

$\Upsilon(10860)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$ **$\Upsilon(10860)$ MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

10889.9 ± 3.2 OUR AVERAGE

$10884.7 \pm 3.6 \pm 8.9$	¹ MIZUK	16	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P, 2P)\pi^+ \pi^-$
$10891.1 \pm 3.2 \pm 1.2$	² SANTEL	16	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$10881.8 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.2$	^{3,4} SANTEL	16	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
10879 ± 3	^{5,6} CHEN	10	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
$10888.4 \pm 2.7 \pm 1.2$	⁷ CHEN	10	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+ \pi^-$
10876 ± 2	⁵ AUBERT	09E	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
10869 ± 2	⁸ AUBERT	09E	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
$10868 \pm 6 \pm 5$	⁹ BESSON	85	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
10845 ± 20	¹⁰ LOVELOCK	85	CUSB	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ From a simultaneous fit to the $h_b(nP)\pi^+ \pi^-$, $n = 1, 2$ cross sections at 22 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.77\text{--}11.02$ GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with eight resonance parameters (a mass and width for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, a single relative phase, a single relative amplitude, and two overall normalization factors, one for each n). The systematic error estimate is dominated by possible interference with a small nonresonant continuum amplitude.

² From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+ \pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$ cross sections at 25 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$ GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with fourteen resonance parameters (a mass, width, and three amplitudes for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, a single universal relative phase, and three decoherence coefficients, one for each n). Continuum contributions were measured (and therefore fixed) to be zero.

³ From a fit to the total hadronic cross sections measured at 60 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.82\text{--}11.05$ GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes and two floating continuum amplitudes with $1/\sqrt{s}$ dependence, one coherent with the resonances and one incoherent, with six resonance parameters (a mass, width, and an amplitude for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, one relative phase, and one decoherence coefficient).

⁴ Not including uncertain and potentially large systematic errors due to assumed continuum amplitude $1/\sqrt{s}$ dependence and related interference contributions.

⁵ In a model where a flat non-resonant $b\bar{b}$ -continuum is incoherently added to a second flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

⁶ The parameters of the $\Upsilon(11020)$ are fixed to those in AUBERT 09E.

⁷ In a model where a flat nonresonant $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+ \pi^-$ continuum interferes with a single Breit-Wigner resonance.

⁸ In a model where a non-resonant $b\bar{b}$ -continuum represented by a threshold function at $\sqrt{s}=2m_B$ is incoherently added to a flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Not independent of other AUBERT 09E results. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

⁹ Assuming four Gaussians with radiative tails and a single step in R .

¹⁰ In a coupled-channel model with three resonances and a smooth step in R .

$\Upsilon(10860)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
51 \pm 6 OUR AVERAGE			
40.6 \pm 12.7 \pm 1.1 8.0 – 19.1	11 MIZUK	16 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P, 2P)\pi^+\pi^-$
53.7 \pm 7.1 \pm 1.3 5.6 – 5.4	12 SANTEL	16 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
48.5 \pm 1.9 \pm 2.0 1.8 – 2.8	13,14 SANTEL	16 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
46 \pm 9 — 7	15,16 CHEN	10 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
30.7 \pm 8.3 \pm 3.1 7.0 – 3.1	17 CHEN	10 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
43 \pm 4	15 AUBERT	09E BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
74 \pm 4	18 AUBERT	09E BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
112 \pm 17 \pm 23	19 BESSON	85 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
110 \pm 15	20 LOVELOCK	85 CUSB	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
11 From a simultaneous fit to the $h_b(nP)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2$ cross sections at 22 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.77\text{--}11.02$ GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with eight resonance parameters (a mass and width for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, a single relative phase, a single relative amplitude, and two overall normalization factors, one for each n). The systematic error estimate is dominated by possible interference with a small nonresonant continuum amplitude.			
12 From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, $n = 1, 2, 3$ cross sections at 25 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$ GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with fourteen resonance parameters (a mass, width, and three amplitudes for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, a single universal relative phase, and three decoherence coefficients, one for each n). Continuum contributions were measured (and therefore fixed) to be zero.			
13 From a fit to the total hadronic cross sections measured at 60 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.82\text{--}11.05$ GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes and two floating continuum amplitudes with $1/\sqrt{s}$ dependence, one coherent with the resonances and one incoherent, with six resonance parameters (a mass, width, and an amplitude for each of $\Upsilon(10860)$ and $\Upsilon(11020)$, one relative phase, and one decoherence coefficient).			
14 Not including uncertain and potentially large systematic errors due to assumed continuum amplitude $1/\sqrt{s}$ dependence and related interference contributions.			
15 In a model where a flat non-resonant $b\bar{b}$ -continuum is incoherently added to a second flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.			
16 The parameters of the $\Upsilon(11020)$ are fixed to those in AUBERT 09E.			
17 In a model where a flat nonresonant $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$ continuum interferes with a single Breit-Wigner resonance.			
18 In a model where a non-resonant $b\bar{b}$ -continuum represented by a threshold function at $\sqrt{s}=2m_B$ is incoherently added to a flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Not independent of other AUBERT 09E results. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.			
19 Assuming four Gaussians with radiative tails and a single step in R .			
20 In a coupled-channel model with three resonances and a smooth step in R .			

