$\Upsilon(2S)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

$\Upsilon(2S)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10023.26±0.31 OUR AVERAGE				
10023.5 ±0.5	[1] ARTAMONOV	00	MD1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
10023.1 ±0.4	BARBER	84	REDE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
10023.6 ±0.5	[2,3] BARU	86B	REDE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

[1] Reanalysis of BARU 86B using new electron mass (COHEN 87).
[2] Reanalysis of ARTAMONOV 84.
[3] Superseded by ARTAMONOV 00.

$m_{\Upsilon(3S)} - m_{\Upsilon(2S)}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
331.50±0.02±0.13	LEES	11C	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- X$

$\Upsilon(2S)$ WIDTH

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID
31.98±2.63 OUR EVALUATION	See the Note on "Width Determinations of the Υ States"

$\Upsilon(2S)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	(17.85± 0.26) %	
Γ_2	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0$	(8.6 ± 0.4) %	
Γ_3	$\tau^+\tau^-$	(2.00± 0.21) %	
Γ_4	$\mu^+\mu^-$	(1.93± 0.17) %	S=2.2
Γ_5	e^+e^-	(1.91± 0.16) %	
Γ_6	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0$	< 4 $\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_7	$\Upsilon(1S)\eta$	(2.9 ± 0.4) $\times 10^{-4}$	S=2.0
Γ_8	$J/\psi(1S)$ anything	< 6 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_9	$J/\psi(1S)\eta_c$	< 5.4 $\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{10}	$J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c0}$	< 3.4 $\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{11}	$J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c1}$	< 1.2 $\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{12}	$J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c2}$	< 2.0 $\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{13}	$J/\psi(1S)\eta_c(2S)$	< 2.5 $\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{14}	$J/\psi(1S)X(3940)$	< 2.0 $\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{15}	$J/\psi(1S)X(4160)$	< 2.0 $\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{16}	χ_{c1} anything	(2.2 ± 0.5) $\times 10^{-4}$	

Γ_{17}	$\chi_{c1}(1P)^0 X_{tetra}$	< 3.67	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{18}	χ_{c2} anything	(2.3 ± 0.8)	$\times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{19}	$\psi(2S)\eta_c$	< 5.1	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{20}	$\psi(2S)\chi_{c0}$	< 4.7	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{21}	$\psi(2S)\chi_{c1}$	< 2.5	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{22}	$\psi(2S)\chi_{c2}$	< 1.9	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{23}	$\psi(2S)\eta_c(2S)$	< 3.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{24}	$\psi(2S)X(3940)$	< 3.9	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{25}	$\psi(2S)X(4160)$	< 3.9	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{26}	$Z_c(3900)^+ Z_c(3900)^-$	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{27}	$Z_c(4200)^+ Z_c(4200)^-$	< 1.67	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{28}	$Z_c(3900)^{\pm} Z_c(4200)^{\mp}$	< 7.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{29}	$X(4050)^+ X(4050)^-$	< 1.35	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{30}	$X(4250)^+ X(4250)^-$	< 2.67	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{31}	$X(4050)^{\pm} X(4250)^{\mp}$	< 2.72	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{32}	$Z_c(4430)^+ Z_c(4430)^-$	< 2.03	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{33}	$X(4055)^{\pm} X(4055)^{\mp}$	< 1.11	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{34}	$X(4055)^{\pm} Z_c(4430)^{\mp}$	< 2.11	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{35}	$\overline{^2H}$ anything	($2.78^{+0.30}_{-0.26}$)	$\times 10^{-5}$	S=1.2
Γ_{36}	hadrons	(94 ±11) %		
Γ_{37}	$g g g$	(58.8 ± 1.2) %		
Γ_{38}	$\gamma g g$	(1.87± 0.28) %		
Γ_{39}	$\phi K^+ K^-$	(1.6 ± 0.4)	$\times 10^{-6}$	
Γ_{40}	$\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 2.58	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{41}	$K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ +$ c.c.	(2.3 ± 0.7)	$\times 10^{-6}$	
Γ_{42}	$\phi f_2'(1525)$	< 1.33	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{43}	$\omega f_2(1270)$	< 5.7	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{44}	$\rho(770) a_2(1320)$	< 8.8	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{45}	$K^*(892)^0 \overline{K}{}_2^*(1430)^0 +$ c.c.	(1.5 ± 0.6)	$\times 10^{-6}$	
Γ_{46}	$K_1(1270)^{\pm} K^{\mp}$	< 3.22	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{47}	$K_1(1400)^{\pm} K^{\mp}$	< 8.3	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{48}	$b_1(1235)^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$	< 4.0	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{49}	$\rho \pi$	< 1.16	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{50}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	< 8.0	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{51}	$\omega \pi^0$	< 1.63	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{52}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$	(1.30± 0.28)	$\times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{53}	$K^0_S K^+ \pi^- +$ c.c.	(1.14± 0.33)	$\times 10^{-6}$	
Γ_{54}	$K^*(892)^0 \overline{K}{}^0 +$ c.c.	< 4.22	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{55}	$K^*(892)^- K^+ +$ c.c.	< 1.45	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{56}	$f_1(1285)$ anything	(2.2 ± 1.6)	$\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{57}	$f_1(1285) X_{tetra}$	< 6.47	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{58}	Sum of 100 exclusive modes	(2.90± 0.30)	$\times 10^{-3}$	

