pure 2-flavor ι	$\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow$	$\nu_{{\pmb{s}}}$ hyp	othesis using matter effects which

- ¹ AMBROSIO 01 tested the pure 2-flavor $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$ hypothesis using matter effects which change the shape of the zenith-angle distribution of upward through-going muons. With maximum mixing and $\Delta(m^{2})$ around 0.0024 eV², the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$ oscillation is disfavored with 99% confidence level with respect to the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ hypothesis.
- 2 FUKUDA 00 tested the pure 2-flavor $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$ hypothesis using three complementary atmospheric-neutrino data samples. With this hypothesis, zenith-angle distributions are expected to show characteristic behavior due to neutral currents and matter effects. In the $\Delta(m^2)$ and $\sin^2 2\theta$ region preferred by the Super-Kamiokande data, the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$ hypothesis is rejected at the 99% confidence level, while the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ hypothesis consistently fits all of the data sample.

$$\left< \Delta m_{21}^2 - \Delta \overline{m}_{21}^2 \right>$$

VALUE (10^{-4} eV^2) CL%DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.• •<1.1</td>99.71 DEGOUVEA05FITsolar vs. reactor

- CPT tests

¹ DEGOUVEA 05 obtained this bound at the 3σ CL from the KamLAND (ARAKI 05) and solar neutrino data.

$\left< \Delta m_{32}^2 - \Delta \overline{m}_{32}^2 \right>$

VALUE (10^{-3} eV^2)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the	e following	data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
$0.6^{+2.4}_{-0.8}$	90	1 ADAMSON	12B	MINS	MINOS atmospheric
					ermined from the 90% C.L. mizing the four parameter

log-likelihood function with respect to the other oscillation parameters.

