## 78. CP Violation in $K_S \rightarrow 3\pi$

Written 1996 by T. Nakada (Paul Scherrer Institute) and L. Wolfenstein (Carnegie-Mellon University).

The possible final states for the decay  $K^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$  have isospin I = 0, 1, 2, and 3. The I = 0 and I = 2 states have CP = +1 and  $K_S$  can decay into them without violating CP symmetry, but they are expected to be strongly suppressed by centrifugal barrier effects. The I = 1 and I = 3 states, which have no centrifugal barrier, have CP = -1 so that the  $K_S$  decay to these requires CP violation.

In order to see CP violation in  $K_S \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ , it is necessary to observe the interference between  $K_S$  and  $K_L$  decay, which determines the amplitude ratio

$$\eta_{+-0} = \frac{A(K_S \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)}{A(K_L \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)} .$$
(78.1)

If  $\eta_{+-0}$  is obtained from an integration over the whole Dalitz plot, there is no contribution from the I = 0 and I = 2 final states and a nonzero value of  $\eta_{+-0}$  is entirely due to CP violation.

Only I = 1 and I = 3 states, which are CP = -1, are allowed for  $K^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ decays and the decay of  $K_S$  into  $3\pi^0$  is an unambiguous sign of CP violation. Similarly to  $\eta_{+-0}$ ,  $\eta_{000}$  is defined as

$$\eta_{000} = \frac{A(K_S \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0)}{A(K_L \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0)} \ . \tag{78.2}$$

If one assumes that CPT invariance holds and that there are no transitions to I = 3 (or to nonsymmetric I = 1 states), it can be shown that

$$\eta_{+-0} = \eta_{000}$$
$$= \epsilon + i \frac{\operatorname{Im} a_1}{\operatorname{Re} a_1} . \tag{78.3}$$

With the Wu-Yang phase convention,  $a_1$  is the weak decay amplitude for  $K^0$  into I = 1 final states;  $\epsilon$  is determined from CP violation in  $K_L \to 2\pi$  decays. The real parts of  $\eta_{+-0}$  and  $\eta_{000}$  are equal to  $\operatorname{Re}(\epsilon)$ . Since currently-known upper limits on  $|\eta_{+-0}|$  and  $|\eta_{000}|$  are much larger than  $|\epsilon|$ , they can be interpreted as upper limits on  $\operatorname{Im}(\eta_{+-0})$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(\eta_{000})$  and so as limits on the CP-violating phase of the decay amplitude  $a_1$ .

## M. Tanabashi et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D 98, 030001 (2018)