Radiative decays

Γ_{59}	$\gamma \chi_{b1}(1P)$		(6.9 ± 0.4) %		
Γ_{60}	$\gamma \chi_{b2}(1P)$		(7.15± 0.35) %		
Γ_{61}	$\gamma \chi_{b0}(1P)$		(3.8 ± 0.4) %		
Γ_{62}	$\gamma f_0(1710)$		< 5.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{63}	$\gamma f'_2(1525)$		< 5.3	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{64}	$\gamma f_2(1270)$		< 2.41	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{65}	$\gamma f_J(2220)$				
Γ_{66}	$\gamma \eta_c(1S)$		< 2.7	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{67}	$\gamma \chi_{c0}$		< 1.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{68}	$\gamma \chi_{c1}$		< 3.6	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{69}	$\gamma \chi_{c2}$		< 1.5	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{70}	$\gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$		< 2.1	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{71}	$\gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$, $\chi_{c1} \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 J/\psi$		< 2.4	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{72}	$\gamma X(3915) \to \omega J/\psi$		< 2.8	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{73}	$\gamma \chi_{c1}(4140) \to \phi J/\psi$		< 1.2	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{74}	$\gamma X(4350) \to \phi J/\psi$		< 1.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{75}	$\gamma \eta_b(1S)$		($5.5 ^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$)	$\times 10^{-4}$	S=1.2
Γ_{76}	$\gamma \eta_b(1S) \to \gamma$Sum of 26 exclusive modes		< 3.7	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{77}	$\gamma X_{b\overline{b}} \to \gamma$Sum of 26 exclusive modes		< 4.9	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{78}	$\gamma X \to \gamma + \geq 4$ prongs		[a] < 1.95	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=95%
Γ_{79}	$\gamma A^0 \to \gamma$hadrons		< 8	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{80}	$\gamma a_1^0 \to \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$		< 8.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%

Lepton Family number (*LF*) violating modes

Γ_{81}	$e^\pm \tau^\mp$	*LF*	< 3.2	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{82}	$\mu^\pm \tau^\mp$	*LF*	< 3.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%

[a] 1.5 GeV $< m_X <$ 5.0 GeV

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 3 branching ratios uses 13 measurements and one constraint to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 =$ 11.8 for 11 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\left\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \right\rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_7	2
	x_1

$\Upsilon(2S)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — $\Gamma_4\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.5±1.5±1.0	KOBEL	92	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — $\Gamma_1\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
105.4±1.0±4.2	11.8k	[1] AUBERT	08BP	BABR	10.58 $e^+e^- \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$

[1] Using B($\Upsilon(1S) \to e^+e^-$) = (2.38 ± 0.11)% and B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (2.48 ± 0.05)%.

