

**$f_0(1370)$**

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$

See also the mini-reviews on scalar mesons under  $f_0(500)$  (see the index for the page number) and on non- $q\bar{q}$  candidates in PDG 06, Journal of Physics **G33** 1 (2006).

### **$f_0(1370)$ T-MATRIX POLE POSITION**

Note that  $\Gamma \approx 2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s_{\text{pole}}})$ .

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>(1200–1500)–<math>i</math>(150–250) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$(1290 \pm 50) - i(170^{+20}_{-40})$	<sup>1</sup> ANISOVICH 09 RVUE $0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$		
$(1373 \pm 15) - i(137 \pm 10)$	<sup>2</sup> BARGIOTTI 03 OBLX $\bar{p}p$		
$(1302 \pm 17) - i(166 \pm 18)$	<sup>3</sup> BARBERIS 00C $450 pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$		
$(1312 \pm 25 \pm 10) - i(109 \pm 22 \pm 15)$	BARBERIS 99D OMEG $450 pp \rightarrow K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^-$		
$(1406 \pm 19) - i(80 \pm 6)$	<sup>4</sup> KAMINSKI 99 RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \sigma\sigma$		
$(1300 \pm 20) - i(120 \pm 20)$	ANISOVICH 98B RVUE Compilation		
$(1290 \pm 15) - i(145 \pm 15)$	BARBERIS 97B OMEG $450 pp \rightarrow pp 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$		
$(1548 \pm 40) - i(560 \pm 40)$	BERTIN 97C OBLX $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		
$(1380 \pm 40) - i(180 \pm 25)$	ABELE 96B CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 K_L^0 K_L^0$		
$(1300 \pm 15) - i(115 \pm 8)$	BUGG 96 RVUE		
$(1330 \pm 50) - i(150 \pm 40)$	<sup>5</sup> AMSLER 95B CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$		
$(1360 \pm 35) - i(150–300)$	<sup>5</sup> AMSLER 95C CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$		
$(1390 \pm 30) - i(190 \pm 40)$	<sup>6</sup> AMSLER 95D CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$		
$1346 - i249$	<sup>7,8</sup> JANSEN 95 RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$		
$1214 - i168$	<sup>8,9</sup> TORNQVIST 95 RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$		
$1364 - i139$	AMSLER 94D CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$		
$(1365^{+20}_{-55}) - i(134 \pm 35)$	ANISOVICH 94 CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta$		
$(1340 \pm 40) - i(127^{+30}_{-20})$	<sup>10</sup> BUGG 94 RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta\eta\pi^0, \eta\pi^0 \pi^0$		
$(1430 \pm 5) - i(73 \pm 13)$	<sup>11</sup> KAMINSKI 94 RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$		
$1420 - i220$	<sup>12</sup> AU 87 RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$		

<sup>1</sup> Another pole is found at  $(1510 \pm 130) - i(800^{+100}_{-150})$  MeV.

<sup>2</sup> Coupled channel analysis of  $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ ,  $K^+ K^- \pi^0$ , and  $K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$ .

<sup>3</sup> Average between  $\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$  and  $2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ .

<sup>4</sup> T-matrix pole on sheet ——.

<sup>5</sup> Supersedes ANISOVICH 94.

<sup>6</sup> Coupled-channel analysis of  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ ,  $\pi^0 \eta\eta$ , and  $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$  on sheet IV. Demonstrates explicitly that  $f_0(500)$  and  $f_0(1370)$  are two different poles.

<sup>7</sup> Analysis of data from FALVARD 88.

<sup>8</sup> The pole is on Sheet III. Demonstrates explicitly that  $f_0(500)$  and  $f_0(1370)$  are two different poles.

- <sup>9</sup> Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.
- <sup>10</sup> Reanalysis of ANISOVICH 94 data.
- <sup>11</sup> T-matrix pole on sheet III.
- <sup>12</sup> Analysis of data from OCHS 73, GRAYER 74, BECKER 79, and CASON 83.