$\Upsilon(10860)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 B\bar{B}X$	(76.2 $^{+2.7}_{-4.0}$) %	
$\Gamma_2 B\bar{B}$	(5.5 ± 1.0) %	
$\Gamma_3 B\bar{B}^* + \text{c.c.}$	(13.7 ± 1.6) %	
$\Gamma_4 B^*\bar{B}^*$	(38.1 ± 3.4) %	
$\Gamma_5 B\bar{B}^{(*)}\pi$	< 19.7 %	90%
$\Gamma_6 B\bar{B}\pi$	(0.0 ± 1.2) %	
$\Gamma_7 B^*\bar{B}\pi + B\bar{B}^*\pi$	(7.3 ± 2.3) %	
$\Gamma_8 B^*\bar{B}^*\pi$	(1.0 ± 1.4) %	
$\Gamma_9 B\bar{B}\pi\pi$	< 8.9 %	90%
$\Gamma_{10} B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$	(20.1 ± 3.1) %	
$\Gamma_{11} B_s\bar{B}_s$	(5 ± 5) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{12} B_s\bar{B}_s^* + \text{c.c.}$	(1.35 ± 0.32) %	
$\Gamma_{13} B_s^*\bar{B}_s^*$	(17.6 ± 2.7) %	
Γ_{14} no open-bottom	(3.8 $^{+5.0}_{-0.5}$) %	
$\Gamma_{15} e^+e^-$	(6.1 ± 1.6) $\times 10^{-6}$	
$\Gamma_{16} K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0$	< 1.0 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{17} \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	(5.3 ± 0.6) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{18} \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	(7.8 ± 1.3) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{19} \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$	(4.8 $^{+1.9}_{-1.7}$) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{20} \Upsilon(1S)K^+K^-$	(6.1 ± 1.8) $\times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{21} h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$	(3.5 $^{+1.0}_{-1.3}$) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{22} h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$	(5.7 $^{+1.7}_{-2.1}$) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{23} \chi_{b0}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	< 6.3 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{24} \chi_{b0}(1P)\omega$	< 3.9 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{25} \chi_{b0}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega}$	< 4.8 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{26} \chi_{b1}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(1.85 ± 0.33) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{27} \chi_{b1}(1P)\omega$	(1.57 ± 0.30) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{28} \chi_{b1}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega}$	(5.2 ± 1.9) $\times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{29} \chi_{b2}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(1.17 ± 0.30) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{30} \chi_{b2}(1P)\omega$	(6.0 ± 2.7) $\times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{31} \chi_{b2}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega}$	(6 ± 4) $\times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{32} \gamma X_b \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)\omega$	< 3.8 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%

Inclusive Decays.

These decay modes are submodes of one or more of the decay modes above.