$\Gamma(\text{hadrons}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — $\Gamma_{36}\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.577±0.009 OUR AVERAGE				
0.581±0.004±0.009	[1] ROSNER	06	CLEO	10.0 $e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.552±0.031±0.017	[1] BARU	96	MD1	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.54 ±0.04 ±0.02	[1] JAKUBOWSKI	88	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.58 ±0.03 ±0.04	[2] GILES	84B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.60 ±0.12 ±0.07	[2] ALBRECHT	82	DASP	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.54 ±0.07 $^{+0.09}_{-0.05}$	[2] NICZYPORUK	81C	LENA	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.41 ±0.18	[2] BOCK	80	CNTR	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

[1] Radiative corrections evaluated following KURAEV 85.
[2] Radiative corrections reevaluated by BUCHMUELLER 88 following KURAEV 85.

$\Upsilon(2S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ — Γ_5

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID
0.612±0.011 OUR EVALUATION	

$\Upsilon(2S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_1/Γ

Abbreviation MM in the *COMMENT* field below stands for missing mass.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
17.85±0.26 OUR FIT					
17.92±0.26 OUR AVERAGE					
16.8 ±1.1 ±1.3	906k	[1] LEES	11C	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- X$
17.80±0.05±0.37	170k	[2] LEES	11L	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$
18.02±0.02±0.61	851k	[3] BHARI	09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$ MM
17.22±0.17±0.75	11.8k	[4] AUBERT	08BP	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
19.2 ±0.2 ±1.0	52.6k	[5] ALEXANDER	98	CLE2	$\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-$ MM
18.1 ±0.5 ±1.0	11.6k	ALBRECHT	87	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$ MM
16.9 ±4.0		GELPHMAN	85	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
19.1 ±1.2 ±0.6		BESSON	84	CLEO	$\pi^+\pi^-$ MM
18.9 ±2.6		FONSECA	84	CUSB	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^-\pi^+\pi^-$
21 ±7	7	NICZYPORUK	81B	LENA	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^-\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] LEES 11C reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\Upsilon(3S) \to \Upsilon(2S)\text{anything})] = (1.78 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \to \Upsilon(2S)\text{anything}) = (10.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
[2] Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.
[3] A weighted average of the inclusive and exclusive results.
[4] Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \to e^+e^-) = (1.91 \pm 0.16)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(2S) \to \mu^+\mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17)\%$ and, $\Gamma_{ee}(\Upsilon(2S)) = 0.612 \pm 0.011$ keV.
[5] Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \to e^+e^-) = (2.52 \pm 0.17)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.07)\%$.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.6 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE					
8.43±0.16±0.42	38k	[1] BHARI	09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
9.2 ±0.6 ±0.8	275	[2] ALEXANDER	98	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
9.5 ±1.9 ±1.9	25	ALBRECHT	87	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
8.0 ±1.5		GELPHMAN	85	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
10.3 ±2.3		FONSECA	84	CUSB	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$

[1] Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(1S) \to e^+e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-) = 4.96\%$.
[2] Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \to e^+e^-) = (2.52 \pm 0.17)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.07)\%$.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.462±0.037	[1] BHARI	09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(2S)$

[1] Not independent of other values reported by BHARI 09.

$\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_3/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.00±0.21 OUR AVERAGE					
2.00±0.12±0.18	22k	[1] BESSON	07	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(2S) \to \tau^+\tau^-$
1.7 ±1.5 ±0.6		HAAS	84B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \tau^+\tau^-$

[1] BESSON 07 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \to \tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma_{total}] / [B(\Upsilon(2S) \to \mu^+\mu^-)] = 1.04 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \to \mu^+\mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0193±0.0017 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.						
0.0203±0.0003±0.0008		120k	ADAMS	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$
0.0122±0.0028±0.0019			[1] KOBEL	92	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$
0.0138±0.0025±0.0015			KAARSBERG	89	CSB2	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$
0.009 ±0.006 ±0.006			[2] ALBRECHT	85	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$
0.018 ±0.008 ±0.005			HAAS	84B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.038	90		NICZYPORUK	81C	LENA	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$

[1] Taking into account interference between the resonance and continuum.
[2] Re-evaluated using B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = 0.026.



