$B^{\pm}/B^0/B_s^0/b$ -baryon ADMIXTURE

$B^{\pm}/B^{0}/B_{s}^{0}/b$ -baryon ADMIXTURE MEAN LIFE

Each measurement of the *B* mean life is an average over an admixture of various bottom mesons and baryons which decay weakly. Different techniques emphasize different admixtures of produced particles, which could result in a different *B* mean life.

"OUR EVALUATION" is an average using rescaled values of the data listed below. The average and rescaling were performed by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFLAV) and are described at http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hflav/. This is a weighted average of the lifetimes of the five main b-hadron species (B^+ , B^0 , B^0_{sH} , B^0_{sL} , and A_b) that assumes the production fractions in Z decays (given at the end of this section) and equal production fractions of B^0_{sH} and B^0_{sL} mesons.

$VALUE (10^{-12} \text{ s})$ EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT	10				
	$VALUE (10^{-12} \text{ s})$	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

1.566 ± 0.003 OUR EVALUATION

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

```
<sup>1</sup> ABDALLAH
                                                                04E DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow Z
1.570 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.008
1.533 \!\pm\! 0.015 \!+\! 0.035 \\ -0.031
                                          <sup>2</sup> ABF
                                                                       CDF
                                                                98B
                                                                                  p\overline{p} at 1.8 TeV
                                          <sup>3</sup> ACCIARRI
                                                                                  e^+e^- \rightarrow Z
1.549 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.015
                                                                       L3
                                          <sup>4</sup> ACKERSTAFF 97F
                                                                       OPAL e^+e^- \rightarrow Z
1.611 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.027
                                          <sup>4</sup> ABREU
                                                                       DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow Z
1.582 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.027
                                                                       DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow Z
                                          <sup>5</sup> ABREU
                                                                96E
1.575 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.026
                                          <sup>6</sup> BUSKULIC
                                                                96F
                                                                       ALEP
1.533 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.022 19.8k
                                          <sup>7</sup> ABE.K
                                                                                  e^+e^- \rightarrow Z
                                                                95B SLD
1.564 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.036
                                          <sup>8</sup> ABREU
                                                                       DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow Z
1.542 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.045
1.50 + 0.24
                                          <sup>9</sup> ABREU
                                                                       DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow Z
                 \pm 0.03
        -0.21
1.46 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.06
                                        <sup>10</sup> ABE
                                                                       CDF
                                                                                  Repl. by ABE 98B
                             5344
      +0.14 \\ -0.13
                                        <sup>11</sup> ABREU
                                                                       DLPH Sup. by ABREU 94L
                 \pm 0.15
                              188
                                        <sup>12</sup> ABREU
1.49 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.12
                              253
                                                                      DLPH Sup. by ABREU 94L
1.51 \ ^{+\, 0.16}_{-\, 0.14} \ \pm 0.11
                                        <sup>13</sup> ACTON
                                                                93C OPAL e^+e^- \rightarrow Z
                              130
                                        <sup>14</sup> ACTON
                                                                                 e^+e^- \rightarrow Z
1.523 \pm 0.034 \pm 0.038 5372
                                        <sup>14</sup> ADRIANI
                                                                93K L3
                                                                                  Repl. by ACCIARRI 98
1.535 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.028
                            7357
                                        <sup>15</sup> BUSKULIC
1.511 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.078
                                                                930 ALEP
                                                                                  e^+e^- \rightarrow Z
                                        <sup>16</sup> ABREU
                                                                       DLPH Sup. by ABREU 94L
1.28 \pm 0.10
                                        <sup>17</sup> ACTON
1.37 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.06
                                                                       OPAL
                                                                                 Sup. by ACTON 93L
                                        <sup>18</sup> BUSKULIC
1.49 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.06
                                                                92F
                                                                       ALEP
                                                                                  Sup. by BUSKULIC 96F
1.35 \begin{array}{l} +0.19 \\ -0.17 \end{array}
                                        <sup>19</sup> BUSKULIC
                                                                                  e^+e^- \rightarrow Z
                 \pm 0.05
                                                                       ALEP
1.32 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.09
                                        <sup>20</sup> ADEVA
                            1386
                                                                91H L3
                                                                                  Sup. by ADRIANI 93K
1.32 \ ^{+\, 0.31}_{-\, 0.25} \ \pm 0.15
                                        <sup>21</sup> ALEXANDER 91G OPAL
                                                                                 e^+e^- \rightarrow Z
                                37
1.29 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.10
                                        <sup>22</sup> DECAMP
                                                                91c ALEP
                                                                                  Sup. by BUSKULIC 92F
                            2973
```

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 1 Created: 5/30/2017 17:23

1.36	+0.25 -0.23		²³ HAGEMANN	90	JADE	Eee = 35 GeV
1.13	± 0.15		²⁴ LYONS	90	RVUE	
1.35	$\pm 0.10\ \pm 0.24$		BRAUNSCH	89 B	TASS	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee}=$ 35 GeV
0.98	$\pm 0.12\ \pm 0.13$		ONG	89	MRK2	$E_{\mathrm{cm}}^{\mathrm{ee}} = 29 \; \mathrm{GeV}$
1.17	$^{+0.27}_{-0.22}$ $^{+0.17}_{-0.16}$		KLEM	88	DLCO	Eee 29 GeV
1.29	$\pm 0.20\ \pm 0.21$		²⁵ ASH	87	MAC	$E_{\mathrm{cm}}^{ee} = 29 \; \mathrm{GeV}$
1.02	+0.42 -0.39	301	²⁶ BROM	87	HRS	E ^{ee} _{cm} = 29 GeV

 $^{^{1}}$ Measurement performed using an inclusive reconstruction and B flavor identification

² Measured using inclusive $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ vertex.

³ ACCIARRI 98 uses inclusively reconstructed secondary vertex and lepton impact param-

eter. ⁴ ACKERSTAFF 97F uses inclusively reconstructed secondary vertices.

⁵ Combines ABREU 96E secondary vertex result with ABREU 94L impact parameter result.

⁶BUSKULIC 96F analyzed using 3D impact parameter.

⁷ ABE.K 95B uses an inclusive topological technique.

⁸ ABREU 94L uses charged particle impact parameters. Their result from inclusively reconstructed secondary vertices is superseded by ABREU 96E.

 $^{^9}$ From proper time distribution of $b o J/\psi(1S)$ anything.

¹⁰ ABE 93J analyzed using $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu\mu$ vertices.

¹¹ABREU 93D data analyzed using $D/D^*\ell$ anything event vertices.

 $^{^{}m 12}$ ABREU 93G data analyzed using charged and neutral vertices.

¹³ ACTON 93C analysed using $D/D^*\ell$ anything event vertices.

 $^{^{14}}$ ACTON 93L and ADRIANI 93K analyzed using lepton (e and μ) impact parameter at Z.

¹⁵ BUSKULIC 930 analyzed using dipole method.

 $^{^{16}}$ ABREU 92 is combined result of muon and hadron impact parameter analyses. Hadron tracks gave $(12.7\pm0.4\pm1.2)\times10^{-13}$ s for an admixture of B species weighted by production fraction and mean charge multiplicity, while muon tracks gave $(13.0\pm1.0\pm0.8)\times10^{-13}$ 10^{-13} s for an admixture weighted by production fraction and semileptonic branching

¹⁷ ACTON 92 is combined result of muon and electron impact parameter analyses.

 $^{^{18}}$ BUSKULIC 92F uses the lepton impact parameter distribution for data from the 1991

 $^{^{19}}$ BUSKULIC 92G use $J/\psi(1S)$ tags to measure the average b lifetime. This is comparable to other methods only if the $J/\psi(1S)$ branching fractions of the different b-flavored hadrons are in the same ratio.

 $^{^{20}}$ Using $Z
ightharpoonup e^+$ X or μ^+ X, ADEVA 91H determined the average lifetime for an admixture of B hadrons from the impact parameter distribution of the lepton.

²¹ Using $Z \to J/\psi(1S)$ X, $J/\psi(1S) \to \ell^+\ell^-$, ALEXANDER 91G determined the average lifetime for an admixture of B hadrons from the decay point of the $J/\psi(1S)$.

 $^{^{22}}$ Using $Z
ightarrow \, e \, \mathsf{X}$ or $\mu \, \mathsf{X}$, DECAMP 91C determines the average lifetime for an admixture of *B* hadrons from the signed impact parameter distribution of the lepton.

²³ HAGEMANN 90 uses electrons and muons in an impact parameter analysis.

²⁴LYONS 90 combine the results of the B lifetime measurements of ONG 89, BRAUN-SCHWEIG 89B, KLEM 88, and ASH 87, and JADE data by private communication. They use statistical techniques which include variation of the error with the mean life, and possible correlations between the systematic errors. This result is not independent of the measured results used in our average.

 $^{^{25}}$ We have combined an overall scale error of 15% in quadrature with the systematic error of ± 0.7 to obtain ± 2.1 systematic error.

²⁶ Statistical and systematic errors were combined by BROM 87.

CHARGED b-HADRON ADMIXTURE MEAN LIFE

<i>VALUE</i> (10^{-12} s)	DOCUMENT ID)	TECN	COMMENT		
1.72±0.08±0.06	¹ ADAM	95	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$		
$^{ m 1}$ ADAM 95 data analyzed using vertex-charge technique to tag $\it b$ -hadron charge.						
NEUTRAL b-HADRON ADMIXTURE MEAN LIFE						
$VALUE~(10^{-12}~s)$ DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT						
$1.58 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.09$	¹ ADAM	95	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$		

 1 ADAM 95 data analyzed using vertex-charge technique to tag b-hadron charge.

MEAN LIFE RATIO $\tau_{\text{charged }b-\text{hadron}}/\tau_{\text{neutral }b-\text{hadron}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.09^{+0.11}_{-0.10}\pm0.08$	¹ ADAM	95	DLPH	$e^+e^- o Z$

¹ ADAM 95 data analyzed using vertex-charge technique to tag *b*-hadron charge.

$|\Delta \tau_b|/\tau_{b,\overline{b}}$

 $\tau_{b,\overline{b}}$ and $|\Delta\tau_b|$ are the mean life average and difference between b and \overline{b} hadrons.

VALUE DOCUMENT ID			TECN	COMMENT
$-0.001\pm0.012\pm0.008$	¹ ABBIENDI	99J	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

 $^{^{}m 1}$ Data analyzed using both the jet charge and the charge of secondary vertex in the opposite hemisphere.

\overline{b} PRODUCTION FRACTIONS AND DECAY MODES

The branching fraction measurements are for an admixture of B mesons and baryons at energies above the $\Upsilon(4S)$. Only the highest energy results (LHC, LEP, Tevatron, $Sp\overline{p}S$) are used in the branching fraction averages. In the following, we assume that the production fractions are the same at the LHC, LEP, and at the Tevatron.

For inclusive branching fractions, e.g., $B \to D^{\pm}$ anything, the values usually are multiplicities, not branching fractions. They can be greater than one.

The modes below are listed for a \overline{b} initial state. b modes are their charge conjugates. Reactions indicate the weak decay vertex and do not include mixing.

Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)

Scale factor/ Confidence level

Mode

PRODUCTION FRACTIONS

The production fractions for weakly decaying b-hadrons at high energy have been calculated from the best values of mean lives, mixing parameters, and branching fractions in this edition by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFLAV) as described in the note " B^0 - \overline{B}^0 Mixing" in the B^0 Particle Listings. The production fractions in b-hadronic Z decay or $p\overline{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron are also listed at the end of the section. Values assume

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{B}(\overline{b}\to \ B^+) = \mathsf{B}(\overline{b}\to \ B^0) \\ \mathsf{B}(\overline{b}\to \ B^+) + \mathsf{B}(\overline{b}\to \ B^0) + \mathsf{B}(\overline{b}\to \ B^0) + \mathsf{B}(b\to \ b\text{-baryon}) = 100\%. \end{array}$$

The correlation coefficients between production fractions are also reported:

$$cor(B_s^0, b\text{-baryon}) = -0.254$$

 $cor(B_s^0, B^{\pm} = B^0) = -0.143$
 $cor(b\text{-baryon}, B^{\pm} = B^0) = -0.921.$

The notation for production fractions varies in the literature $(f_d, d_{B^0}, f(b \to \overline{B}^0))$, Br $(b \to \overline{B}^0)$). We use our own branching fraction notation here, B $(\overline{b} \to B^0)$.

Note these production fractions are b-hadronization fractions, not the conventional branching fractions of b-quark to a B-hadron, which may have considerable dependence on the initial and final state kinematic and production environment.

Γ_1	B^+	(40.4 ± 0.6) %
Γ_2	B^0	(40.4 ± 0.6) %
Γ3	B_s^0	(10.3 ± 0.5) %
Γ_4	<i>b</i> -baryon	(8.8 ± 1.2) %

DECAY MODES

Semileptonic and leptonic modes

Γ_5	u anything		$(23.1 \pm 1.5)\%$	
Γ_6	$\ell^+ u_\ell$ anything	[a]	$(~10.69\pm~0.22)~\%$	
Γ ₇	$e^+ u_e$ anything		($10.86\pm~0.35)~\%$	
Γ ₈	$\mu^+ u_\mu$ anything		$(\ 10.95 ^{+}_{-}\ 0.29 ^{+}_{0.25})\ \%$	
Γ_9	$D^-\ell^+ u_\ell$ anything	[<i>a</i>]	$(2.30\pm\ 0.34)\ \%$	S=1.6
Γ_{10}	$D^-\pi^+\ell^+ u_\ell$ anything		$(4.9 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{11}	$D^-\pi^-\ell^+ u_\ell$ anything		$(2.6 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{12}	$\overline{D}{}^0\ell^+_{_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}} u_\ell$ anything	[a]	$(6.83\pm\ 0.35)\ \%$	
Γ_{13}	$\overline{D}{}^0\pi^-\ell^+ u_\ell$ anything		$(~~1.07\pm~0.27)~\%$	
Γ_{14}	$\overline{D}{}^0\pi^+\ell^+ u_\ell$ anything		$(2.3 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-3}$	

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 4

Charmed meson and barvon modes

	Charmed meson at	id baryon modes
Γ_{28}	$\overline{D}{}^0$ anything	(59.5 ± 2.9) %
Γ ₂₉	$D^0D_s^{\pm}$ anything	$[c]$ (9.1 $^{+}_{-}$ 4.0) %
Γ ₃₀	$D^{\mp}D_{s}^{\pm}$ anything	$[c]$ (4.0 $^+$ 2.3) %
Γ ₃₁	$\overline{D}{}^0 D^0$ anything	[c] $(5.1 + 2.0 \\ -1.8)$ %
Γ ₃₂	D^0D^\pm anything	[c] $(2.7 + 1.8 \atop -1.6)\%$
Γ_{34}	D^\pmD^\mp anything D^0 anything D^+ anything	$[c] < 9 \times 10^{-3} CL=90\%$
Γ ₃₆ Γ ₃₇	D^- anything $D^*(2010)^+$ anything $D_1(2420)^0$ anything	$(23.7 \pm 1.8) \%$ $(17.3 \pm 2.0) \%$ $(5.0 \pm 1.5) \%$
Γ ₃₉	$D^*(2010)^{\mp}D_s^{\pm}$ anything	$[c]$ (3.3 $^+$ 1.6) %
Γ ₄₀	$D^0D^*(2010)^\pm$ anything	[c] $(3.0 + 1.1 \atop -0.9)\%$
Γ_{41}	$D^*(2010)^\pmD^\mp$ anything	[c] $(2.5 + 1.2 \atop -1.0)\%$

Charmonium modes

Γ ₄₉	$J/\psi(1S)$ anything	($1.16\pm~0.10)~\%$
Γ ₅₀	$\psi(2\mathcal{S})$ anything	$(2.83\pm 0.29) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{51}	$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ anything	(1.4 ± 0.4) %

K or K^* modes

Pion modes

Baryon modes

Γ ₅₉	p/\overline{p} anything	(13.1 ± 1.1) %
Γ ₆₀	$\Lambda/\overline{\Lambda}$ anything	(5.9 ± 0.6)%
Γ ₆₁	b-baryon anything	(10.2 ± 2.8) %

Other modes

Γ ₆₂	charged anything	[d]	(497	± 7) %
Γ ₆₃	hadron ⁺ hadron ⁻		(1.7	$+ 1.0 \\ - 0.7$	$) \times 10^{-5}$
Γ ₆₄	charmless		(7	± 21	$) \times 10^{-3}$

$\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current (B1) modes

$$\Gamma_{65}$$
 e^+e^- anything B1 Γ_{66} $\mu^+\mu^-$ anything B1 $<$ 3.2 \times 10⁻⁴ CL=90% Γ_{67} $\nu\overline{\nu}$ anything B1

- [a] An ℓ indicates an \emph{e} or a μ mode, not a sum over these modes.
- [b] D_j represents an unresolved mixture of pseudoscalar and tensor D^{**} (P-wave) states.
- [c] The value is for the sum of the charge states or particle/antiparticle states indicated.

[d] Inclusive branching fractions have a multiplicity definition and can be greater than 100%.

$B^{\pm}/B^{0}/B_{s}^{0}/b$ -baryon ADMIXTURE BRANCHING RATIOS

"OUR EVALUATION" is an average using rescaled values of the data listed below and from the best values of mean lives, mixing parameters, and branching fractions in this edition by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFLAV) as described at http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hflav/.

VALUE 0.404 ± 0.006 OUR EVALUATION 03к DLPH $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ ¹ ABDALLAH $0.4099 \pm 0.0082 \pm 0.0111$

 $^{^{}m I}$ The analysis is based on a neural network, to estimate the charge of the weakly-decaying b hadron by distinguishing its decay products from particles produced at the primary

$\Gamma(B^+)/\Gamma(B^0)$				Γ_1/Γ_2
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.054\pm0.018^{igoplus 0.062}_{igoplus 0.074}$	AALTONEN	08N	CDF	$p\overline{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
$\Gamma(B_s^0)/[\Gamma(B^+)+\Gamma(B^0)]$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	$\Gamma_3/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2)$
<u>VALUE</u> 0.128 ±0.006 OUR EVALUATIO	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		<u>TECN</u>	COMMENT
0.134 ±0.008 OUR AVERAGE	/I 4			
$0.134\ \pm0.004\ ^{+0.011}_{-0.010}$	¹ AAIJ	12 J	LHCB	pp at 7 TeV
$0.1265 \pm 0.0085 \pm 0.0131$	² AAIJ	11F	LHCB	pp at 7 TeV
$0.128 \ ^{+0.011}_{-0.010} \ \pm 0.011$	³ AALTONEN	08N	CDF	$p\overline{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
0.213 ± 0.068	⁴ AFFOLDER	00E	CDF	$p\overline{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
$0.21 \pm 0.036 \begin{array}{c} +0.038 \\ -0.030 \end{array}$	⁵ ABE	99P	CDF	$\overline{p}p$ at 1.8 TeV

 $^{^{}m 1}$ Measured using b-hadron semileptonic decays and assuming isospin symmetry.

Measured using b-hadron semileptonic decays and assuming isospin symmetry. ² AAIJ 11F measured $f_s/f_d = 0.253 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.020$, where the errors are statistical, systematic, and theoretical. We divide their value by 2. Our second error combines systematic and theoretical uncertainties. ³ AALTONEN 08N reports $\left[\Gamma(\overline{b} \to B_s^0)/\left[\Gamma(\overline{b} \to B^+) + \Gamma(\overline{b} \to B^0)\right]\right] \times \left[B(D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+)\right] = (5.76 \pm 0.18^{+0.45}_{-0.42}) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(D_s^+ \to B_s^+)$ $\phi\pi^+)=(4.5\pm0.4)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $^{^4}$ AFFOLDER 00E uses several electron-charm final states in $b\to c\,e^-$ X. 5 ABE 99P uses the numbers of $K^*(892)^0$, $K^*(892)^+$, and $\phi(1020)$ events produced in association with the double semileptonic decays $b \to c \mu^- X$ with $c \to s \mu^+ X$.

 $\Gamma(B_s^0)/\Gamma(B^0)$ Γ_3/Γ_2

0.256±0.013 OUR EVALUATION 0.239±0.016 OUR AVERAGE

 $0.240\pm0.004\pm0.020$ $0.238\pm0.004\pm0.015\pm0.021$

¹ AAD ² AAIJ

15CM ATLS pp at 7 TeV 13P LHCB pp at 7 TeV

TECN COMMENT

 1 The measurement is derived from the observed $B^0_s\to J/\psi\,\phi$ and $B^0_d\to J/\psi\,K^{*0}$ yields and a recent theory prediction of B(B^0_s\to J/\psi\,\phi)/B(B^0_d\to J/\psi\,K^{*0}). The second uncertainty combines in quadrature systematic and theoretical uncertainties.

² AAIJ 13P studies also separately the $p_T(B)$ and $\eta(B)$ dependency of $\Gamma(\overline{b} \to B_s^0)/\Gamma(\overline{b} \to B^0)$, finding $f_s/f_d(p_T) = (0.256 \pm 0.020) + (-2.0 \pm 0.6) \ 10^{-3} \ / \text{GeV/c} \ (p_T - \langle p_T \rangle)$ and $f_s/f_d(\eta) = (0.256 \pm 0.020) + (0.005 \pm 0.006) \ (\eta - \langle \eta \rangle)$, where $\langle p_T \rangle = 10.4 \ \text{GeV/c}$ and $\langle \eta \rangle = 3.28$.

$\Gamma(b ext{-baryon})/\left[\Gamma(B^+)+\Gamma(B^0)\right]$

 $\Gamma_4/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2)$

ALUE <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMEN</u>

0.109 ± 0.016 OUR EVALUATION

$0.27 \begin{array}{c} +0.06 \\ -0.05 \end{array}$ OUR AVERAGE

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

 0.118 ± 0.042

⁴ AFFOLDER

00E CDF pp

pp at 1.8 TeV

Created: 5/30/2017 17:23

$\Gamma(\nu \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_5/Γ

() () ()			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.2308±0.0077±0.0124	1,2 ACCIARRI 960	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$

¹ ACCIARRI 96C assumes relative b semileptonic decay rates $e:\mu:\tau$ of 1:1:0.25. Based on missing-energy spectrum.

