

**$\Sigma(1670)$  Bumps** $I(J^P) = 1(?)$ 

## OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Formation experiments are listed separately in the preceding entry.

Probably there are two states at the same mass with the same quantum numbers, one decaying to  $\Sigma\pi$  and  $\Lambda\pi$ , the other to  $\Lambda(1405)\pi$ .

See the note in front of the preceding entry.

 **$\Sigma(1670)$  MASS  
(PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS)**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b><math>\approx 1670</math> OUR ESTIMATE</b>					
1670 $\pm$ 4		1 CARROLL	76	DPWA	Isospin-1 total $\sigma$
1675 $\pm$ 10		2 HEPP	76	DBC	$K^- N$ 1.6–1.75 GeV/c
1665 $\pm$ 1		APSELL	74	HBC	$K^- p$ 2.87 GeV/c
1688 $\pm$ 2 or 1683 $\pm$ 5	1.2k	BERTHON	74	HBC	Quasi-2-body $\sigma$
1670 $\pm$ 6		AGUILAR-...	70B	HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi\pi$ 4 GeV
1668 $\pm$ 10		AGUILAR-...	70B	HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma 3\pi$ 4 GeV
1660 $\pm$ 10		ALVAREZ	63	HBC	$K^- p$ 1.51 GeV/c
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1668 $\pm$ 10	150	3 FERRERSORIA81	OMEG	–	$\pi^- p$ 9,12 GeV/c
1655 to 1677		TIMMERMAN876	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
1665 $\pm$ 5		BUGG	68	CNTR	$K^- p, d$ total $\sigma$
1661 $\pm$ 9	70	PRIMER	68	HBC	See BARNES 69E
1685		ALEXANDER	62C	HBC	$\pi^- p$ 2–2.2 GeV/c

 **$\Sigma(1670)$  WIDTH  
(PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS)**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
67.0 $\pm$ 2.4					
110 $\pm$ 12		APSELL	74	HBC	$K^- p$ 2.87 GeV/c
135 $\pm$ 40		AGUILAR-...	70B	HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi\pi$ 4 GeV
$-30$		AGUILAR-...	70B	HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma 3\pi$ 4 GeV
40 $\pm$ 10		ALVAREZ	63	HBC	+
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
90 $\pm$ 20	150	3 FERRERSORIA81	OMEG	–	$\pi^- p$ 9,12 GeV/c
52		1 CARROLL	76	DPWA	Isospin-1 total $\sigma$
48 to 63		TIMMERMAN876	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
30 $\pm$ 15		BUGG	68	CNTR	
60 $\pm$ 20	70	PRIMER	68	HBC	+
45		ALEXANDER	62C	HBC	$\pi^- p$ 2–2.2 GeV/c

## **$\Sigma(1670)$ DECAY MODES (PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS)**

Mode	
$\Gamma_1$	$N\bar{K}$
$\Gamma_2$	$\Lambda\pi$
$\Gamma_3$	$\Sigma\pi$
$\Gamma_4$	$\Lambda\pi\pi$
$\Gamma_5$	$\Sigma\pi\pi$
$\Gamma_6$	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$
$\Gamma_7$	$\Lambda(1405)\pi$

## **$\Sigma(1670)$ BRANCHING RATIOS (PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS)**

$\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)$		$\Gamma_1/\Gamma_3$			
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.03		TIMMERMANS76	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
<0.10		BERTHON 74	HBC	0	Quasi-2-body $\sigma$
<0.2		AGUILAR-...	70B	HBC	
<0.26		BARNES 69E	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 3.9–5 GeV/c
0.025		BUGG 68	CNTR	0	Assuming $J = 3/2$
<0.24	0	PRIMER 68	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 4.6–5 GeV/c
<0.6		LONDON 66	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 2.25 GeV/c
<0.19	0	ALVAREZ 63	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 1.15 GeV/c
$\geq 0.5 \pm 0.25$		SMITH 63	HBC	-0	

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)$		$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_3$			
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
$0.76 \pm 0.09$		ESTES 74	HBC	0	$K^- p$ 2.1,2.6 GeV/c
$0.45 \pm 0.15$		BARNES 69E	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 3.9–5 GeV/c
$0.15 \pm 0.07$		HUWE 69	HBC	+	
$0.11 \pm 0.06$	33	BUTTON-...	68	HBC	+
					$K^- p$ 1.7 GeV/c

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$\leq 0.45 \pm 0.07$		TIMMERMANS76	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
$0.55 \pm 0.11$		BERTHON 74	HBC	0	Quasi-2-body $\sigma$
0	0	PRIMER 68	HBC	+	See BARNES 69E
<0.6		LONDON 66	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 2.25 GeV/c
1.2	130	ALVAREZ 63	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 1.15 GeV/c
1.2		SMITH 63	HBC	-0	

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)$		$\Gamma_4/\Gamma_3$			
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.6		LONDON 66	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 2.25 GeV/c
0.56	90	ALVAREZ 63	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 1.15 GeV/c
0.17		SMITH 63	HBC	-0	

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_5/\Gamma_3$
largest at small angles		ESTES	74	HBC	0	$K^- p$ 2.1,2.6 GeV/c
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>						
<0.2		<sup>2</sup> HEPP	76	DBC	—	$K^- N$ 1.6–1.75 GeV/c
0.56	180	ALVAREZ	63	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 1.15 GeV/c