## **f<sub>0</sub>(1370) BREIT-WIGNER MASS OR K-MATRIX POLE PARAMETER**

**VALUE (MeV)**  
**1200 to 1500 OUR ESTIMATE**

**DOCUMENT ID**

### **ππ MODE**

<b>VALUE (MeV)</b>	<b>EVTS</b>	<b>DOCUMENT ID</b>	<b>TECN</b>	<b>COMMENT</b>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
1400 ± 40		<sup>1</sup> AUBERT	09L BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
$1470^{+6+72}_{-7-255}$		<sup>2</sup> UEHARA	08A BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
1259 ± 55	2.6k	BONVICINI	07 CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
1309 ± 1 ± 15		<sup>3</sup> BUGG	07A RVUE	$0.0 p\bar{p} \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
1449 ± 13	4.3k	<sup>4</sup> GARMASH	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1350 ± 50		ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
$1265 \pm 30^{+20}_{-35}$		ABLIKIM	05Q BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
1434 ± 18 ± 9	848	AITALA	01A E791	$D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
1308 ± 10		BARBERIS	99B OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
1315 ± 50		BELLAZZINI	99 GAM4	$450 pp \rightarrow p p \pi^0 \pi^0$
1315 ± 30		ALDE	98 GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
1280 ± 55		BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1186	<sup>5,6</sup> TORNQVIST	95	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
1472 ± 12		ARMSTRONG	91 OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow pp\pi\pi, ppK\bar{K}$
1275 ± 20		BREAKSTONE	90 SFM	$62 pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+ \pi^-$
1420 ± 20		AKESSON	86 SPEC	$63 pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+ \pi^-$
1256		FROGGATT	77 RVUE	$\pi^+ \pi^-$ channel

<sup>1</sup> Breit-Wigner mass.

<sup>2</sup> Breit-Wigner mass. May also be the f<sub>0</sub>(1500).

<sup>3</sup> Reanalysis of ABELE 96C data.

<sup>4</sup> Also observed by GARMASH 07 in  $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$  decays. Supersedes GARMASH 05.

<sup>5</sup> Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.

<sup>6</sup> Also observed by ASNER 00 in  $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$  decays

**$K\bar{K}$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1360 $\pm$ 31 $\pm$ 28	430	1,2 DOBBS	15	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1350 $\pm$ 48 $\pm$ 15	168	1,2 DOBBS	15	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1440 $\pm$ 6		VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1391 $\pm$ 10		TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	$40.0 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
1440 $\pm$ 50		BOLONKIN 88	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1463 $\pm$ 9		ETKIN 82B	MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$
1425 $\pm$ 15		WICKLUND 80	SPEC	$6 \pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$
$\sim 1300$		POLYCHRO...	STRC	$7 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$

<sup>1</sup> Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.<sup>2</sup> From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape with fixed  $\Gamma = 346$  MeV. **$4\pi$  MODE  $2(\pi\pi)s+\rho\rho$** 

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1395 $\pm$ 40		ABELE 01	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
1374 $\pm$ 38		AMSLER 94	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
1345 $\pm$ 12		ADAMO 93	OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1386 $\pm$ 30		GASPERO 93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
$\sim 1410$	5751	<sup>1</sup> BETTINI 66	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup>  $\rho\rho$  dominant. **$\eta\eta$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$1262^{+51+82}_{-78-103}$	<sup>1</sup> UEHARA 10A	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta\eta$
1430	AMSLER 92	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$
1220 $\pm$ 40	ALDE 86D	GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\eta$

<sup>1</sup> Breit-Wigner mass. May also be the  $f_0(1500)$ .**COUPLED CHANNEL MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
1306 $\pm$ 20	<sup>1</sup> ANISOVICH 03	RVUE
<sup>1</sup> K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$ , $\pi^- p \rightarrow K\bar{K}n$ , $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ , $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ , $\pi^0 \eta\eta$ , $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ , $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ , $K^+ K^- \pi^0$ , $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$ , $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$ , $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$ , $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.		

