$\omega(1650)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

$\omega(1650)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1670± 30 OUR ESTIMATE					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1671± 6±10	824	[1] AKHMETSHIN	17A	CMD3	1.4–2.0 $e^+e^- \to \omega\eta$
1660± 10	898	[2] ACHASOV	16B	SND	1.34–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \omega\eta$
1680± 10	13.1k	[3] AULCHENKO	15A	SND	1.05–1.80 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1667± 13± 6		AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1645± 8	13	AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega\eta\gamma$
1660± 10± 2		AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
1770± 50±60	1.2M	[4] ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1619± 5		[5] HENNER	02	RVUE	1.2–2.0 $e^+e^- \to \rho\pi$, $\omega\pi\pi$
1700± 20		EUGENIO	01	SPEC	18 $\pi^- p \to \omega\eta n$
1705± 26	612	[6] AKHMETSHIN	00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
1820^{+190}_{-150}		[7] ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1840^{+100}_{-70}		[8] ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
1780^{+170}_{-300}		[9] ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to K^+K^-$
~ 2100		[10] ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$
1606± 9		[11] CLEGG	94	RVUE	
1662± 13	750	[12] ANTONELLI	92	DM2	1.34–2.4$e^+e^- \to \rho\pi$, $\omega\pi\pi$
1670± 20		ATKINSON	83B	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \to 3\pi X$
1657± 13		CORDIER	81	DM1	$e^+e^- \to \omega 2\pi$
1679± 34	21	ESPOSITO	80	FRAM	$e^+e^- \to 3\pi$
1652± 17		COSME	79	OSPK	$e^+e^- \to 3\pi$

[1] From a fit of the interfering $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ with a relative phase of π and other parameters floating.

[2] From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$.

[3] From a fit with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$.

[4] From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

[5] Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

[6] Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

[7] Using data from BARKOV 87, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.

[8] Using the data from ANTONELLI 92.

[9] Using the data from IVANOV 81 and BISELLO 88B.

[10] Using the data from BISELLO 91C.

[11] From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

[12] From the combined fit of the $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ final states.

$\omega(1650)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
315± 35 OUR ESTIMATE					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
113± 9± 10	824	[1] AKHMETSHIN	17A	CMD3	1.4–2.0 $e^+e^- \to \omega\eta$
110± 20	898	[2] ACHASOV	16B	SND	1.34–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \omega\eta$
310± 30	13.1k	[3] AULCHENKO	15A	SND	1.05–1.80 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
222± 25± 20		AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
114± 14	13	AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega\eta\gamma$
230± 30± 20		AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
$490^{+200}_{-150}\pm130$	1.2M	[4] ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
250± 14		[5] HENNER	02	RVUE	1.2–2.0 $e^+e^- \to \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$
250± 50		EUGENIO	01	SPEC	18 $\pi^- p \to \omega\eta n$
370± 25	612	[6] AKHMETSHIN	00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
113± 20		[7] CLEGG	94	RVUE	
280± 24	750	[8] ANTONELLI	92	DM2	1.34–2.4$e^+e^- \to \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$
160± 20		ATKINSON	83B	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \to 3\pi X$
136± 46		CORDIER	81	DM1	$e^+e^- \to \omega 2\pi$
99± 49	21	ESPOSITO	80	FRAM	$e^+e^- \to 3\pi$
42± 17		COSME	79	OSPK	$e^+e^- \to 3\pi$

[1] From a fit of the interfering $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ with a relative phase of π and other parameters floating.
[2] From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$.
[3] From a fit with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$.
[4] From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.
[5] Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.
[6] Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.
[7] From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.
[8] From the combined fit of the $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ final states.