 $^{^1}$ Measured the ratio to be (0.404 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.105) \times [1 - (0.031 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.003)×P $_T$] using b-hadron semileptonic decays where the P $_T$ is the momentum of charmed hadron-muon pair in GeV/c. We quote their weighted average value where the second error combines systematic and the error on B($\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p \, K^- \, \pi^+$).

² Errata to the measurement reported in AFFOLDER 00E using the p_T spectra from fully reconstructed B^0 and Λ_h decays.

³ AALTONEN 08N reports $[\Gamma(\overline{b} \to b\text{-baryon})/[\Gamma(\overline{b} \to B^+) + \Gamma(\overline{b} \to B^0)]] \times [B(\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+)] = (14.1 \pm 0.6 ^{+5.3}_{-4.4}) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+) = (6.35 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $^{^4}$ AFFOLDER 00E uses several electron-charm final states in $b
ightarrow ce^-$ X.

 $^{^2}$ Assumes Standard Model value for R_B .

$\Gamma(\ell^+\nu_\ell \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_6/Γ

"OUR EVALUATION" is an average of the data listed below, excluding all asymmetry measurements, performed by the LEP Electroweak Working Group as described in the "Note on the Z boson" in the Z Particle Listings.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.1069 ± 0.0022 OUR EVALUATI	ON			
0.1064 ± 0.0016 OUR AVERAGE				
$0.1070 \pm 0.0010 \pm 0.0035$	$^{ m 1}$ HEISTER	02 G	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.1070 \pm 0.0008 {}^{+ 0.0037}_{- 0.0049}$	² ABREU	01L	DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.1083 \pm 0.0010 {}^{+ 0.0028}_{- 0.0024}$	³ ABBIENDI	00E	OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.1016 \pm 0.0013 \pm 0.0030$	⁴ ACCIARRI			$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.1085 \pm 0.0012 \pm 0.0047$	^{5,6} ACCIARRI	96 C	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
• • • We do not use the following	g data for average	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
$0.1106\!\pm\!0.0039\!\pm\!0.0022$	⁷ ABREU	95 D	DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.114\ \pm0.003\ \pm0.004$	⁸ BUSKULIC	94G	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.100\ \pm0.007\ \pm0.007$	⁹ ABREU	93 C	DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.105 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.005$	¹⁰ AKERS	93 B	OPAL	Repl. by ABBI- ENDI 00E

¹ Uses the combination of lepton transverse momentum spectrum and the correlation between the charge of the lepton and opposite jet charge. The first error is statistic and the second error is the total systematic error including the modeling.

$\Gamma(e^+\nu_e$ anything) $/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT 0.1086 ± 0.0035 OUR AVERAGE $0.1078 \pm 0.0008 {}^{+\, 0.0050}_{-\, 0.0046}$ ¹ ABBIENDI OOE OPAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ ^{2,3} ACCIARRI 96C L3 $0.1089 \pm 0.0020 \pm 0.0051$ ⁴ ABREU 93C DLPH $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ $0.107 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.007$ 260 ⁵ ADEVA 91c L3 $0.138 \pm 0.032 \pm 0.008$

² The experimental systematic and model uncertainties are combined in quadrature.

³ ABBIENDI 00E result is determined by comparing the distribution of several kinematic variables of leptonic events in a lifetime tagged $Z \rightarrow b \bar{b}$ sample using artificial neural network techniques. The first error is statistic; the second error is the total systematic error.

⁴ ACCIARRI 00 result obtained from a combined fit of $R_b = \Gamma(Z \to b\overline{b})/\Gamma(Z \to \text{hadrons})$ and B($b \to \ell \nu X$), using double-tagging method.

 $^{^{5}}$ ACCIARRI 96C result obtained by a fit to the single lepton spectrum.

 $^{^6}$ Assumes Standard Model value for R_B .

 $^{^7}$ ABREU 95D give systematic errors ± 0.0019 (model) and 0.0012 (R_c). We combine these in quadrature.

⁸ BUSKULIC 94G uses e and μ events. This value is from a global fit to the lepton p and p_T (relative to jet) spectra which also determines the b and c production fractions, the fragmentation functions, and the forward-backward asymmetries. This branching ratio depends primarily on the ratio of dileptons to single leptons at high p_T , but the lower p_T portion of the lepton spectrum is included in the global fit to reduce the model dependence. The model dependence is ± 0.0026 and is included in the systematic error.

⁹ABREU 93C event count includes ee events. Combining ee, $\mu\mu$, and $e\mu$ events, they obtain $0.100 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.007$.

 $^{^{10}\,\}mathrm{AKERS}$ 93B analysis performed using single and dilepton events.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.086 \pm 0.027 \pm 0$	0.008	⁶ ABE	93E	VNS	$E_{ m cm}^{\it ee}=$ 58 GeV
$0.109 \begin{array}{c} +0.014 \\ -0.013 \end{array} \pm 0$	0.0055 2719	⁷ AKERS	93 B	OPAL	Repl. by ABBI- ENDI 00E
$0.111 \pm 0.028 \pm 0$	0.026	BEHREND	90 D	CELL	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 43 \; {\rm GeV}$
$0.150 \pm 0.011 \pm 0$	0.022	BEHREND	90 D	CELL	$E_{ m cm}^{\it ee} =$ 35 GeV
$0.112 \pm 0.009 \pm 0$	0.011	ONG	88	MRK2	$E_{ m cm}^{\it ee} = 29~{ m GeV}$
$0.149 \begin{array}{l} +0.022 \\ -0.019 \end{array}$		PAL	86	DLCO	Eee = 29 GeV
$0.110\ \pm0.018\ \pm0$	0.010	AIHARA	85	TPC	$E_{ m cm}^{\it ee} = 29~{ m GeV}$
$0.111 \pm 0.034 \pm 0$	0.040	ALTHOFF	84J	TASS	$E_{\mathrm{cm}}^{ee} = 34.6 \; \mathrm{GeV}$
0.146 ± 0.028		KOOP	84	DLCO	Repl. by PAL 86
$0.116 \pm 0.021 \pm 0$	0.017	NELSON	83	MRK2	$E_{\rm cm}^{\rm ee} = 29 \; {\rm GeV}$

¹ ABBIENDI 00E result is determined by comparing the distribution of several kinematic variables of leptonic events in a lifetime tagged $Z \to b \, \overline{b}$ sample using artificial neural network techniques. The first error is statistic; the second error is the total systematic error

AKERS 93B analysis performed using single and dilepton events.

$\Gamma(\mu^+ u_\mu$ anything)/ $\Gamma_{ m t}$	otal				Г ₈ /Г
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.1095^{+0.0029}_{-0.0025}$ OUR AV	ERAGE				
$0.1096 \!\pm\! 0.0008 \!+\! 0.0034 \\ -0.0027$		¹ ABBIENDI	00E	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$0.1082\!\pm\!0.0015\!\pm\!0.0059$		^{2,3} ACCIARRI	96 C	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.110\ \pm0.012\ \pm0.007$	656	⁴ ABREU	93 C	DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.113\ \pm0.012\ \pm0.006$		⁵ ADEVA	91 C	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages,	fits, li	mits, etc	0. ● ● ●
$0.122 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.007$		³ UENO	96	AMY	e^+e^- at 57.9 GeV
$0.101 {+0.010 \atop -0.009} \pm 0.0055$	4248	⁶ AKERS	93 B	OPAL	Repl. by ABBI- ENDI 00E
$0.104\ \pm0.023\ \pm0.016$		BEHREND	90 D	CELL	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 43 \text{ GeV}$
$0.148 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.016$		BEHREND	90 D	CELL	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee}=$ 35 GeV
$0.118 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.010$		ONG	88	MRK2	E ^{ee} _{cm} = 29 GeV

error. $^2\,\mathrm{ACCIARRI}$ 96C result obtained by a fit to the single lepton spectrum.

 $^{^3}$ Assumes Standard Model value for R_B .

⁴ ABREU 93C event count includes ee events. Combining ee, $\mu\mu$, and $e\mu$ events, they obtain $0.100\pm0.007\pm0.007$.

Shall of the decay of the Z into b by the Standard Model prediction (378 \pm 3 MeV) for the decay of the Z into b by the electron result gives $0.112 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.008$. They obtain $0.119 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.006$ when e and μ results are combined. Used to measure the b width itself, this electron result gives $370 \pm 12 \pm 24$ MeV and combined with the muon result gives $385 \pm 7 \pm 22$ MeV.

 $^{^6}$ ABE 93E experiment also measures forward-backward asymmetries and fragmentation _ functions for b and c.

$0.117\ \pm0.016\ \pm0.015$	BARTEL	87	JADE	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 34.6 \; {\rm GeV}$
$0.114\ \pm0.018\ \pm0.025$	BARTEL	85J	JADE	Repl. by BARTEL 87
$0.117\ \pm0.028\ \pm0.010$	ALTHOFF	84G	TASS	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 34.5 \; {\rm GeV}$
$0.105\ \pm0.015\ \pm0.013$	ADEVA	83 B	MRKJ	$E_{\rm cm}^{\rm ee} = 33-38.5 {\rm GeV}$
$0.155 \begin{array}{l} +0.054 \\ -0.029 \end{array}$	FERNANDEZ	83 D	MAC	E ^{ee} _{cm} = 29 GeV

¹ ABBIENDI 00E result is determined by comparing the distribution of several kinematic variables of leptonic events in a lifetime tagged $Z \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ sample using artificial neural network techniques. The first error is statistic; the second error is the total systematic error.

$\Gamma(D^-\ell^+\nu_\ell \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_{0}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0230±0.0034 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale	factor of 1.	6.
$0.0272\!\pm\!0.0028\!\pm\!0.0018$	¹ ABREU (OOR DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.0203 \pm 0.0026 \pm 0.0006$	² AKERS	950 OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$

 $^{^1}$ ABREU 00R reports their experiment's uncertainties $\pm 0.0019 \pm 0.0016 \pm 0.0018$, where the first error is statistical, the second is systematic, and the third is the uncertainty due to the D branching fraction. We combine first two in quadrature.

² AKERS 95Q reports $[\Gamma(\overline{b} \to D^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(D^+ \to K^- 2\pi^+)] = (1.82 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(D^+ \to K^- 2\pi^+) = (8.98 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(D^-\pi^+\ell^+ u_\ell$ anything $)/\Gamma_{ m total}$					
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
$0.0049 \pm 0.0018 \pm 0.0007$	ABREU	00 R	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$	
$\Gamma(D^-\pi^-\ell^+\nu_\ell)$ anything $\Gamma(D^-\pi^-\ell^+\nu_\ell)$	al				Γ ₁₁ /Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
$0.0026 \pm 0.0015 \pm 0.0004$	ABREU	00 R	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$	
$\Gamma(\overline{D}{}^0\ell^+ u_\ell$ anything $)/\Gamma_{total}$					Γ ₁₂ /Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
0.0683±0.0035 OUR AVERAGE					
$0.0704 \pm 0.0040 \pm 0.0017$	¹ ABREU	00 R	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$	
$0.065\ \pm0.006\ \pm0.001$	² AKERS	95Q	OPAL	$e^+e^- o Z$	

² ACCIARRI 96C result obtained by a fit to the single lepton spectrum.

