

$K_2^*(1430)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^+)$$

We consider that phase-shift analyses provide more reliable determinations of the mass and width.

 $K_2^*(1430)$ MASS**CHARGED ONLY, WITH FINAL STATE $K\pi$**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1425.6 ± 1.5 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
1420 ± 4	1587	BAUBILLIER	84B HBC	—	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
1436 ± 5.5	400	^{1,2} CLELAND	82 SPEC	+	30 $K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ p$
1430 ± 3.2	1500	^{1,2} CLELAND	82 SPEC	+	50 $K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ p$
1430 ± 3.2	1200	^{1,2} CLELAND	82 SPEC	—	50 $K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- p$
1423 ± 5	935	TOAFF	81 HBC	—	6.5 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
1428.0 ± 4.6		³ MARTIN	78 SPEC	+	10 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi p$
1423.8 ± 4.6		³ MARTIN	78 SPEC	—	10 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi p$
1420.0 ± 3.1	1400	AGUILAR-...	71B HBC	—	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
1425 ± 8.0	225	^{1,2} BARNHAM	71C HBC	+	$K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ p$
1416 ± 10	220	CRENNELL	69D DBC	—	3.9 $K^- N \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- N$
1414 ± 13.0	60	¹ LIND	69 HBC	+	9 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ p$
1427 ± 12	63	¹ SCHWEING...	68 HBC	—	5.5 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K} \pi N$
1423 ± 11.0	39	¹ BASSANO	67 HBC	—	4.6–5.0 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1423.4 ± 2 ± 3	24809 ± 820	⁴ BIRD	89 LASS	—	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$

NEUTRAL ONLY


VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1432.4 ± 1.3 OUR AVERAGE				
1431.2 ± 1.8 ± 0.7		⁵ ASTON	88 LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
1434 ± 4 ± 6		⁵ ASTON	87 LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1433 ± 6 ± 10		⁵ ASTON	84B LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 2\pi n$
1471 ± 12		⁵ BAUBILLIER	82B HBC	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow N K_S^0 \pi \pi$
1428 ± 3		⁵ ASTON	81C LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
1434 ± 2		⁵ ESTABROOKS	78 ASPK	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow p K \pi$
1440 ± 10		⁵ BOWLER	77 DBC	5.5 $K^+ d \rightarrow K \pi p p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1428.5 ± 3.9	1786 ± 127	⁶ AUBERT	07AK BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^{*0} K^\pm \pi^\mp \gamma$
1420 ± 7	300	HENDRICK	76 DBC	8.25 $K^+ N \rightarrow K^+ \pi N$
1421.6 ± 4.2	800	MCCUBBIN	75 HBC	3.6 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
1420.1 ± 4.3		⁷ LINGLIN	73 HBC	2–13 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- X$
1419.1 ± 3.7	1800	AGUILAR-...	71B HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
1416 ± 6	600	CORDS	71 DBC	9 $K^+ n \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p$
1421.1 ± 2.6	2200	DAVIS	69 HBC	12 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- X$

¹ Errors enlarged by us to $\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.² Number of events in peak re-evaluated by us.³ Systematic error added by us.⁴ From a partial wave amplitude analysis.⁵ From phase shift or partial-wave analysis.⁶ Systematic errors not estimated.⁷ From pole extrapolation, using world K^+p data summary tape. **$K_2^*(1430)$ WIDTH****CHARGED ONLY, WITH FINAL STATE $K\pi$**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
98.5± 2.7 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.						
98.5± 2.9 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.						
109 ±22	400	^{8,9} CLELAND	82	SPEC	+	30 $K^+p \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+p$
124 ±12.8	1500	^{8,9} CLELAND	82	SPEC	+	50 $K^+p \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+p$
113 ±12.8	1200	^{8,9} CLELAND	82	SPEC	-	50 $K^+p \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^-p$
85 ±16	935	TOAFF	81	HBC	-	6.5 $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0\pi^-p$
96.5± 3.8		MARTIN	78	SPEC	+	10 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K_S^0\pi p$
97.7± 4.0		MARTIN	78	SPEC	-	10 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K_S^0\pi p$
94.7 ^{+15.1} _{-12.5}	1400	AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	-	3.9,4.6 K^-p
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●						
98 ± 4 ±4	25k	¹⁰ BIRD	89	LASS	-	11 $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0\pi^-p$