 **$f_0(1370)$  BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
<b>200 to 500 OUR ESTIMATE</b>	

**$\pi\pi$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
300 ± 80		1 AUBERT	09L BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
90 + 2 + 50 1 - 22		2 UEHARA	08A BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
298 ± 21	2.6k	BONVICINI	07 CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
126 ± 25	4286	3 GARMASH	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
265 ± 40		ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
350 ± 100 + 105 - 60		ABLIKIM	05Q BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
173 ± 32 ± 6	848	AITALA	01A E791	$D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
222 ± 20		BARBERIS	99B OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
255 ± 60		BELLAZZINI	99 GAM4	$450 pp \rightarrow p p \pi^0 \pi^0$
190 ± 50		ALDE	98 GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
323 ± 13		BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
350		4,5 TORNQVIST	95 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
195 ± 33		ARMSTRONG	91 OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow p p \pi\pi, p p K\bar{K}$
285 ± 60		BREAKSTONE	90 SFM	$62 pp \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^-$
460 ± 50		AKESSON	86 SPEC	$63 pp \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^-$
~ 400		6 FROGGATT	77 RVUE	$\pi^+ \pi^-$ channel

<sup>1</sup> The systematic errors are not reported.<sup>2</sup> Breit-Wigner width. May also be the  $f_0(1500)$ .<sup>3</sup> Also observed by GARMASH 07 in  $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$  decays. Supersedes GARMASH 05.<sup>4</sup> Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.<sup>5</sup> Also observed by ASNER 00 in  $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$  decays<sup>6</sup> Width defined as distance between 45 and 135° phase shift. **$K\bar{K}$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
121 ± 15		VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
55 ± 26		TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	$40.0 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
250 ± 80		BOLONKin	88 SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
118 + 138 - 16		ETKIN	82B MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$
160 ± 30		WICKLUND	80 SPEC	$6 \pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$
~ 150		POLYCHRO...	79 STRC	$7 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$

 **$4\pi$  MODE 2( $\pi\pi$ ) $s+\rho\rho$** 

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
275 ± 55		ABELE	01 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
375 ± 61		AMSLER	94 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
398 ± 26		ADAMO	93 OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
310 ± 50		GASPERO	93 DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
~ 90	5751	1 BETTINI	66 DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup>  $\rho\rho$  dominant.

**$\eta\eta$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
484 <sup>+246</sup> <sub>-170</sub> <sup>+246</sup> <sub>-263</sub>	<sup>1</sup> UEHARA	10A BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta\eta$
250	AMSLER	92 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$
320 $\pm$ 40	ALDE	86D GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\eta$

<sup>1</sup> Breit-Wigner width. May also be the  $f_0(1500)$ .

**COUPLED CHANNEL MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>		
147 <sup>+30</sup> <sub>-50</sub>	<sup>1</sup> ANISOVICH	03 RVUE
<sup>1</sup> K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$ , $\pi^- p \rightarrow K\bar{K}n$ , $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ , $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ , $\pi^0 \eta\eta$ , $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ , $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ , $K^+ K^- \pi^0$ , $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$ , $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$ , $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$ , $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.		

 **$f_0(1370)$  DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 \pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 4\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3 4\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_4 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_5 \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \rho\rho$	dominant
$\Gamma_7 2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}$	seen
$\Gamma_8 \pi(1300)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_9 a_1(1260)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{10} \eta\eta$	seen
$\Gamma_{11} K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_{12} K\bar{K}n\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_{13} 6\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_{14} \omega\omega$	not seen
$\Gamma_{15} \gamma\gamma$	seen
$\Gamma_{16} e^+ e^-$	not seen

 **$f_0(1370)$  PARTIAL WIDTHS** **$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$** 

See  $\gamma\gamma$  widths under  $f_0(500)$  and MORGAN 90.

