


**$D_1(2420)^0$** 
 $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^+)$   
 / needs confirmation.
 **$D_1(2420)^0$  MASS**

The fit includes  $D^\pm$ ,  $D^0$ ,  $D_s^\pm$ ,  $D^{*\pm}$ ,  $D^{*0}$ ,  $D_s^{*\pm}$ ,  $D_1(2420)^0$ ,  $D_2^{*(2460)}{}^0$ , and  $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$  mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2420.8±0.5 OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		
<b>2420.5±0.6 OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.		
2419.6±0.1±0.7	210k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$p p \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
2423.1±1.5 <sup>+0.4</sup> <sub>-1.0</sub>	2.7k	<sup>1</sup> ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \pi^- X$
2420.1±0.1±0.8	103k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
2426 ± 3 ± 1	151	ABE	05A BELL	$B^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$
2421.4±1.5±0.9		<sup>2</sup> ABE	04D BELL	$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$
2421 <sup>+1</sup> <sub>-2</sub> ± 2	286	AVERY	94C CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
2422 ± 2 ± 2	51	FRABETTI	94B E687	$\gamma Be \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
2428 ± 3 ± 2	279	AVERY	90 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
2414 ± 2 ± 5	171	ALBRECHT	89H ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
2428 ± 8 ± 5	171	ANJOS	89C TPS	$\gamma N \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2420.5±2.1±0.9	3110 ± 340	<sup>3</sup> CHEKANOV	09 ZEUS	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
2421.7±0.7±0.6	7.5k	ABULENCIA	06A CDF	$1900 p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$
2425 ± 3	235	<sup>4</sup> ABREU	98M DLPH	$e^+ e^-$

WEIGHTED AVERAGE  
2420.5±0.6 (Error scaled by 1.3)

 $D_1(2420)^0$  mass (MeV)

- <sup>1</sup> From the combined fit of the  $M(D^+\pi^-)$  and  $M(D^{*+}\pi^-)$  distributions. and  $A_{D_2}$  fixed to the theoretical prediction of  $-1$ .
- <sup>2</sup> Fit includes the contribution from  $D_1^*(2430)^0$ .
- <sup>3</sup> Calculated using the mass difference  $m(D_1^0) - m(D^{*+})_{PDG}$  reported below and  $m(D^{*+})_{PDG} = 2010.27 \pm 0.17$  MeV. The 0.17 MeV uncertainty of the PDG mass value should be added to the experimental uncertainty of 0.9 MeV.
- <sup>4</sup> No systematic error given.

### $m_{D_1^0} - m_{D^{*+}}$

The fit includes  $D^\pm$ ,  $D^0$ ,  $D_s^\pm$ ,  $D^{*\pm}$ ,  $D^{*0}$ ,  $D_s^{*\pm}$ ,  $D_1(2420)^0$ ,  $D_2^*(2460)^0$ , and  $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$  mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>410.6 <math>\pm</math> 0.5 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.			
<b>411.5 <math>\pm</math> 0.8 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
410.2 $\pm$ 2.1 $\pm$ 0.9	3110 $\pm$ 340	CHEKANOV 09	ZEUS	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^- X$
411.7 $\pm$ 0.7 $\pm$ 0.4	7.5k	ABULENCIA 06A	CDF	$1900 p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^- X$

### $D_1(2420)^0$ WIDTH


VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>31.7 <math>\pm</math> 2.5 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 3.5. See the ideogram below.			
35.2 $\pm$ 0.4 $\pm$ 0.9	210k	AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$p p \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^- X$
38.8 $\pm$ 5.0 $\pm$ 1.9	2.7k	<sup>1</sup> ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+}\pi^- X$
31.4 $\pm$ 0.5 $\pm$ 1.3	103k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^- X$
20.0 $\pm$ 1.7 $\pm$ 1.3	7.5k	ABULENCIA 06A	CDF	$1900 p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^- X$
24 $\pm$ 7 $\pm$ 8	151	ABE 05A	BELL	$B^- \rightarrow D^0\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$
23.7 $\pm$ 2.7 $\pm$ 4.0		<sup>2</sup> ABE 04D	BELL	$B^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-\pi^-$
20 $\pm$ 6 $\pm$ 3	286	AVERY 94C	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^- X$
15 $\pm$ 8 $\pm$ 4	51	FRABETTI 94B	E687	$\gamma Be \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^- X$
23 $\pm$ 8 $\pm$ 10	279	AVERY 90	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^- X$
13 $\pm$ 6 $\pm$ 10	171	ALBRECHT 89H	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^- X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

53.2 $\pm$ 7.2 $\pm$ 3.3	3110 $\pm$ 340	CHEKANOV 09	ZEUS	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^- X$
58 $\pm$ 14 $\pm$ 10	171	ANJOS 89C	TPS	$\gamma N \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^- X$

<sup>1</sup> From the combined fit of the  $M(D^+\pi^-)$  and  $M(D^{*+}\pi^-)$  distributions. and  $A_{D_2}$  fixed to the theoretical prediction of  $-1$ .