NEUTRAL ONLY

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
109 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.				
116.5± 3.6± 1.7		¹¹ ASTON	88 LASS	11 $K^-p \rightarrow K^-\pi^+n$
129 ±15 ±15		¹¹ ASTON	87 LASS	11 $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0\pi^+\pi^-n$
131 ±24 ±20		¹¹ ASTON	84B LASS	11 $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^02\pi n$
143 ±34		¹¹ BAUBILLIER	82B HBC	8.25 $K^-p \rightarrow NK_S^0\pi\pi$
98 ± 8		¹¹ ASTON	81C LASS	11 $K^-p \rightarrow K^-\pi^+n$
140 ±30		¹¹ ETKIN	80 SPEC	6 $K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0\pi^+\pi^-n$
98 ± 5		¹¹ ESTABROOKS	78 ASPK	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow pK\pi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
113.7± 9.2	1786± 127	¹² AUBERT	07AK BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^{*0}K^\pm\pi^\mp\gamma$
125 ±29	300	⁸ HENDRICK	76 DBC	8.25 $K^+N \rightarrow K^+\pi N$
116 ±18	800	MCCUBBIN	75 HBC	3.6 $K^-p \rightarrow K^-\pi^+n$
61 ±14		¹³ LINGLIN	73 HBC	2-13 $K^+p \rightarrow K^+\pi^-X$
116.6 ^{+10.3} _{-15.5}	1800	AGUILAR-...	71B HBC	3.9,4.6 K^-p
144 ±24.0	600	⁸ CORDS	71 DBC	9 $K^+n \rightarrow K^+\pi^-p$
101 ±10	2200	DAVIS	69 HBC	12 $K^+p \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\pi^+p$



⁸ Errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.
⁹ Number of events in peak re-evaluated by us.
¹⁰ From a partial wave amplitude analysis.
¹¹ From phase shift or partial-wave analysis.
¹² Systematic errors not estimated.
¹³ From pole extrapolation, using world K^+p data summary tape.

$K_2^*(1430)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \quad K\pi$	$(49.9 \pm 1.2) \%$	
$\Gamma_2 \quad K^*(892)\pi$	$(24.7 \pm 1.5) \%$	
$\Gamma_3 \quad K^*(892)\pi\pi$	$(13.4 \pm 2.2) \%$	
$\Gamma_4 \quad K\rho$	$(8.7 \pm 0.8) \%$	S=1.2
$\Gamma_5 \quad K\omega$	$(2.9 \pm 0.8) \%$	
$\Gamma_6 \quad K^+\gamma$	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1
$\Gamma_7 \quad K\eta$	$(1.5^{+3.4}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3
$\Gamma_8 \quad K\omega\pi$	$< 7.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=95%
$\Gamma_9 \quad K^0\gamma$	$< 9 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width, a partial width, and 10 branching ratios uses 31 measurements and one constraint to determine 8 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 20.2$ for 24 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	−9						
x_3	−40	−73					
x_4	−8	36	−52				
x_5	−11	−3	−26	−7			
x_6	−1	−1	−1	−1	0		
x_7	−4	−7	−5	−5	−2	0	
Γ	0	0	0	0	0	−13	0
	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_7