 $^{^3}$ Assumes Standard Model value for R_B .

⁴ ABREU 93C event count includes $\mu\mu$ events. Combining ee, $\mu\mu$, and $e\mu$ events, they obtain $0.100\pm0.007\pm0.007$.

⁵ ADEVA 91C measure the average B($b \rightarrow eX$) branching ratio using single and double tagged b enhanced Z events. Combining e and μ results, they obtain $0.113 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.006$. Constraining the initial number of b quarks by the Standard Model prediction (378 ± 3 MeV) for the decay of the Z into $b\overline{b}$, the muon result gives $0.123\pm 0.003\pm 0.006$. They obtain $0.119\pm 0.003\pm 0.006$ when e and μ results are combined. Used to measure the $b\overline{b}$ width itself, this muon result gives 394 \pm 9 \pm 22 MeV and combined with the electron result gives 385 \pm 7 \pm 22 MeV.

⁶ AKERS 93B analysis performed using single and dilepton events.

 1 ABREU 00R reports their experiment's uncertainties $\pm 0.0034 \pm 0.0036 \pm 0.0017$, where the first error is statistical, the second is systematic, and the third is the uncertainty due to the D branching fraction. We combine first two in quadrature. ²AKERS 95Q reports $[\Gamma(\overline{b} \rightarrow \overline{D}^0 \ell^+ \nu_{\ell} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)] =$ $(2.52 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value B($D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$) = $(3.89 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. $\Gamma(\overline{D}{}^0\pi^-\ell^+\nu_{\ell})$ anything $\Gamma(\overline{D}{}^0\pi^-\ell^+\nu_{\ell})$ Γ_{13}/Γ $0.0107 \pm 0.0025 \pm 0.0011$ 00R DLPH $e^+e^- \rightarrow 7$ **ABREU** $\Gamma(\overline{D}{}^0\pi^+\ell^+
u_\ell$ anything)/ $\Gamma_{
m total}$ Γ_{14}/Γ DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT 00R DLPH $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ $0.0023 \pm 0.0015 \pm 0.0004$ **ABREU** $\Gamma(D^{*-}\ell^+\nu_{\ell})$ anything $\Gamma(D^{*-}\ell^+\nu_{\ell})$ Γ_{15}/Γ 0.0275 ± 0.0019 OUR AVERAGE ¹ ABREU 00R DLPH $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ $0.0275 \pm 0.0021 \pm 0.0009$ ² AKERS 950 OPAL $e^+e^ 0.0276 \pm 0.0027 \pm 0.0011$ 1 ABREU 00R reports their experiment's uncertainties $\pm 0.0017 \pm 0.0013 \pm 0.0009$, where the first error is statistical, the second is systematic, and the third is the uncertainty due to the D branching fraction. We combine first two in quadrature. 2 AKERS 95Q reports [B($\overline{b} \to D^* \ell^+ \nu_\ell X$) \times B($D^{*+} \to D^0 \pi^+$) \times B($D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$)] $= ((7.53 \pm 0.47 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-4})$ and uses B($D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$) = 0.681 \pm 0.013 and $B(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+) = 0.0401 \pm 0.0014$ to obtain the above result. The first error is the experiments error and the second error is the systematic error from the D^{*+} and D^{0} branching ratios. $\Gamma(D^{*-}\pi^{-}\ell^{+}\nu_{\ell} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ $0.0006 \pm 0.0007 \pm 0.0002$ **ABREU** $\Gamma(D^{*-}\pi^{+}\ell^{+}\nu_{\ell})$ anything)/ Γ_{total} DOCUMENT ID 00R DLPH $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ $0.0048 \pm 0.0009 \pm 0.0005$ **ABREU** $\Gamma(\overline{D}_i^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything} \times \mathsf{B}(\overline{D}_i^0 \to D^{*+} \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\mathsf{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ D_i represents an unresolved mixture of pseudoscalar and tensor D^{**} (P-wave) states. *VALUE* (units 10^{-3}) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT $2.64 \pm 0.79 \pm 0.39$ **ABBIENDI** 03M OPAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • **AKFRS** $6.1 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.3$ 95Q OPAL Repl. by ABBIENDI 03M $\Gamma(D_i^-\ell^+\nu_\ell \text{ anything} \times \mathsf{B}(D_i^- \to D^0\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\mathrm{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ D_i represents an unresolved mixture of pseudoscalar and tensor D^{**} (P-wave) states. VALUE (units 10^{-3}) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT $7.0\pm1.9^{+1.2}_{-1.3}$ 95Q OPAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ **AKERS**

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 12

$\Gamma(\overline{D}_2^*(2460)^0\ell^+\nu_\ell$ anything \times B $(\overline{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \to D^{*-}\pi^+))/\Gamma_{total}$					Γ_{20}/Γ	
$VALUE$ (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
<1.4	90	ABBIENDI	03м	OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$	
$\Gamma(D_2^*(2460)^-\ell^+\nu_\ell$	anything >	< B(D ₂ *(2460)	- → <i>L</i>	$D^0\pi^-)$	$)/\Gamma_{ m total}$	Γ_{21}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
$4.2\pm1.3^{+0.7}_{-1.2}$		AKERS	95Q	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$	
$\Gamma(\overline{D}_2^*(2460)^0\ell^+\nu_\ell$ anything \times B $(\overline{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \to D^-\pi^+))/\Gamma_{\mathrm{total}}$					Γ ₂₂ /Γ	
VALUE (units 10^{-3})		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
$1.6 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.3$		AKERS	95Q	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$	

 Γ_{24}/Γ

Created: 5/30/2017 17:23

Heavy Flavour Steering Group. The averaging procedure takes into account correlations between the measurements.

<u>VALUE</u> 0.00171±0.00052 OUR EVALUAT	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> T ION		<u>TECN</u>	COMMENT
0.0017 ± 0.0004 OUR AVERAGE				
$0.00163\!\pm\!0.00053\!+\!0.00055\\-0.00062$	¹ ABBIENDI	01 R	OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.00157 \pm 0.00035 \pm 0.00055$	² ABREU	00 D	DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.00173\!\pm\!0.00055\!\pm\!0.00055$	³ BARATE	99G	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.0033 \pm 0.0010 \pm 0.0017$	⁴ ACCIARRI	98K	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
4				

 $^{^1}$ Obtained from the best fit of the MC simulated events to the data based on the b
ightarrow $X_{\mu}\ell\nu$ neutral network output distributions.

$\Gamma(\tau^+\nu_{\tau} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

(' total				- 27/
$VALUE$ (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.41 ± 0.23 OUR AVE	RAGE				
$2.78 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.51$		$^{ m 1}$ abbiendi	01 Q	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$2.43 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.25$		² BARATE	01E	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$2.19\!\pm\!0.24\!\pm\!0.39$		³ ABREU	00 C	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$1.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 1.1$		^{4,5} ACCIARRI	96 C	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$2.4 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.8$	1032	⁶ ACCIARRI	94C	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
ullet $ullet$ We do not use	the followi	ng data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
$2.75 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.37$	405	⁷ BUSKULIC	95	ALEP	Repl. by BARATE 01E
$4.08\!\pm\!0.76\!\pm\!0.62$		BUSKULIC	93 B	ALEP	Repl. by BUSKULIC 95
	VALUE (units 10^{-2}) 2.41 ± 0.23 OUR AVE 2.78 ± 0.18 ± 0.51 2.43 ± 0.20 ± 0.25 2.19 ± 0.24 ± 0.39 1.7 ± 0.5 ± 1.1 2.4 ± 0.7 ± 0.8 • • • We do not use 2.75 ± 0.30 ± 0.37	2.41 \pm 0.23 OUR AVERAGE 2.78 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.51 2.43 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.25 2.19 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.39 1.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 1.1 2.4 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.8 1032 • • • We do not use the following 2.75 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.37 405	VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID 2.41 \pm 0.23 OUR AVERAGE 2.78 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.51 1 ABBIENDI 2.43 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.25 2 BARATE 2.19 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.39 3 ABREU 1.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 1.1 4,5 ACCIARRI 2.4 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.8 1032 6 ACCIARRI • • • We do not use the following data for averages 2.75 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.37 405 7 BUSKULIC	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN 2.41±0.23 OUR AVERAGE 1 ABBIENDI 01Q OPAL 2.78±0.18±0.51 1 ABBIENDI 01Q OPAL 2.43±0.20±0.25 2 BARATE 01E ALEP 2.19±0.24±0.39 3 ABREU 00C DLPH 1.7±0.5±1.1 4,5 ACCIARRI 96C L3 2.4±0.7±0.8 1032 6 ACCIARRI 94C L3 • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, expressions and expressions are supported by the contraction of the contr

¹ ABBIENDI 01Q uses a missing energy technique.

² ABREU 00D result obtained from a fit to the numbers of decays in $b \to u$ enriched and depleted samples and their lepton spectra, and assuming $|V_{c\,b}| = 0.0384 \pm 0.0033$ and $au_b=1.564\pm0.014$ ps. 3 Uses lifetime tagged $b\,\overline{b}$ sample. 4 ACCIARRI 98K assumes $R_b=$ 0.2174 \pm 0.0009 at Z decay.

The energy-flow and b-tagging algorithms were used. Uses the missing energy in $Z \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ decays without identifying leptons. ACCIARRI 96C result obtained from missing energy spectrum.

 $^{^{5}}$ Assumes Standard Model value for R_{B} .

⁶ This is a direct result using tagged $b\overline{b}$ events at the Z, but species are not separated.

⁷ BUSKULIC 95 uses missing-energy technique.

$\Gamma(D^{*-} au u_{ au})/\Gamma_{ ext{total}}$					Γ_{25}/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
$(0.88\pm0.31\pm0.28)\times10^{-2}$	¹ BARATE	01E	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$	

 $^{^{1}}$ The energy-flow and b-tagging algorithms were used.

$\Gamma(\overline{b} \to \overline{c} \to \ell^- \overline{\nu}_{\ell} \text{ anything}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_{26}/Γ

"OUR EVALUATION" is an average of the data listed below, excluding all asymmetry measurements, performed by the LEP Electroweak Working Group as described in the "Note on the Z boson" in the Z Particle Listings.

<u>VALUE</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0802±0.0019 OUR EVALUATIO	N			
0.0817 ± 0.0020 OUR AVERAGE				
$0.0818 \pm 0.0015 { + 0.0024 \atop - 0.0026 }$	$^{\mathrm{1}}$ HEISTER	02G	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.0798 \pm 0.0022 {}^{+ 0.0025}_{- 0.0029}$	² ABREU	01L	DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.0840 \pm 0.0016 {}^{+ 0.0039}_{- 0.0036}$	³ ABBIENDI	00E	OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
• • • We do not use the following	data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
$0.0770 \pm 0.0097 \pm 0.0046$	⁴ ABREU			$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.082\ \pm0.003\ \pm0.012$	⁵ BUSKULIC	94G	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.077 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.007$	⁶ AKERS	93 B	OPAL	Repl. by ABBI- ENDI 00E

¹ Uses the combination of lepton transverse momentum spectrum and the correlation between the charge of the lepton and opposite jet charge. The first error is statistic and the second error is the total systematic error including the modeling.