$\Gamma_{33} \phi$ anything	(13.8 $^{+2.4}_{-1.7}$) %
-----------------------------	-----------------------------

Γ_{34}	D^0 anything + c.c.	(108 ± 8) %
Γ_{35}	D_s anything + c.c.	(46 ± 6) %
Γ_{36}	J/ψ anything	(2.06 ± 0.21) %
Γ_{37}	B^0 anything + c.c.	(77 ± 8) %
Γ_{38}	B^+ anything + c.c.	(72 ± 6) %

$\Upsilon(10860)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$

Γ_{15}

<u>VALUE</u> (keV)	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.31 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		
0.22 ± 0.05 ± 0.07	BESSON 85	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.365 ± 0.070	LOVELOCK 85	CUSB	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Upsilon(10860)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

“OUR EVALUATION” is obtained based on averages of rescaled data listed below. The averages and rescaling were performed by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFLAV) and are described at <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hflav/>.

$\Gamma(B\bar{B}X)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_1/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.762 ± 0.027 OUR EVALUATION				
0.71 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE				
0.737 ± 0.032 ± 0.051	1063	21 DRUTSKOY	10	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^+ X, B^0 X$
0.589 ± 0.100 ± 0.092		22 HUANG	07	CLEO $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B\bar{B})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_2/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-2})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
5.5 $\pm 1.0 \pm 0.4$		23 DRUTSKOY	10	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^+ X, B^0 X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<13.8	90	22 HUANG	07	CLEO $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B\bar{B})/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X)$

Γ_2/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.22	90	AQUINES	06	CLE3 $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B\bar{B}^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_3/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.137 ± 0.016 OUR AVERAGE			
0.137 ± 0.013 ± 0.011	23 DRUTSKOY	10	BELL $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^+ X, B^0 X$
0.143 ± 0.053 ± 0.027	22 HUANG	07	CLEO $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B\bar{B}^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X)$

Γ_3/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.24 $\pm 0.09 \pm 0.03$	10	AQUINES	06	CLE3 $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B^*\bar{B}^*)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

0.381 \pm 0.034 OUR AVERAGE

0.375 $^{+0.021}_{-0.019}\pm 0.030$

0.436 $\pm 0.083\pm 0.072$

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	---------

23 DRUTSKOY 10 BELL $\gamma(5S)\rightarrow B^+X, B^0X$

22 HUANG 07 CLEO $\gamma(5S)\rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 Γ_4/Γ $\Gamma(B^*\bar{B}^*)/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X)$

VALUE	EVTS
-------	------

0.74 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.08 31

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	---------

AQUINES 06 CLE3 $\gamma(5S)\rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 Γ_4/Γ_1 $\Gamma(B\bar{B}(\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%
-------	-----

<0.197 90

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	---------

22 HUANG 07 CLEO $\gamma(5S)\rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 Γ_5/Γ $\Gamma(B\bar{B}(\pi)/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X)$

VALUE	CL%
-------	-----

<0.32 90

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	---------

AQUINES 06 CLE3 $\gamma(5S)\rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 Γ_5/Γ_1 $\Gamma(B\bar{B}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS
--------------------------	------

0.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.3 0

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	---------

23 DRUTSKOY 10 BELL $\gamma(5S)\rightarrow B^{+,0}\pi^-X$

 Γ_6/Γ $[\Gamma(B^*\bar{B}\pi) + \Gamma(B\bar{B}^*\pi)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS
--------------------------	------

7.3 $^{+2.3}_{-2.1}\pm 0.8$ 38

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	---------

23 DRUTSKOY 10 BELL $\gamma(5S)\rightarrow B^{+,0}\pi^-X$

 Γ_7/Γ $\Gamma(B^*\bar{B}^*\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS
--------------------------	------

1.0 $^{+1.4}_{-1.3}\pm 0.4$ 5

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	---------

23 DRUTSKOY 10 BELL $\gamma(5S)\rightarrow B^{+,0}\pi^-X$

 Γ_8/Γ $\Gamma(B\bar{B}\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%
-------	-----

<0.089 90

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	---------

22 HUANG 07 CLEO $\gamma(5S)\rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 Γ_9/Γ $\Gamma(B\bar{B}\pi\pi)/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X)$

VALUE	CL%
-------	-----

<0.14 90

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	---------

AQUINES 06 CLE3 $\gamma(5S)\rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 Γ_9/Γ_1 $\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