$\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ — Γ_3/Γ_4

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.04±0.04±0.05	22k	BESSON	07	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(2S)$

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 4	90	[1] TAMPONI	13	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0$
< 18	90	[2] HE	08A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
<110	90	ALEXANDER	98	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$
<800	90	LURZ	87	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$

[1] TAMPONI 13 reports [$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$] / [B($\Upsilon(2S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$)] $< 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by our best value B($\Upsilon(2S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$) = 17.85×10^{-2}.
[2] Authors assume B($\Upsilon(1S) \to e^+e^-$) + B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = 4.96%.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_6/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.3	90	TAMPONI	13	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.9 ±0.4 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.0.					
2.9 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.					
$2.39\pm0.31\pm0.14$		112	[1] LEES 11L	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S)\to\ell^+\ell^-\eta$
$2.1\ ^{+0.7}_{-0.6}\pm0.3$		14	[2] HE 08A	CLEO	$e^+e^-\to\ell^+\ell^-\eta$
• • • We use the following data for averages but not for fits. • • •					
$3.55\pm0.32\pm0.05$		241	[3] TAMPONI 13	BELL	$e^+e^-\to\Upsilon(1S)\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<9	90		[1,4] AUBERT 08BP	BABR	$e^+e^-\to\gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
<28	90		ALEXANDER 98	CLE2	$e^+e^-\to\ell^+\ell^-\eta$
<50	90		ALBRECHT 87	ARG	$e^+e^-\to\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$ MM
<70	90		LURZ 87	CBAL	$e^+e^-\to\ell^+\ell^-(\gamma\gamma,\ 3\pi^0)$
<100	90		BESSON 84	CLEO	$e^+e^-\to\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$ MM
<20	90		FONSECA 84	CUSB	$e^+e^-\to\ell^+\ell^-(\gamma\gamma,\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

[1] Using $B(\Upsilon(1S)\to e^+e^-) = (2.38\pm0.11)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S)\to\mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48\pm0.05)\%$.

[2] Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(1S)\to e^+e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S)\to\mu^+\mu^-) = 4.96\%$.

[3] TAMPONI 13 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\to\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{total}]\ /\ [B(\Upsilon(2S)\to\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)] = (1.99\pm0.14\pm0.11)\times10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S)\to\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) = (17.85\pm0.26)\times10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[4] Using $\Gamma_{ee}(\Upsilon(2S)) = 0.612\pm0.011$ keV.



$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ (units 10^{-4})

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.64±0.25 OUR FIT			Error includes scale factor of 2.0.			
1.99±0.14±0.11		241	TAMPONI	13	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S)\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1.35±0.17±0.08			[1] LEES	11L	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \to (\pi^+\pi^-)(\gamma\gamma)\mu^+\mu^-$
< 5.2	90		[2] AUBERT	08BP	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-(\pi^0)\ell^+\ell^-$

[1] Not independent of other values reported by LEES 11L.
[2] Not independent of other values reported by AUBERT 08BP.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)$ — Γ_6/Γ_7

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.13	90	TAMPONI	13	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0$

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{ anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_8/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.006	90	MASCHMANN	90	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\eta_c)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<5.4 × 10^{-6}	90	YANG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi X$

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c0})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<3.4 × 10^{-6}	90	YANG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi X$

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.2 × 10^{-6}	90	YANG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi X$

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c2})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.0 × 10^{-6}	90	YANG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi X$

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.5 × 10^{-6}	90	YANG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi X$

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)X(3940))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.0 × 10^{-6}	90	YANG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi X$

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)X(4160))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.0 × 10^{-6}	90	YANG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi X$

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\,\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.24±0.44±0.20	376	JIA	17	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma J/\psi(1S)$

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)^0 X_{tetra})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<36.7 × 10^{-6}	90	[1] JIA	17A	BELL	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

[1] For a tetraquark state X_{tetra}, with mass in the range 1.16–2.46 GeV and width in the range 0–0.3 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of X_{tetra} mass and width range from 4.4×10^{-6} to 36.7×10^{-6}.

$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\,\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.28±0.73±0.34	JIA	17	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma J/\psi(1S)$

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)\eta_c)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<5.1 × 10^{-6}	90	YANG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S)X$

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)\chi_{c0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<4.7 × 10^{-6}	90	YANG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S)X$

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)\chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.5 × 10^{-6}	90	YANG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S)X$

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)\chi_{c2})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.9 × 10^{-6}	90	YANG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S)X$

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)\eta_c(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<3.3 × 10^{-6}	90	YANG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S)X$

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)X(3940))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<3.9 × 10^{-6}	90	YANG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S)X$

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)X(4160))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<3.9 × 10^{-6}	90	YANG	14	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S)X$

$\Gamma(Z_c(3900)^+ Z_c(3900)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.0 × 10^{-6}	90	[1] JIA	18	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^\pm X$

[1] Assuming B($Z_c(3900)^\pm \to J/\psi\pi^\pm$) = 1.