$\Gamma(c \to \ell^+ \nu \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.0161 \pm 0.0020 {+0.0034 \atop -0.0047}$	¹ ABREU	01L	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

¹ The experimental systematic and model uncertainties are combined in quadrature.

$\Gamma\big(\overline{\mathcal{D}}{}^0 \, \text{anything}\big)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_{28}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		TECN	COMMENT
0.595±0.028±0.006	¹ BUSKULIC	96Y	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

 $^{^1}$ BUSKULIC 96Y reports 0.605 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.016 from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\overline{b} \to \overline{D}{}^0 \, \text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+)]$ assuming $B(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+) = 0.0383$, which we rescale to our best value $B(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+) = (3.89 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

²The experimental systematic and model uncertainties are combined in quadrature.

³ABBIENDI 00E result is determined by comparing the distribution of several kinematic variables of leptonic events in a lifetime tagged $Z \rightarrow b \overline{b}$ sample using artificial neural network techniques. The first error is statistic; the second error is the total systematic error.

⁴ABREU 95D give systematic errors ± 0.0033 (model) and 0.0032 (R_c). We combine these in quadrature. This result is from the same global fit as their $\Gamma(\overline{b} \to \ell^+ \nu_\ell X)$ data.

data. 5 BUSKULIC 94G uses e and μ events. This value is from the same global fit as their $\Gamma(\overline{b} \to \ \ell^+ \nu_\ell \, {\rm anything})/\Gamma_{\rm total} \, \, {\rm data}.$

⁶ AKERS 93B analysis performed using single and dilepton events.

, ,	• /-			,	
$\Gamma(D^0D_s^{\pm})/\Gamma_{total}$					Γ ₂₉ /Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
$0.091^{+0.020}_{-0.018}^{+0.034}_{-0.022}$	¹ BARATE	98Q	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$	
$^{ m 1}$ The systematic error include	s the uncertainties o	due to	the cha	rm branching ra	tios.
$\Gamma(D^{\mp}D_s^{\pm})$ anything $\Gamma(D^{\mp}D_s^{\pm})$					Γ ₃₀ /Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	<u>COMMENT</u>	
$0.040^{igoplus 0.017}_{-0.014}^{+0.016}_{-0.011}$	¹ BARATE	98Q	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$	

 $^{^{}m 1}$ The systematic error includes the uncertainties due to the charm branching ratios.

 Γ_s anything) Γ_{total} $\Gamma_{29}+\Gamma_{30}$ $\left[\Gamma(D^0D_s^{\pm} \text{ anything}) + \Gamma(D^{\mp}D_s^{\pm} \text{ anything})\right]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $0.131 ^{+0.026}_{-0.022} ^{+0.048}_{-0.031}$ 98Q ALEP $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ ¹ BARATE

¹ The systematic error includes the uncertainties due to the charm branching ratios.

$\Gamma(\overline{D}{}^0D^0$ anything) $/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ ₃₁ /Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
$0.051^{+0.016}_{-0.014}^{+0.012}_{-0.011}$	¹ BARATE	98Q	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$	

 $^{^{1}}$ The systematic error includes the uncertainties due to the charm branching ratios.

$\Gamma(D^0D^{\pm}$ anything)/ $\Gamma_{ ext{total}}$					Γ_{32}/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	<u></u>	TECN _	COMMENT	
$0.027^{igoplus 0.015}_{igoplus 0.013}^{igoplus 0.015}_{igoplus 0.009}^{igoplus 0.015}_{igoplus 0.009}$	¹ BARATE	98Q A	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$	

 $^{^{1}}$ The systematic error includes the uncertainties due to the charm branching ratios.

$\left[\Gamma(\overline{D}{}^0D^0$ anything $)+\Gamma(D^0D^{\pm}$ anything $)\right]/\Gamma_{ ext{total}}$			$(\Gamma_{31}+\Gamma_{32})/\Gamma$	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.078^{+0.020}_{-0.018}^{+0.020}_{-0.016}$	¹ BARATE	98Q	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$

¹ The systematic error includes the uncertainties due to the charm branching ratios.

$\Gamma(D^{\pm}D^{\mp}$ anything)/	Γ_{total}					Γ ₃₃ /Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
<0.009	90	BARATE	98Q	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$	

 $^{^{}m 1}$ The second error is the total of systematic uncertainties including the branching fractions used in the measurement.

$\Gamma(D^-$ anything)/ Γ_{total}					Γ_{36}/Γ
VALUE				<u>COMMENT</u>	
	¹ BUSKULIC				/
¹ BUSKULIC 96Y reports 0.234					
D^- anything)/ Γ_{total}] × [B(D^+					
which we rescale to our best va first error is their experiment's using our best value.	lue B($D^+ o K$ error and our se	2π econd	(0) = (8)	.98 \pm 0.28) $ imes$ 1 the systematic	0 ² . Our error from
$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	Γ ₃₇ /Γ
$0.173 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.012$	¹ ACKERSTAFF	98E	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$	
1 Uses lepton tags to select $Z ightarrow$					
•					г /г
$\Gamma(D_1(2420)^0 \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	Γ ₃₈ /Γ
	¹ ACKERSTAFF				
¹ ACKERSTAFF 97W assumes					0 04 and
$\Gamma_{b\overline{b}}/\Gamma_{\text{hadrons}} = 0.216 \text{ at } Z \text{ de}$			D N) — 0.21 ±	0.04 and
$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^{\mp}D_s^{\pm} \text{ anything})/\Gamma$	total				Γ ₃₉ /Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID				
=0.009 = 0.009	¹ BARATE				
The systematic error includes th $\Gamma(D^0D^*(2010)^{\pm} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_t$ VALUE					Γ ₄₀ /Γ
	¹ BARATE				
$^{ m 1}$ The systematic error includes th	ne uncertainties o	due to	the cha	rm branching ra	itios.
$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^{\pm}D^{\mp} \text{ anything})/\Gamma$	total				Γ_{41}/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
$0.025 {}^{+ 0.010}_{- 0.009} {}^{+ 0.006}_{- 0.005}$	¹ BARATE	98Q	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$	
$^{ m 1}$ The systematic error includes th	ne uncertainties o	due to	the cha	rm branching ra	itios.
$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^{\pm}D^*(2010)^{\mp}$ anyt	hing)/F _{total}		TECN	COMMENT	Γ ₄₂ /Γ
=0.003	¹ BARATE	-			.+:
¹ The systematic error includes th	ie uncertainties (aue to	tne cna	rm branching ra	ITIOS.
$\Gamma(\overline{D}D$ anything) Γ_{total}	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	Γ ₄₃ /Γ
	¹ ABBIENDI				
¹ Measurement performed using a dates.	an inclusive ident	tificati	on of B	mesons and the	e <i>D</i> candi-

$\Gamma(D_2^*(2460)^0 \, \text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

 $0.047 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.013$

 1 ACKERSTAFF 97W OPAL $e^{+}e^{-}
ightarrow Z$

 1 ACKERSTAFF 97W assumes B $(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-) = 0.21 \pm 0.04$ and $\Gamma_{b\overline{b}}/\Gamma_{hadrons} = 0.216$ at Z decay.

$\Gamma(D_{\epsilon}^{-} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_{45}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.147 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.013$	$^{ m 1}$ BUSKULIC	96Y	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$

 1 BUSKULIC 96Y reports 0.183 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.009 from a measurement of [$\Gamma(\overline{b}
ightarrow$ D_s^- anything)/ $\Gamma_{ ext{total}}$] imes [B($D_s^+ o \phi \pi^+$)] assuming B($D_s^+ o \phi \pi^+$) = 0.036, which we rescale to our best value B($D_s^+ \to \phi \pi^+$) = (4.5 ± 0.4) × 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $0.101\pm0.010\pm0.029$

$\Gamma(b \to \Lambda_c^+ \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_{47}/Γ

 $0.076 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.004$

$\Gamma(\overline{c}/c \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_{48}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.162±0.032 OUR AVERAGE				
$1.12 \begin{array}{c} +0.11 \\ -0.10 \end{array}$	¹ ABBIENDI	041	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$1.166 \pm 0.031 \pm 0.080$	² ABREU			$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.147 ± 0.041	³ ABREU	98 D	DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$1.230 \pm 0.036 \pm 0.065$	⁴ BUSKULIC	96Y	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$

 $^{^{}m 1}$ Measurement performed using an inclusive identification of B mesons and the D candi-

 $^{^{}m 1}$ The second error is the total of systematic uncertainties including the branching fractions used in the measurement.

 $^{^{1}}$ BUSKULIC 96Y reports 0.110 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.006 from a measurement of [Γ(b ightarrow Λ_c^+ anything)/ Γ_{total}] \times [B($\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$)] assuming B($\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$) = 0.044, which we rescale to our best value B($\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+$) = (6.35 \pm 0.33) \times 10⁻². Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

Evaluated via summation of exclusive and inclusive channels.

 $^{^3}$ ABREU 98D results are extracted from a fit to the *b*-tagging probability distribution based on the impact parameter.

 $^{^4}$ BUSKULIC 96Y assumes PDG 96 production fractions for B^0 , B^+ , B_s , b baryons, and PDG 96 branching ratios for charm decays. This is sum of their inclusive \overline{D}^0 , D^- , \overline{D}_s , and $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ branching ratios, corrected to include inclusive $\Xi_{\mathcal{C}}$ and charmonium.

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S))$ anything $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S))$

 Γ_{49}/Γ

•	•						
$VALUE$ (units 10^{-2})	CL% EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		
1.16±0.10 OUR A	/ERAGE						
$1.12\!\pm\!0.12\!\pm\!0.10$					$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$		
$1.16\!\pm\!0.16\!\pm\!0.14$	121	² ADRIANI	93J	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$		
$1.21\!\pm\!0.13\!\pm\!0.08$		BUSKULIC	92G	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$		
ullet $ullet$ We do not use	the following data	for averages, fits, li	mits,	etc. • •	•		
$1.3 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2$		³ ADRIANI	92	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$		
<4.9	90	MATTEUZZI	83	MRK2	$E_{ m cm}^{\it ee} = 29~{ m GeV}$		
¹ ABREU 94P is an inclusive measurement from b decays at the Z . Uses $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$ channels. Assumes $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow b\overline{b})/\Gamma_{\rm hadron} = 0.22$.							
² ADRIANI 931 is a	n inclusive measur	ement from b deca	ıys at	the Z .	Uses $J/\psi(1S)$ $ ightarrow$		
$\mu^+\mu^-$ and $J/\psi(1)$	$1S) ightarrow~e^+e^-$ cha	nnels.					

³ ADRIANI 92 measurement is an inclusive result for B($Z \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)$ X) = (4.1 ± 0.7 ± 0.3) × 10⁻³ which is used to extract the *b*-hadron contribution to $J/\psi(1S)$ production.