<sup>2</sup> Fit includes the contribution from  $D_1^*(2430)^0$ .



### D<sub>1</sub>(2420)<sup>0</sup> DECAY MODES

$\overline{D}_1(2420)^0$  modes are charge conjugates of modes below.

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 D^*(2010)^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_2 D^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3 D^0 \rho^0$	
$\Gamma_4 D^0 f_0(500)$	
$\Gamma_5 D_0^*(2400)^+ \pi^-$	
$\Gamma_6 D^+ \pi^-$	not seen
$\Gamma_7 D^{*0} \pi^+ \pi^-$	not seen

### D<sub>1</sub>(2420)<sup>0</sup> BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
seen	ACKERSTAFF 97W	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$	
seen	AVERY 90	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$	
seen	ALBRECHT 89H	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^* \pi^- X$	
seen	ANJOS 89C	TPS	$\gamma N \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^- X$	

$\Gamma(D^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+\pi^-)$	$\Gamma_6/\Gamma_1$			
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.24	90	AVERY	90	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^+\pi^-X$

## $D_1(2420)^0$ POLARIZATION AMPLITUDE $A_{D_1}$

A polarization amplitude  $A_{D_1}$  is a parameter that depends on the initial polarization of the  $D_1$  and is sensitive to a possible  $S$ -wave contribution to its decay. For  $D_1$  decays the helicity angle,  $\theta_h$ , distribution varies like  $1 + A_{D_1} \cos^2 \theta_h$ , where  $\theta_h$  is the angle in the  $D^*$  rest frame between the two pions emitted by the  $D_1 \rightarrow D^*\pi$  and the  $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$ .

Unpolarized  $D_1$  decaying purely via  $D$ -wave is predicted to give  $A_{D_1} = 3$ .

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>5.73±0.25 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
7.8 $+6.7$ $+4.6$ -2.7    -1.8	2.7k	<sup>1</sup> ABRAMOWICZ13	ZEUS	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{(*)+}\pi^-X$
5.72±0.25	103k	DEL-AMO-SA..10P	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-X$
5.9 $+3.0$ $+2.4$ -1.7    -1.0		CHEKANOV 09	ZEUS	$e^\pm p \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3.30±0.48	210k	<sup>2</sup> AAIJ	13CC LHCb	$p p \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-X$
3.8 $\pm 0.6$ $\pm 0.8$		<sup>3</sup> AUBERT	09Y BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow D_1^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$
2.74 $+1.40$ -0.93		<sup>4</sup> AVERY	94C CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-X$

<sup>1</sup> From the combined fit of the  $M(D^+\pi^-)$  and  $M(D^{*+}\pi^-)$  distributions. and  $A_{D_2}$  fixed to the theoretical prediction of  $-1$ . A pure  $D$ -wave not excluded although some  $S$ -wave mixing possible.

<sup>2</sup> Systematic uncertainty not estimated. Resonance parameters fixed.

<sup>3</sup> Assuming  $\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^+B^-) / \Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0\bar{B}^0) = 1.065 \pm 0.026$  and equal partial widths and helicity angle distributions for charged and neutral  $D_1$  mesons.

<sup>4</sup> Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

## $D_1(2420)^0$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	13CC	JHEP 1309 145	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABRAMOWICZ	13	NP B866 229	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	10P	PR D82 111101	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09Y	PRL 103 051803	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
CHEKANOV	09	EPJ C60 25	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ABULENCIA	06A	PR D73 051104	A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	05A	PRL 94 221805	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE	04D	PR D69 112002	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABREU	98M	PL B426 231	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97W	ZPHY C76 425	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
AVERY	94C	PL B331 236	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
FRAEBETTI	94B	PRL 72 324	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
AVERY	90	PR D41 774	P. Avery, D. Besson	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	89H	PL B232 398	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ANJOS	89C	PRL 62 1717	J.C. Anjos <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E691 Collab.)