

$\eta_2(1870)$ 

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{-+})$$

### $\eta_2(1870)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1842 ± 8 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
1835 ± 12		BARBERIS 00B		450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p_s$
1844 ± 13		BARBERIS 00C		450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
1840 ± 25		BARBERIS 97B OMEG		450 $pp \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1875 ± 20 ± 35		ADOMEIT 96 CBAR		1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$
1881 ± 32 ± 40	26	KARCH 92 CBAL		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1860 ± 5 ± 15		ANISOVICH 00E SPEC		0.9–1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$
1840 ± 15		BAI 99 BES		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

### $\eta_2(1870)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>225 ± 14 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
235 ± 22		BARBERIS 00B		450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p_s$
228 ± 23		BARBERIS 00C		450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
200 ± 40		BARBERIS 97B OMEG		450 $pp \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
200 ± 25 ± 45		ADOMEIT 96 CBAR		1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$
221 ± 92 ± 44	26	KARCH 92 CBAL		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
250 ± 25 <sup>+50</sup> <sub>-35</sub>		ANISOVICH 00E SPEC		0.9–1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$
170 ± 40		BAI 99 BES		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

### $\eta_2(1870)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $\eta \pi \pi$	
$\Gamma_2$ $a_2(1320) \pi$	
$\Gamma_3$ $f_2(1270) \eta$	
$\Gamma_4$ $a_0(980) \pi$	
$\Gamma_5$ $\gamma \gamma$	seen

### $\eta_2(1870)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta)$				$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_3$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b>1.7 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
1.60 ± 0.40	<sup>1</sup> ANISOVICH 11	SPEC	0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$	
20.4 ± 6.6	BARBERIS 00B		450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p_s$	
4.1 ± 2.3	ADOMEIT 96	CBAR	1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 3\pi^0$	
<sup>1</sup> Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.				

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)$				$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_4$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b>32.6 ± 12.6</b>	BARBERIS 00B	450	$p p \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p_s$	

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta)$				$\Gamma_4/\Gamma_3$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b>0.48 ± 0.45</b>	<sup>1</sup> ANISOVICH 11	SPEC	0.9–1.94 $p\bar{p}$	

<sup>1</sup> Reanalysis of ADOMEIT 96 and ANISOVICH 00E.

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b>seen</b>	KARCH 92	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$	

### $\eta_2(1870)$ REFERENCES

ANISOVICH 11	EPJ C71 1511	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RAL, PNPI)
ANISOVICH 00E	PL B477 19	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BARBERIS 00B	PL B471 435	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS 00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BAI 99	PL B446 356	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BARBERIS 97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ADOMEIT 96	ZPHY C71 227	J. Adomeit <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
KARCH 92	ZPHY C54 33	K. Karch <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)