REFERENCES FOR Neutrino Mixing

				<i>(</i>	
AN	13	CP C37 011001	F.P. An <i>et al.</i>	(Daya Bay	Collab.)
Also		CP C37 011001 (errat)	F.P. An <i>et al.</i>	(Daya Bay	Collab.)
ABE	12	PRL 108 131801	Y. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Double Chooz	
ABE	12A	PR D85 031103	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	· · · ·	Collab.)
ABE	12B	PR D86 052008	Y. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Double Chooz	
ADAMSON	12	PRL 108 191801	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	Collab.)
ADAMSON	12B	PR D86 052007	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	Collab.)
ADRIAN-MAR.	12	PL B714 224	S. Adrian-Martinez <i>et al.</i>	(ANTARES	
AHN	12	PRL 108 191802	J.K. Ahn <i>et al.</i>	· · ·	Collab.)
				. `	
AN	12	PRL 108 171803	F.P. An <i>et al.</i>	(Daya Bay	
Also		CP C37 011001 (errat)	F.P. An <i>et al.</i>	(Daya Bay	Collab.)
BELLINI	12A	PRL 108 051302	G. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino	Collab.)
CHENG	12	PR D86 052009		liniBooNE/SciBooNE	
			-		
MAHN	12	PR D85 032007		liniBooNE/SciBooNE	
ABE	11	PR D83 052010	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande	Collab.)
ABE	11A	PRL 107 041801	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(T2K	Collab.)
ABE	11B	PR C84 035804	S. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(KamLAND	
ABE	11C	PRL 107 241801	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>		
	-			(Super-Kamiokande	
ADAMSON	11	PRL 106 181801	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	
ADAMSON	11B	PRL 107 021801	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	Collab.)
ADAMSON	11C	PR D84 071103	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	
ADAMSON	11D	PRL 107 181802	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	
				. `	
BELLINI	11	PL B696 191	G. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino	
BELLINI	11A	PRL 107 141302	G. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino	Collab.)
FOGLI	11	PR D84 053007	G.L. Fogli <i>et al.</i>		
GANDO	11	PR D83 052002	A. Gando <i>et al.</i>	(KamLAND	Collab)
		PR C83 054615	Th.A Mueller <i>et al.</i>	(Rame/Red	conab.)
MUELLER	11				
SERENELLI	11	APJ 743 24	A.M. Serenelli, W.C. Haxton	, C. Pena-Garay	
ADAMSON	10A	PR D82 051102	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	Collab.)
AGUILAR-AR	. 10	PRL 105 181801	A.A. Aguillar-Arevalo et al.	(MiniBooNE	Collab)
AHARMIM	10	PR C81 055504	B. Aharmim <i>et al.</i>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				/= ` .	Collab.)
BELLINI	10A	PR D82 033006	G. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino	
DENIZ	10	PR D81 072001	M. Deniz <i>et al.</i>	(TEXONO	Collab.)
KAETHER	10	PL B685 47	F. Kaether <i>et al.</i>		
WENDELL	10	PR D81 092004	R. Wendell et al.	(Super-Kamiokande	Collab)
	-				
ABDURASHI		PR C80 015807	J.N. Abdurashitov <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
ADAMSON	09	PRL 103 261802	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	Collab.)
AGUILAR-AR	. 09B	PRL 103 111801	A.A. Aguilar-arevalo et al.	(MiniBooNE	Collab.)
ABE	08A	PRL 100 221803	S. Abe et al.	(KamLAND	
Also	00/1		S. Abe <i>et al.</i>	<u>}</u>	
	00	PRL 101 119904E		(KamLAND	
ADAMSON	08	PR D77 072002	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	
ADAMSON	08A	PRL 101 131802	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	Collab.)
AHARMIM	08	PRL 101 111301	B. Aharmim <i>et al.</i>	(SNO	Collab.)
ARPESELLA	08A	PRL 101 091302	C. Arpesella <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino	
CRAVENS	08	PR D78 032002			
			J.P. Cravens <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande	Collab.)
FOGLI	08	PRL 101 141801	G.L. Fogli, et al		
ADAMSON	07	PR D75 092003	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	Collab.)
AGUILAR-AR	. 07	PRL 98 231801	A.A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al.	(MiniBooNE	Collab.)
AHARMIM	07	PR C75 045502	B. Aharmim <i>et al.</i>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Collab.)
ADAMSON	06			(MINOS	
		PR D73 072002	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>		
AHN	06A	PR D74 072003	M.H. Ahn <i>et al.</i>	. `	Collab.)
BALATA	06	EPJ C47 21	M. Balata <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino	Collab.)
HOSAKA	06	PR D73 112001	J. Hosaka <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande	Collab.
HOSAKA	06A	PR D74 032002	J. Hosaka <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande	
MICHAEL	06	PRL 97 191801	D. Michael <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	Collab.)
WINTER	06A	PR C73 025503	W.T. Winter <i>et al.</i>		
YAMAMOTO	06	PRL 96 181801	S. Yamamoto <i>et al.</i>	(K2K	Collab.)
AHARMIM	05A	PR C72 055502	B. Aharmim <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
ALIU	05	PRL 94 081802	E. Aliu <i>et al.</i>	<u>`</u>	Collab.)
-					
ALLISON	05	PR D72 052005	W.W.M. Allison <i>et al.</i>	(SOUDAN-2	
ALTMANN	05	PL B616 174	M. Altmann <i>et al.</i>	(GNO	Collab.)
ARAKI	05	PRL 94 081801	T. Araki <i>et al.</i>	(KamLAND	Collab.)
ASHIE	05	PR D71 112005	Y. Ashie <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande	
DEGOUVEA	05	PR D71 093002			20
			A. de Gouvea, C. Pena-Gara		
AHARMIM	04	PR D70 093014	B. Aharmim <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
AHMED	04A	PRL 92 181301	S.N. Ahmed <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
AHN	04	PRL 93 051801	M.H. Ahn <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
AMBROSIO	04	EPJ C36 323	M. Ambrosio <i>et al.</i>	(MACRO	
ASHIE	04	PRL 93 101801	Y. Ashie <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande	Collab.)