$\Gamma(Z_c(4200)^+ Z_c(4200)^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<16.7 × 10⁻⁶	90	[1] JIA	18	BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \to J/\psi \pi^\pm X$

[1] Assuming B($Z_c(4200)^\pm \to J/\psi \pi^\pm$) = 1

$\Gamma(Z_c(3900)^\pm Z_c(4200)^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<7.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	[1] JIA	18	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to J/\psi \pi^\pm X$

[1] Assuming B($Z_c(4200)^\pm \to J/\psi \pi^\pm$) = 1 = B($Z_c(3900)^\pm \to J/\psi \pi^\pm$).

$\Gamma(X(4050)^+ X(4050)^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<13.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	[1] JIA	18	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \chi_{c1}(1P) \pi^\pm X$

[1] Assuming B($X(4050)^\pm \to \chi_{c1}(1P) \pi^\pm$)

$\Gamma(X(4250)^+ X(4250)^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<26.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	[1] JIA	18	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \chi_{c1}(1P) \pi^\pm X$

[1] Assuming B($X(4250)^\pm \to \chi_{c1}(1P) \pi^\pm$) = 1

$\Gamma(X(4050)^\pm X(4250)^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<27.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	[1] JIA	18	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \chi_{c1}(1P) \pi^\pm X$

[1] Assuming B($X(4050)^\pm \to \chi_{c1}(1P) \pi^\pm$) = 1 = B($X(4250)^\pm \to \chi_{c1}(1P) \pi^\pm$)

$\Gamma(Z_c(4430)^+ Z_c(4430)^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<20.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	[1] JIA	18	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \psi(2S) \pi^\pm X$

[1] Assuming B($Z_c(4430)^\pm \to \psi(2P) \pi^\pm$) = 1

$\Gamma(X(4055)^\pm X(4055)^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{33}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<11.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	[1] JIA	18	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \psi(2S) \pi^\pm X$

[1] Assuming B($X(4055)^\pm \to \psi(2S) \pi^\pm$) = 1

$\Gamma(X(4055)^\pm Z_c(4430)^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{34}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<21.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	[1] JIA	18	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \psi(2S) \pi^\pm X$

[1] Assuming B($X(4055)^\pm \to \psi(2S) \pi^\pm$) = 1 = B($Z_c(4430)^\pm \to \psi(2S) \pi^\pm$)

$\Gamma(\overline{^2H}$ anything$)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{35}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$2.78^{+0.30}_{-0.26}$ OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
$2.64 \pm 0.11 ^{+0.26}_{-0.21}$		LEES	14G	BABR	$e^+ e^- \to \overline{^2H}\, X$
$3.37 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.25$	58	ASNER	07	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \to \overline{^2H}\, X$

$\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{37}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
58.8±1.2	6M	[1] BESSON	06A	CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow$ hadrons

[1] Calculated using the value $\Gamma(\gamma g g)/\Gamma(g g g)$ = (3.18 ± 0.04 ± 0.22 ± 0.41)% from BESSON 06A and PDG 08 values of $B(\pi^+\pi^- \Upsilon(1S))$ = (18.1 ± 0.4)%, $B(\pi^0\pi^0 \Upsilon(1S))$ = (8.6 ± 0.4)%, $B(\mu^+\mu^-)$ = (1.93 ± 0.17)%, and $R_{hadrons}$ = 3.51. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with that of $\Gamma(\gamma g g)/\Gamma_{total}$ measurement of BESSON 06A.

