

**$\phi(1680)$**  $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$  **$\phi(1680)$  MASS****e<sup>+</sup> e<sup>-</sup> PRODUCTION**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

**1680±20 OUR ESTIMATE**

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1689± 7±10	4.8k	<sup>1</sup> SHEN	09	BELL	10.6 e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup> → $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1709±20±43		<sup>2</sup> AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup> → hadrons
1623±20	948	<sup>3</sup> AKHMETSHIN	03	CMD2	1.05–1.38 e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup> → $K_L^0 K_S^0$
~ 1500		<sup>4</sup> ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$ , $K^+ K^-$
~ 1900		<sup>5</sup> ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1700±20		<sup>6</sup> CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K\pi$
1657±27	367	<sup>7</sup> BISELLO	91C	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1655±17		<sup>7</sup> BISELLO	88B	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
1680±10		<sup>8</sup> BUON	82	DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
1677±12		<sup>9</sup> MANE	82	DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K\pi$

<sup>1</sup> From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

<sup>2</sup> From the simultaneous fit to the  $K\bar{K}^*(892)^+$  c.c. and  $\phi\eta$  data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

<sup>3</sup> From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ , and  $\phi$ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.

<sup>4</sup> Using data from IVANOV 81, BARKOV 87, BISELLO 88B, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.

<sup>5</sup> Using the data from BISELLO 91C.

<sup>6</sup> Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.

<sup>7</sup> From global fit including  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\phi$  and  $\rho(1700)$  assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for  $\rho$  radial excitation.

<sup>8</sup> From global fit of  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\phi$  and their radial excitations to channels  $\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$ ,  $K^+ K^-$ ,  $K_S^0 K_L^0$ ,  $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ . Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for  $\rho$  radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for  $\omega$  radial excitation.

<sup>9</sup> Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with  $\omega$ ,  $\rho(1700)$ .

**PHOTOPRODUCTION**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1753± 3	<sup>10</sup> LINK	02K	FOCS	20–160 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$
1726±22	<sup>10</sup> BUSENITZ	89	TPS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
1760±20	<sup>10</sup> ATKINSON	85C	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K\bar{K}X$
1690±10	<sup>10</sup> ASTON	81F	OMEG	25–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$

<sup>10</sup> We list here a state decaying into  $K^+ K^-$  possibly different from  $\phi(1680)$ .

## $p\bar{p}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
1700±8	11 AMSLER	06 CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
11 Could also be $\rho(1700)$ .			

## $\phi(1680)$ WIDTH

### $e^+ e^-$ PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>150±50 OUR ESTIMATE</b> This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

211±14± 19	4.8k	12 SHEN	09 BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
322±77±160		13 AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
139±60	948	14 AKHMETSHIN 03	CMD2	$1.05-1.38 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
300±60		15 CLEGG	94 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K\pi$
146±55	367	BISELLO	91C DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
207±45		16 BISELLO	88B DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
185±22		17 BUON	82 DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
102±36		18 MANE	82 DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K\pi$

12 From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

13 From the simultaneous fit to the  $K\bar{K}^*(892)^+$  c.c. and  $\phi\eta$  data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

14 From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ , and  $\phi$ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.

15 Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.

16 From global fit including  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\phi$  and  $\rho(1700)$ .

17 From global fit of  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\phi$  and their radial excitations to channels  $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $K^+K^-$ ,  $K_S^0 K_L^0$ ,  $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ . Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for  $\rho$  radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for  $\omega$  radial excitation.

18 Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with  $\omega$ ,  $\rho(1700)$ .

## PHOTOPRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
122±63	19 LINK	02K FOCS	$20-160 \gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$
121±47	19 BUSENITZ	89 TPS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
80±40	19 ATKINSON	85C OMEG	$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow K\bar{K}X$
100±40	19 ASTON	81F OMEG	$25-70 \gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$

19 We list here a state decaying into  $K^+ K^-$  possibly different from  $\phi(1680)$ .

## $p\bar{p}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
143±24	20 AMSLER	06 CBAR	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
20 Could also be $\rho(1700)$ .			

## $\phi(1680)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	dominant
$\Gamma_2 K_S^0 K\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3 K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_4 K_L^0 K_S^0$	
$\Gamma_5 e^+ e^-$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \omega\pi\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_7 \phi\pi\pi$	
$\Gamma_8 K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_9 \eta\phi$	seen
$\Gamma_{10} \eta\gamma$	seen
$\Gamma_{11} K^+ K^- \pi^0$	

### $\phi(1680) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

This combination of a branching ratio into channel ( $i$ ) and branching ratio into  $e^+e^-$  is directly measured and obtained from the cross section at the peak. We list only data that have not been used to determine the branching ratio into ( $i$ ) or  $e^+e^-$ .

$$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units $10^{-6}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				

$0.131 \pm 0.059$       948      <sup>21</sup> AKHMETSHIN 03      CMD2       $1.05\text{--}1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$

<sup>21</sup> From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ , and  $\phi$ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known. Recalculated by us.

$$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units $10^{-6}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				

$1.15 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.01$       <sup>22</sup> AUBERT      08s BABR       $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma + \text{c.c.}$

$3.29 \pm 1.57$       367      <sup>23</sup> BISELLO      91C DM2       $1.35\text{--}2.40 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

<sup>22</sup> From the simultaneous fit to the  $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$  and  $\phi\eta$  data from AUBERT 08s using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

<sup>23</sup> Recalculated by us with the published value of  $B(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)$ .

$$\Gamma(\phi\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_7/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units $10^{-7}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				

$1.86 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.21$       4.8k      <sup>24</sup> SHEN      09 BELL       $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

<sup>24</sup> Multiplied by 3/2 to take into account the  $\phi\pi^0\pi^0$  mode. Using  $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6)\%$ .

$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$		
<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-6}$ )	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
$0.43 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.09$	25 AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta\gamma$
25 From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.			

### $\phi(1680)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(K_S^0 K\pi)$	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
dominant	MANE	82	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})$	$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
$0.07 \pm 0.01$	BUON	82	$DM1 e^+e^-$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})$	$\Gamma_6/\Gamma_1$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.10	BUON	82	$DM1 e^+e^-$

$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_9/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	35	26 ACHASOV	14 SND	$1.15-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
26 From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.				

$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})$	$\Gamma_9/\Gamma_1$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
$\approx 0.37$	27 AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
27 From the fit including data from AUBERT 07AK.			

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	35	28 ACHASOV	14 SND	$1.15-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
28 From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.				

## $\phi(1680)$ REFERENCES

ACHASOV	14	PR D90 032002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
SHEN	09	PR D80 031101	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(CBAR Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03	PL B551 27	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
Also		PAN 65 1222	E.V. Anashkin, V.M. Aulchenko, R.R. Akhmetshin	
		Translated from YAF 65	1255.	
LINK	02K	PL B545 50	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ACHASOV	98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BISELLO	91C	ZPHY C52 227	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BUSENITZ	89	PR D40 1	J.K. Busenitz <i>et al.</i>	(ILL, FNAL)
BISELLO	88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 46	132.	
ATKINSON	85C	ZPHY C27 233	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
BUON	82	PL 118B 221	J. Buon <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
MANE	82	PL 112B 178	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
ASTON	81F	PL 104B 231	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
IVANOV	81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
MANE	81	PL 99B 261	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)