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 34

Created: 7/12/2013 14:52

EGUCHI	04	PRL 92 071301	K. Eguchi <i>et al.</i>	(KamLAND Collab.)
SMY	04	PR D69 011104	M.B. Smy <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
AHN	03	PRL 90 041801	M.H. Ahn <i>et al.</i>	(K2K Collab.)
AMBROSIO	03	PL B566 35	M. Ambrosio <i>et al.</i>	(MACRO Collab.)
APOLLONIO	03	EPJ C27 331	M. Apollonio <i>et al.</i>	
ASTIER	03	PL B570 19	P. Astier <i>et al.</i>	(CHOOZ Collab.)
	03			(NOMAD Collab.)
EGUCHI		PRL 90 021802	K. Eguchi <i>et al.</i>	(KamLAND Collab.)
GANDO	03	PRL 90 171302	Y. Gando <i>et al.</i> A. Ianni	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
	03	JPG 29 2107		(INFN Gran Sasso)
SANCHEZ	03	PR D68 113004	M. Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(Soudan 2 Collab.)
ABDURASHI	02	JETP 95 181	J.N. Abdurashitov <i>et al.</i>	(SAGE Collab.)
AHMAD	02	Translated from ZETF 12 PRL 89 011301	Q.R. Ahmad <i>et al.</i>	(SNO Callab)
AHMAD	02 02B			(SNO Collab.)
	-	PRL 89 011302 PR D65 112001	Q.R. Ahmad <i>et al.</i>	(SNO Collab.)
ARMBRUSTER			B. Armbruster <i>et al.</i>	(KARMEN 2 Collab.)
AVVAKUMOV	02	PRL 89 011804	S. Avvakumov <i>et al.</i>	(NuTeV Collab.)
FUKUDA	02	PL B539 179	S. Fukuda <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
AGUILAR	01	PR D64 112007	A. Aguilar <i>et al.</i>	(LSND Collab.)
AHMAD	01	PRL 87 071301	Q.R. Ahmad <i>et al.</i>	(SNO Collab.)
AMBROSIO	01	PL B517 59	M. Ambrosio <i>et al.</i>	(MACRO Collab.)
BOEHM	01	PR D64 112001	F. Boehm <i>et al.</i>	
FUKUDA	01	PRL 86 5651	S. Fukuda <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
AMBROSIO	00	PL B478 5	M. Ambrosio <i>et al.</i>	(MACRO Collab.)
BOEHM	00	PRL 84 3764	F. Boehm <i>et al.</i>	
FUKUDA	00	PRL 85 3999	S. Fukuda <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
ALLISON	99	PL B449 137	W.W.M. Allison <i>et al.</i>	(Soudan 2 Collab.)
APOLLONIO	99	PL B466 415	M. Apollonio <i>et al.</i>	(CHOOZ Collab.)
Also		PL B472 434 (errat)	M. Apollonio <i>et al.</i>	(CHOOZ Collab.)
FUKUDA	99C	PRL 82 2644	Y. Fukuda <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
FUKUDA	99D	PL B467 185	Y. Fukuda <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
HAMPEL	99	PL B447 127	W. Hampel <i>et al.</i>	(GALLEX Collab.)
AMBROSIO	98	PL B434 451	M. Ambrosio et al.	(MACRO Collab.)
APOLLONIO	98	PL B420 397	M. Apollonio <i>et al.</i>	CHOOZ Collab.)
ATHANASSO	98	PRL 81 1774	C. Athanassopoulos et al.	(LSND Collab.)
ATHANASSO	98B	PR C58 2489	C. Athanassopoulos et al.	(LSND Collab.)
CLEVELAND	98	APJ 496 505	B.T. Cleveland et al.	(Homestake Collab.)
FELDMAN	98	PR D57 3873	G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins	()
FUKUDA	98C	PRL 81 1562	Y. Fukuda <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
HATAKEYAMA		PRL 81 2016	S. Hatakeyama <i>et al.</i>	(Kamiokande Collab.)
CLARK	97	PRL 79 345	R. Clark <i>et al.</i>	(IMB Collab.)
ROMOSAN	97	PRL 78 2912	A. Romosan <i>et al.</i>	(CCFR Collab.)
AGLIETTA	96	JETPL 63 791	M. Aglietta <i>et al.</i>	(LSD Collab.)
/ GEIET I/	50	Translated from ZETFP 6		(LOD COND.)
ATHANASSO	96	PR C54 2685	C. Athanassopoulos <i>et al.</i>	(LSND Collab.)
ATHANASSO		PRL 77 3082	C. Athanassopoulos <i>et al.</i>	(LSND Collab.)
FUKUDA	96	PRL 77 1683	Y. Fukuda <i>et al.</i>	(Kamiokande Collab.)
FUKUDA	96B	PL B388 397	Y. Fukuda <i>et al.</i>	(Kamiokande Collab.)
GREENWOOD	96	PR D53 6054	Z.D. Greenwood <i>et al.</i>	(UCI, SVR, SCUC)
HAMPEL	96	PL B388 384	W. Hampel <i>et al.</i>	(GALLEX Collab.)
LOVERRE	96		DF 1	(GALLER COND.)
ACHKAR	95	PL B370 156 NP B434 503	P.F. Loverre B. Achkar <i>et al.</i> (SIN	G, SACLD, CPPM, CDEF+)
AHLEN	95 95	PL B357 481	S.P. Ahlen <i>et al.</i>	(MACRO Collab.)
ATHANASSO		PRL 75 2650		
			C. Athanassopoulos <i>et al.</i>	(LSND Collab.)
DAUM	95 05	ZPHY C66 417	K. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(FREJUS Collab.)
	95 05	PRL 75 2654	J.E. Hill	(PENN)
MCFARLAND	95	PRL 75 3993	K.S. McFarland <i>et al.</i>	(CCFR Collab.)
DECLAIS	94	PL B338 383	Y. Declais <i>et al.</i>	
FUKUDA	94	PL B335 237	Y. Fukuda <i>et al.</i>	(Kamiokande Collab.)
VILAIN	94C	ZPHY C64 539	P. Vilain <i>et al.</i>	(CHARM II Collab.)
FREEDMAN	93	PR D47 811	S.J. Freedman <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF E645 Collab.)
BECKER-SZ	92B	PR D46 3720	R.A. Becker-Szendy <i>et al.</i>	(IMB Collab.)
BEIER	92	PL B283 446	E.W. Beier <i>et al.</i>	(KAM2 Collab.)
Also		PTRSL A346 63	E.W. Beier, E.D. Frank	(PENN)
BORODOV	92	PRL 68 274	L. Borodovsky <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, JHU, ILL)
HIRATA	92	PL B280 146	K.S. Hirata <i>et al.</i>	(Kamiokande II Collab.)
CASPER	91	PRL 66 2561	D. Casper <i>et al.</i>	(IMB Collab.)
HIRATA	91	PRL 66 9	K.S. Hirata <i>et al.</i>	(Kamiokande II Collab.)
KUVSHINN	91	JETPL 54 253	A.A. Kuvshinnikov <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)
	51			
BERGER	90B	PL B245 305	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(FREJUS Collab.)
BERGER HIRATA				(FREJUS Collab.) (Kamiokande II Collab.)
BERGER	90B	PL B245 305	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(FREJUS Collab.)