 **$\Gamma_{15}$**  **$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)$** 

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<20	90	VOROBIEV	88 ND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$

 **$\Gamma_{16}$**

**$f_0(1370) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$** 

$\Gamma(\eta\eta) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{10}\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$
--	---------------------------------

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$121^{+133+169}_{-53-106}$	<sup>1</sup> UEHARA	10A BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta\eta$
<sup>1</sup> Including interference with the $f'_2(1525)$ (parameters fixed to the values from the 2008 edition of this review, PDG 08) and $f_2(1270)$ . May also be the $f_0(1500)$ .			

 **$f_0(1370) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$** 

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
--	-------------------

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$0.26 \pm 0.09$	BUGG	96	RVUE
$<0.15$	<sup>1</sup> AMSLER	94	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
$<0.06$	GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

<sup>1</sup> Using AMSLER 95B ( $3\pi^0$ ).

$\Gamma(4\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)/\Gamma$
--------------------------------------	---

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$>0.72$	GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma(4\pi)$	$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_2$
-------------------------------	---------------------

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
seen	ABELE	96	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi^0$
$0.068 \pm 0.005$	<sup>1</sup> GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

<sup>1</sup> Model-dependent evaluation.

$\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma(4\pi)$	$\Gamma_4/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_4/(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)$
--------------------------------------	---

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$0.420 \pm 0.014$	<sup>1</sup> GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> Model-dependent evaluation.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma(4\pi)$	$\Gamma_5/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_5/(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)$
---	---

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$0.512 \pm 0.019$	<sup>1</sup> GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

<sup>1</sup> Model-dependent evaluation.

$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$	$\Gamma_6/\Gamma_2$
---------------------------------	---------------------

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$0.26 \pm 0.07$	ABELE	01B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$

$\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$	$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_1$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$5.6 \pm 2.6$	<sup>1</sup> ABELE	01	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
<sup>1</sup> From the combined data of ABELE 96 and ABELE 96C.			
$\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(4\pi)$	$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_2$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$0.51 \pm 0.09$	ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$
$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})$	$\Gamma_6/\Gamma_7$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
large	BARBERIS	00C	$450 pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
$1.6 \pm 0.2$	AMSLER	94	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
$\sim 0.65$	GASPERO	93	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
$\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$	$\Gamma_8/\Gamma_2$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$0.17 \pm 0.06$	ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$
$\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$	$\Gamma_9/\Gamma_2$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$0.06 \pm 0.02$	ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$
$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(4\pi)$	$\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_{10}/(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$(28 \pm 11) \times 10^{-3}$	<sup>1</sup> ANISOVICH	02D	SPEC Combined fit
$(4.7 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-3}$	BARBERIS	00E	$450 pp \rightarrow p_f \eta\eta p_s$
<sup>1</sup> From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel ( $0.0 \bar{p}\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ , $\pi^0 \eta\eta$ , $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ ), GAMS ( $\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$ , $\eta\eta n$ , $\eta\eta' n$ ), and BNL ( $\pi p \rightarrow K\bar{K} n$ ) data.			
$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$0.35 \pm 0.13$	BUGG	96	RVUE

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$  $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
0.08±0.08	ABLIKIM 05	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-, \phi K^+K^-$
0.91±0.20	1 BARGIOTTI 03	OBLX	$\bar{p}p$
0.12±0.06	2 ANISOVICH 02D	SPEC	Combined fit
0.46±0.15±0.11	BARBERIS 99D	OMEG 450	$p p \rightarrow K^+K^-, \pi^+\pi^-$
<sup>1</sup> Coupled channel analysis of $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ , $K^+K^-\pi^0$ , and $K^\pm K_S^0\pi^\mp$ .			
<sup>2</sup> From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel (0. $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$ , $\pi^0\eta\eta$ , $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$ ), GAMS ( $\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n$ , $\eta\eta n$ , $\eta\eta' n$ ), and BNL ( $\pi p \rightarrow K\bar{K}n$ ) data.			

