$K_0^*(800)$
or κ

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^+)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Needs confirmation. See the mini-review on scalar mesons under $f_0(500)$ (see the index for the page number).

$K_0^*(800)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)		EVTS		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
682 ±29	**OUR AVERAGE**			Error includes scale factor of 2.4. See the ideogram below.			
826 ±49	$^{+49}_{-34}$	1338	[1]	ABLIKIM	11B	BES2	$J/\psi \to K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
849 ±77	$^{+18}_{-14}$	1421	[2,3]	ABLIKIM	10E	BES2	$J/\psi \to K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^0$
841 ±30	$^{+81}_{-73}$	25k	[4,5]	ABLIKIM	06C	BES2	$J/\psi \to \overline{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
658 ±13			[6]	DESCOTES-G...	06	RVUE	$\pi K \to \pi K$
797 ±19 ±43		15k	[7,8]	AITALA	02	E791	$D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •							
663 ± 8 ±34			[9]	BUGG	10	RVUE	S-matrix pole
706.0± 1.8±22.8		141k	[10]	BONVICINI	08A	CLEO	$D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
856 ±17 ±13		54k	[11]	LINK	07B	FOCS	$D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
750	$^{+30}_{-55}$		[12]	BUGG	06	RVUE	
855 ±15		0.6k	[13]	CAWLFIELD	06A	CLEO	$D^0 \to K^+ K^- \pi^0$
694 ±53			[3,14]	ZHOU	06	RVUE	$K p \to K^- \pi^+ n$
753 ±52			[15]	PELAEZ	04A	RVUE	$K \pi \to K \pi$
594 ±79			[14]	ZHENG	04	RVUE	$K^- p \to K^- \pi^+ n$
722 ±60			[16]	BUGG	03	RVUE	11 $K^- p \to K^- \pi^+ n$
905	$^{+65}_{-30}$		[17]	ISHIDA	97B	RVUE	11 $K^- p \to K^- \pi^+ n$

[1] The Breit-Wigner parameters from a fit with seven intermediate resonances. The S-matrix pole position is $(764 \pm 63 {}^{+71}_{-54}) - i\,(306 \pm 149 {}^{+143}_{-85})$ MeV.

[2] From a fit including ten additional resonances and energy-independent Breit-Wigner width.

[3] S-matrix pole.

[4] S-matrix pole. GUO 06 in a chiral unitary approach report a mass of 757 ± 33 MeV and a width of 558 ± 82 MeV.

[5] A fit in the $K_0^*(800) + K^*(892) + K^*(1410)$ model with mass and width of the $K_0^*(800)$ from ABLIKIM 06C well describes the left slope of the $K_S^0 \pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum in $\tau^- \to K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$ decay studied by EPIFANOV 07.

[6] S-matrix pole. Using Roy-Steiner equations (ROY 71) as well as unitarity, analyticity and crossing symmetry constraints.

[7] Not seen by KOPP 01 using 7070 events of $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$. LINK 02E and LINK 05I show clear evidence for a constant non-resonant scalar amplitude rather than $K_0^*(800)$ in their high statistics analysis of $D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$.

[8] AUBERT 07T does not find evidence for the charged $K_0^*(800)$ using 11k events of $D^0 \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^0$.

[9] S-Matrix pole. Supersedes BUGG 06. Combined analysis of ASTON 88, ABLIKIM 06C, AITALA 06, and LINK 09 using an s-dependent width with couplings to $K\pi$ and $K\eta'$, and the Adler zero near thresholds.

[10] T-matrix pole.

[11] A Breit-Wigner mass and width.

[12] S-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88, AITALA 02, and ABLIKIM 06C using for the κ an s-dependent width with an Adler zero near threshold.

[13] Breit-Wigner parameters. A significant S-wave can be also modeled as a non-resonant contribution.

[14] Using ASTON 88.

[15] T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of data from LINGLIN 73, ESTABROOKS 78, and ASTON 88 in the unitarized ChPT model.

[16] T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data.

[17] Reanalysis of ASTON 88 using interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes.

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
682±29 (Error scaled by 2.4)

				χ^2
ABLIKIM	11B	BES2		5.8
ABLIKIM	10E	BES2		4.5
ABLIKIM	06C	BES2		4.0
DESCOTES-G..06		RVUE		3.5
AITALA	02	E791		5.9
				23.8

(Confidence Level < 0.0001)

$K_0^*(800)$ MASS (MeV)