$\Gamma(\psi(2S))$ anything $\Gamma(\psi(2S))$

 Γ_{50}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

 $0.0048 \pm 0.0022 \pm 0.0010$

¹ ABREU

94P DLPH $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

$\Gamma(\psi(2S))$ anything $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S))$ anything

 Γ_{50}/Γ_{49}

VALUL	DOCUMENT ID	TLCIV	COMMENT	
0.243 ± 0.014 OUR AVERAGE				
$0.239 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.005$	1,2 AAIJ		pp at 7 TeV	
$0.259 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.028$	^{3,4} CHATRCHYAN	N 12AK CMS	pp at 7 TeV	

¹ AAIJ 12BD reports 0.235 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.015 from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\overline{b} \to \psi(2S) \text{ anything})/\Gamma(\overline{b} \to J/\psi(1S) \text{ anything})] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \to \mu^+ \mu^-)] / [B(\psi(2S) \to e^+ e^-)]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\psi(2S) \to e^+ e^-) = (7.72 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best values $B(J/\psi(1S) \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = (5.961 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\psi(2S) \to e^+ e^-) = (7.89 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

¹ ABREU 94P is an inclusive measurement from b decays at the Z. Uses $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$, $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ channels. Assumes $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow b\overline{b})/\Gamma_{\rm hadron} = 0.22$.

² Assumes lepton universality imposing B($\psi(2s) \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$) = B($\psi(2s) \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}$).

³ CHATRCHYAN 12AK really reports $\Gamma_{50}/\Gamma = (3.08 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.42) \times 10^{-3}$ assuming PDG 10 value of $\Gamma_{49}/\Gamma = (1.16 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$ which we present as a ratio of $\Gamma_{50}/\Gamma_{49} = (26.5 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.1 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{-2}$.

⁴ CHATRCHYAN 12AK reports $(26.5 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.1 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\overline{b} \to \psi(2S) \text{ anything})/\Gamma(\overline{b} \to J/\psi(1S) \text{ anything})] \times [B(\psi(2S) \to \mu^+\mu^-)]$ / $[B(J/\psi(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \to \mu^+\mu^-) = (7.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$, $B(J/\psi(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best values $B(\psi(2S) \to \mu^+\mu^-) = (7.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$, $B(J/\psi(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.961 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P))$ anything),	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ ₅₁ /Γ		
0.014 ±0.004 OUR AV								
$0.0113^{+0.0058}_{-0.0050} \pm 0.0004$		¹ ABREU						
$0.019\ \pm 0.007\ \pm 0.001$	19	² ADRIANI	93 J	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow$	Ζ		
¹ ABREU 94P report	s 0.014	$\pm 0.006^{+0.004}_{-0.002}$	fron	n a me	asurement o	of $[\Gamma(\overline{b})]$		
$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ anything)/ Γ_{total}] \times [B($\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$)] assuming B($\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$) = 0.273 \pm 0.016, which we rescale to our best value B($\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)$) = (33.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10 ⁻² . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes no $\chi_{c2}(1P)$								
and $\Gamma(Z o b\overline{b})/\Gamma_{ha}$ ² ADRIANI 93J repor)2 frc	om a m	easurement	of $\Gamma(\overline{b} \rightarrow$		
$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ anything)/ Γ $\gamma J/\psi(1S)$) = 0.273 $\gamma J/\psi(1S)$) = (33.9 our second error is th	$\begin{bmatrix} ext{total} \end{bmatrix} imes \\ \pm ext{ 0.016} \\ \pm ext{ 1.2} \end{pmatrix} imes$	$[B(\chi_{c1}(1P) ightarrow \ \mathrm{which} \ \mathrm{we} \ \mathrm{resc}, \ 10^{-2}. \ \mathrm{Our} \ \mathrm{firs}$	$\gamma J/\psi$ ale to t erro	$[\psi(1S))]$ $[0.5]$ our beometic $[0.5]$	assuming B() st value B() r experiment	$\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow$		
$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P))$ anything)		15) anything) DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{51}/Γ_{49}		
VALUE• • We do not use the						_		
1.92±0.82	121	¹ ADRIANI				7		
1 ADRIANI 93J is a rat $J/\psi(1S) ightarrow \ \mu^+ \mu^-$	io of inclus	sive measurement	s fron	n <i>b</i> decay				
$\Gamma(\overline{s}\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$						Γ ₅₂ /Γ		
	CL%	DOCUMENT ID						
3.11±0.80±0.72 • • • We do not use the	following	¹ BARATE			$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$	Z		
		² ADAM			$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2$	7		
< 5.4 <12		³ ADRIANI			$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$			
¹ BARATE 981 uses life ² ADAM 96D assumes ³ ADRIANI 93L result i	etime tagg $f_{B^0} = f_{B^0}$	ed $Z ightarrow b\overline{b}$ sam $_{-}=0.39$ and $f_{B_{s}}$	ple.	.12.				
				-		Г /Г		
Γ(̄s̄ν̄ν)/Γ _{total} <u>VALUE</u>	CI %	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	Γ ₅₃ /Γ		
<6.4 × 10 ⁻⁴		¹ BARATE						
1 The energy-flow and				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		_		
$\Gamma(K^{\pm}$ anything)/ $\Gamma_{ ext{tota}}$	al					Γ ₅₄ /Γ		
<u>VALUE</u> 0.74±0.06 OUR AVERA	GF	DOCUMENT ID		<u>TECN</u>	COMMENT			
$0.72 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.06$	-	BARATE	98V	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow z$	Z		
$0.88 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.18$		ABREU						
$\Gamma(K_S^0 \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{tota}}$	al			TE CN	COMMENT	Γ ₅₅ /Γ		
<u>VALUE</u> 0.290±0.011±0.027		DOCUMENT ID ABREU						
0.2 9 0±0.011±0.02 <i>1</i>		NDREU	93 C	DLYH	e e → 2	<u> </u>		
HTTP://PDG.LBL.G	OV	Page 19		Creat	ed: 5/30/2	017 17:23		

$\Gamma(\pi^{\pm} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	Γ ₅₆ /Γ
<u>VALUE</u> 3.97±0.02±0.21	BARATE				
$\Gamma(\pi^0 \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	Γ ₅₇ /Γ
2.78±0.15±0.60				$e^+e^- \rightarrow$	 Z
1 ADAM 96 measurement obta bb events.	ined from a fit to t	he raj	oidity dis	stribution of	$\pi^{0's}$ in $Z o$
$\Gamma(\phi \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	Γ ₅₈ /Γ
$0.0282 \pm 0.0013 \pm 0.0019$	ABBIENDI				Z
Γ(p/p̄anything)/Γ _{total}	DOCUMENT ID		<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ ₅₉ /Γ
0.131 \pm 0.011 OUR AVERAGE 0.131 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.011 0.141 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.056	BARATE ABREU			$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \rightarrow$	
$\Gamma(\Lambda/\overline{\Lambda} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE 0.059 ± 0.006 OUR AVERAGE $0.0587 \pm 0.0046 \pm 0.0048$	DOCUMENT ID	97N	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$	
0.059 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.009 $\Gamma(b\text{-baryon anything})/\Gamma_{total}$	ABREU <u>DOCUMENT ID</u>			$e^+e^- \rightarrow$	∠ Γ ₆₁ /Γ
$0.102 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.027$	¹ BARATE				Z
1 BARATE 98V assumes B(B_s	$\rightarrow pX) = 8 \pm 4\%$	and	B(<i>b</i> -bar	yon $\rightarrow pX$)	$=$ 58 \pm 6%.
Γ (charged anything) Γ (total	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	Γ ₆₂ /Γ
4.97±0.03±0.06 • • • We do not use the following	¹ ABREU	98н	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$	Z
$5.84 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.38$ 1 ABREU 98H measurement ex	ABREU			Repl. by All and Λ decay	
$\Gamma(\text{hadron}^+ \text{hadron}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ ₆₃ /Γ
	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	,
$ \frac{\text{VALUE (units } 10^{-5})}{1.7 + 0.7 \pm 0.2} $					
¹ BUSKULIC 96V assumes PD	G 96 production fra	ctions	s for B^0	B^+, B_s, b	baryons.

 $^{^2}$ Average branching fraction of weakly decaying B hadrons into two long-lived charged hadrons, weighted by their production cross section and lifetimes.

$\Gamma(\text{charmless})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ ₆₄ /Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
0.007 ± 0.021	¹ ABREU	98D	DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$	

 $^{^1}$ ABREU 98D results are extracted from a fit to the b-tagging probability distribution based on the impact parameter. The expected hidden charm contribution of 0.026 \pm 0.004 has been subtracted.

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_{66}/Γ

Test for	$\Delta B = 1$	weak	neutral	current.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$< 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABBOTT	98 B	D0	<i>p</i> p 1.8 TeV
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
$< 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ ALBAJAR	91 C	UA1	$E_{\rm cm}^{p\overline{p}}$ = 630 GeV
< 0.02	95	ALTHOFF	84G	TASS	$E_{\rm cm}^{\rm ee}=$ 34.5 GeV
< 0.007	95	ADEVA	83	MRKJ	E ^{ee} _{cm} = 30–38 GeV
< 0.007	95	BARTEL	83 B	JADE	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 33-37 \text{ GeV}$

¹ Both ABBOTT 98B and GLENN 98 claim that the efficiency quoted in ALBAJAR 91C was overestimated by a large factor.

$\left[\Gamma(e^+e^-\,\text{anything}) + \Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\,\text{anything})\right]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $(\Gamma_{65} + \Gamma_{66})/\Gamma$

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current.

CL% DOCUMENT ID

TECN COMMENT

TECN COMMENT

Created: 5/30/2017 17:23

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 0.008 90 MATTEUZZI 83 MRK2 $E_{cm}^{ee} = 29 \text{ GeV}$

$\Gamma(\nu \overline{\nu} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_{67}/Γ

•	•	•	We	do	not	use	the	following	data	for	averag	ges,	fits,	limits,	etc.	•	•	•	
	. ^	_	10	_4					1 60		CNAAN		20	D) // IE	- 4	_	_		7

<3.9 \times 10⁻⁴ 1 GROSSMAN 96 RVUE $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow Z$ 1 GROSSMAN 96 limit is derived from the ALEPH BUSKULIC 95 limit B($B^{+} \rightarrow ~ \tau^{+} \nu_{ au}$)

χ_b AT HIGH ENERGY

For a discussion of $B\overline{B}$ mixing, see the note on " $B^0\overline{B}$ " Mixing" in the B^0 Particle Listings.

 χ_b is the average $B - \overline{B}$ mixing parameter at high-energy $\chi_b = f_d' \chi_d + f_s' \chi_s$ where f_d' and f_s' are the fractions of B^0 and B_s^0 hadrons in an unbiased sample of semileptonic b-hadron decays.

"OUR EVALUATION" is an average using rescaled values of the data listed below. The average and rescaling were performed by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFLAV) and are described at http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hflav/. The averaging/rescaling procedure takes into account correlations between the measurements.

 $^{^1}$ GROSSMAN 96 limit is derived from the ALEPH BUSKULIC 95 limit B($B^+\to \tau^+\nu_{\tau}$) $<1.8\times 10^{-3}$ at CL=90% using conservative simplifying assumptions.