$\Gamma(\gamma g g)/\Gamma(g g g)$ — Γ_{38}/Γ_{37}

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.18±0.04±0.47	6M	BESSON	06A	CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow (\gamma +)$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\phi K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{39}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.58±0.33±0.18	58	SHEN	12A	BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{40}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.58	90	SHEN	12A	BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{41}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.32±0.40±0.54	135	SHEN	12A	BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\phi f_2'(1525))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{42}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.33	90	SHEN	12A	BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)$

$\Gamma(\omega f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{43}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.57	90	SHEN	12A	BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\rho(770) a_2(1320))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{44}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.88	90	SHEN	12A	BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \overline{K}_2^*(1430)^0 + c.c.)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{45}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.53±0.52±0.19	32	SHEN	12A	BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{46}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<3.22	90	SHEN	12A	BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(K_1(1400)^\pm K^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{47}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.83	90	SHEN	12A	BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma\big(b_1(1235)^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{48}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.40	90	SHEN	12A	BELL	$\Upsilon(1S) \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

$\Gamma\big(\rho\pi\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{49}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.16	90	SHEN	13	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

$\Gamma\big(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{50}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.80	90	SHEN	13	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

$\Gamma\big(\omega\pi^0\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{51}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.63	90	SHEN	13	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$

$\Gamma\big(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{52}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
13.0±1.9±2.1	261 ± 37	SHEN	13	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$

$\Gamma\big(K^0_S K^+\pi^- + \text{c.c.}\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{53}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.14±0.30±0.13		40 ± 10	SHEN	13	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to K^0_S K^-\pi^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<3.2	90		[1] DOBBS	12A		$\Upsilon(2S) \to K^0_S K^-\pi^+$

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

$\Gamma\big(K^*(892)^0\overline{K}^0 + \text{c.c.}\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{54}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<4.22	90	SHEN	13	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to K^0_S K^-\pi^+$

$\Gamma\big(K^*(892)^- K^+ + \text{c.c.}\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{55}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.45	90	SHEN	13	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to K^0_S K^-\pi^+$

$\Gamma\big(f_1(1285)\,\text{anything}\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{56}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.20±1.50±0.63	2.9k	JIA	17A	BELL	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma\big(f_1(1285)X_{tetra}\big)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{57}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<64.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	[1] JIA	17A	BELL	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

[1] For a tetraquark state X_{tetra}, with mass in the range 1.16–2.46 GeV and width in the range 0–0.3 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of X_{tetra} mass and width range from 7.8×10^{-6} to 64.7×10^{-6}.

$\Gamma(\text{Sum of 100 exclusive modes})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{58}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
0.29±0.03	[1,2] DOBBS	12A	$\Upsilon(2S) \to$ hadrons

[1] DOBBS 12A presents individual exclusive branching fractions or upper limits for 100 modes of four to ten pions, kaons, or protons.
[2] Obtained by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{59}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.069 ±0.004 OUR AVERAGE					
0.0693±0.0012±0.0041	407k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
0.069 ±0.005 ±0.009		EDWARDS	99	CLE2	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\chi(1P)$
0.091 ±0.018 ±0.022		ALBRECHT	85E	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$ conv. X
0.065 ±0.007 ±0.012		NERNST	85	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
0.080 ±0.017 ±0.016		HAAS	84	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$ conv. X
0.059 ±0.014		KLOPFEN...	83	CUSB	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{60}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0715±0.0035 OUR AVERAGE					
0.0724±0.0011±0.0040	410k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
0.074 ±0.005 ±0.008		EDWARDS	99	CLE2	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\chi(1P)$
0.098 ±0.021 ±0.024		ALBRECHT	85E	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$ conv. X
0.058 ±0.007 ±0.010		NERNST	85	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
0.102 ±0.018 ±0.021		HAAS	84	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$ conv. X
0.061 ±0.014		KLOPFEN...	83	CUSB	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{61}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.038 ±0.004 OUR AVERAGE					
0.0375±0.0012±0.0047	198k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
0.034 ±0.005 ±0.006		EDWARDS	99	CLE2	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\chi(1P)$
0.064 ±0.014 ±0.016		ALBRECHT	85E	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$ conv. X
0.036 ±0.008 ±0.009		NERNST	85	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
0.044 ±0.023 ±0.009		HAAS	84	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma$ conv. X
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.035 ±0.014		KLOPFEN...	83	CUSB	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma f_0(1710))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{62}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<59	90	[1] ALBRECHT	89	ARG	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma K^+K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 5.9	90	[2] ALBRECHT	89	ARG	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Re-evaluated assuming B($f_0(1710) \to K^+K^-$) = 0.19.
[2] Includes unknown branching ratio of $f_0(1710) \to \pi^+\pi^-$.