$K_0^*(800)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
547 ± 24 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
$449 \pm 156 \, {}^{+144}_{-81}$	1338	[18] ABLIKIM	11B BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
$512 \pm 80 \, {}^{+92}_{-44}$	1421	[19,20] ABLIKIM	10E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^0$
$618 \pm 90 \, {}^{+96}_{-144}$	25k	[19,21] ABLIKIM	06C BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \overline{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
557 ± 24		[22] DESCOTES-G..06	RVUE	$\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
$410 \pm 43 \pm 87$	15k	[23,24] AITALA	02 E791	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$658 \pm 10 \pm 44$		[25] BUGG	10	RVUE	S-matrix pole
$638.8 \pm 4.4 \pm 40.4$	141k	[26] BONVICINI	08A	CLEO	$D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
$464 \pm 28 \pm 22$	54k	[27] LINK	07B	FOCS	$D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
684 ± 120		[28] BUGG	06	RVUE	
251 ± 48	0.6k	[29] CAWLFIELD	06A	CLEO	$D^0 \to K^+ K^- \pi^0$
606 ± 59		[19,30] ZHOU	06	RVUE	$K p \to K^- \pi^+ n$
470 ± 66		[31] PELAEZ	04A	RVUE	$K \pi \to K \pi$
724 ± 332		[30] ZHENG	04	RVUE	$K^- p \to K^- \pi^+ n$
772 ± 100		[32] BUGG	03	RVUE	11 $K^- p \to K^- \pi^+ n$
$545 \, {}^{+235}_{-110}$		[33] ISHIDA	97B	RVUE	11 $K^- p \to K^- \pi^+ n$

[18] The Breit-Wigner parameters from a fit with seven intermediate resonances. The S-matrix pole position is $(764 \pm 63 \, {}^{+71}_{-54}) - i \, (306 \pm 149 \, {}^{+143}_{-85})$ MeV.

[19] S-matrix pole.

[20] From a fit including ten additional resonances and energy-independent Breit-Wigner width.

[21] A fit in the $K_0^*(800) + K^*(892) + K^*(1410)$ model with mass and width of the $K_0^*(800)$ from ABLIKIM 06C well describes the left slope of the $K_S^0 \pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum in $\tau^- \to K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$ decay studied by EPIFANOV 07.

[22] S-matrix pole. Using Roy-Steiner equations (ROY 71) as well as unitarity, analyticity and crossing symmetry constraints.

[23] Not seen by KOPP 01 using 7070 events of $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$. LINK 02E and LINK 05I show clear evidence for a constant non-resonant scalar amplitude rather than $K_0^*(800)$ in their high statistics analysis of $D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$.

[24] AUBERT 07T does not find evidence for the charged $K_0^*(800)$ using 11k events of $D^0 \to K^- K^+ \pi^0$.

[25] S-Matrix pole. Supersedes BUGG 06. Combined analysis of ASTON 88, ABLIKIM 06C, AITALA 06, and LINK 09 using an s-dependent width with couplings to $K \pi$ and $K \eta'$, and the Adler zero near thresholds.

[26] T-matrix pole.

[27] A Breit-Wigner mass and width.

[28] S-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88, AITALA 02, and ABLIKIM 06C using for the κ an s-dependent width with an Adler zero near threshold.

[29] Statistical error only. A fit to the Dalitz plot including the $K_0^*(800)^\pm$, $K^*(892)^\pm$, and ϕ resonances modeled as Breit-Wigners. A significant S-wave can be also modeled as a non-resonant contribution.

[30] Using ASTON 88.

[31] T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of data from LINGLIN 73, ESTABROOKS 78, and ASTON 88 in the unitarized ChPT model.

[32] T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data.

[33] Reanalysis of ASTON 88 using interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes.

$K_0^*(800)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	11B	PL B698 183	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES II Collab.)
ABLIKIM	10E	PL B693 88	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES II Collab.)
BUGG	10	PR D81 014002	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
LINK	09	PL B681 14	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
BONVICINI	08A	PR D78 052001	G. Bonvicini *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	07T	PR D76 011102	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
EPIFANOV	07	PL B654 65	D. Epifanov *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
LINK	07B	PL B653 1	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06C	PL B633 681	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)
AITALA	06	PR D73 032004	E.M. Aitala *et al.*	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
Also		PR D74 059901 (errat.)	E.M. Aitala *et al.*	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
BUGG	06	PL B632 471	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
CAWLFIELD	06A	PR D74 031108	C. Cawlfield *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
DESCOTES-G...	06	EPJ C48 553	S. Descotes-Genon, B. Moussallam	
GUO	06	NP A773 78	F.K. Guo *et al.*	
ZHOU	06	NP A775 212	Z.Y. Zhou, H.Q. Zheng	
LINK	05I	PL B621 72	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
PELAEZ	04A	MPL A19 2879	J.R. Pelaez	
ZHENG	04	NP A733 235	H.Q. Zheng *et al.*	
BUGG	03	PL B572 1	D.V. Bugg	
AITALA	02	PRL 89 121801	E.M. Aitala *et al.*	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
LINK	02E	PL B535 43	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
KOPP	01	PR D63 092001	S. Kopp *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ISHIDA	97B	PTP 98 621	S. Ishida *et al.*	
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston *et al.*	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B133 490	P.G. Estabrooks *et al.*	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)
LINGLIN	73	NP B55 408	D. Linglin	(CERN)
ROY	71	PL 36B 353	S.M. Roy	