Ξ_c^+

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ Status: ∗∗∗

Neither of J or P has actually been measured. Nor have any absolute branching fractions been measured.

Ξ_c^+ MASS

The fit uses the Ξ_c^+ and Ξ_c^0 mass and mass-difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2467.93± 0.18 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
2467.95± 0.19 OUR AVERAGE					
2467.97± 0.14± 0.17	3.8k	[1] AAIJ	14Z	LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV
2468.00± 0.18± 0.51	5.1k	AALTONEN	14B	CDF	$p\overline{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
2468.1 ± 0.4 $^{+0.2}_{-1.4}$	4.9k	[2] LESIAK	05	BELL	e^+e^-, $\Upsilon(4S)$
2465.8 ± 1.9 ± 2.5	90	FRABETTI	98	E687	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma$= 220 GeV
2467.0 ± 1.6 ± 2.0	147	EDWARDS	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
2465.1 ± 3.6 ± 1.9	30	ALBRECHT	90F	ARG	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
2467 ± 3 ± 4	23	ALAM	89	CLEO	e^+e^- 10.6 GeV
2466.5 ± 2.7 ± 1.2	5	BARLAG	89C	ACCM	π^- Cu 230 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2464.4 ± 2.0 ± 1.4	30	FRABETTI	93B	E687	See FRABETTI 98
2459 ± 5 ±30	56	[3] COTEUS	87	SPEC	$nA \simeq$ 600 GeV
2460 ±25	82	BIAGI	83	SPEC	Σ^- Be 135 GeV

[1] AAIJ 14Z systematic error includes in quadrature the 0.14 MeV uncertainty from the m(Λ_c^+) mass value.

[2] The systematic error was (wrongly) given the other way round in LESIAK 05; see the erratum.

[3] Although COTEUS 87 claims to agree well with BIAGI 83 on the mass and width, there appears to be a discrepancy between the two experiments. BIAGI 83 sees a single peak (stated significance about 6 standard deviations) in the $\Lambda K^-\pi^+\pi^+$ mass spectrum. COTEUS 87 sees *two* peaks in the same spectrum, one at the Ξ_c^+ mass, the other 75 MeV lower. The latter is attributed to $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 K^-\pi^+\pi^+ \rightarrow (\Lambda\gamma)K^-\pi^+\pi^+$, with the γ unseen. The *combined* significance of the double peak is stated to be 5.5 standard deviations. But the absence of any trace of a lower peak in BIAGI 83 seems to us to throw into question the interpretation of the lower peak of COTEUS 87.

Ξ_c^+ MEAN LIFE

VALUE (10^{-15} s)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
442± 26 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.					
503± 47± 18	250	MAHMOOD	02	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
439± 22± 9	532	LINK	01D	FOCS	γ nucleus, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV
340^{+70}_{-50}± 20	56	FRABETTI	98	E687	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma$= 220 GeV

$400^{+180}_{-120}\pm100$	102	COTEUS	87	SPEC	$nA \simeq$ 600 GeV
$480^{+210}_{-150}{}^{+200}_{-100}$	53	BIAGI	85C	SPEC	Σ^- Be 135 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$410^{+110}_{-\ 80}\pm\ 20$	30	FRABETTI	93B	E687	See FRABETTI 98
$200^{+110}_{-\ 60}$	6	BARLAG	89C	ACCM	π^- (K^-) Cu 230 GeV



Ξ_c^+ mean life

Ξ_c^+ DECAY MODES

Branching fractions marked with a footnote, e.g. [*a*], have been corrected for decay modes not observed in the experiments. For example, the submode fraction $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \overline{K}^*(892)^0$ seen in $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^- \pi^+$ has been multiplied up to include $\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow \overline{K}^0 \pi^0$ decays.

	Mode		Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
	No absolute branching fractions have been measured. The following are branching *ratios* relative to $\Xi^- 2\pi^+$.			
	Cabibbo-favored ($S = -2$) decays — relative to $\Xi^- 2\pi^+$			
Γ_1	$p 2K_S^0$		0.087 ± 0.021	
Γ_2	$\Lambda \overline{K}^0 \pi^+$		—	
Γ_3	$\quad\Sigma(1385)^+ \overline{K}^0$	[a]	1.0 ± 0.5	