$\omega(1650)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$\rho\pi$	seen
Γ_2	$\omega\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_3	$\omega\eta$	seen
Γ_4	e^+e^-	seen

$\omega(1650)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_4/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.56 ± 0.23	13.1k	[1] AULCHENKO	15A	SND	1.05–1.80 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$1.3 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1$		AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
$1.2 \,^{+0.4}_{-0.1} \pm 0.8$	1.2M	[2,3] ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.921 ± 0.230		[4,5] CLEGG	94	RVUE	
0.479 ± 0.050	750	[6,7] ANTONELLI	92	DM2	1.34–2.4 $e^+e^- \to \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_2/\Gamma \times \Gamma_4/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
7.0 ± 0.5		AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
$4.1 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.3$	1.2M	[2,3] ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
5.40 ± 0.95		[8] AKHMETSHIN	00D	CMD2	1.2–1.38 $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
3.18 ± 0.80		[4,5] CLEGG	94	RVUE	
6.07 ± 0.61	750	[6,7] ANTONELLI	92	DM2	1.34–2.4 $e^+e^- \to \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(\omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_3/\Gamma \times \Gamma_4/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
$4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3$		824	[9] AKHMETSHIN	17A	CMD3	1.4–2.0 $e^+e^- \to \omega\eta$
4.4 ± 0.5		898	[10] ACHASOV	16B	SND	1.34–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \omega\eta$
5.7 ± 0.6		13	AUBERT	06D	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \omega\eta\gamma$
<60	90		[11] AKHMETSHIN	03B	CMD2	$e^+e \to \eta\pi^0\gamma$

[1] From a fit with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$.

[2] Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.

[3] From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

[4] From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

[5] From the partial and leptonic width given by the authors.

[6] From the combined fit of the $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ final states.

[7] From the product of the leptonic width and partial branching ratio given by the authors.

[8] Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

[9] From a fit of the interfering $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ with a relative phase of π and other parameters floating. From an alternative fit $\Gamma(\omega(1650) \to \omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\omega(1650) \to e^+e^-) = 51 \pm 3$ eV.

[10] From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$.

[11] $\omega(1650)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively.

$\omega(1650)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
~ 0.35	1.2M	[1] ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.620 ± 0.014		[2] HENNER	02	RVUE	1.2–2.0 $e^+e^- \to \rho\pi$, $\omega\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
~ 0.65	1.2M	[1] ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.380 ± 0.014		[2] HENNER	02	RVUE	1.2–2.0 $e^+e^- \to \rho\pi$, $\omega\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
~ 18	1.2M	[2,3] ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
32 ± 1		[2] HENNER	02	RVUE	1.2–2.0 $e^+e^- \to \rho\pi$, $\omega\pi\pi$

[1] From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

[2] Assuming that the $\omega(1650)$ decays into $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ only.

[3] Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.

$\omega(1650)$ REFERENCES

AKHMETSHIN	17A	PL B773 150	R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ACHASOV	16B	PR D94 092002	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(SND Collab.)
AULCHENKO	15A	JETP 121 27	V.M. Aulchenko *et al.*	(SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 148 34.		
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	06D	PR D73 052003	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT,B	04N	PR D70 072004	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
ACHASOV	03D	PR D68 052006	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	02E	PR D66 032001	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
HENNER	02	EPJ C26 3	V.K. Henner *et al.*	
ACHASOV	01E	PR D63 072002	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
EUGENIO	01	PL B497 190	P. Eugenio *et al.*	
AKHMETSHIN	00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	99E	PL B462 365	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)
BISELLO	91C	ZPHY C52 227	D. Bisello *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky *et al.*	(NOVO)
BISELLO	88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello *et al.*	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov *et al.*	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 46 132.		
ATKINSON	83B	PL 127B 132	M. Atkinson *et al.*	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
CORDIER	81	PL 106B 155	A. Cordier *et al.*	(ORSAY)
IVANOV	81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov *et al.*	(NOVO)
ESPOSITO	80	LNC 28 195	B. Esposito *et al.*	(FRAS, NAPL, PADO+)
COSME	79	NP B152 215	G. Cosme *et al.*	(IPN)