$X(10650)^{\pm}$

$I^G(J^P) = ?^+(1^+)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Observed by BONDAR 12 in $\Upsilon(5S)$ decays to $\Upsilon(\mathrm{nS})\pi^+\pi^-$ (n = 1, 2, 3) and $h_b(\mathrm{mP})\pi^+\pi^-$ (m = 1, 2). $J^P = 1^+$ is favored from angular analyses.

$X(10650)^{\pm}$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10652.2±1.5	[1] BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$10656.7 \pm 5.0^{+1.1}_{-3.1}$	[2] GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$10650.7 \pm 1.5^{+0.5}_{-0.2}$	[2] GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$10651.2 \pm 1.0^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	[2] GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10657 ±6 ±3	[3] BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10651 ±2 ±3	[3] BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10652 ±1 ±2	[3] BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$10654 \pm 3 \ ^{+1}_{-2}$	[3] BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$
$10651 \ ^{+2}_{-3} \ ^{+3}_{-2}$	[3] BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Average of the BONDAR 12 measurements in separate channels.
[2] Correlated with the corresponding result from BONDAR 12.
[3] Superseded by the average measurement of BONDAR 12.

$X(10650)^{\pm}$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
11.5± 2.2	[4] BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$12.1 \ ^{+11.3}_{-\ 4.8} \pm \ 2.7 \pm \ 0.6$	[5] GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$14.2 \pm \ 3.7 \ ^{+\ 0.9}_{-\ 0.4}$	[5] GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$9.3 \pm \ 2.2 \ ^{+\ 0.3}_{-\ 0.5}$	[5] GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$16.3 \pm \ 9.8 \ ^{+\ 6.0}_{-\ 2.0}$	[6] BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$13.3 \pm \ 3.3 \ ^{+\ 4.0}_{-\ 3.0}$	[6] BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
8.4± 2.0± 2.0	[6] BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$20.9 \ ^{+\ 5.4}_{-\ 4.7} \pm \ 2.1 \pm \ 5.7$	[6] BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$
$19 \pm 7 \ ^{+11}_{-\ 7}$	[6] BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$

[4] Average of the BONDAR 12 measurements in separate channels.
[5] Correlated with the corresponding result from BONDAR 12.
[6] Superseded by the average measurement of BONDAR 12.

$X(10650)^+$ DECAY MODES

$X(10650)^-$ decay modes are charge conjugates of the modes below.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+$	$(1.7^{+0.8}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_2	$\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+$	$(1.4^{+0.6}_{-0.4})$ %
Γ_3	$\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+$	$(1.6^{+0.7}_{-0.5})$ %
Γ_4	$h_b(1P)\pi^+$	$(8.4^{+2.9}_{-2.4})$ %
Γ_5	$h_b(2P)\pi^+$	(15 $\pm$4) %
Γ_6	$B^+\overline{B}^0$	not seen
Γ_7	$B^+\overline{B}^{*0} + B^{*+}\overline{B}^0$	not seen
Γ_8	$B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$	(74 $^{+4}_{-6}$) %

$X(10650)^\pm$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
$\mathbf{1.7^{+0.7+0.3}_{-0.6-0.2}}$	[7] GARMASH	16	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \pi^- B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$
seen	BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$

[7] Assuming the $X(10650)^\pm$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
$\mathbf{1.39^{+0.48+0.34}_{-0.38-0.23}}$	[8] GARMASH	16		$e^+e^- \to \pi^- B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
seen	BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$

[8] Assuming the $X(10650)^\pm$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
$\mathbf{1.63^{+0.53+0.39}_{-0.42-0.28}}$	[9] GARMASH	16	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \pi^- B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	GARMASH	15	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
seen	BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$

[9] Assuming the $X(10650)^{\pm}$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.

$\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{8.41^{+2.43+1.49}_{-2.12-1.06}}$	[10] GARMASH	16	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \pi^- B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	[11] MIZUK	16	BELL	$e^+e^- \to h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$
seen	[12] BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$

[10] Assuming the $X(10650)^{\pm}$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.
[11] Using e^+e^- energies near the $\Upsilon(11020)$.
[12] Using e^+e^- energies near the $\Upsilon(10860)$.

$\Gamma(h_b(2P)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{14.7^{+3.2+2.8}_{-2.8-2.3}}$	[13] GARMASH	16	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \pi^- B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
possibly seen	[14] MIZUK	16	BELL	$e^+e^- \to h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$
seen	[15] BONDAR	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \to h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$

[13] Assuming the $X(10650)^{\pm}$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16.
[14] Using e^+e^- energies near the $\Upsilon(11020)$.
[15] Using e^+e^- energies near the $\Upsilon(10860)$.

$\Gamma(B^+\overline{B}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
not seen	GARMASH	16	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \pi^- B^+\overline{B}^0$

$[\Gamma(B^+\overline{B}^{*0}) + \Gamma(B^{*+}\overline{B}^0)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
not seen	GARMASH	16	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \pi^- B^+\overline{B}^{*0}$, $\pi^-\overline{B}^0 B^{*+}$

$\Gamma(B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{73.7^{+3.4+2.7}_{-4.4-3.5}}$	161	[16] GARMASH	16	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \pi^- B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$

[16] Assuming the $X(10650)^{\pm}$ decay width is saturated by the channels $\pi^+ \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P)$, and $B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$, and using the results from BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16. Using the mass and width of the $X(10650)^{\pm}$ from BONDAR 12.

$\Gamma(B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0})/[\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+)+\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+)+\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+)+\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+)+\Gamma(h_b(2P)\pi^+)]$ **$\Gamma_8/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)$**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$2.80^{+0.69}_{-0.40}{}^{+0.54}_{-0.36}$	161	[17] GARMASH	16	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \pi^- B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$

[17] Combined with the results of BONDAR 12 and MIZUK 16. Not independent from $X(10650)^{\pm}$ branching fractions to $\pi^+\,\Upsilon(1S,2S,3S)$, $\pi^+ h_b(1P,2P)$, and $B^{*+}\overline{B}^{*0}$.

$X(10650)^{\pm}$ REFERENCES

GARMASH	16	PRL 116 212001	A. Garmash *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
MIZUK	16	PRL 117 142001	R. Mizuk *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
GARMASH	15	PR D91 072003	A. Garmash *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
BONDAR	12	PRL 108 122001	A. Bondar *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)