$\Gamma(\gamma f'_2(1525))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{63}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<53	90	[1] ALBRECHT	89	ARG	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma K^+K^-$

[1] Re-evaluated assuming B($f'_2(1525) \to K\overline{K}$) = 0.71.

$\Gamma(\gamma f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{64}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<24.1	90	[1] ALBRECHT	89	ARG	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Using B($f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi$) = 0.84.

$\Gamma(\gamma f_J(2220))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{65}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<6.8	90	[1] ALBRECHT	89	ARG	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma K^+K^-$

[1] Includes unknown branching ratio of $f_J(2220) \to K^+K^-$.

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{66}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c0})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{67}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{68}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<3.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c2})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{69}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1}(3872))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{70}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

[1] WANG 11B reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{c1}(3872))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S))] < 0.8 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S)) = 3.8 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1}(3872),\ \chi_{c1} \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 J/\psi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{71}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.4 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma X(3915) \to \omega J/\psi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{72}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<2.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{c1}(4140) \to \phi J/\psi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{73}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma X(4350) \to \phi J/\psi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{74}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WANG	11B	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma \eta_b(1S))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{75}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$5.5^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.						
$6.1^{+0.6+0.9}_{-0.7-0.6}$		29k	FULSOM	18	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$
$3.9 \pm 1.1^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$		13 ± 5k	[1] AUBERT	09AQ	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<21	90		LEES	11J	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \to X \gamma$
<8.4	90		[1] BONVICINI	10	CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma X$
<5.1	90		[2] ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \to \gamma X$

[1] Assuming $\Gamma_{\eta_b(1S)} = 10$ MeV.

[2] Superseded by BONVICINI 10.

$\Gamma(\gamma \eta_b(1S) \to \gamma$ Sum of 26 exclusive modes$)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{76}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<3.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	SANDILYA	13	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\gamma X_{b\bar{b}} \to \gamma$ Sum of 26 exclusive modes$)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{77}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<4.9	90		SANDILYA	13	BELL	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
$46.2^{+29.7}_{-14.2} \pm 10.6$		10	[1] DOBBS	12		$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma$ hadrons

[1] Obtained by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

$\Gamma(\gamma X \to \gamma + \geq 4$ prongs$)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{78}/Γ

(1.5 GeV $< m_X <$ 5.0 GeV)

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.95	95	ROSNER	07A	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \to \gamma$ hadrons$)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{79}/Γ

(0.3 GeV $< m_{A^0} <$ 7 GeV)

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	[1] LEES	11H	BABR	$\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma$ hadrons

[1] For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar A^0, excluding known resonances, with mass in the range 0.3–7 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of m_{A^0} range from 1×10^{-6} to 8×10^{-5}.

$\Gamma(\gamma a_1^0 \to \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{80}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<8.3	90	[1] AUBERT	09Z	BABR	$e^+ e^- \to \gamma a_1^0 \to \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

[1] For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar a_1^0 with mass in the range 212–9300 MeV, excluding J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of $m_{a_1^0}$ range from 0.26–8.3 $\times 10^{-6}$.

LEPTON FAMILY NUMBER (*LF*) VIOLATING MODES

$\Gamma(e^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{81}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<3.2	90	LEES	10B	BABR	$e^+ e^- \to e^\pm \tau^\mp$

$\Gamma(\mu^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{82}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 3.3	90	LEES	10B	BABR	$e^+ e^- \to \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<14.4	95	LOVE	08A	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \to \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$

$\Upsilon(2S)$ Cross-Particle Branching Ratios

$B(\Upsilon(2S) \to \pi^+ \pi^-) \times B(\Upsilon(3S) \to \Upsilon(2S) X)$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.78±0.02±0.11	906k	LEES	11C	BABR	$e^+ e^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- X$