Γ_4	$\Lambda K^- 2\pi^+$		0.323 ± 0.033	
Γ_5	$\Lambda \overline{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+$	[a]	<0.16	90%
Γ_6	$\Sigma(1385)^+ K^- \pi^+$	[a]	<0.23	90%
Γ_7	$\Sigma^+ K^- \pi^+$		0.94 ± 0.10	
Γ_8	$\Sigma^+ \overline{K}^*(892)^0$	[a]	0.81 ± 0.15	
Γ_9	$\Sigma^0 K^- 2\pi^+$		0.27 ± 0.12	
Γ_{10}	$\Xi^0 \pi^+$		0.55 ± 0.16	
Γ_{11}	$\Xi^- 2\pi^+$		**DEFINED AS 1**	
Γ_{12}	$\Xi(1530)^0 \pi^+$	[a]	<0.10	90%
Γ_{13}	$\Xi(1620)^0 \pi^+$		seen	
Γ_{14}	$\Xi(1690)^0 \pi^+$		seen	
Γ_{15}	$\Xi^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$		2.3 ± 0.7	
Γ_{16}	$\Xi^0 \pi^- 2\pi^+$		1.7 ± 0.5	
Γ_{17}	$\Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e$		$2.3 {}^{+0.7}_{-0.8}$	
Γ_{18}	$\Omega^- K^+ \pi^+$		0.07 ± 0.04	

Cabibbo-suppressed decays — relative to $\Xi^- 2\pi^+$

Γ_{19}	$p K^- \pi^+$		0.21 ± 0.04	
Γ_{20}	$p \overline{K}^*(892)^0$	[a]	0.116 ± 0.030	
Γ_{21}	$\Sigma^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$		0.48 ± 0.20	
Γ_{22}	$\Sigma^- 2\pi^+$		0.18 ± 0.09	
Γ_{23}	$\Sigma^+ K^+ K^-$		0.15 ± 0.06	
Γ_{24}	$\Sigma^+ \phi$	[a]	<0.11	90%
Γ_{25}	$\Xi(1690)^0 K^+$, $\Xi^0 \to \Sigma^+ K^-$		<0.05	90%

[a] This branching fraction includes all the decay modes of the final-state resonance.

Ξ_c^+ BRANCHING RATIOS

Cabibbo-favored ($S = -2$) decays

$\Gamma(p 2K^0_S)/\Gamma(\Xi^- 2\pi^+)$ **Γ_1/Γ_{11}**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.087 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.014$	168 ± 27	LESIAK	05	BELL	$e^+ e^-$, $\Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+ \overline{K}^0)/\Gamma(\Xi^- 2\pi^+)$ **Γ_3/Γ_{11}**

Unseen decay modes of the $\Sigma(1385)^+$ are included.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.00 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.24$	20	LINK	03E	FOCS	< 1.72, 90% CL

$\Gamma(\Lambda K^- 2\pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^- 2\pi^+)$ **Γ_4/Γ_{11}**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.323 ± 0.033 OUR AVERAGE					
$0.32 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02$	1177 ± 55	LESIAK	05	BELL	$e^+ e^-$, $\Upsilon(4S)$
$0.28 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.06$	58	LINK	03E	FOCS	γ nucleus, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
$0.58 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.07$	61	BERGFELD	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Lambda \overline{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda K^- 2\pi^+)$ — Γ_5/Γ_4

Unseen decay modes of the $\overline{K}^*(892)^0$ are included.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.5	90	BERGFELD	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+ K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda K^- 2\pi^+)$ — Γ_6/Γ_4

Unseen decay modes of the $\Sigma(1385)^+$ are included.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.7	90	BERGFELD	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^- 2\pi^+)$ — Γ_7/Γ_{11}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.94±0.10 OUR AVERAGE					
0.91±0.11±0.04	251	LINK	03E	FOCS	γ nucleus, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
0.92±0.20±0.07		[1] JUN	00	SELX	Σ^- nucleus, 600 GeV
1.18±0.26±0.17	119	BERGFELD	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] This JUN 00 result is redundant with other results given below.

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \overline{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma(\Xi^- 2\pi^+)$ — Γ_8/Γ_{11}

Unseen decay modes of the $\overline{K}^*(892)^0$ are included.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.81±0.15 OUR AVERAGE					
0.78±0.16±0.06	119	LINK	03E	FOCS	γ nucleus, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
0.92±0.27±0.14	61	BERGFELD	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 K^- 2\pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda K^- 2\pi^+)$ — Γ_9/Γ_4

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.84±0.36	47	[1] COTEUS	87	SPEC	nA $\simeq$ 600 GeV

[1] See, however, the note on the COTEUS 87 Ξ_c^+ mass measurement.

$\Gamma(\Xi^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^- 2\pi^+)$ — Γ_{10}/Γ_{11}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.55±0.13±0.09	39	EDWARDS	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Xi^- 2\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
seen	131	BERGFELD	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
seen	160	AVERY	95	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
seen	30	FRABETTI	93B	E687	γBe, $\overline{E}_\gamma = 220$ GeV
seen	30	ALBRECHT	90F	ARG	$e^+ e^-$ at $\Upsilon(4S)$
seen	23	ALAM	89	CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ 10.6 GeV

$\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^- 2\pi^+)$ — Γ_{12}/Γ_{11}