 $\Gamma(\Lambda(1405)\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_3$
$1.8 \pm 0.3$ to $0.02 \pm 0.07$		3,4 TIMMERMANS76	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c	
<b>largest at small angles</b>						
3.0 ±1.6	50	LONDON	66	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 2.25 GeV/c
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>						
0.58±0.20	17	PRIMER	68	HBC	+	See BARNES 69E

 $\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi\pi)$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_5$
varies with prod. angle					
1.39±0.16	5 APSELL	74	+	$K^- p$ 2.87 GeV/c	
2.5 to 0.24	BERTHON	74	0	Quasi-2-body $\sigma$	
<0.4	<sup>4</sup> EBERHARD	69	HBC	$K^- p$ 2.6 GeV/c	
0.30±0.15	BIRMINGHAM	66	HBC	$K^- p$ 3.5 GeV/c	
	LONDON	66	HBC	$K^- p$ 2.25 GeV/c	

 $\Gamma(\Lambda(1405)\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi\pi)$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_5$
0.97±0.08	TIMMERMANS76	HBC		$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c	
1.00±0.02	APSELL	74	HBC	$K^- p$ 2.87 GeV/c	
$0.90^{+0.10}_{-0.16}$	EBERHARD	65	HBC	$K^- p$ 2.45 GeV/c	

 $\Gamma(\Lambda(1405)\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi)$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_6$
<0.8	EBERHARD	65	HBC	$K^- p$ 2.45 GeV/c	

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi\pi)$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_4/\Gamma_5$
0.35±0.2	BIRMINGHAM	66	HBC	$K^- p$ 3.5 GeV/c	

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi\pi)$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_5$
<0.2	BIRMINGHAM	66	HBC	$K^- p$ 3.5 GeV/c	

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\pi)/[\Gamma(\Lambda\pi) + \Gamma(\Sigma\pi)]$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3)$
<0.6	AGUILAR-...	70B	HBC		

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)$	$\Gamma_6/\Gamma_3$		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\leq 0.21 \pm 0.05$	TIMMERMANS76	HBC	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

## $\Sigma(1670)$ QUANTUM NUMBERS (PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS)

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
$J^P = 3/2^-$	400	BUTTON-...	68	HBC	$\pm$ $\Sigma^0\pi$
$J^P = 3/2^-$		EBERHARD	67	HBC	$+$ $\Lambda(1405)\pi$
$J^P = 3/2^+$		LEVEQUE	65	HBC	$\Lambda(1405)\pi$

## $\Sigma(1670)$ FOOTNOTES

<sup>1</sup> Total cross-section bump with  $(J+1/2) \Gamma_{\text{el}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} = 0.23$ .

<sup>2</sup> Enhancements in  $\Sigma\pi$  and  $\Sigma\pi\pi$  cross sections.

<sup>3</sup> Backward production in the  $\Lambda\pi^- K^+$  final state.

<sup>4</sup> Depending on production angle.

<sup>5</sup> APSELL 74, ESTES 74, and TIMMERMANS 76 find strong branching ratio dependence on production angle, as in earlier production experiments.

## $\Sigma(1670)$ REFERENCES (PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS)

FERRERSORIA 81	NP B178 373	A. Ferrer Soria <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, EPOL+)
CARROLL 76	PRL 37 806	A.S. Carroll <i>et al.</i>	(BNL) I
HEPP 76	NP B115 82	V. Hepp <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEID, MPIM) I
TIMMERMANS 76	NP B112 77	J.J.M. Timmermans <i>et al.</i>	(NIJM, CERN+) JP
APSELL 74	PR D10 1419	S.P. Apsell <i>et al.</i>	(BRAN, UMD, SYRA+) I
BERTHON 74	NC 21A 146	A. Berthon <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, RHEL, SACL+)
ESTES 74	Thesis LBL-3827	R.D. Estes	(LBL)
AGUILAR-... 70B	PRL 25 58	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA)
BARNES 69E	BNL 13823	V.E. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA)
EBERHARD 69	PRL 22 200	P.H. Eberhard <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
HUWE 69	PR 181 1824	D.O. Huwe	(LRL)
BUGG 68	PR 168 1466	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, BIRM, CAVE) I
BUTTON-... 68	PRL 21 1123	J. Button-Shafer	(MASA, LRL) JP
PRIMER 68	PRL 20 610	M. Primer <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA, BNL)
EBERHARD 67	PR 163 1446	P. Eberhard <i>et al.</i>	(LRL, ILL) IJP
BIRMINGHAM 66	PR 152 1148	M. Haque <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, GLAS, LOIC, OXF+)
LONDON 66	PR 143 1034	G.W. London <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA) IJ
EBERHARD 65	PRL 14 466	P.H. Eberhard <i>et al.</i>	(LRL, ILL) I
LEVEQUE 65	PL 18 69	A. Leveque <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, EPOL, GLAS+) JP
ALVAREZ 63	PRL 10 184	L.W. Alvarez <i>et al.</i>	(LRL) I
SMITH 63	Athens Conf. 67	G.A. Smith	(LRL)
ALEXANDER 62C	CERN Conf. 320	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(LRL) I