0.201 $^{+0.030}_{-0.031}$ OUR EVALUATION

0.189 $^{+0.027}_{-0.021}$ OUR AVERAGE

0.172 ± 0.030

24 ESEN 13 BELL $\gamma(5S)\rightarrow D^0X, D_sX$

0.21 $^{+0.06}_{-0.03}$

25 HUANG 07 CLEO $\gamma(5S)\rightarrow D_sX$

 $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma = (\Gamma_{11}+\Gamma_{12}+\Gamma_{13})/\Gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.180 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.032$	²⁶ DRUTSKOY	07	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow D^0 X, D_s X$
$0.160 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.058$	²⁷ ARTUSO	05B	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_X X$

$\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X)$	Γ_{10}/Γ_1
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>

$0.264^{+0.052}_{-0.045}$ OUR EVALUATION

$\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})/\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})$	$\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma_{10} = \Gamma_{13}/(\Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{13})$			
<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
87.8 ± 1.5 OUR AVERAGE				
87.0 ± 1.7	28,29	ESEN	13	BELL $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+$
$90.5 \pm 3.2 \pm 0.1$	227	29,30 LI	12	BELL $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \eta(l)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$90.1^{+3.8}_{-4.0} \pm 0.2$	31	LOUVOT	09	BELL $10.86 e^+ e^- \rightarrow B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$
$93^{+7}_{-9} \pm 1$	31	DRUTSKOY	07A	BELL Superseded by LOUVOT 09

$\Gamma(B_s \bar{B}_s)/\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})$	$\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_{10} = \Gamma_{11}/(\Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{13})$		
<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$2.6^{+2.6}_{-2.5}$	LOUVOT	09	BELL $10.86 e^+ e^- \rightarrow B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$

$\Gamma(B_s \bar{B}_s)/\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})$	Γ_{11}/Γ_{13}			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.16	90	BONVICINI	06	CLE3 $e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(B_s \bar{B}_s^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})$	$\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma_{10} = \Gamma_{12}/(\Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{13})$			
<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
6.7 ± 1.2 OUR AVERAGE				
7.3 ± 1.4	28,29	ESEN	13	BELL $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+$
$4.9 \pm 2.5 \pm 0.0$	227	29,30 LI	12	BELL $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \eta(l)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$7.3^{+3.3}_{-3.0} \pm 0.1$	LOUVOT	09	BELL $10.86 e^+ e^- \rightarrow B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$	

$\Gamma(B_s \bar{B}_s^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})$	Γ_{12}/Γ_{13}			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.16	90	BONVICINI	06	CLE3 $e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\text{no open-bottom})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_{14}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
$0.038^{+0.051}_{-0.005}$ OUR EVALUATION	

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	SHEN	13A BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0$

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$5.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5$	325	32 CHEN	08 BELL	$10.87 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$7.8 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.1$	186	32 CHEN	08 BELL	$10.87 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$4.8^{+1.8}_{-1.5} \pm 0.7$	10	32 CHEN	08 BELL	$10.87 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$6.1^{+1.6}_{-1.4} \pm 1.0$	20	32 CHEN	08 BELL	$10.87 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)K^+K^-$

 $\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{21}/Γ_{18}

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.45 \pm 0.08^{+0.07}_{-0.12}$	ADACHI	12 BELL	$10.86 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{22}/Γ_{18}

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.77 \pm 0.08^{+0.22}_{-0.17}$	ADACHI	12 BELL	$10.86 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_{21}/Γ_{22}

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.616 \pm 0.052 \pm 0.017$	MIZUK	16 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P, 2P)\pi^+\pi^-$

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<6.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90	33 HE	14 BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<3.9 \times 10^{-3}$	90	33 HE	14 BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<4.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	33 HE	14 BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

$\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$1.85 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.23$	80	33 HE	14	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$1.57 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.21$	60	33 HE	14	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.52 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.11$	24	33 HE	14	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$1.17 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.14$	29	33 HE	14	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.60 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.15$	13	33 HE	14	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)\omega)/\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)\omega)$ Γ_{30}/Γ_{27}

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.38 $\pm 0.16 \pm 0.09$	34 HE	14	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{31}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.61 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.28$	16	33 HE	14	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega})/\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega})$ Γ_{31}/Γ_{28}