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 35

Created: 7/12/2013 14:52

Citation: J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), PR D86, 010001 (2012) and 2013 partial update for the 2014 edition (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

DAVIS 89	ARNPS 39 467	R. Davis, A.K. Mann, L. W	/olfenstein (BNL, PENN+)
OYAMA 89	PR D39 1481	Y. Oyama <i>et al.</i>	(Kamiokande II Collab.)
BIONTA 88	PR D38 768	R.M. Bionta <i>et al.</i>	(IMB Collab.)
DURKIN 88	PRL 61 1811	L.S. Durkin <i>et al.</i>	(OSU, ANL, CIT+)
ABRAMOWICZ 86	PRL 57 298	H. Abramowicz et al.	(CDHS Collab.)
ALLABY 86	PL B177 446	J.V. Allaby <i>et al.</i>	(CHARM Collab.)
ANGELINI 86	PL B179 307	C. Angelini <i>et al.</i>	(PISA, ATHU, PADO+)
VUILLEUMIER 82	PL 114B 298	J.L. Vuilleumier <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, SIN, MUNI)
BOLIEV 81	SJNP 34 787	M.M. Boliev et al.	(INRM)
	Translated from YAF 34	1418.	
KWON 81	PR D24 1097	H. Kwon <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, ISNG, MUNI)
BOEHM 80	PL 97B 310	F. Boehm <i>et al.</i>	(ILLG, CIT, ISNG, MUNI)
CROUCH 78	PR D18 2239	M.F. Crouch et al.	(CASE, UCI, WITW)