VALUE	<u>EVTS</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.1284±0.0069 OUR EV		N			
0.129 ± 0.004 OUR AVI	ERAGE	4			
$0.132 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.024$		¹ ABAZOV	06 S	D0	$p\overline{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
$0.152 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.011$		² ACOSTA	04A	CDF	$p\overline{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
$0.1312\pm0.0049\pm0.0042$		³ ABBIENDI	03P	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$0.127 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.006$		⁴ ABREU	01L	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$0.1192 \pm 0.0068 \pm 0.0051$		⁵ ACCIARRI	99 D	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$0.121\ \pm0.016\ \pm0.006$		⁶ ABREU	94J	DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.114\ \pm0.014\ \pm0.008$		⁷ BUSKULIC	94G	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
0.129 ± 0.022		⁸ BUSKULIC	92 B	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.176 \pm 0.031 \pm 0.032$	1112	⁹ ABE	91 G	CDF	<i>p</i> p 1.8 TeV
$0.148 \pm 0.029 \pm 0.017$		¹⁰ ALBAJAR	91 D	UA1	<i>p</i> p 630 GeV
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the	following	data for averages,	fits, li	mits, etc	C. • • •
$0.131\ \pm0.020\ \pm0.016$		¹¹ ABE	971	CDF	Repl. by
$0.1107\!\pm\!0.0062\!\pm\!0.0055$		¹² ALEXANDER	96	OPAL	ACOSTA 04A Rep. by ABBI- ENDI 03P
$0.136 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.040$		¹³ UENO	96	AMY	e ⁺ e ⁻ at 57.9 GeV
$0.144\ \pm0.014\ ^{+0.017}_{-0.011}$		¹⁴ ABREU	94F	DLPH	Sup. by ABREU 94J
0.131 ± 0.014		¹⁵ ABREU	94J	DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.123 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.008$		ACCIARRI	94 D	L3	Repl. by ACCIA- RRI 99D
$0.157\ \pm0.020\ \pm0.032$		¹⁶ ALBAJAR	94	UA1	$\sqrt{s} = 630 \text{ GeV}$
$0.121 \ ^{+0.044}_{-0.040} \ \pm 0.017$	1665	¹⁷ ABREU	93 C	DLPH	Sup. by ABREU 94J
$0.143 \ ^{+0.022}_{-0.021} \ \pm 0.007$		¹⁸ AKERS	93 B	OPAL	Sup. by ALEXAN- DER 96
$0.145 \ {+0.041\atop -0.035} \ \pm 0.018$		¹⁹ ACTON	92 C	OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.121 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.006$		²⁰ ADEVA	92 C	L3	Sup. by ACCIA- RRI 94D
$0.132 \pm 0.22 \begin{array}{c} +0.015 \\ -0.012 \end{array}$	823	²¹ DECAMP	91	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$0.178 \ ^{+0.049}_{-0.040} \ \pm 0.020$		²² ADEVA	90 P	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow Z$
$0.17 {}^{+ 0.15}_{- 0.08}$	23	,24 WEIR	90	MRK2	e^+e^- 29 GeV
$0.21 \begin{array}{c} +0.29 \\ -0.15 \end{array}$		²³ BAND	88	MAC	Eee = 29 GeV
>0.02 at 90% <i>CL</i>		²³ BAND	88	MAC	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 29 \; {\rm GeV}$
0.121 ± 0.047	23	, ²⁵ ALBAJAR	87 C	UA1	Repl. by ALBA-
<0.12 at 90% <i>CL</i>	23	^{,26} SCHAAD	85	MRK2	JAR 91D Eee = 29 GeV

Uses the dimuon charge asymmetry. Averaged over the mix of *b*-flavored hadrons.
 Measurement performed using events containing a dimuon or an *e/μ* pair.
 The average *B* mixing parameter is determined simultaneously with *b* and *c* forward-backward asymmetries in the fit.
 The experimental systematic and model uncertainties are combined in quadrature.
 ACCIARRI 99D uses maximum-likelihood fits to extract χ_b as well as the A^b_{FB} in Z →

 $b\overline{b}$ events containing prompt leptons.

- 6 This ABREU 94J result is from 5182 $\ell\ell$ and 279 $\hbar\ell$ events. The systematic error includes 0.004 for model dependence.
- ⁷BUSKULIC 94G data analyzed using ee, e μ , and $\mu\mu$ events.
- ⁸ BUSKULIC 92B uses a jet charge technique combined with electrons and muons.
- 9 ABE 91G measurement of χ is done with $e\mu$ and ee events.
- 10 ALBAJAR 91D measurement of χ is done with dimuons.
- ¹¹ Uses di-muon events.
- 12 ALEXANDER 96 uses a maximum likelihood fit to simultaneously extract χ as well as the forward-backward asymmetries in $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ and $c\overline{c}$.
- 13 UENO 96 extracted χ from the energy dependence of the forward-backward asymmetry.
- 14 ABREU 94F uses the average electric charge sum of the jets recoiling against a \emph{b} -quark jet tagged by a high p_T muon. The result is for $\overline{\chi} = f_d \chi_d + 0.9 f_s \chi_s$.
- ¹⁵ This ABREU 94J result combines $\ell\ell$, $\Lambda\ell$, and jet-charge ℓ (ABREU 94F) analyses. It is for $\overline{\chi} = f_d \chi_d + 0.96 f_s \chi_s$.
- ¹⁶ ALBAJAR 94 uses dimuon events. Not independent of ALBAJAR 91D.
- 17 ABREU 93C data analyzed using ee, e μ , and $\mu\mu$ events.
- 18 AKERS 93B analysis performed using dilepton events.
- 19 ACTON 92C uses electrons and muons. Superseded by AKERS 93B.
- ²⁰ ADEVA 92C uses electrons and muons.
- 21 DECAMP 91 done with opposite and like-sign dileptons. Superseded by BUSKULIC 92B.
- 22 ADEVA 90P measurement uses ee, $\mu\mu$, and e μ events from 118k events at the Z. Superseded by ADEVA 92C.
- These experiments are not in the average because the combination of B_s and B_d mesons which they see could differ from those at higher energy.
- 24 The WEIR 90 measurement supersedes the limit obtained in SCHAAD 85. The 90% CL are 0.06 and 0.38.
- 25 ALBAJAR 87C measured $\chi = (\overline{B}{}^0 \to B^0 \to \mu^+ X)$ divided by the average production weighted semileptonic branching fraction for B hadrons at 546 and 630 GeV.
- 26 Limit is average probability for hadron containing B quark to produce a positive lepton.

CP VIOLATION PARAMETERS in semileptonic b-hadron decays.

 $\operatorname{Re}(\epsilon_b) / (1 + |\epsilon_b|^2)$ CP impurity in semileptonic *b*-hadron decays.

<i>VALUE</i> (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.24 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.18$	$^{ m 1}$ ABAZOV	14	D0	$p\overline{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
• • • We do not use the following	g data for average	s, fits,	limits,	etc. • • •
$-1.97\!\pm\!0.43\!\pm\!0.23$	² ABAZOV	11 U	D0	Repl. by ABAZOV 14
$-2.39\!\pm\!0.63\!\pm\!0.37$	³ ABAZOV	10H	D0	Repl. by ABAZOV 110
$^{ m 1}$ ABAZOV 14 reports a measu				
$(-4.96\pm1.53\pm0.72) imes10^{-2}$ ABAZOV 11U reports a measu	³ in semileptonic irement of like-sig	<i>b</i> -had n dim	lron deca uon cha	ays. $_{ m rge}$ asymmetry of ${\it A}_{SL}^b = {\it C}$
$(-7.87 \pm 1.72 \pm 0.93) imes 10^{-1}$	³ in semileptonic	<i>b</i> -had	Iron deca	ays.
³ ABAZOV 10H reports a me	easurement of lik	ke-sigr	n dimud	on charge asymmetry of
$A_{SL}^b = (-9.57 \pm 2.51 \pm 1.46)$	$ imes 10^{-3}$ in semile	ptonic	<i>b</i> -hadro	on decays. Using the mea-
sured production ratio of B_d^0 a	and B_{s}^{0} , and the a	symm	etry of <i>E</i>	${\sf A}_d^0 \; {\sf A}_{SL}^d = (-4.7 \pm 4.6) imes 1.00$

 $7.5) \times 10^{-3}$.

Created: 5/30/2017 17:23

 10^{-3} measured from *B*-factories, they obtain the asymmetry for B_s^{0} as $A_{SL}^{s}=(-14.6\pm$

B-HADRON PRODUCTION FRACTIONS IN HADRONIC Z DECAY

The production fractions of b-hadrons in hadronic Z decays have been calculated using the best values of mean lives, mixing parameters and branching fractions in this edition by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFLAV) (see http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hflav/).

The values reported below assume:

$$f(\overline{b} \rightarrow B^+) = f(\overline{b} \rightarrow B^0)$$

 $f(\overline{b} \rightarrow B^+) + f(\overline{b} \rightarrow B^0) + f(\overline{b} \rightarrow B^0_s) + f(b \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}) = 1$

The values are:

$$f(\overline{b} \rightarrow B^+) = f(\overline{b} \rightarrow B^0) = 0.407 \pm 0.007$$

$$f(\overline{b} \to B_s^0) = 0.101 \pm 0.008$$

$$\mathsf{f}(b
ightarrow \ b ext{-}\mathsf{baryon}) = 0.084 \pm 0.011$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{f}(\overline{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) = 0.101 \pm 0.008 \\ \text{f}(\overline{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) = 0.101 \pm 0.008 \\ \text{f}(b \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}) = 0.084 \pm 0.011 \\ \text{f}(\overline{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) \ / \ \text{f}(\overline{b} \rightarrow B_d^0) = 0.249 \pm 0.023 \\ \text{and their correlation coefficients are:} \\ cor(B_s^0, b\text{-baryon}) = +0.074 \end{array}$$

$$cor(B_s^0, b$$
-baryon $) = +0.074$

$$cor(B_s^0, B^+=B^0) = -0.629$$

$$cor(b$$
-baryon, $B^+ = B^0) = -0.822$

as obtained using a time-integrated mixing parameter $\overline{\chi} = 0.1259 \pm 0.0042$ given by a fit to heavy quark quantities with asymmetries removed (see the note "The Z boson").

B-HADRON PRODUCTION FRACTIONS IN $p\bar{p}$ COLLISIONS AT Tevatron

The production fractions for b-hadrons in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron have been calculated from the best values of mean lifetimes, mixing parameters, and branching fractions in this edition by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFLAV) (see http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hflav/).