Mode	Rate (MeV)	Scale factor
Γ_1 $K\pi$	49.1 ± 1.8	
Γ_2 $K^*(892)\pi$	24.3 ± 1.6	
Γ_3 $K^*(892)\pi\pi$	13.2 ± 2.2	
Γ_4 $K\rho$	8.5 ± 0.8	1.2
Γ_5 $K\omega$	2.9 ± 0.8	
Γ_6 $K^+\gamma$	0.24 ± 0.05	1.1
Γ_7 $K\eta$	0.15 ^{+0.33} _{−0.10}	1.3

$K_2^*(1430)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(K^+\gamma)$	Γ_6
<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>CHG</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
241 ± 50 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
240 ± 45	CIHANGIR 82 SPEC + 200 $K^+Z \rightarrow ZK^+\pi^0$, $ZK_S^0\pi^+$

$\Gamma(K^0\gamma)$	Γ_9
<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>CL%</u> <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>CHG</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
< 5.4	90 ALAVI-HARATI02B KTEV $K + A \rightarrow K^* + A$
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
<84	90 CARLSMITH 87 SPEC 0 60–200 $K_L^0 A \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0 A$

$K_2^*(1430)$ BRANCHING RATIOS **$\Gamma(K\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.499 ± 0.012 OUR FIT				
0.488 ± 0.014 OUR AVERAGE				
$0.485 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.020$	¹⁴ ASTON 88	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
0.49 ± 0.02	¹⁴ ESTABROOKS 78	ASPK	$\pm$	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow p K \pi$

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.496 ± 0.034 OUR FIT				
0.47 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE				
0.44 ± 0.09	ASTON 84B	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 2\pi n$
0.62 ± 0.19	LAUSCHER 75	HBC	0	10,16 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
0.54 ± 0.16	DEHM 74	DBC	0	4.6 $K^+ N$
0.47 ± 0.08	AGUILAR-... 71B	HBC		3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
0.47 ± 0.10	BASSANO 67	HBC	-0	4.6,5.0 $K^- p$
0.45 ± 0.13	BADIER 65C	HBC	-	3 $K^- p$

 $\Gamma(K\omega)/\Gamma(K\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.059 ± 0.017 OUR FIT				
0.070 ± 0.035 OUR AVERAGE				
0.05 ± 0.04	AGUILAR-... 71B	HBC		3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
0.13 ± 0.07	BASSOMPIE... 69	HBC	0	5 $K^+ p$


 $\Gamma(K\rho)/\Gamma(K\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.174 ± 0.017 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
$0.150^{+0.029}_{-0.017}$ OUR AVERAGE				
0.18 ± 0.05	ASTON 84B	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 2\pi n$
$0.02^{+0.10}_{-0.02}$	DEHM 74	DBC	0	4.6 $K^+ N$
0.16 ± 0.05	AGUILAR-... 71B	HBC		3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
0.14 ± 0.10	BASSANO 67	HBC	-0	4.6,5.0 $K^- p$
0.14 ± 0.07	BADIER 65C	HBC	-	3 $K^- p$

 $\Gamma(K\rho)/\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.350 ± 0.031 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.			
0.354 ± 0.033 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.			
$0.293 \pm 0.032 \pm 0.020$	ASTON 87	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
0.38 ± 0.09	BAUBILLIER 82B	HBC	0	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow N K_S^0 \pi \pi$
0.39 ± 0.03	DAUM 81C	CNTR		63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 0.354 ± 0.033 (Error scaled by 1.4)



$\Gamma(K\omega)/\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.118 ± 0.034 OUR FIT				
0.10 ± 0.04	FIELD	67	HBC	— 3.8 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(K\eta)/\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
$0.006^{+0.014}_{-0.004}$ OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
0.07 ± 0.04	FIELD	67	HBC	— 3.8 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(K\eta)/\Gamma(K\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
$0.0030^{+0.0070}_{-0.0020}$ OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.3.			
0 ± 0.0056	15	ASTON	88B	LASS	— 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \eta p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.04	95	AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
<0.065	16	BASSOMPIE...	69	HBC	5.0 $K^+ p$
<0.02		BISHOP	69	HBC	3.5 $K^+ p$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.134±0.022 OUR FIT				
0.12 ±0.04	¹⁷ GOLDBERG	76	HBC	— 3 $K^- p \rightarrow p \bar{K}^0 \pi \pi$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.27±0.05 OUR FIT				
0.21±0.08	^{16,17} JONGEJANS	78	HBC	— $4 K^- p \rightarrow p \bar{K}^0 \pi \pi \pi$