$\Upsilon(2S)$ REFERENCES

FULSOM	18	PRL 121 232001	B.G. Fulsom *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
JIA	18	PR D97 112004	S. Jia *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
JIA	17	PR D95 012001	S. Jia *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
JIA	17A	PR D96 112002	S. Jia *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	14G	PR D89 111102	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
YANG	14	PR D90 112008	S.D. Yang *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
SANDILYA	13	PRL 111 112001	S. Sandilya *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
SHEN	13	PR D88 011102	C.P. Shen *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
TAMPONI	13	PR D87 011104	U. Tamponi *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
DOBBS	12	PRL 109 082001	S. Dobbs *et al.*	
DOBBS	12A	PR D86 052003	S. Dobbs *et al.*	
SHEN	12A	PR D86 031102	C.P. Shen *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	11C	PR D84 011104	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11H	PRL 107 221803	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11J	PR D84 072002	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11L	PR D84 092003	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
WANG	11B	PR D84 071107	X.L. Wang *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
BONVICINI	10	PR D81 031104	G. Bonvicini *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
LEES	10B	PRL 104 151802	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09AQ	PRL 103 161801	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09Z	PRL 103 081803	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
BHARI	09	PR D79 011103	S.R. Bhari *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	08BP	PR D78 112002	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
HE	08A	PRL 101 192001	Q. He *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
LOVE	08A	PRL 101 201601	W. Love *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)
ASNER	07	PR D75 012009	D.M. Asner *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
BESSON	07	PRL 98 052002	D. Besson *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ROSNER	07A	PR D76 117102	J.L. Rosner *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)

BESSON	06A	PR D74 012003	D. Besson *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ROSNER	06	PRL 96 092003	J.L. Rosner *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ADAMS	05	PRL 94 012001	G.S. Adams *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTUSO	05	PRL 94 032001	M. Artuso *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTAMONOV	00	PL B474 427	A.S. Artamonov *et al.*	
EDWARDS	99	PR D59 032003	K.W. Edwards *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ALEXANDER	98	PR D58 052004	J.P. Alexander *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
BARU	96	PRPL 267 71	S.E. Baru *et al.*	(NOVO)
KOBEL	92	ZPHY C53 193	M. Kobel *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
MASCHMANN	90	ZPHY C46 555	W.S. Maschmann *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ALBRECHT	89	ZPHY C42 349	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)
KAARSBERG	89	PRL 62 2077	T.M. Kaarsberg *et al.*	(CUSB Collab.)
BUCHMUEL...	88	HE e^+e^- Physics 412	W. Buchmueller, S. Cooper	(HANN, DESY, MIT)
		Editors: A. Ali and P. Soeding, World Scientific, Singapore		
JAKUBOWSKI	88	ZPHY C40 49	Z. Jakubowski *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.) IGJPC
ALBRECHT	87	ZPHY C35 283	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)
LURZ	87	ZPHY C36 383	B. Lurz *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BARU	86B	ZPHY C32 622 (erratum)	S.E. Baru *et al.*	(NOVO)
ALBRECHT	85	ZPHY C28 45	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	85E	PL 160B 331	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)
GELPHMAN	85	PR D32 2893	D. Gelphman *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
KURAEV	85	SJNP 41 466	E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 41 733.		
NERNST	85	PRL 54 2195	R. Nernst *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ARTAMONOV	84	PL 137B 272	A.S. Artamonov *et al.*	(NOVO)
BARBER	84	PL 135B 498	D.P. Barber *et al.*	
BESSON	84	PR D30 1433	D. Besson *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
FONSECA	84	NP B242 31	V. Fonseca *et al.*	(CUSB Collab.)
GILES	84B	PR D29 1285	R. Giles *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
HAAS	84	PRL 52 799	J. Haas *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
HAAS	84B	PR D30 1996	J. Haas *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
KLOPFEN...	83	PRL 51 160	C. Klopfenstein *et al.*	(CUSB Collab.)
ALBRECHT	82	PL 116B 383	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(DESY, DORT, HEIDH+)
NICZYPORUK	81B	PL 100B 95	B. Niczyporuk *et al.*	(LENA Collab.)
NICZYPORUK	81C	PL 99B 169	B. Niczyporuk *et al.*	(LENA Collab.)
BOCK	80	ZPHY C6 125	P. Bock *et al.*	(HEIDP, MPIM, DESY, HAMB)