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}\eta\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
<0.03	GASPERO 93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(6\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
<0.22	GASPERO 93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
<0.13	GASPERO 93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

**f<sub>0</sub>(1370) REFERENCES**

DOBBS 15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)
UEHARA 10A	PR D82 114031	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ANISOVICH 09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
AUBERT 09L	PR D79 072006	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG 08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
UEHARA 08A	PR D78 052004	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BONVICINI 07	PR D76 012001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUGG 07A	JP G34 151	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	
GARMASH 07	PR D75 012006	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
GARMASH 06	PRL 96 251803	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PDG 06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
VЛАДИМИРСК... 06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirska <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)
	Translated from YAF 69 515.		
ABLIKIM 05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM 05Q	PR D72 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
GARMASH 05	PR D71 092003	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ANISOVICH 03	EPJ A16 229	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BARGIOTTI 03	EPJ C26 371	M. Bargiotti <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
TIKHOMIROV 03	PAN 66 828	G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>	
	Translated from YAF 66 860.		
ANISOVICH 02D	PAN 65 1545	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
	Translated from YAF 65 1583.		

ABELE	01	EPJ C19 667	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AITALA	01A	PRL 86 765	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
ASNER	00	PR D61 012002	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	99B	PL B453 316	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)
BARBERIS	99D	PL B462 462	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)
BELLAZZINI	99	PL B467 296	R. Bellazzini <i>et al.</i>	
KAMINSKI	99	EPJ C9 141	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau	(CRAC, PARIN)
ALDE	98	EPJ A3 361	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM4 Collab.)
Also		PAN 62 405	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 62 446.		
ANISOVICH	98B	SPU 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from UFN 168 481.		
BERTIN	98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BARBERIS	97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	96	PL B380 453	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	96B	PL B385 425	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	96C	NP A609 562	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BUGG	96	NP B471 59	D.V. Bugg, A.V. Sarantsev, B.S. Zou	(LOQM, PNPI)
AMSLER	95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
JANSSEN	95	PR D52 2690	G. Janssen <i>et al.</i>	(STON, ADLD, JULI)
TORNQVIST	95	ZPHY C68 647	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)
AMSLER	94	PL B322 431	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.) JPC
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH	94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.) JPC
BUGG	94	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
KAMINSKI	94	PR D50 3145	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, J.P. Maillet	(CRAC+)
ADAMO	93	NP A558 13C	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.) JPC
GASPERO	93	NP A562 407	M. Gaspero	(ROMAI) JPC
AMSLER	92	PL B291 347	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	91	ZPHY C51 351	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BREAKSTONE	90	ZPHY C48 569	A.M. Breakstone <i>et al.</i>	(ISU, BGNA, CERN+)
MORGAN	90	ZPHY C48 623	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL, DURH)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
BOLONKIN	88	NP B309 426	B.V. Bolonkin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, SERP)
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)
VOROBYEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 48 436.		
AU	87	PR D35 1633	K.L. Au, D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(DURH, RAL)
AKESSON	86	NP B264 154	T. Akesson <i>et al.</i>	(Axial Field Spec. Collab.)
ALDE	86D	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)
CASON	83	PR D28 1586	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
WICKLUND	80	PRL 45 1469	A.B. Wicklund <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)
BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)
POLYCHRO...	79	PR D19 1317	V.A. Polychronakos <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
FROGGATT	77	NP B129 89	C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen	(GLAS, NORD)
ROSSELET	77	PR D15 574	L. Rosselet <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, SACL)
GRAYER	74	NP B75 189	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
OCHS	73	Thesis	W. Ochs	(MPIM, MUNI)
BEIER	72B	PRL 29 511	E.W. Beier <i>et al.</i>	(PENN)
BETTINI	66	NC 42A 695	A. Bettini <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, PISA)