The values reported below assume:

$$\begin{array}{ll} f(\overline{b} \to B^+) = f(\overline{b} \to B^0) \\ f(\overline{b} \to B^+) + f(\overline{b} \to B^0) + f(\overline{b} \to B^0) + f(b \to b\text{-baryon}) = 1 \end{array}$$

The values are:

$$f(\overline{b} \rightarrow B^+) = f(\overline{b} \rightarrow B^0) = 0.344 \pm 0.021$$

$$f(\overline{b} \to B^0) = 0.115 + 0.013$$

$$f(\overline{b} \to B_s^0) = 0.115 \pm 0.013$$

 $f(b \to b\text{-baryon}) = 0.196 \pm 0.046$

$$f(\overline{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) / f(\overline{b} \rightarrow B_d^0) = 0.333 \pm 0.041$$

and their correlation coefficients are:
 $cor(B_s^0, b\text{-baryon}) = -0.426$
 $cor(B_s^0, B^+ = B^0) = +0.153$

$$cor(B_s^0, b-baryon) = -0.426$$

$$cor(B_s^0, B^+=B^0) = +0.153$$

$$cor(b$$
-baryon, $B^+ = B^0) = -0.959$

as obtained with the Tevatron average of time-integrated mixing parameter $\overline{\chi} = 0.147 \pm 0.011.$

PRODUCTION ASYMMETRIES

$\mathsf{A}_C^{b\overline{b}}$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{A}_{C}^{b\,\overline{b}} &= \left[\mathsf{N}(\Delta\mathsf{y}>0) - \mathsf{N}(\Delta\mathsf{y}<0)\right] / \left[\mathsf{N}(\Delta\mathsf{y}>0) + \mathsf{N}(\Delta\mathsf{y}<0)\right] \text{ with } \Delta\mathsf{y} = \left|\mathsf{y}_{b}\right| - \left|\mathsf{y}_{\overline{b}}\right| \\ \text{where } \mathsf{y}_{b/\overline{b}} \text{ is rapidity of } b \text{ or } \overline{b} \text{ quarks.} \end{split}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
Average is meaningless.			
$0.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3$	¹ AAIJ	14AS LHCB	pp at 7 TeV
$2.0 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.6$	² AAIJ	14AS LHCB	pp at 7 TeV
$1.6 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.6$	³ AAIJ	14AS LHCB	pp at 7 TeV
1 Measured for 40 $<$ M($b\overline{b}$) $<$	75 GeV/c ² .		

$B^{\pm}/B^0/B_s^0/b$ -baryon ADMIXTURE REFERENCES

AAD	15CM	PRL 115 262001	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAIJ	14AS	PRL 113 082003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABAZOV	14	PR D89 012002	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
AAIJ	13P	JHEP 1304 001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	12BD	EPJ C72 2100	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	12J	PR D85 032008	R. Aaji <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN	12AK	JHEP 1202 011	S. Chatrchyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AAIJ	11F	PRL 107 211801	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABAZOV	11U	PR D84 052007	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	` (D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	10H	PRL 105 081801	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
Also		PR D82 032001	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
PDG	10	JP G37 075021	K. Nakamura <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
AALTONEN	09E	PR D79 032001	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	08N	PR D77 072003	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV	06S	PR D74 092001	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABBIENDI	041	EPJ C35 149	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABDALLAH	04E	EPJ C33 307	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACOSTA	04A	PR D69 012002	D. Acosta <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABBIENDI	03M	EPJ C30 467	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	03P	PL B577 18	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABDALLAH	03E	PL B561 26	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABDALLAH	03K	PL B576 29	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
HEISTER	02G	EPJ C22 613	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABBIENDI	01Q	PL B520 1	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	01R	EPJ C21 399	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABREU	01L	EPJ C20 455	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
BARATE	01E	EPJ C19 213	R. Barate <i>et al</i> .	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABBIENDI	00E	EPJ C13 225	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	00Z	PL B492 13	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABREU	00	EPJ C12 225	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	00C	PL B496 43	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	00D	PL B478 14	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	00B	PL B475 407	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	00	EPJ C13 47	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AFFOLDER	00E	PRL 84 1663	T. Affolder <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99J	EPJ C12 609	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABE	99D	PR D60 092005	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	99D	PL B448 152	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BARATE	99G	EPJ C6 555	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABBOTT	98B	PL B423 419	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABE	98B	PR D57 5382	F. Abe et al.	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	98D	PL B426 193	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	98H	PL B425 399	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98	PL B416 220	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98K	PL B436 174	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98E	EPJ C1 439	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACINEINO IATI	JUL	LI 3 CI 703	it. Acherstan et al.	(OTAL COMB.)

² Measured for $75 < M(b\overline{b}) < 105 \text{ GeV/c}^2$.

³ Measured for $M(b\overline{b}) > 105 \text{ GeV/c}^2$.

BARATE BARATE BARATE GLENN ABE	98I 98Q 98V 98 97I	PL B429 169 EPJ C4 387 EPJ C5 205 PRL 80 2289 PR D55 2546	R. Barate <i>et al.</i> R. Barate <i>et al.</i> R. Barate <i>et al.</i> S. Glenn <i>et al.</i> F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (CLEO Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97F	ZPHY C73 397	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97N	ZPHY C74 423	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97W	ZPHY C76 425	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABREU	96E	PL B377 195	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	96C	ZPHY C71 379	M. Acciarri <i>et al</i> .	(L3 Collab.)
ADAM	96	ZPHY C69 561	W. Adam et al.	(DELPHI Collab.)
ADAM	96D	ZPHY C72 207	W. Adam et al.	(DELPHI Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96	ZPHY C70 357	G. Alexander et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96F	PL B369 151	D. Buskulic et al.	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96V	PL B384 471	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96Y	PL B388 648	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
GROSSMAN	96	NP B465 369	Y. Grossman, Z. Ligeti, E. Nardi	(REHO, CIT)
Also	06		Y. Grossman, Z. Ligeti, E. Nardi R. M. Barnett <i>et al.</i>	(DDC Callah)
PDG UENO	96 96	PR D54 1 PL B381 365	K. W. Barnett <i>et al.</i> K. Ueno <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.) (AMY Collab.)
ABE,K	95B	PRL 75 3624	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(SLD Collab.)
ABREU	95C	PL B347 447	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95D	ZPHY C66 323	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ADAM	95	ZPHY C68 363	W. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
AKERS	95Q	ZPHY C67 57	R. Akers et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	95	PL B343 444	D. Buskulic et al.	(ÀLEPH Collab.)
ABREU	94F	PL B322 459	P. Abreu et al.	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	94J	PL B332 488	P. Abreu et al.	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	94L	ZPHY C63 3	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	94P	PL B341 109	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	94C	PL B332 201	M. Acciarri et al.	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	94D	PL B335 542	M. Acciarri et al.	(L3 Collab.)
ALBAJAR	94	ZPHY C61 41	C. Albajar <i>et al.</i>	(UA1 Collab.)
BUSKULIC	94G	ZPHY C62 179	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABE ABE	93E 93J	PL B313 288 PRL 71 3421	K. Abe <i>et al.</i> F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS Collab.)
ABREU	93C	PL B301 145	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	93D	ZPHY C57 181	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	93G	PL B312 253	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACTON	93C	PL B307 247	P.D. Acton et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ACTON	93L	ZPHY C60 217	P.D. Acton et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ADRIANI	93J	PL B317 467	O. Adriani et al.	` (L3 Collab.)
ADRIANI	93K	PL B317 474	O. Adriani <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADRIANI	93L	PL B317 637	O. Adriani <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AKERS	93B	ZPHY C60 199	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	93B	PL B298 479	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	930	PL B314 459	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i> P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABREU ACTON	92 92	ZPHY C53 567 PL B274 513	D.P. Acton <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ACTON	92 92C	PL B274 313 PL B276 379	D.P. Acton et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ADEVA	92C	PL B288 395	B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADRIANI	92	PL B288 412	O. Adriani <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BUSKULIC	92B	PL B284 177	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	92F	PL B295 174	D. Buskulic et al.	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	92G	PL B295 396	D. Buskulic et al.	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABE	91G	PRL 67 3351	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ADEVA	91C	PL B261 177	B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADEVA	91H	PL B270 111	B. Adeva et al.	(L3 Collab.)
ALBAJAR	91C	PL B262 163	C. Albajar <i>et al.</i>	(UA1 Collab.)
ALBAJAR ALEXANDER	91D 91G	PL B262 171	C. Albajar <i>et al.</i> G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(UA1 Collab.)
DECAMP	91G 91	PL B266 485 PL B258 236	D. Decamp <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.)
DECAMP	91C	PL B257 492	D. Decamp et al.	(ALEPH Collab.)
ADEVA	90P	PL B252 703	B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BEHREND	90D	ZPHY C47 333	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
HAGEMANN	90	ZPHY C48 401	J. Hagemann et al.	(JADE Collab.)
LYONS	90	PR D41 982	L. Lyons, A.J. Martin, D.H. Saxon	(OXF, BRIS+)
WEIR	90	PL B240 289	A.J. Weir <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
BRAUNSCH	89B	ZPHY C44 1	R. Braunschweig et al.	(TASSO Collab.)
ONG	89	PRL 62 1236	R.A. Ong et al.	(Mark II Collab.)
BAND	88	PL B200 221	H.R. Band et al.	(MAC Collab.)

PR D37 41 PRL 60 2587 PL B186 247 PRL 58 640 ZPHY C33 339 PL B195 301 PR D33 2708 ZPHY C27 39 PL 163B 277 PL 160B 188 ZPHY C22 219 PL 146B 443 PRL 52 970 PRL 50 799 PRL 51 443 PL 132B 241 PRL 50 2054 PL 129B 141 PRL 50 1542	D.E. Klem et al. R.A. Ong et al. C. Albajar et al. W.W. Ash et al. W. Bartel et al. J.M. Brom et al. T. Pal et al. H. Aihara et al. W. Bartel et al. T. Schaad et al. M. Althoff et al. M. Althoff et al. B. Adeva et al. B. Adeva et al. W. Bartel et al. C. Matteuzzi et al. M.E. Nelson et al.	(DELCO Collab.) (Mark II Collab.) (UA1 Collab.) (MAC Collab.) (JADE Collab.) (DELCO Collab.) (JADE Collab.) (JADE Collab.) (TASSO Collab.) (TASSO Collab.) (TASSO Collab.) (Mark-J Collab.) (Mark-J Collab.) (Mark-J Collab.) (MAC Collab.) (MAC Collab.) (MAC Collab.)
88 88 87C 87 87 86 85 85 85 84G 84J 84 83 83B 83B 83B 83B	88 PRL 60 2587 87C PL B186 247 87 PRL 58 640 87 ZPHY C33 339 87 PL B195 301 86 PR D33 2708 85 ZPHY C27 39 85J PL 163B 277 85 PL 160B 188 84G ZPHY C22 219 84J PL 146B 443 84 PRL 52 970 83 PRL 50 799 83B PRL 51 443 83B PL 132B 241 83D PRL 50 2054 83 PL 129B 141	88 PRL 60 2587 R.A. Ong et al. 87C PL B186 247 C. Albajar et al. 87 PRL 58 640 W.W. Ash et al. 87 ZPHY C33 339 W. Bartel et al. 87 PL B195 301 J.M. Brom et al. 86 PR D33 2708 T. Pal et al. 85 ZPHY C27 39 H. Aihara et al. 85 PL 163B 277 W. Bartel et al. 85 PL 160B 188 T. Schaad et al. 84G ZPHY C22 219 M. Althoff et al. 84J PL 146B 443 M. Althoff et al. 84 PRL 52 970 D.E. Koop et al. 83 PRL 50 799 B. Adeva et al. 83B PRL 51 443 B. Adeva et al. 83B PRL 50 2054 E. Fernandez et al. 83D PRL 50 2054 E. Fernandez et al. 83 PL 129B 141 C. Matteuzzi et al.
	PRL 60 2587 PL B186 247 PRL 58 640 ZPHY C33 339 PL B195 301 PR D33 2708 ZPHY C27 39 PL 163B 277 PL 160B 188 ZPHY C22 219 PL 146B 443 PRL 52 970 PRL 50 799 PRL 51 443 PL 132B 241 PRL 50 2054 PL 129B 141	PRL 60 2587