Unseen decay modes of the $\Xi(1530)^0$ are included.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.1	90	LINK	03E	FOCS	γ nucleus, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.2	90	BERGFELD	96	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Xi(1620)^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
seen	SUMIHAMA	19	BELL	e^+e^- mostly at $\Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Xi(1690)^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
seen	SUMIHAMA	19	BELL	e^+e^- mostly at $\Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Xi^0\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma(\Xi^-2\pi^+)$ — Γ_{15}/Γ_{11}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.34±0.57±0.37	81	EDWARDS	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^0\pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^0\pi^+\pi^0)$ — Γ_{12}/Γ_{15}

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.3	90	EDWARDS	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Xi^0\pi^-2\pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^-2\pi^+)$ — Γ_{16}/Γ_{11}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.74±0.42±0.27	57	EDWARDS	96	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Xi^0 e^+\nu_e)/\Gamma(\Xi^-2\pi^+)$ — Γ_{17}/Γ_{11}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{2.3\pm0.6^{+0.3}_{-0.6}}$	41	ALEXANDER	95B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Omega^-K^+\pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^-2\pi^+)$ — Γ_{18}/Γ_{11}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.07±0.03±0.03	14	LINK	03E	FOCS	<0.12, 90% CL

Cabibbo-suppressed decays

$\Gamma(pK^-\pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^-2\pi^+)$ — Γ_{19}/Γ_{11}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.21 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
0.194±0.054	47 ± 11	VAZQUEZ-JA...	08	SELX	Σ^- nucleus, 600 GeV
0.234±0.047±0.022	202	LINK	01B	FOCS	γ nucleus
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.20 ±0.04 ±0.02	76	JUN	00	SELX	See VAZQUEZ-JAUREGUI 08

$\Gamma(p\overline{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma(pK^-\pi^+)$ — Γ_{20}/Γ_{19}

Unseen decay modes of the $\overline{K}^*(892)^0$ are included.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.54±0.09±0.05	LINK	01B	FOCS	γ nucleus

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Xi^-2\pi^+)$ — Γ_{21}/Γ_{11}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.48±0.20	21 ± 8	VAZQUEZ-JA...	08	SELX	Σ^- nucleus, 600 GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma^- 2\pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^- 2\pi^+)$ — Γ_{22}/Γ_{11}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.18±0.09	10 ± 4	VAZQUEZ-JA...08	SELX	Σ^- nucleus, 600 GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(\Sigma^+ K^- \pi^+)$ — Γ_{23}/Γ_7

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.16±0.06±0.01	17	LINK 03E	FOCS	γ nucleus, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \phi)/\Gamma(\Sigma^+ K^- \pi^+)$ — Γ_{24}/Γ_7

Unseen decay modes of the ϕ are included.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.12	90	LINK 03E	FOCS	γ nucleus, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV

$\Gamma(\Xi(1690)^0 K^+ \times B(\Xi(1690)^0 \to \Sigma^+ K^-))/\Gamma(\Sigma^+ K^- \pi^+)$ — Γ_{25}/Γ_7

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.05	90	LINK 03E	FOCS	γ nucleus, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 180 GeV

Ξ_c^+ REFERENCES

SUMIHAMA	19	PRL 122 072501	M. Sumihama *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	14Z	PRL 113 032001	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)
AALTONEN	14B	PR D89 072014	T. Aaltonen *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
VAZQUEZ-JA...	08	PL B666 299	E. Vazquez-Jauregui *et al.*	(SELEX Collab.)
LESIAK	05	PL B605 237	T. Lesiak *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
Also		PL B617 198 (errat.)	T. Lesiak *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
LINK	03E	PL B571 139	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
MAHMOOD	02	PR D65 031102	A.H. Mahmood *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
LINK	01B	PL B512 277	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
LINK	01D	PL B523 53	J.M. Link *et al.*	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
JUN	00	PRL 84 1857	S.Y. Jun *et al.*	(FNAL SELEX Collab.)
FRABETTI	98	PL B427 211	P.L. Frabetti *et al.*	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
BERGFELD	96	PL B365 431	T. Bergfeld *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
EDWARDS	96	PL B373 261	K.W. Edwards *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ALEXANDER	95B	PRL 74 3113	J. Alexander *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
Also		PRL 75 4155 (erratum)	J. Alexander *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
AVERY	95	PRL 75 4364	P. Avery *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
FRABETTI	93B	PRL 70 1381	P.L. Frabetti *et al.*	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90F	PL B247 121	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALAM	89	PL B226 401	M.S. Alam *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
BARLAG	89C	PL B233 522	S. Barlag *et al.*	(ACCMOR Collab.)
COTEUS	87	PRL 59 1530	P. Coteus *et al.*	(FNAL E400 Collab.)
BIAGI	85C	PL 150B 230	S.F. Biagi *et al.*	(CERN WA62 Collab.)
BIAGI	83	PL 122B 455	S.F. Biagi *et al.*	(CERN WA62 Collab.)