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1.20 $\pm 0.55 \pm 0.65$	34 HE	14	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

 $\Gamma(\gamma X_b \rightarrow \gamma \gamma(1S)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{32}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<3.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	35 HE	14	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

 $\Gamma(\phi \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{33}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.138 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.023$	HUANG	07	CLEO $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \phi X$

 $\Gamma(D^0 \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{34}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$1.076 \pm 0.040 \pm 0.068$	DRUTSKOY	07	BELL $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow D^0 X$

$\Gamma(D_s \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{35}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.46 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE					
0.472 ± 0.024 ± 0.072	26 DRUTSKOY	07	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow D_s X$	
0.44 ± 0.09 ± 0.04	36 ARTUSO	05B	CLE3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_X X$	
$\Gamma(J/\psi \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{36}/Γ
<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2.060 ± 0.160 ± 0.134	DRUTSKOY	07	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$	
$\Gamma(B^0 \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{37}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.770^{+0.058}_{-0.056} ± 0.061	352	DRUTSKOY	10	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow B^0 X$
$\Gamma(B^+ \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{38}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.721^{+0.039}_{-0.038} ± 0.050	711	DRUTSKOY	10	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow B^+ X$
21 Not independent of DRUTSKOY 10 values for $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow B^{\pm,0}$ anything.					
22 Using measurements or limits from AQUINES 06.					
23 Assuming isospin conservation.					
24 Supersedes DRUTSKOY 07.					
25 Supersedes ARTUSO 05B. Combining inclusive ϕ , D_s , and B measurements. Using $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 4.4 \pm 0.6\%$ from PDG 06.					
26 Using $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (4.4 \pm 0.6)\%$ from PDG 06.					
27 Uses a model-dependent estimate $B(B_s \rightarrow D_s X) = (92 \pm 11)\%$.					
28 Supersedes LOUVOT 09.					
29 With $N(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}) = (7.11 \pm 1.30) \times 10^6$.					
30 The ratios $N(B_s^*\bar{B}_s^*) / N(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})$ and $N(B_s^*\bar{B}_s^0) / N(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})$ are measured with a correlation coefficient of -0.72 .					
31 From a measurement of $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow B_s^*\bar{B}_s^*) / \sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})$ at $\sqrt{s} = 10.86$ GeV.					
32 Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the $\gamma(5S)$ resonance.					
33 Assuming that all the $b\bar{b}$ events are from $\gamma(5S)$ resonance decays and using $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$ nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.					
34 Accounting for correlated systematics.					
35 Assuming that all the $b\bar{b}$ events are from $\gamma(5S)$ resonance decays and using $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$ nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14. For a state X_b with mass between $10.55 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $10.65 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, the obtained 90% upper limit as a function of m_{X_b} varies from 2.6×10^{-5} to 3.8×10^{-5} .					
36 ARTUSO 05B reports $[\Gamma(\gamma(10860) \rightarrow D_s \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+)] = 0.0198 \pm 0.0019 \pm 0.0038$ which we divide by our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (4.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.					

$\Upsilon(10860)$ REFERENCES

MIZUK	16	PRL 117 142001	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SANTEL	16	PR D93 011101	D. Santel <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
HE	14	PRL 113 142001	X.H. He <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ESEN	13	PR D87 031101	S. Esen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SHEN	13A	PR D88 052019	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ADACHI	12	PRL 108 032001	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LI	12	PRL 108 181808	J. Li <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
CHEN	10	PR D82 091106	K.-F. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DRUTSKOY	10	PR D81 112003	A. Drutskoy <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	09E	PRL 102 012001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LOUVOT	09	PRL 102 021801	R. Louvot <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
CHEN	08	PRL 100 112001	K.-F. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DRUTSKOY	07	PRL 98 052001	A. Drutskoy <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DRUTSKOY	07A	PR D76 012002	A. Drutskoy <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
HUANG	07	PR D75 012002	G.S. Huang <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AQUINES	06	PRL 96 152001	O. Aquines <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BONVICINI	06	PRL 96 022002	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ARTUSO	05B	PRL 95 261801	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BESSON	85	PRL 54 381	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LOVELOCK	85	PRL 54 377	D.M.J. Lovelock <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)