 $\Gamma(K\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.72	95	0	JONGEJANS	78	HBC $4 K^- p \rightarrow p \bar{K}^0 4\pi$

¹⁴ From phase shift analysis.¹⁵ ASTON 88B quote < 0.0092 at CL=95%. We convert this to a central value and 1 sigma error in order to be able to use it in our constrained fit.¹⁶ Restated by us.¹⁷ Assuming $\pi\pi$ system has isospin 1, which is supported by the data. $K_2^*(1430)$ REFERENCES

AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ALAVI-HARATI	02B	PRL 89 072001	A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
BIRD	89	SLAC-332	P.F. Bird	(SLAC)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ASTON	88B	PL B201 169	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ASTON	87	NP B292 693	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
CARLSMITH	87	PR D36 3502	D. Carlsmith <i>et al.</i>	(EFI, SACL)
ASTON	84B	NP B247 261	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CARL, OTTA)
BAUBILLIER	84B	ZPHY C26 37	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
BAUBILLIER	82B	NP B202 21	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
CIHANGIR	82	PL 117B 123	S. Cihangir <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, MINN, ROCH)
CLELAND	82	NP B208 189	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
ASTON	81C	PL 106B 235	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CARL, OTTA) JP
DAUM	81C	NP B187 1	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
TOAFF	81	PR D23 1500	S. Toaff <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, KANS)
ETKIN	80	PR D22 42	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY) JP
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B133 490	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)
Also		PR D17 658	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)
JONGEJANS	78	NP B139 383	B. Jongejans <i>et al.</i>	(ZEEM, CERN, NIJM+)
MARTIN	78	NP B134 392	A.D. Martin <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA)
BOWLER	77	NP B126 31	M.G. Bowler <i>et al.</i>	(OXF)
GOLDBERG	76	LNC 17 253	J. Goldberg	(HAIF)
HENDRICK	76	NP B112 189	K. Hendrickx <i>et al.</i>	(MONS, SACL, PARIS+)
LAUSCHER	75	NP B86 189	P. Lauscher <i>et al.</i>	(ABCLV Collab.) JP
MCCUBBIN	75	NP B86 13	N.A. McCubbin, L. Lyons	(OXF)
DEHM	74	NP B75 47	G. Dehm <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, BRUX, MONS, CERN)
LINGLIN	73	NP B55 408	D. Linglin	(CERN)
AGUILAR-...	71B	PR D4 2583	M. Aguilar-Benitez, R.L. Eisner, J.B. Kinson	(BNL)
BARNHAM	71C	NP B28 171	K.W.J. Barnham <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, GLAS)
CORDS	71	PR D4 1974	D. Cords <i>et al.</i>	(PURD, UCD, IUUP)
BASSOMPIERRE...	69	NP B13 189	G. Bassompierre <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BRUX) JP
BISHOP	69	NP B9 403	J.M. Bishop <i>et al.</i>	(WISC)
CRENNELL	69D	PRL 22 487	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
DAVIS	69	PRL 23 1071	P.J. Davis <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
LIND	69	NP B14 1	V.G. Lind <i>et al.</i>	(LRL) JP
SCHWEING...	68	PR 166 1317	F. Schweingruber <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, NWES)
Also		Thesis	F.L. Schweingruber	(NWES, NWES)
BASSANO	67	PRL 19 968	D. Bassano <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA)
FIELD	67	PL 24B 638	J.H. Field <i>et al.</i>	(UCSD)
BADIER	65C	PL 19 612	J. Badier <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, SACL, AMST)